JOURNAL

NO. 6

Index of Journal C.

Plaintiff	Defendants	
Adams J. G. Jr.	vs Wm H. & John Scott	16 (517, 582, 636
Andrews J. W. Admr of Sterling	vs R. P. Mann	52, 78, 126, 182, 208, 264, 325, 350, 477
" " " "	Hamilton Espy & Espy	52, 78, 126, 182, 208, 264, 326, 350 477
Anderson Thos	vs Lathrop Convers Contl	75, ~~138~~ 139
Alden P. W. & S. K. Reed	vs E. Hill Et al	213, 252, 482
Auctioneer of County	Wm H. Robb appt	238
Austin Sumner & Co,	Vs Sam'l C. Lee	258
Alexander I. L.	Vs Rathbun & Snodgrass	262
Anderson Isaac	vs Belinda Anderson	312
Atty Pro	allowance of	316, 477, 647
Alden P. W.	vs C. Rathbun & Co,	407
Atherton Joseph	vs Miller & Wells	411, 497
Alexander William	default entered against	459
Alden P. W.	vs Ira C. Johnson	533
Abraham Wm	vs Jas. K. Abraham & wife	585, 606
Adair S. C.	vs Chas Stiner & wife	624

50,477

54,326,350 477

Plaintiff		Defendant	
Baughman Martha	vs	Hiram Baughman	4
Beach Amos	"	Joh M. Keith	11
Benton Hiram	"	Belf. & Del. R.R. Co.	16, 72. 119, 156. 200, 245, 295, 302
Bowler & Ewing	"	James Roberts	17
Broun Smith	"	G. Hill & I. Baker	17
Bradley Joel & wife	"	Andrew Thompson	23
Brake Adam	"	Robert Welsh	25
Beard D. David by his &c	"	Thos. J. Haynes	26
Ball Fayette	"	Michael Blue	33 (357, 425
Blue Hamilton	"	Wm. Westlake	40. 74, 122. 171. 201, 245, 296, 344
Bland John	"	Saml. Maynard et als	45
Barham C. G.	"	Byron Irwin	49
Brooks J. & W. B.	"	Alex. Gandy	56, 124, 159, 203, 247, 297. 430
Bower Adam & Barth.	appl.	Naturalization papers	66
Bradley C. F. & T. als	vs.	Geo McGee et. als.	69, 83, 173, 269
" " "	"	W. B. Fowler & J. W. Boyd	69, 82
" " "	"	John Hildebrand	69, 83, 269, 365
" " "	"	Wm Graham et als	69, 84, 173
" " "	"	S. A. Sun Rsq & wife	93, 134, 174, 202, 246, 296,
Broom R. L.	"	E. Wells & wife	76
Bloomershine Peter	appl.	for cit. of U. S.	80
Brown M. W.	vs	Wells & Harriott	98, 119, 176, 185, 202
Bradley C. F. & T. als	vs	S. A. Cherry et als	104
Baesler Louisa	"	Leonard Geer	104, 148, 157
Barrett Bennet	vs	Thos. A Sheldon	117, 178. 202, 246. 296
Bank of Springfield	vs	J. W. Evans Joshua Judy & Da Turner	129
Burr & Gooding Admrs.	vs	C. M Robinson & T. M. Kimball	132
Beck Abraham	vs	A. Doty	140
Baesler Gottlieb	vs	Susan Baesler	148, 161
Bowen A. R.	vs	Saia & Biggs	151 194
Buckingham Irene	vs	Alex^a Shields	158, 214, 265. 627
Brown Chris M.	vs	Garret Harris et als	160
Beard David & Jas. Robinson Admrs	vs	Martha J. Beard & als	171
Brooks James	vs	Evans & Hill	180, 204, 252
Bradley C. F. & Co	vs	Stout & Ins Rep	184, 269. 365
Bowen A. R.	vs	Jesse H. Saia & Jas. Biggs	194
Brown Thos & Ann Neil Admrs &c	vs	S. A. Cherry	206. 250
Brome R. L.	vs	Jackson G. Sprague	206, 260, 298
Broome R. L.	vs	Cyrus Purl & wife & R. A. Shadrick	214, 234
Benton A. G.		Licenced to Keep Tavern	222
Barnett & Wheeler Admrs &c	vs	John A. Scott	254
Bird Sarah	vs	Saml. H. Bird	257
Benham Wm & Co	vs	Jno. F. Eaton & D. D. Welsh	262
Broome R. L.	vs	M. H. Wadhams et. als.	276
Bunker E.	"	Wm Turnam	300

Broome R, L, vs David Davis 2d et, als, 309
Bridge W. W, vs W.H, Furgason et, als, 320, 365, 397, 449, 451
Beltz A. D, vs N.G. Clark 322, 345, 374, 398, 410, 422
Bigelow Rufel Licenced to Keep Tavern 328
Beakley Hiram vs I. Kightinger et as 334
Bras Saml Licensed to keep Tavern 337.
Blackburn A. M. vs Lyne Starling Jr et als. 340, 380, 528
Battles John vs Sarah Battles 349. 380
Brainard Jas A vs Joshua Judy & W. J. Brophy 354
" Saml " B.S. Hull & Joshua Judy 354
Brooks Daniel vs I. S. Alexander 377
Burns Hugh Natz papers granted 396
Brake Adam vs J.D.C Armstrong 396, 478, 533
Broome R, L, vs Jas. Hornbeck et, als, 415
Burnham Nicholas P. Adm vs I. C. Johnson & Jas. Emerson 457, 472
Brown Vincent vs Wm. Tulk 462
Barbour John vs Wm. Nowell 475
Barnett & Wheeler vs C. F. Hayp 446, 470
Billing Andrew Appln. for 1st papers 431
Bowersmith Jacob vs Jacob Bowersmith Sen. 496, 540, 599
Bowsher Elizabeth vs Wm. Hamilton 503, 532
Blue Eleanor vs Mannoah et, als, 512, 529
Butler J. & G. vs H. R, Brinkerhoff et, als, 515, 562
Broome R. L, vs L. O. Abel Marks 518
Bradley Brinham Saml & Co, vs Saml Corwin et, als, 549
Bloomershine Peter Naturalizn of 552
Barbour John vs Nelson Cone 556
Bigelow I. C, & D. A. vs Fras. J. Kahler 561
Bowersmith Jacob Sen vs Wm & Julia E. Scofield 579
Bush Dant vs E, M, Kissell et, al, 614
Broome R, L, vs C. R. Bowen A. Scott & P. Snider 634.

Plaintiff		Defendant	
Colvin Standish	vs	John S Kohl	7, 55
Convers Jasper R.	"	L. S. Convers	11
Carson Joseph M.	"	Geo Bowersmith	22. 74. 113, 170
Capil G. A. & Mary Pickett Exors		J. S. Alexander	25
Capil John	"	Wm Perry	37
Capil G. A.	"	James Reed & wife	47
Chelles Sabrina	"	Jonathan Morrison	49, 65; 127
Corwin Moses	"	John Organ	50, 76, 125 (477. 517, 582, 636
Custus James W.	"	Y. B. Harriott	51, 98, 126, 183, 208, 264, 325. 350
Cole & Piper	"	Saul Bland	51, 77, 125, 161. 208, 263. 325
Colvling Richard	"	James Guy & als	52, 98, 126, 183, 209. 264. 324, 350 (477
Capil John	"	Thos R. Price	53, 78, 127, 161, 209, 264, 326
Cur Jeremiah	"	Thos Turner	57
Conner Michael	appl. to be a cit., U. S.		68
Cody John & Jno Kennady	appl. to be cit., U. S.		70
Chapman Jason	vs	Saml Lewis Et als,	76, 145, 174. 197
Carnahan Mary R.	"	John Carnahan	101
Crain David	"	David Wood	104
Capil John & others	vs	A. R. Bowen	118, 173, 203, 246, 298. 426
Crain David	vs	David Wood	119
Cahill John	"	Hugh Stickney	119
Cornell Henrietta	vs	George Cornell	123
Capil John	vs	I. H. Felkner	123, 172. 203, 246, 297
Crowder John	vs	Salmon Crowder Et als	149 162
Cranston Stephen Et als	vs	I. T. Sabin	161
Cowgill Geo.	vs	C. L. Smith	182, 198, 227, 262
Capil & Pollack	vs	David Plumb & wife	182
Chapel Dearing	vs	Chas L. Willcutt	187 (364. 366
Cranston Stephen Et. als,	vs	John Barbour &c	195. 196. 221, 227. 249, 311
Covey Samuel	vs	F. L. Childs	207, 251, 322, 350
Coopridor Wm	vs	Jos Conklin & Wm Stithen	215, 270
Capil G. A.	vs	Joshua W. Evans & Judy	217. 228, 273
Cranston Chris't	vs	Silas Igow	220, 261
Cole & Porter	vs	W. C. Malin	225, 277, 321, 364. 373, 428
Carr George	Appl. for Nat. Papers		226
Columbus Catharine	vs	Jos M. Snodgrass Adm. of Columbus	233
Cunell Joseph	vs	C. Grubbs & wife	235, 261. 322
Capil John	vs	Thos A. Mapes Et als	238
Carter James	vs	A. Mercy & wife	255. 323
Carey George W	vs	Shadrick & D. D. Welsh	259, 322
Cranston Chris't	"	George W Coats Et als,	284
Cranston Stephen	vs	Hylas Sabin Et als,	261, 311, 364. 376. 522
Creathbaum Conrod	Naturalizt	of	327
Clerk's Allowance for making new Index			318
Covey Samuel			
Cole Joseph	declaration of Intention &c		432

Chapel Dearing vs Chas. L. Willcott 360
Corwin Ichabod vs Stansbery & Baldwin & Lewis Hunter 369
Chapman Albert vs Stevenson Cuny 379
Culver Standish vs D. H. Wurtsbaugh 385. 547
Clegg William Appt for first papers 386
Cain George Nat. papers granted 389
Caldwell L. E. vs A. C. Robinson & Clark Ritchy 403
Converse Mary vs Danl. H. Converse 406. 509, 575
Carter Warset. Q. Admr &c J. M. Inskeep et al. 409
Carter Jas. O. vs Samuel Breese 411
Carter Jones O. B. W. Miller, et. als, 411, 459, 463
Cody Michael Natz. papers granted to 422.
Capil G. A. vs. Jno. Amrine & L. Heasley 427
Chapman & Jones vs Rague & Clark 428
Capil John et als. vs Joshua Judy et al. 429, 502
Courts Com. P. & Dis. times of holding, 433. 588
Cantrel Wm. H. vs Jno Graham et alz 446, 497
Capil G. A. vs Joshua Judy & Sam'l Robinson 464. 469
Coler & Sim vs Jno. Mulvane et. als, 466. 504, 549
Coe P. vs I. C. Johnson 469. 517, 525
Coe P. L. vs I. C. Johnson 472. 517 525
Carter Benja. vs John A. Peasley 475
Coe George I. vs Thos. Peacock 497. 572, 639
Capil John vs Morgan Savage & A. Wiley 498
Capil John vs S. Mo. V. & P. R. R. Co. 500. 583, 597
Carter W. O. Admr. &c vs John P. Stout 505. 626
Causes, reapigonment of 506
Capil John vs Joshua Judy 502
Clark R. G. Allowances for State Cases 524
Coats Sanford vs Meelick & Laughery 526
Capil John vs E. L. Reynolds & Sam'l 531
Cole G. S. & Co. vs W. J. Boophy 533, 581
Culver & Standish vs D. H. Wurtsbaugh 547
Covalt W. W. vs Thompson & Brenson 556. 638
Carter James A. vs Henry Baldwin et als, 558
Commissioners of County Report of 561.
Chapman & Baldwin vs Abel Pickering 563. 589. 600
Conner John vs A. D. & A. H. Chapman 564. 643
Carter S. B. vs Charlotte R. Carter 566
Clark John R. vs A. Dockum 566. 599
Columbus Manufac'g Co vs Patrick Conley 569
Clark Caleb Admr. &c vs I. C. & Jno. Johnson 569
Coe P. L. vs Baggs & Hood 570, 599
Coe George I. Instr. &c vs Thos. Racock et al, 572
Capil John vs Lewis H. Lee & wife 606
Clippinger & Co. vs Jane Ross 631
Coats John B. Pros. Atty Allowance to 647

311.

Plaintiff		Defendant	
Deming Charles R.	vs	James M Snodgrass	(372, 391, 492, 540, 595 3, 68, 119, 155, 198, 244, 294, 342
Darrow Alandrus Admr	vs	Luther Weston	6, 76
Dunn Walter	"	Robert Dunn	13, 74, 133, 166, 201, 249, 306, 355
Draper James	"	Joshua Judy	26
Dynes J. C. Exrs of	"	Joshua Judy	30
Depp Abram	"	Isabella & Frances Goode	40, 42
Davis Richard	"	Emily J. Swartz Et als	44
Dynes Providence	"	Edward Powers Et als	46, 58
De Steiger Rudolph	"	Scioto Wefon Et als	49, 111
Dunlap Jane S, Et, als,	"	S. G. Smith & Calvin Hill	66
Doty Arch	"	Wm. B. Linscott	75
Dynes J. C. Exrs	"	Jos. W. & Mary Evans	81, 122
Darling H H	"	Calvin Richards	98, 145, 176 (352
Dumble J B	"	Thos R. Price	102, 122, 155, 201, 249, 295
Darst & Herchelrode	vs.	Reid & Price	135
Dypert Mary	vs	Joseph Dypert	160, 204, 249, 298, 344 (438, 492, 542, 595
Dynes' J. C. Exrs.	vs	Harrison Rice Et als	193, 205, 250, 298, 344, 372, 392
Dille Asher	vs	Ellis, Moffitt & Robinson	159, 224, 229
Dypert Susannah	vs	S McVeigh & Pitts. R. R. Co.	263, 323, 345
Dibble Work & Moon	"	Harvey Skeels Et, als,	281
Dodge Judah	vs	Israel Mack	313
Darst & Herchelrode	"	E. Johns & H. Titsworth	316
Dunbosaw S. W. H. & C.	"	John Geage Reuben	324
Dellinger Coonrod		appl. for first papers	279
Dun, Jas & W. A.	vs	Tullington & Emerson & Jas. Tullington	353
Diggins Patrick		appl. for first papers	367
Daum Peter Natz.		papers granted	389
Duffield Henry	vs	Peter Y. Ingard et, als,	402
Dunnick W. H.	vs	A. C. Robinson et, als,	409
Dynes' J. C. Exrs	vs	J. M Welsh et, als,	412,
Dynes George	vs	Bennet Dynes	441, 519
Dean John		Naturalization of	458
Duffield Henry	vs	Joshua Judy	473
Davis Michael	vs	J. C. & Jno. Johnson	505
Drunk John	vs	Barbary Drunk	517, 580
District Court & Com. Pleas		Times of holding for 1859	433
Dynes Jas. C. Exrs	vs	Capel Hurst & C. Rathbun	532, 586
Same		vs C. Powers & A. S. Johnson	532
Detling Gotlib		Naturalzn of	537
Dundon Marice		Naturalzn of	538
Draper Nelson	vs	John Coder	554
Downa Jno. B.	vs	W. H. Dunnick	565, 579, 611
Dynes James C. Exrs of	vs	William Webhurst	573
Davis A. S.	vs	John Winner Et, als,	584

Doo
Dyn
Dun
Dun
Doy

5

294,342

306,355

295

2,542,595

4,372,392

Dooling Dan.l Naturalization of 585
Dynes J. G. Exec'r vs William Webb Heirs 573
Duvall Dan.l vs Seburn Hinton et. alz. 592 593. 633
Dunnick Wm.m H. vs Jo.s B. Dowdna 611
Doyle Sam.l vs D. Mulford 614

D
E
F
G
H
I
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q

Plffs Dfts

Elwell Timothy H. vs Jno Douglas & Wife, Rec 63, 124, 159, 203, 233 (391)
Evans & Johnson " A. Y. Morris 98, 123, 157, 201, 246, 296 344
Evans Nathaniel vs Jesse Barnett 159, 203, 247 (373, 420
Ewing Thos M. Admr &c vs Elijah Wamenwipe 212, 250, 298
Esp Charles app. for first papers Nat, 232
Evans Thomas vs David Sager Stat. ~~337~~ 347.
Ewing Elizabeth vs Thos M. Ewing 403, 438
Eagan Patrick Natz. papers granted 416
Ely Amos vs Jas R. Smith et als 441, 499, 546, 591, 643
Est Chas & Co vs I. C. Johnson 473, 517, 525
Edwards & Iddings vs Kramer & Bennett 522
Evans Jas C. vs Saml Dolph Et als 523
Same " Jas Cricket 524
Evans Thomas vs I. C. Lee 568
Esp Charles Naturalization of 587
Evans Benj. et al, vs Sanny Parot 601
Eberly & Shedd vs I. A. Maddox & I. McAlister 614

33 (39)
296344

E
F
G
H
I
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q

Plaintiff	Defendant	
Ford Jas. G.	vs Thos. Woodson Et. als	26, 74, 148, 168
Finch & Pettibone	" Wm. S. Brophy	54, 77, 126, 175
Ford & Welch	vs Jonas I. Clark	116, 148, 181
Fran Kenberg & Co.	vs John Douglass & others	133
Fogarty Michael	vs. Jas. Whelpley	194, 217, 240
Fullington Jas.	vs D. R. White & L. Chapman	223
Furlong & Co	vs John Keeran John Wheeler & C. F. Hays	224
Fordice J. L.	vs Wm. B. Irwin	262
Fullington Charles	vs G. W. Coats & Tyler & K. Reed	285
Fullington J. & A. H.	" Sheleden Smith	323
Fulton Wm. F.	vs Miller & Wells	361, 509
Fitzgarald Patrick	Appt. to become Cit. of the U. S.	417
Fullington Chas.	vs J. G. Johnson & Jas. Emerson	458, 471
Fulk William	vs Vincent Brown & Jno. McKim	461
Fairbanks L. M.	vs Jno. McKeevers et. als,	465
Fullington Jas.	vs J. G. & Jo. Johnson	466, 474
Fay Cyrus & Son	vs David Plumb	508
Fullington Chas.	vs Levi Patrick Et. als,	513
Fogarty John	Naturalizn of	538
Furgason A. J.	vs David Maguire	545
Fensel John G.	vs Fras. L. Melching & Wife	569
Ford & Rule	vs M. Woodson Et als.	553
Freeman A. F.	vs Chas. C. Freeman Et. als.	567, 602
Fullington Jas.	vs Jesse Lumbard et als	601, 631
Fensel John G.	vs Fras. C. Melching et. al,	605
French & Keith	vs I. A. Maddox	608
Furbay W. S.	vs Bell & Brannon	645

E
G
H
I
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q

Plaintiff		Defendant	
Gowan Walter	vs	Henry Fox	5
Gamble Matilda A.	"	Benj. Gamble	16, 131, 182
Graham Wm.	"	Cyprus & Josiah Beard	27
Guy James	"	J & E Deland	41
Goode Robert	"	Jacob Hudson	47, 66
Green Sarah	"	George Green	47, 103
Galloway James	"	A. S. Alden	51, 77, 125, 183, 208, 263, 325, 349, 476
Gus Barbara	"	G. P. & L. M. Gunderman	75
Griffin Danl	"	S. G. Smith	85
Grummons I. J.	vs	John A McCreight	132, 188, 209
Gardner Thomas & Co.	vs	I. W. Evans & others	143
Gill Jas	vs	C. M. Robinson & Jesse Gill	151
Gilbert A. G.	vs	Robert Welsh	160
Gray Ryan	vs	John H. Stewart	187
Gill Emily	vs	Wm P. Gill	206, 272
Gill James	vs	C. M. Robinson & I. Slack	222
Garwood Nimrod	vs	Wm M. Robinson	266, 332
Grand Jury's bills of Indictment			274, 279
Gandy Andy	vs	A. Gandy Et. als,	302, 331, 374, 438, 492, 542, 596 & 180 M.
Garwood & Galon Adms	vs	I. Hall	308, ~~468~~ 419, 468
Greenbaum Michael	Appl.	&c	280
Garretson Isaac	vs	Jas. Wilson Et. als,	356, 374, 392, 438, 492, 552
Guy Jas	vs	Col. Pig. & Ja. R R Co.	364, 374, 396, 492
Goodman Benedict	Naturalization of		351
Gould Danl	vs	I. G. & G. A. Sweeney	395, 440
Grove Perry	vs	Jas Gump et. als,	399
Gooding Mathew	vs	D. H. Silver Et. als,	401
Gabriel John & Wm	vs	I. G. & Jno Johnson	443, 448
Same	"	Ira C. Johnson	445, 448
Gray Peter & wife	vs	Thomas Smith et. als.	465
Greenbaump Andrew	Natz of		535
Green Lewis W.	vs	Abigail Green	577
Gould Danl	vs	I. G. Sweny et. als,	590
Gandy Andy	vs	Skadrick & Welsh	259, 322

G
H
I
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q

Hauser Jacob appl. to become citizen 3
Hawk Jacob vs W. G. Clark & Others 23, 107
Hayp Calvin F. " John A. Scott 47, 99
Hill David " Mary Hill 48, 101 (477 517, 582, 636
Hathaway Onathan " John W Gillatts 51, 77, 126, 161, 208, 263, 325, 349
Herd Thos " Mc Ilroy & Heminger 52, 78
Henrahan Henry appl. to become cit. of U. S. 79
Henderson William vs A. R. Bowen 85
Henrapari John appl. to become cit. U. S. 97
Haskins G. W. " L. Rathbun Et als 104
Hamilton David & wife vs D. D. Beard & others 115, 174, 198, 246, 296, 344, 518
Hawk Jacob vs George Evans & wife 144, 158
Hill George vs Jo. R. Cahill & Manchester & Titus 179, 204, 247, 288
Heminger Valentine vs Josh DeVore 205
Hickey Catharine vs Nancy McCurdy Et als 211, 233
Hall Willie P. vs Union Sps, Union Co. O. 265
Huber John Natzl. of 266
Hayp Calvin F. vs Wm Scott Et als, 283, 334, 392, 438, 492, 540, 596 (182
Hill George vs Jo. R. Cahill & Et als
Harris John " Wm D. Baily Et als, 304
Haire George Naturalization of 311
Herbst Richard appl. to become a citizen of the U.S. 280
Hopkins T. W. & G. vs Pierce & Upham 338
Haycock P. S. vs Francis Kalen 348
Holmes Richard vs E. D. Lindsey 351
Hildreth Wm vs William Wells 359, 374, 399
Same " John Page 359, 375, 420
Hamilton William vs Miller & Wells 362, 510
Same " Orlando Wells Et als, 363, 375, 413
Hyde John B. " Miller & Wells 362, 510
Hamilton C. S. vs Jas. O. Carter 364, 376, 392, 492, 539
Hildreth William vs Wm Wells 399
Hanbeck Jas " Robert Malone 400
Hamilton J. N. vs A. C. Robinson 415, 531
Hildreth William vs John Page 420
Hammond C. vs J. Myers 441, 492, 538
Haynes T. F. vs Jas. E. Jackson 441
Hull Geo W. vs Geo W. Coats et als, 462,
Hathaway N. Adms & C. " C. M. Robinson D. Burton Et als 483, 526, 627
Same vs C. M. Robinson Jas H. Roney Et als 486, 627
Harshfield John vs Harvey Smith & wife 490, 551, 609
Hauser Jacob F. Natzl. papers granted 494
Haynes Jos B. W. vs Thompson & Fisher 506
Hinckley L. D. vs A. S. Morford 506
Heminway Farmers & wife vs S. H. Reed J. C. & Jos " Johnson 511
Hulsey C. G. Co vs L. Johnson & Jas Fullington 527

Heninger Andrew vs I.C.& Jo. Johnson 548
Hammond & Wells vs L.I. Gates 555
Hanrahan John vs John S. Fuller 556. 638
Hathaway Jonathan vs L.Mt.V.& P.R.R.Co 564. 637
Heminway Jesse vs Jo. Johnson & C. Mepenger 564
Hendel Mary vs Israel Woolam 585, 642
Haron & Hamilton vs M.& R. Wiggle 605
Hood John vs P. Lobrick & M. Miller 607
Hines Patrick Application &c 432

.349

344.518

596 6 182

H
I
K
L
M
N
O
P
Q

Index of all books &c ordered to be done by Clerk 237,
Irwin Jas. H. vs A. S. Irwin Ex. als, 340, 381
Irwin J. G vs Saml K Reed 356
Irwin Lackey appt. to become a cit. 386
Inskeep Joel vs Thos A. Shelden & John Ewan 408, 442, 453

Plaintiff		Defendant	
Johnson Joel & wife	vs	Andrew Thompson 23	
Jones J. D. & C.	"	James & Wm. E. Lee 36	(541, 637
James John H.	"	Roman Reed 52, 78, 126, 161, 209, 264, 350, 477, 518	
Judy Alfred	"	Wm. Berrian 75	
Judy Joshua	"	John Mathus 96	
" "	"	C. M. Robinson 96	
Jackson Mary	"	Henson Merryman & wife (2 vols)	100, 123, 164, 202,
January Nesbit & als	vs	Jno Barlow Treas. & Board Ed., York Co.	186
Jones John D.	vs	Jas. W. Evans & wife,	206, 240, 251, 322 (497, 501, 516
Jury Grand Report of &c.		225, 284, 279, 286, 313, 317, 318, 398, 405, 418	
Jackson Mary	vs	Jas. B., Norris & Elisha Kent et al,	239
Johnson Phebe Ann	vs	G. W. Coats et als,	239
Jewett O. Adm &c	vs	Danl Buckapite	257
" " "	"	Rowland Lee	301. 464 Ex C-P 188
Jury G. Empannuled		317. 590	
Jones Bro. & Co.	vs	W. D. W. Mitchell et als	370, 426, 447, 646
Jury Grand reports of		425, 449, 534, 557, 563, 590, 625, 630	
Jones Thomas	vs	L. H. Lee et als.	439, 518, 559, 629
Judy Saml H.	vs	Jas. M. Wilson et als,	541, 624
Johnson N. G.	vs	Hugh Johnson et als,	570. 607
Johnson Joseph	vs	Martin Pyeatt	586
Jewett S. A.	vs	A. Morey	617

I K L M N O P Q

Plaintiff		Defendant	
King Henry C.	vs	Henry Mapie Et als	14 (343, 372, 437, 492, 541⅝
Kempton Curtis	"	Hannah Kempton	24, 73, 120, 157, 201, 245, 295
Kerner Nicholas	"	John G. Bishop	46
Kerann John	"	Robbin McAdow & Robbins	48, 56
Kennady John & John		Cody appl'n to be citizens U.S.	71
Kennady Mathew		" " U.S.	97
Kleiber Chas.	appl'n for citizenship		177
Kellon & Bancroft & Co	vs	Wm H. Dunnick	256, 323
Knox Nath'l.	"	David Wood Et als	287
Keyes Andrew	"	Enoch Burrows	378, 394.
King John W.	vs	Wm B. Irwin et als.	412, 503, 508, 544, 600
Kightlinger Jane	vs	A. Kightlinger	518
Kelton Bancroft & Co.	vs	John Walsh Et als,	534
Kissmerle John F.	Naturalization of		536
Kettline Christian	"	"	537
Kelton Bancroft & Co.	vs	P. Manchester	553
Same		vs A & J. Dockum	569
Keyes Dan'l		vs Isaac Quiker	602
Kezarta Thos W.	vs	Robert & J. M. Welsh	616
Kelton Bancroft & Co.	vs	Jno A. Maddox	620

KONOPO

Plaintiff		Defendant	
Liggett William	vs	Wm Satterfield	6
Little Thos.	vs	George Rule	10. 72, 148, 165
Lowe Lucus	"	M. P. Rice	16
Leggett James & Abner	"	Walter G. Clark & als	24, 105
Lee & McKnight	"	Jonas J. Clark	29, 94
Lee Wm C.	"	Joshua Quay	43
Lamb Reuben A	"	Alex" Cowgill	50, 77, 125, 183, 263, 325, 349, 476
Lewis Elias Et. als,	"	S Mt. V. & P. R.R. Co.	64. 151. 188. 616
League George	"	James League & others	75, 157
Lincoln George Esq allowance to			89
Leage George vs Jas. Leage & others			122
Lehmond C. vs Wm H & Rebecca Brelsford			138
Leavitt George	vs	Jonathan Burt & als	184, 204, 265
Lockwood David B. vs,	C. Winkler & others		190, 206, 240, 271, 319, 371, 429
Lee S. C. Admrx	vs	Lemuel W. Smith	192, 204, 250
Long Eli	vs	Lewis Green	206, 266, 310
Lee Wm C.	vs	W. W. Ballinger & C. Weller	217
Linscott Wm B,	vs	Thos A Sheldon D G. Cratty & als.	237
Long Benja	vs	David McMeenis & als	267
Lee Saml C,	vs	George Swank & wife	271. 298. 322
Leonhard Alexander		application for first papers &c	280
Lyon C. W & Co.	vs	C. Grubbs & wife	300, 452, 539, 627 + f. 7 T
" " "	"	Isaac Sparks	307
" " "	"	Gregory Tullington & Tullington	308
Long John	vs	S. Mt. V. & P. R. R. Co,	317
Leonhard Alexander Appt"		&c	280
Lincoln Gel"		allowance for	361, 427
Lawrence Rozannah	vs	Cratty John & William	405
Lincoln Gel"		Allowance for Attys fees	427, 468
Linscott W B,	vs	Robt Gamble	517. 575. 618
Lock Louisa	vs	S. M. Reed & D. Watson	517
Lee Martha	vs	Mains Watson	517. 546, 566
Leonard James	vs	H. S. & Leonard Lott	539
Lawson T. H.	vs	John B. Myers	551
Lyons Levi	vs	C. Y. Richey Et, als,	551, 630
Lee Jacob C.	vs	Henry Amine 3d	557
Lowenstine & Son	vs	D. D. Welsh	560
Same	vs	D. D. Welsh & John Barton	561
Lockwood Alonzo		forfeiture of recognisance	561
Lucas Lucas Ann	vs	John Conner & S. M. Reed	625, 641
Lord George		application for first papers	432

76

371,428

L
M
N
O
P
Q

Plaintiff		Defendant	
Marsh James Wadmr	vs.	Wm Rice Exr	5. 68. 120, 154
Manchester & Titus	"	Milton Culver	18. 47. 62, 147
Martin James	"	Col, Pig, & Ia R.R. Co.	20. 93. ~~193~~, ~~204~~ See below
Means Ephraim	"	John Walker & wife	21
Joseph Marshall	"	Ed. Gregg & others	27. 500
Manchester & Titus	"	Samuel Judy	28
"	"	Jonas Tigley	28
"	"	C. W. & S. G. Smith	29
"	"	Samuel Turner	36
"	"	Ira A. Robbins	38 (344. 372, 391, 437, 492, 538
"	Philander	Corydon Winkler	48. 92. 151, 157, 201, 245, 296, 340
"	" "	Joseph Glascock	48. 75. 141
Morison Guiann Trustee		Hiram Beakley	32 (476. 517. 582. 636
Miller S. L. Admrs of	"	Stephen McLain	50. 76, 125, 160. 207, 263, 324, 349
Mottier Geo F, appt to be citizen of U. S,			54
Moore Madison	vs	Thos Yeats	55. 124, 158, 203, 246, 285
Miller Rebecca	"	Thos Miller	75. 124
Malone Richard appt to be cit. U. S.			80
Moffitt Ralph	vs	I. S. Rohr & C. Wells	92. 136
Moberly Josiah	vs	A. J. Needles & W. H. Dill	115
Martin Michael	Naturalization Papers		134
Murfey John	"	"	135
Miller Rees & John Gray	vs	Dearing Chapel et als	141. 241
Merritt John G. & D. A.	vs	Jos. Templin jun et als	162 (546
Morison John	vs	Joseph Biddle	175. 222, 249. 297. 339. 373, 392, 492
Marquis David	vs	David Sagar	181
Merryman Henson & wife	vs.	Wm Jackson & wife	181. 224
Marks Thos Y.	vs	Joel Armstrong & wife & D. D. Welsh	219, 261, 322
Malin Wm C. et als	vs	D. H. Silver	233
Martin James	vs	Col, Pig, & Ia, R.R. Co.	90. 159, 204, 249, 297, 340, 373
Miller R & John Gray	vs	Silver & Wright	241
McMasters Jas	vs	W. J. Elliott	300
" "	"	Copeland & Birge	301
Moffitt Nathan	vs	Dearing Chapel	307
Meredith Levi	"	N. L. Morris	324
Moore T. C.	vs	Alonzo Gilchell	361
Moody Robert	Application	&c	241
Manchester & Titus	vs	Hammond & Wells	369
Malone Robert	vs	W. W. Woodburn	382. 416
Manchester & Titus	vs	C. S. Davis & Jas. R. Smith	411. 492
Mitchell John	vs	Isaac Johnson	456
Mary C Aroon	Naturalization of		463. 471
Moon L C	vs	Alonzo Gilchell	500
Marriott H. T.	vs	Miller & Wells	464. 508
Mattier George F.	Naturalizn of		537

Plaintiff		Defendant	
Mc Donough Thos	vs	Harvey Skeen	13
McCreight Jno A	"	Y. M. Winget	20
" " "	"	Byron Irwin	31
" " "	"	D. A Junkin	48
" " "	"	Stephen Winget	48
McCleary Jonathan	"	Shumway & Valentine	39, 94, 111, 140, 574, 641
McClain John	"	Michael M. Brown	39
McCallum John	"	Street & Wilson	47
McCombs John vs N	Nazar	& James McMasters	123, 174, 197
McCallester Isaac Et, als,		vs, Elizª Bowsher Et, als,	375, 446, 453, 550
Same	"	Isaac Headley Et als	382; 393, 550
McClary Eliza by &c		vs P. Snider & co, et als	382, 460, 482
McCreight John A.	"	Samˡ A. Cherry	376
McLain Nancy Jane	"	D, H, Silver	387
McGrath William Nalz		papers granted :	391
McCauley William	vs	John McCauley et, als,	399, 456, 498, 584, 599
Michael William & C,	vs	Sarah Lamb. et, als,	407, 508, 545, 625
McIlroy Robert,	vs	David M, Miller et, als,	460, 502, 555, 594
McCulloch Samˡ,	vs	Nathan Henning	514
Mitchell Thos.	vs	John B. Myers	522
McCarty Jaˢ. B.	vs	Jaˢ. J, McCarty Et, als	530, 531, 541
McClure Matthew	vs	Isaac Johnson Et, als,	542, 611
Same	"	Isaac Johnson	582
Miller B.W,	vs	C, Wells,	549, 599
Mitchell	vs	Jaˢ. Dockum	554
Myers Lemuel	vs	Miller & Wells	558, 613
McMasters Jaˢ	vs	Baldwin & Chapman	582
McCrary Wmˢ J, Exr & c	vs	Wmˢ Reed et, als,	593, 622
Marquis David	vs	Jnoˢ P, Bailey	605
Masury Doll & co.	vs	A, S, Davis et, als,	606
Marquis David	vs	A. & J, Showalter	608
Miller Jonathan G.	vs	H. S. Stamatez Com, &c	615
McAlister Nelson	vs	Lucinda Vanzant	634
Mayo. Peter	vs	Wm Burns et als	639, 646

Ap.

Plaintiff	Defendant	
Nugent E. S.	vs Jonathan Burk	41. 92, 118, 164
Neolps Frederick	Naturalization papers	135
Neolps George L	"	135
Neil Ann Admx ve	vs I. A. Cherry	206, 250, 298, 344, 359, 373, 392, 468, 495
Neil Henry	vs O. Hammond	441.
Nüple John George	Naltz. papers granted	494
Neil Henry	vs O. Hammond	496, 565, 597, 618

495

Plaintiff		Defendant	
Owen Sarah	vs	James G. Ford	10. 72. 148, 165
Orr Wm	"	John C & A. R. Mitchell	24, 73, 118, 170
Owen Sarah & others	vs.	J. G. Ford & Geo. Rule	165
Ogborn Wm C. J	vs	H. M. Roberts & others	205. 229. 230, 269, 298
O'Donnel Patrick	Appl.	for Naturalization papers.	222 (596
Ohio Life Ins & Trust Co.	vs.	A. S. Alden et, als.	267. 322, 345. 373. 392. 438, 492, 540
O'Donnel Patrick	"	James Lacs	383. 447

098

2,540

Plaintiff	Defendant	
Porter John L. Pros. Atty	Allowance for	43
Powel & Buck	vs S. A Cherry	53, 78, 127, 175, 209, 264
Preston Thos. Appt. to become citizen of the U.S.		54
Paris Lyn Justus of	vs S. A Cherry Et als	109
Phelps Elihu	vs Milton Culver & Sheldon	150, 179, 205, 253
Porter John L. Pros. Atty	allowance for (1857)	186, (1859) 477
Perry Elizabeth	vs Wm C. Malin	193
Pickett Mary	vs G. A. Cafsil & wife	195
Perry John	vs A. A. Woodworth Admr. &c	205, 250, 467
Pollock John	vs Joshua Lucy & A. M. Cherry	260, 518
Phillis Charles	" Miller, Cahill & Chapman	305
Pros. Atty Allowance of		316, 477, 647, 647
Parthamore W.	vs Henry I. King	323
Phillis Charles	vs J. H. Moore & wife	324
Powers Ed. E.	vs S. A. Cherry Et. als.	336, 449
Pollock Andrew	vs. Dan. Plumb & Thos. Brown	377
Powers John Naty.	papers granted to	418
Phares G. W.	vs Lucy M. Stokes Admx	438, 492, 578, 643
Same	" Chas Fullington	" 492, 578, 644
Phipps Lewis	vs Isa C. Johnson	533,
Place Joseph F.	vs Samuel Sanner	559
Henry Wm	Prior Naturalization of	371
Powell Geo B.	vs Z. McIlroy	622

P

Q

Plaintiff		Defendant	
Rulen Jeremiah appl^{t}		for citizenship	3
Reed Jacob	vs	Henry Baldwin Adm^{r} of J Baldwin	5
R R & Columbus Piqua & Ind^{a}	vs	James Robinson	5, 68, 120, 155, 199, 244, 321, 342
R. R. Co. Columbus Piq^{a} & Ind^{a}	"	Wm Orr	9. 71. 120, 156. 199, 244. 321. 343
" " " " " "	"	David Holyer & s.	9. 71. 120, 156. 200, 244 321. 343
" " "	"	John Mitchell	10. 71, 120, 154. 200, 244. 321. 343
" " "	"	Benj^{a} Tinkham	10, 72, 120, 156. 200, 244. 321, 343
" " "	"	Squire Deland	10, 72. 120, 156. 200. 244, 321, 343
" " "	"	Charles Converse	10, 72, 120, 156, 200, 245, 322, 343
Reed Eyra	vs	Geo^{e} Winchester	9. 71, 118, 155. 199, 244. 295, 342, 372, 391, 579
Ramsey William	"	Robert Brown	10. 62
Robinson Alex^{r} & C^{o}	"	Micheal Weber Et al^{s}	12
Rule Ged,	"	Tho^{s} Woodson Et als	25, 74, 148, 167
Rice M. P.	"	Lucus Sowl	24
Rupner David	"	C. & H. Clements	26
Robinson Jo^{s} W.	"	David M. Robinson	35, 61
Robb W. H. Sheriff		Allowance for	43
Robinson Jas W.	"	S. Shafer & Jo^{s} H. Robinson	62
Ross S. H.	"	Andrew Reed Et als	63. 145, 163. 210, 253
Raymond Sam^{l} dec^{d}	vs	Sam^{l} Breese	113
Robinson John	vs	C. M & Wm H Robinson	114. 158, 202, 246. 296
Reed J. C.	vs	L. B. Clevinger & wife & U. J. Morris	128, 160,
Rail Road Co. Col. Piq. & Ia	vs	John Mitchell Et als	145, 174. 223
Ross Jo^{s} N.	vs	Benn & Clark Et als	160, 223, 250
Reed Shannon	vs	C. O. Stevens & M. C. Evans	163, 211, 236
Robb Wm H.		Sheriff allowance for (1857)	186
Robinson Jas W.	vs	Charles Smith	188
Reed S. K. & P. W. Alden	vs	Elijah Hill Et als.	193
Reigelman Moritz		Citizenship granted to	228
Reynolds Wm	vs	John Jas Wallace	261. 277
Richardson I. D.	vs	I. G. W. House	263
Same	vs	I. S. Alexander & I. W Robinson	268, 282 (517
Rufsel John	vs	John Capsil	283, 323. 345, 374, 392, 399, 461, 492.
Rice M. P.	vs	Jo^{s} Gladhill	304
Robinson C. M.	"	Israel Slack	315
Robinson A. C.	"	W. N. Dunnick	323
Ringer Michael	"	Jo^{s} White & Benj^{a} Wood	349
Robinson D. M.	"	S. K. Reed & A. A. Woodworth	356
Richey C. Y.	"	Amos Burris	364. 381, 392
Ryan Chris^{r}	"	Igou & Bradlove	368
Robinson Jas W.	"	Thos H. Lewis	393, 446 452
Ryland Ostrom & Co	vs	Johns & Roberts	401
Richardson I. D.	vs.	Jas S. Alexander et. als,	404. 569
Richey Clark	vs	A. C. Robinson et. als	428. 548. 639
R. R. C. S. M^{t} V. & P.	vs	Joshua Judy	446, 466. 556. 597
Same	vs	David H. Silver	633

Rice M. P. vs David Marquis 447, 470
Robinson William & ptf for 1st papers 431
Robinson Jas. W. vs M. Rice 541
Same vs Sabn. Elliott & Edward Smith 547
Rule & Ford vs M. Woodson et al, 533,
Ralston W. S. vs S. H. Said et al, 567
Richardson S. J. vs D. S. Alexander 569. 571
Robinson D. M. vs John M. Hall & wife 571. 621
Reed Jennings & Co vs Harvey Skeels 572
Reed R. D. Admr &c vs Thos Hillings et als 600
Rice Harrison vs Wm Leightlinger & G. Morey 623

Spong Abs., & als vs Hiram Beakley 2 (372, 391. 437. 492. 541. 595
Stokely Samuel Lepers of vs Jno Williams 3. 66, 119. 155. 199, 244. 294. 342
Schider John Stephen vs Thos Woodson & als 4. 69. 120
Schider George vs Thos Woodson & als 4. 69. 120
Shirk John vs Orvin Perry 8. 87
Smith S. W. " John Conner 11, 72
Shower Adam & als " John C Atwood & als 15. 110. 149 149, 208
Shortlip John " Adam M Blackburn 15. 69. 120, 177, 267, 321
Sepions & Harris " Jno Jones 21 (372, 391, 437
Samuels Julia An " John Samuels 24, 73, 119, 157, 200, 245, 295, 343
Sidle Cornelius " Wm T. Leacock 24, 99
Silver D. H. " Elisha Wright 26, 14, 122, 166, 201, 244, 295
Skinner W. H. " Harvey Skeelz 26
Shields W. C. " M. Silver & S. G. Smith 37
Stone A. P. & Co. " Fields & Fletcher 38. 77. 126. 183. 208, 235
Snider Philip " C. W. Rosette 40
Scott A. J. & wife " Stephen Depp 43
Stillings Thos " Wm W. Norton 47. 73, 134, 157. 216
Scott Violet " Wheeler & Barnett admrs, 48. 105 (518, 582, 637:
Shower Adam & wife " Benja Lapsan 52. 98. 183. 208, 269, 325, 350, 471
Sheldon Wm Henry & Co. " Rathbun Chas. Levi & Enz. 67, 124 159. 203, 246. 297
Steinhoff John apl. for Nat " papers 79
Stadler Brother & Co vs C. M. Robinson 90
Smith George W. " Josephus Jordan 92, 150
Springfield Bank vs J. W. Evans & als 129, 264
Swasey & Wise vs J. M. Innkeep 131
Sabin Sophia & Convers vs Henry J. King 139. 174
Sabin " & " vs H. J. King 140. 174
Stout John P. vs Elizabeth J. Stout 147
Silver David H. vs Daniel Rupel 148. 164
Stirratt Jas A. vs Rowland Lee 149, 158
Steward Orlando & wife heirs of Jacob Fairfield dec 180
Sidle Jacob vs E. D Smith 182, 198
Stirratt Jas A vs Stephen Winget 188
Scofield Wm & wife vs G. Temple & wife 207
Smith P. B. vs John S. Fulton 216, 250. 298
Slack Israel vs C. M. Robinson & als 221, 250, 299
Snodgrass Geo License to Keep Tavern 227
Snodgrass Robt License to Keep Tavern 227
Samuel Jos apl. &c 247
Shortlip John vs A. M. Blackburn & als 267. 321
Snodgrass Jas M. vs Richard Columber & als. 267. 283, 336
Seymor & Crowell vs J. S. Alexander & als, 273
Stirat Jas A. vs John Capil 276
Simon Joseph apl. &c. 247
Smith Thomas Naturalization of 310

See page 4, of this Index for continuation of S.

Plaintiff		Defendant	
State of Ohio	vs	Gillman Wade	13. 15. 33. 62. 65
" "	"	Philip MacKinney & others	26
" of "	vs	William Reynolds	136
" of "	vs	Harvey E. Everett	137
" of "	vs	William Wait	137
" of "	vs	Joseph Cahill	138
" of "	vs	N. S. Morris	139
" " "	"	William Sands jun	139
" of "	vs	John Walley	142
" of "	vs	Wm Whelpley	143
" of "	vs	Gideon Draper	143
" of "	vs	Christian Adams	145
" of "	vs	Isiah Jenkins	144
" of "	vs	William Wait	155
" " "	"	"	155
" " "	"	Peter Beaver	215
" " "	"	Robert Gamble	237
" " "	"	Benjn Stout	242, 294. 341
" " "	"	Rufel Bigelow	242
" " "	"	Robert Snodgrass	243
" " "	"	Bill Welch	243, 292, 332
" " "	"	Luther Weston	243
" " "	"	George Snodgrass	243
" " "	"	William Sands	243. 293, 341, 436
" " "	"	" " "	" "
" " "	"	Jeremiah Johnson	243
" " "	"	Reuben Foote	243
" " "	"	Bolivar Hays	247
" " "	"	Peter Beaver	248
" " "	"	Amariah Hays	248
" " "	"	Benjn Spiller	275, 278, 313, 318, 344
" " "	"	Truman Cornell	275 293, 341
" " "	"	Samuel Smith	277, 289
" " "	"	Tyler Thompson	278 291
" " "	"	Perry Heasley	290
" " "	"	James Heasley	290
" " "	"	Gid. Draper	290 & 291
" " "	"	David Campbell	291
" " "	"	W. J. Brophy	292
" " "	"	Levi Hill	292
" " "	"	W. B. Dunfee	293, 351
" " "	"	Wm H. Cartmill	293, 342, 436, 491
" " "	"	Wm Riddle	293, 342, 436 "
" " "	"	Jas Riddle	294
" " "	"	Thos Aplin	294

See pages after X. for State cases

Tanner David Guardian &c vs William Richey Et als 2, 89	State
Trustees of Theological Sem. vs George N. Grimes 51, 77, 125, 193, 229, 232	"
Thompson Jas. vs I. J. Richardson & wife 218. 268. ~~299, 322~~	"
Tomlinson Thos. vs John F. Eaton & John Cabell 234. 507	"
Turner Edmund Naturalization Papers granted 238	"
Tunks S. A. Licensed to Keep Tavern 247	"
Tyler Davidson &c vs David Wood 262	"
Taylor William " " John Fields & wife 306, 345	"
Thompson Jas. vs A. R. Bowen 299, 322, 330, 502	"
Thompson Wm. Adm &c vs Jas. N. Turner Et als 390	"
Tenant H. M. by &c vs Minerva Kline Et als 390, 439, 496, 544, 598	"
Taylor Benjamin vs E. N. Wade 396	"
Tilsworth Hiram vs I. & H. Haines 467, 503, 555, 603	"
Turner Samuel vs Wm. M. Baughan & G. F. Hill 533	"
Tanner Anna vs Austin Tanner et als, 592	"
Tapliff Lelois Assignee &c vs S. R. Sanders 598	"

32

State of Ohio	vs	A. V. Morse	312
"	"	Moses Blake	312, 332
"	"	Chas. Brockway	316, 318, 324. 335
"	"	Jas. S. Alexander	327 "
"	"	Martin Varner	333.
"	"	Thomas Jones	333 " "
"	"	J. L. Lenox	335
"	"	Levi Hill	403 404, 406. 445, 451
"	"	Peter Vaneshoor	406. 421. 427
"	"	Dan'l H. Converse	407, 422, 425, 468, 477
"	"	Isaiah Shoutz	411. 419. 444. 491. 492, 546. 596
"	"	Simon Bennet	419 445, 494
"	"	Julius Bennet	419 " " 499, 544, 594
"	"	Benj'a Bailey	419 " "
"	"	Dan'l Smith	420
"	"	Gab'l Colsell	420,
"	"	Jas. De Ker	420, 444, 491, 492, 543
"	"	Isaac Smith	420
"	"	Jed'l Kurly	423, 436 47
"	"	A. M. Wilson	423
"	"	Vincent Bowen	424
"	"	Lurman Coriel	424 445, 444, 450, 455, 490, 543
"	" for the	W. H. Rarey et als	429
"	"	C. R. Bowen	437
"	"	Abram Whelply	443 455; 491, 543
"	"	Jas. M. Wilson	450 491. 492, 544. 596 47
"	"	Hiram Guy	454
"	"	Isaac Morse	454. 492. 544
"	"	Wm. Riddle	491 492, 543, 544
"	"	John Riley	497. 504, 507, 524
"	"	Thomas Mapes	501, 5011, 544
"	"	Levi Hill & Jos Dillon	516. 546
"	"	Levi Hill & Amos Patridge	516, 541. 596
"	"	Martin Little	518, 524
"	"	John Beigler	564
"	"	John E. Epps	564
"	"	Richard Reed & Henry Decker	568
"	"	Isaac Wooley Et, als.	570, 580
"	"	Richard Reed	571, 576, 639, 643 647
"	"	Hezekiah Smith	571, 576, 645, 647
"	"	Isaac Wooley Et, als.	570. 577
"	"	Sam'l Covey	575, 580
"	"	Hez'h Smith & Henry Decker	576. 635, 639
"	"	Beigler & Epps	595
"	"	On compl't of Sarah Jane Ellis	vs Peter Morman 609

See page after U for remainder of State cases

Plaintiff, Defendant
Uncapher Isaac vs Thos R, Price 34.96 ☞ See Dumbleton Price
Urbana Man. Co. vs Isaac Jr. Kahler 416

Scofe
Smith
Sheriff
Smear
Seevers
Stough
Silver
Sager
Storm
Storm
St John
Sausa
Sharp
Spence
Smith
Savage
Stirho
Strubl
Shelde
Sand
Strapp
Sidle
Sharp
Shecto
Sager
Snodd
Swan
Shotz
Schepp
Silver
Sharp
Sullive
Sheldon
Shower
Stadle
Smith
Shisler
Sage
Strub

Scofield & Scofield vs Bowersmith & Duvall 324, 345, 374, 398, 482
Smith Shelden " Joshua Judy 324, 465, 554
Sheriff's allowance for 1858, 326, 477, 647
Smart William vs G.W. Coats et als, 338
Seevers Augustus T, vs G.W. Barley wife 340, 417, 448
Stoughton Saml. vs Wm & John Crotty 341
Silver David H. vs Daniel C. Rupel 345, 385 384, 509
Sager David vs Clark Richey 346
Storm Ed H, als, vs Dan'l Guy 363
Storm Edward Nat'n papers granted 388
St John Michael " " " 388
Sansay Joseph " " " 390
Sharp A. Guardian &c vs Reuben Cook 508
Spencer R. S. vs S. F. Foster et, als, 412, 474, 481
Smith David Nat'z papers granted to 410
Savage Morgan vs A.R. Bowen wife 451, 457, 498, 528, 556, 631
Stirhoff John Naturalization of 458
Strubl John Nat'z. of 464
Shelden Smith vs Joshua Judy et als 465, 554
Sanders Sam'l R. vs Benj'a Pierce 474
Strapp Walter Appl. for first papers 481 431
Sidle & Vansant Exrs. &c. vs. John Moler wife 480
Sharp A. vs C. Grubbs 496
Shecter Henry vs Margaret Shecter et, als, 514, 562, 603
Sager Christian vs Fred. M. Sager et als, 518, 529
Snodgrass Robt. Tavern License granted to 518
Swan J.R. vs J.R. Larson 530
Shotz Laurence Nat'n of. 535
Schepper Jacob Nat'n of 535
Silver D.H. vs Catharine Burton et als, 540
Sharp Aylett vs Chris. Grubbs 548
Sullivant M.L. vs Moses P. Rice 554
Sheldon Hoyt & Co. vs Chapman Baldwin & Co. 558
Shower Adam wife vs Jacob Beem 573
Stadler & Brothers vs Rawson Welsh 578
Smith J. & Lewis J. vs Sarah Ann McCawley 581, 597, 599
Shisler Wm vs Mort. Winegardner 604
Sager Adam vs I.I. Snavely wife 617
Strubb & Smith vs E.Y. Richey & Levi Lyons 619

Vansant & Sidle Exrs &c, Vs John Mohler & wife 480

The Sc

The Sc

The State of Ohio	vs	Emanuel Brown	628
Same	on complt vs	Saml Osborn	628
The State of Ohio	vs	Wm H. Cartmell	628
"	"	vs Wm Roberts	627
"	"	vs A. B. Roseboom	633
"	"	vs John P. Bauer	635, 637, 64243
"	"	vs A. R. Bowen	636

Plaintiff		Defendant	
Willcutt Charles L	vs	Miller & Gray	9, 95
Walke Henry A.	"	Susan V. Walke	15. 70, 100
Woods W. W.	"	R. L. Broome	16
Wheeler Fred,	"	Thos A. Shelden	18, 74, 122, 189. 201, 242
Williamson Geo. L.	"	Saml S. Smith	19
Wingfield Felix G.	"	Joshua Judy	20
Walke Wm	"	Henry A. Walke & als	24, 59
Wapon Thornton Admr	vs	Jacob Bowersmith	25, 73, 121, 194. 221, 245, 295
Wells James M.	"	Mary Hensel	25, 73
Woodson Thos	"	Jas G. Ford	25. 73. 148, 165
Woods Ina	"	C. W. Rosette 31	(357. 372, 387. ~~443~~, 453
Westlake Wm	"	A. Hamilton ~~Dec~~	40. 74, 122, 157. 201, 245, 295, 344
White Diantha Admx	"	Saml Harriett Admr of Wm Ward	50, 76, 124. 160, 207, 263, 324
Wells J. J. & B. Woods Admrs	"	Jane White	50, 76, 125, 161, 207. 263. 325 349. 476 (583, 636) 517
Woods S. & Son	"	S. G. Strong	50. 77, 125. 182, 207, 263, 325. 349. 476
Woodbery D. J. & Co	"	Geo Bowersmith & als.	90
Welsh & Judy	vs	Chas Breedlove	123
Woods W. W. & als.	vs	Thomas Riley	123. 172
Wiswell Daniel	vs	Elihu Phelps & others	129, 158, 202. 246, 296
Woodbery D. J. & Co,	vs	H. J. King	132
Wheeler Geo W.	vs	Richard Wheeler	145. 174, 202. 251
Watson Elkanah	vs	Robt Crafty & A. Gofage	177, 207
Walke Wm Jun,	vs	Susan V. Walke & als	189, 205. 252, 298 (437, 447
Wood David	vs	D. B. Lockwood & ano	193, 204, 236. 249, 297. 363, 373, 392
Woodworth A. A, Admr &c	vs	John Perry	193. 205. 266, 466
Wapon Thornton	vs	Wm Sands	206, 256. 292
Wheeler Fred	vs	N. Raymond	218
Welch Bill		License to Keep Tavern	227
Weston Luther		License to Keep Tavern	228
Williams Williams	vs	E. M. Baley & als	233, 270
Wapon M	vs	Bill Welch	240, 267. 315, 358
Wilson Jas & al, Admrs	vs	Jas Tullington	261, 272
Wynegar Peter	vs	James H. Langstaff	262, 318
Wygle Cyrus	vs	W. B. Dunfee	281, 358, 363
Welch D. D.	"	vs Robert Evans	282, 309. 329, 352, 374
Wyles N. P. & wife	"	E. & C. E. Hathaway	286, 331
Woods W. W		vs J. N. Lumbard & al	305
~~Welsh D. D.~~		~~vs Robert Evans~~	~~309~~
Washington Virginia	vs	Saml Scott	337
Wilkins A. J.		Tavern license granted to	337
Williamson George J	vs	George Stewart & als.	339. 368, 392, 477, 492. 542, 603
Woodbery D. J. & Co	vs	A. C. Robinson & Clark Ritchey	346
" Same		vs Clar A. C. Robinson	347. 567
Same	"	J. D. & J. M. Robinson	348
Welsh D. D.	vs	Harvey Steels	349
Williams & Welsh	vs	Welsh & Welsh	364

Wheeler Frederick vs Elihu Phelps 364. 374. 392, 406. 438
Williams Elizabeth vs W.E. Osborne Et. als. 372. 396, 438. 481. 492, 542. 596
Wilson Hugh Application to become a cit 386
Wilson L.O. vs W.O. Carter Adm. &c. 394
Welsh Jas. M. appt. Auctioneer of U.C. 394
Walker Priscilla &c vs John Epps 399.
Wiley Shff appt. Special master Com. 423
Wilson Andrew vs Austin Sharp 427
Wood & Reed vs Isaac Johnson 433, 472, 517, 525
Welsh D. D. vs Amos Arrahood 439
Wiley A. Shff. Dept. appointed for 439
Wheeler & Barnet vs Calvin F. Hays 446, 470
Wiley Shff. Allowance for 477, 647
Woolford Elija vs H. Crist Et. als, 504, 554
Welsh David D. vs Harvey & Simeon Skeels 509
Woodworth A.A. Admr &c vs J.S. Alexander & Sam. J. Foster 512
Wilson Jas. M. vs Chas. Stevens 520, 564
Wertheimer Marks & Co. Reigelman & Wilkins 527
Widdefield & Wohn vs Manchester & Lintz 526
Wheeler Marshall vs David Wood 530
Williams F. M. vs C.R. Bowen 532
Welsh D.D. vs Harvey Skeels 536
Wilson Wm D. vs R.L. Broome Et. als, 539
Same vs Elias Lewis Et. als, 540
Welsh D.D. vs Wm Hildreth 540, 595
W.W. Woods vs Riley & Long 556. 646 644
Wood & Reed vs I.C. Johnson Et. als, 564, 599
Woods W.W. vs R.C. Clark 578, 632
Wheeler John vs Stanley Bellows 581
Wheeler Semi vs Isaac Harden 558, 625
Woods W.W. vs A.L. Mann & C. Taylor 563
Weiz R.W. Licensed to keep Tavern 591
Wood & Reed vs Clark Mowalter et al, 600
Wells Samantha vs G.W. Wells 602
Wilson Chas vs Wm Hildreth et. als. 609
Welsh D.D. vs Isaac Adams 610
Same vs I.C. Miller et. al, 610
Weller Edward vs Wilson & R.D. Reed 616
Worley D.W. for &c, & others vs Jas Ward 621
Woods W.W. vs S.R. Reed et. als, 645

Plffs.	Dfts.	
Yearsley Robert	vs	Isa A. Robbins & wife 86, 124
" "	"	James O. Carter 107, 124, 158, 203
Yearsley James	"	Mary Scott 130, 157
" "	vs	Wm B. Fowler 130, 158 (584, 597
Young Jas. K	vs	Jno K Brown 256, 323, 345, 395, 484, 498, 511, 581,
Yearsley Robert	vs	Sam'l Wheeler & wife 268, 322
Yeaky Michael	Appt.	&c 280

511.581

Plaintiff	Defendant	
Shevernen John G.	vs. P. B. Cole	25
Zane Isaac Admr.	" Mulvane J. & L Lister	324
Zeller Abia	vs Johnson & Sterling	594

Journal #6

Thursday . April . Firsto A.D. 1856

This day the Court of Common Pleas. within and for the County of Union. and State of Ohio. met pursuant to Law, — Present Benjamin Metcalf, Judge, John L. Porter, Prosecuting Attorney; William H. Robb, Sheriff; and Taber Randall. Clerk.

The Jurors of the Grand Jury being called, came, to wit; Leonard Blisp. Jacob. Burnhams, Isaac Anderson, Benjamin Gamble, Christian Meyers. Lewis D Smith. James Riddle William Thompson. 2d. Joseph. H. Robinson. Mitchell Robinson, Samuel Reed. Joseph Hawn. ~~Robert Turner~~, and Isaac Broderick. Jr regular Jurors and Robert Turner. and G. A. Cassil. talesman.

The Court appointed. Leonard Blisp. Foreman. and the said Grand Jury being duly empanneled. sworn, and charged, retired to their room to deliberate

Absalom Spong. Et. al. vs Hiram Beckley } Dismissed without prejudice Costs paid — No. record —

David Tanner. Guardian of Alva C. Tanner vs William Rachey, Et. al } Partition

Court by Coats & Porter. counsel for petitioner and upon producing the proceedings of the Sheriff. and the report and proceedings of the commissioners hereinbefore appointed, and the same being examined, It is ordered that said proceedings and report be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed, And neither of the parties electing to take said estate at the valuation thereof. as returned by said Commissioners, on motion of the petitioner It is

Thursday April First. A. D. 1856

ordered that said estate be sold at public auction by the Sheriff of said County of Union, according to the Statute in such case made and provided

Jacob Hauser. an alien and native of Westernberg, a free white person, this day came into open Court, and declared, on his solemn oath, that he first arrived in the United States in the month of March. A.D. 1854., and that it is his bona fide intention to become a citizen of the United States, and to renounce forever all allegiance and fidelity to every Foreign Prince, Potentate, State or Sovereignty whatsoever, and particularly to William. King of Wirtemburg

Jeremiah Ruler. an alien and native of Wirtemberg, a free white person, this day came into open Court and declared, on his solemn oath, that he first arrived in the United States, in the month of November A.D. 1853. and that it is his bona fide intention to become a citizen of the United States, and to renounce forever, all allegiance and fidelity to every Foreign Prince. Potentate State or Sovereignty whatsoever, and particularly to William King of Wirtemberg

Lessee of Saml Stokely
vs
John Williams
} Continued

Charles. R. Deming
vs
James M. Snodgrass
} Continued

Tuesday April First A.D. 1856

Martha Baughman
vs
Hiram Baughman
} Divorce

And now came the said Martha Baughman by L.C. Doughty her attorney. and thereupon this cause came on for hearing upon the Petition, and testimony, on consideration whereof the court do find that due notice of the filing and pendency of this petition was given to the said Defendant. according to Law. And the Court do further find that the said Defendant has been wilfully absent from the said petitioner more than three years prior to the filing of said petition it is therefore adjudged and decreed that the marriage relation heretofore existing between the said parties. be and the same is hereby set aside, and wholly annulled, and the said parties wholly released from the obligations of the same - and it is further ordered that the said complainant be restored to her maiden name of Martha Warner - It is further ordered and adjudged that she retain the custody of the infant child. And that the said Defendant pay the costs of this suit within ten days or that execution issue thereon

John Stephen Shider
vs
Thomas Woodson Et als
} Continued

George Shider
vs
Thomas Woodson Et als
} Continued

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the bar of this Court, and presented their bill of indictment against Gillman Wade. and their report - and there being no further business before them they were discharged

Tuesday. April 1st A.D. 1856

Walter Gowan
against
Henry Foy

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and. thereupon came a jury: to wit: William Sayre. Jacob. Gibson, George Rickard. L.G. Beardsley. John McDowal, R.I. Smith. James Hoover. Arah Gleason. W H. Storms, George Coder. regular Jurors. and Adam Richey & John Epps. talesman. who being empanneled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the parties. upon their oaths do say that the said Henry Foy doth owe the said Walter Gowan the sum of fifty cents, and they assess the damages for the detention thereof at fifty cents — Therefore it is considered that the said Walter Gowan recover. of the said Henry Foy the sum of fifty cents, said debt and damages, and also his costs herein taxed to dollars and cents

James W. Marsh Admr.
vs
William Rice. Et als

Contd under former order.

Jacob. Reed
vs
Henry Baldwin, Admr
of Thomas J. Baldwin

Settled & costs paid
No record.

Columbus Piqua & Ind
Rail Road Company
vs
James Robinson

Continued

Tuesday April 1st A.D. 1856

William Liggett
against
William Satterfield

This day came the said William Liggett by his attys Hamilton & Lincoln, and the said William Satterfield though solemnly called came not but made default; whereupon it is considered that the said William Liggett ought to recover his debt against the said William Satterfield and his damages by reason of the detention thereof — And thereupon neither of the parties requiring a jury, and the court being fully advised in the premises, do find that the said William Satterfield doth owe to the said William Liggett the sum of sixty five dollars & Eighty Six cents — Therefore it is considered that the said William Liggett recover of the said William Satterfield the sum of $65, 86/100 said debt, and also his costs herein taxed to dollars and cents

Alandras. Darrow, admx of
Anson Darrow, decd
vs
Luther Weston & wife

Pet on Note & Mortgage

On motion of the said Alandras Darrow. admx of Anson Darrow. decd by his Attys. Cole & Porter and the said Luther Weston & wife still failing to answer or demur to plaintiffs petition, and the court being fully advised in the premises do find that their is due the plaintiff as such, admx on the note in said petition. set forth the sum of three hundred and forty one dollars, and the Court further find that there is a dower lien on the premises in said petition described, in favor of Anna. M. Darrow. widow of Anson Darrow. decd to the amount of thirty eight & 25/100 dollars annually, commencing on the 6th

Tuesday April 1st A.D. 1856

day of May 1853 - It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendants Luther Preston & wife the said sum of $341.00 and also his costs taxed at
And that the said Anna M Darrow recover of Defendant her dower found due May 6th 1855 with costs of suit — And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the defendants fail for five days from the rising of this court to pay to plaintiff the sum so adjudged as aforesaid - and also said dower of said Anna M Darrow found due may 6th 1855 - as aforesaid with costs, then that an order issue to the Sheriff of Union County commanding him to sell said Land in said petition described according to Law, subject to the said Dower right and apply the proceeds of said sale to the satisfaction of said dower found due as aforesaid, and to the satisfaction of plaintiffs Judgment, and the remainder of the proceeds of said sale be brought into court subject to its further order; and this cause was continued

X	Standish Colver against John S. Kohl	On execution returned to this term — Sale to Standish Colver.

The court this day carefully examined the proceedings and Sale of the Sheriff upon the execution issued herein as required by laws, and are satisfied of the legality of said sale, and that the same is in all respects made in conformity to law, and do order the sheriff to make the purchaser a deed. & this cause is continued ~~the plaintiff by his counsel. Hamilton & Lincoln made a motion to the Court to allow judgment to be entered against the defendant~~

Tuesday April 1st A.D. 1856

~~On the record note set forth in the petition, and also to order that the residue of the money arising from the sale of the property after paying the former judgment in this case, be applied to the payment of said record note and the judgment thereon, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, considered that the plaintiff ought to recover his damages in the premises, and the Court assess the damages to One hundred and ninety seven 2/100 dollars. Therefore it is considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant his damages aforesaid assessed, and also his costs. herein expended taxed to dollars and cents~~

~~And it is also ordered That the money remaining after the payment of the first judgment in this case, be applied to the payment of the judgment herein this day rendered~~

John Shirk
vs
Orrin Perry

Petition on Note & Mortgage

And now comes the said John Shirk by D.S. Doughty his attorney - and the said Orrin Perry still failing to demur or answer to the said petition - It is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises, and the Court with the consent of the said plaintiff. find that there is due from the defendant to the said plaintiff on the note in the said petition described the sum of one hundred and twenty three dollars and twenty cents — It is therefore considered by the said Court that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum of $123.20/100 the sum so found due as

Tuesday April 1st A.D. 1856

aforesaid, and also his costs taxed at dollars — and it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant fails for ten days from the close of this term of the Court, to pay to the said plaintiff the sum of One hundred and twenty three dollars and twenty cents, so as aforesaid found due, with costs of suit, an order issue to the Sheriff of said county commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised, advertised, and sold according to Law, and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of said judgment so as aforesaid rendered

x	Expa Reed Et als vs George Manchester Et als	Continued under former order
x	Charles L. Wilcut vs Miller & Gray	Continued at costs of defendants Judgment for costs
x	Col Pag & Ind R.R. Company vs Wm Orr	Continued
x	Col Pag & Ind R.R. Company vs David Holycrop	Continued

Tuesday April 1st A.D. 1856

x	Col. Prg & Ind R.R. Company vs John Mitchell	Continued
x	Col. Prg & Ind R.R. Company vs Benjamin Pinkham	Continued
x	Col. Prg & Ind R.R. Company vs Squire Deland	Continued
x	Col. Prg & Ind R.R. Company vs Charles Converse	Continued
†	Thomas Little. Et als vs George Rule	Continued
†	Sarah Owen vs James G. Ford	Continued. with leave granted to amend pleadings
†	William Ramsey vs Robert Brown	Continued with order to A.F. Wilkins to make a survey of the Land

Tuesday. April 7th A.D. 1856

X	S. W. Smith vs John Conner	Rule on plaintiff for costs in ten days. and cause continued
✓	Amos Beach vs John. M. Keith	Confirmation On motion of counsel for petitioner this cause was submitted on the papers of the Sheriff & the Court finding the sale and proceedings of the Sheriff in all respects in conformity with Law, do approve and confirm the same and direct the Sheriff of said County to execute to the said purchaser a deed in fee simple for said real estate and the court do find the entire purchase money to be $146.00, and the costs amount to $16.27 and the amount of the debt $146.00 leaving a ballance due the plaintiff against the defendant on said Judgment of the sum of $17.54 after the deduction of said costs by the Sheriff to meet the same
✓	Jasper. R. Convers vs Lorenzo. L. Convers	Confirmation On motion of counsel of petitioner this cause was submitted on the papers and the court finding the proceedings of the Sheriff under the order of sale made in this case in all respects in conformity with Law, do approve and confirm the said proceedings and order the Sheriff to make a deed in fee simple to said purchaser of said land and the court further order that the costs of this

Tuesday April 1st 1856

proceeding including an attorney fee of one hundred dollars to James M. Robinson be paid by the parties as follows,
Lorenzo L. Converse 1/8 — James G. Converse 1/8
Hester A. Convers 1/8 — Henry S. Convers 1/8
Anna P. Converse 1/8 — Kilbourne Convers 1/8
Jasper R Converse 1/8 — Jasper R. Convers Jr 1/8
and ballance of said purchase money after the deduction of said costs, be paid to said Convers in the same proportion that the costs above are proportioned

Alexander C. Robinson
vs
Michael Weber
Dorothy Weber
John Weaver
} Confirmation &C

This cause came on to be heard and the court do find the statements of the amended petition to be true and find the amount due John Weaver is one hundred and six dollars & fifty cents which is a lien prior to the plaintiffs claim, and ought to be paid out of said purchase money — and the court having examined the proceedings of the sheriff under and by virtue of the order of sale, and findings all of the same to be in conformity with Law — do approve and confirm the same and order the Sheriff of Union County, Ohio to execute to the said purchaser a deed in fee simple for said Land, and it is further ordered that the Sheriff out of said purchase money pay the costs of this proceeding and pay said claim of John Weaver and the ballance amounting to $67.93 be paid to plaintiff leaving $23.40 of said debt unpaid —

amounting to $25.57

Thereupon the Court adjourned until to-morrow morning at 8 O'clock

Ben. Wiseley.

Wednesday April 2nd A. D. 1856

This day the Court met pursuant to adjournment — present same officers as on yesterday —

William Drew
vs
Robert S. Drew

Continued with leave to the plaintiff to amend by the 1st Monday in May and Defendant to answer by the 3d Monday of May

Thomas McDonough
vs
Harvey Skeels

This day came the said Thomas McDonough by his Attys. Coats & Porter, and the said Harvey Skeels, came not but made default, and the court being fully advised in the premises consider that the said Thomas McDonough ought to recover his damages by reason of the premises, and neither party requiring a jury the court assess the same to one hundred and eighty one dollars & forty five cents — Therefore it is considered that the said Thomas McDonough recover of the said Harvey Skeels the said sum of one hundred & eighty one dollars & forty five cents his damage aforesaid, in form aforesaid assessed and also his costs taxed at dollars

State of Ohio
vs
Gillman Wade

On motion of John L Porter Prosecuting attorney, Geo Sinnah was appointed to assist in the prosecution of this case, by the Court

Wednesday April 2nd A.D. 1856

Henry C. King
vs
Henry Massie Etals } Confirmation

On motion of counsel for petitioner this cause was submitted on the papers and the court finding the proceedings in all respects regular & in conformity with Law do confirm the same & order the said Henry Massie to hold the 750. acres set off to him in severalty & the court having examined the proceedings of the Sheriff under the order of sale of the last term of this court, and the same being found in all respects in conformity with Law do approve and confirm the same and order the sheriff of said county to execute and deliver to said purchaser of said 250 acres a deed in fee simple—

And it being made to appear to the satisfaction of the court that there is a tax lien on said survey of land. to the sum of $529.54 in favor of the defendant Henry Massie under a tax sale made on the 2nd monday of December 1828. of which, the sum of three hundred and Eighty two dollars & thirty two cents, (being the one fourth of said sum) remains a lien in favor of said Massie on the said 250 acres sold as aforesaid— It is therefore ordered that out of the second installment the said purchaser retain $382,32 to meet the said tax lien of said Massie on said 250 acres of land and the ballance of said purchase money to wit $617.68, after payment by the Sheriff out of said purchase money, of the cost apportioned at the last term between all the parties hereto, and the payment of the costs made herein since the last term of this court, by each one in proportion to his or her interest in said 250

Wednesday April 2nd A.D. 1856

acres. be paid over by the Sheriff as follows, to wit: To James & Elizabeth Dunlap the 1/2.— To Henry Clay King the 1/8— To John E King 1/8— To John L. King & his wife Sophia S. King 1/8— and to Nancy Elizabeth King 1/8—

State of Ohio
vs
Gilman Wade

This day the following persons, to wit: Edward Powers, Thomas Applin, A.H. Jennings, Jackson Wade & Daniel Wolford, were recognized severally in the sum of One hundred dollars, to appear at the next term of this court— and not depart without Leave, as witnesses against the Defendant in the above case,

John Doe Ex Dem
Adam Shower Et als
vs
John E. Atwood. Et als

Deposition of Elijah McClanahan were quashed & cause Continued

John Shortleg
vs
Adam M. Blackburn

Continued

Henry A. Walke
vs
Susan V. Walke

Continued

Wednesday. April 2nd A.D. 1856

√ W.W. Woods vs R.L. Broome — Dismissed at plaintiffs costs Judgment for costs,

√ Matilda A. Gamble vs Benjamin Gamble — Dismissed at Defendants costs

√ Lucus Lowe vs Moses P. Rice — Settled as per agreement on file -

Hiram Benton vs Bell & Del R.R. Co. — Continued

√ D.G.G. Adams vs Wm Scott & John Scott — Sent-

This day came the plaintiff, by his attorney, and the said defendants though solemnly called, came not, but made default, whereupon it is considered that the plaintiff ought to recover his damages in the premises, and neither party requiring a jury, the court assess the damages aforesaid to $916. dollars — Therefore it is considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant his damages aforesaid, assessed, and also his costs herein expended. taxed to dollars cents

Notice of appeal given in the above case by defendant.

Wednesday. April 2nd A.D. 1856

Bowler & Ewings
vs
Dames. Roberts

Debt

And now comes the said Bowler & Ewing, and the said Dames Roberts having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said Bowler & Ewing, It is considered that the said Bowler & Ewing ought to recover the said sum of one hundred dollars so demanded in their petition together with the sum of one dollar and ten cents, which the court finds due as the interest thereon, as prayed for in said petition — It is therefore considered that the said Bowler & Ewing recover against the said Dames Roberts the said sum of ($101.10) One hundred and one dollars and ten cents together with his costs in and about their suit, in this behalf expended, taxed to dollars and cents

Smith Broown
vs
George Hill &
John Baker.

Debt

And now comes the said Smith Broown and the said George Hill and John Baker having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said Smith Broown it is considered that the said Smith Broown ought to recover the said sum of one hundred dollars so demanded in their petition, together with the sum of fourteen dollars and ten cents, which the court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition, it is therefore considered that the said Smith Broown recover against the said George Hall & John Baker the said sum of $114.10 together with his costs herein expended, taxed to

Wednesday April 2nd A.D. 1856

Frederick Wheeler
vs
Thomas. A. Sheldon

Petition on Note & Mortgage

This day came the said Frederick Wheeler. and the said Thomas. A. Sheldon having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said ~~Booster & Ewing~~ ~~it~~ Frederick Wheeler. it is considered that the said Frederick Wheeler ought to recover the sum of Two hundred and Eighty seven dollars demanded in the petition, which the court finds due as prayed for in said petition, it is therefore considered that the said Frederick Wheeler. recover. against the said Thomas. A. Sheldon the said sum of two hundred and Eighty Seven dollars. together with his costs in this cause expended taxed to dollars

And it is ordered that the premises in the petition described be sold by the Sheriff and, also, that the Sheriff return the proceeds into court and retain in his hands a sum sufficient to pay a note for two hundred dollars with the interest thereon, said note falling due in April 1857. and fully set forth in the petition

Philander Manchester &
William. H. H. Titus
vs
Melton Culver

Civil Action

Defendant has leave to file amended & additional answer. within twenty days and this cause is continued, at the costs of the defendant— It is therefore considered that the defendant pay the costs of this term within twenty days and that in default thereof that execution issue therefor,

Wednesday. April 2d A.D. 1856

George S. Williamson
vs
Samuel S. Smith
} Civil Action

This cause came on to be heard, upon submission to the court, neither party requiring a jury, and was argued by counsel, and the court being fully advised in the premises do find that the said plaintiff is seized in fee simple of the premises, so as aforesaid demanded in manner and form as the said plaintiff hath in his petition set forth, That the said defendant does unlawfully keep the said plaintiff out of the possession of said premises, and they assess the damages of the plaintiff at one cent, It is therefore considered that the said George S. Williamson do recover against the said Samuel S. Smith the premises in the petition described, and also the said sum of one cent his damages so as aforesaid assessed, and also his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed to

dollars and cents

And thereupon came the said Samuel S. Smith by Abeyo Hamilton & Lincoln his Attorneys, and made application to the court here for the valuation of improvements and assessment of damages under the Statute for the relief of occupying claimants, and the Court having considered of the same are of opinion that the said Samuel S. Smith is entitled thereto: whereupon it is ordered that further proceedings may be had in the premises agreeably to the provisions of said Statute

Wednesday April 2nd A. D. 1856

John A McCreight
vs
T. M. Winget
Debt

And now comes the said John A. McCreight, and the said T. M. Winget having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said John A. McCreight ~~It is considered that the said John A. McCreight~~ it is considered that the said John A. McCreight, ought to recover the said sum of $101.10. which the court finds due as prayed for in said petition, it is therefore considered that the said John A. McCreight recover against the said T. M. Winget the sum of $101.10, (with ten per centum interest) together with his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended, taxed to dollars and cents

James Martin
vs
Col. Pac & Ind.a R.R. Company

On motion the plaintiff has leave to file his amended petition & it is filed accordingly & errors in process are waived by the parties & the defendant has leave to answer and cause continued

Felix G. Wingfield
vs
Joshua Judy Et als

Dismissed at the cost of defendants without prejudice
Judgment for costs. — No record

Wednesday April 2nd A.D. 1856

Ephraim Means
vs
John Walker &
Elejabeth Walker.

Confirmation

This day came the plaintiff and the Sheriff having made report of a sale of the mortgaged premises described in the petition & order of sale — and the court having carefully examined the proceedings of said Sheriff is satisfied, & find, order & adjudge that said sale has been in all respects made in conformity to the law in such case made and provided, and do direct the Clerk to make an entry on the Journal that the court is satisfied of the legality of said sale, and do order & adjudge that the said Sheriff of Union County make to the purchaser. Edwin Morton, a deed of conveyance in fee simple for the land & tenements so sold

Francis C. Sepions &
Lovett B. Harris
vs
Thomas Jones,

And now came the said parties by their attorneys, and thereupon, this action came on for trial, on the answer of the said defendant, and the evidence, and was argued by counsel, on consideration, whereof the court do find that the several matters and things contained in the answer of the said defendant are true, as the said defendant hath in his said answer alleged. It is therefor considered that the said defendant go hence without day, and recover of the said plaintiffs his costs in and about his suit in their behalf expended taxed to dollars and cents, also an attorney fee of five dollars

Wednesday April 2nd A.D. 1856

X

Joseph McCarson
vs
George Bowersmith

This day came the said Carson plaintiff and the said George Bowersmith and Catherine Bowersmith his wife having failed to demur or answer to the petition it is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the said sum of $1000 so demanded in the petition together with the sum of $150. which the Court find due as the interest thereon, as prayed for in the said petition: and the Court further find that the said mortgaged premises described in the said petition is subject to the payment of the said money so found due, and that the right & interest of both the said George and Catherine Bowersmith in the said premises, so mortgaged are subject and liable to be sold upon execution at law; and their right of redemption therein be thereby forever foreclosed and barred —

It is therefore considered that the said plaintiff Joseph M Carson recover against the said George Bowersmith the said several sums amounting in the aggregate to the sum of one thousand, one hundred and fifty dollars ($1150) together with his costs in and about this ~~suit~~ in this behalf expended taxed at dollars and cents And it is further considered that the said mortgaged premises aforesaid be first sold as upon execution at Law, as to the rights of both the said George Bowersmith, and Catherine Bowersmith, therein; and that in case the said money arising from such sale be not sufficient to satisfy the judgment herein entered, the balance & residue thereof be made by execution against the said George Bowersmith as in other cases at Law

Wednesday April 2nd A. D. 1856

1 | Joel B. Johnson + wife vs Andrew Thompson | Civil Action

This cause came on to be heard on demurrer to the Petition Whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that the demurrer be and is sustained, and thereupon at the request of the Counsel for Plaintiffs this cause is dismissed without prejudice, and without record at the costs of the plaintiff, — Therefore it is considered and adjudged that the plaintiffs pay the costs of this suit taxed at dollars within ten days or that in default thereof that execution issue therefor

2 | Joel Bradley + wife vs Andrew Thompson | On Demurr

This cause came on to be heard on demurrer to the petition Whereupon it is ordered and adjudged that the demurrer be and is sustained, and thereupon at the request of the Counsel for plaintiffs this cause is dismissed without prejudice, and without record at the costs of the plaintiff — Therefore it is considered and adjudged that the plaintiffs pay the costs of this suit taxed at dollars, within ten days or that in default thereof execution issue therefor

3 | Jacob Hawk vs W. G. Clark, S. G. Strong Adm'r Heirs + Others | Refered to D. W. Robinson ~~and cause continued~~ to State an account between the parties, to take testimony to prove the allegations in the petition and cause continued

Wednesday April 2nd A.D. 1856

✓	Moses P. Rice vs Lucius Lowe	Settled as per agreement on file.
†	Wm Walker vs Henry A. Walker Et als	Continued
†	Curtis Kempton vs Hannah Kempton	Continued
†	Julian Sannells vs John Sannells	Continued
†	Cornelius Sidle vs Wm. T. Leacock	Continued
†	James Liggett & Abner Liggett vs Walter G. Clark Et als	Referred to J. W. Robinson, ~~and Cause continued~~ to state an account between the parties, to take testimony to prove the allegations in the petition & cause continued
†	Wm Orr vs John C. Mitchell for A. R. Mitchell	Continued

Wednesday April 2nd A.D. 1856

✓	Gustavus A. Capie & Mary Pickett Exec[rx] of Rodney Pickett vs James S. Alexander	Settled and costs paid — No record
✓	Thomton Wasson. Admr. &c vs Jacob. Bowersmith	Continued
✓	John G. Groomer vs P. B. Cole	Dismissed at costs of plaintiff — Judgment for costs — No record
✓	James W. Wells vs Mary Hensel	Continued
✓	John Doe Ex Dem Woodson vs James G. Ford	Continued with leave to amend pleadings —
✓	Adam Boake vs Robert Welsh	Settled as per agreement on file —
✓	George Rule vs Thomas Woodson Et als	Continued with leave to amend pleadings

Wednesday April 2nd A.D. 1856

	James G. Ford vs Thomas Woodson Et als	Continued with leave to amend pleadings
	David H. Silver vs Elisha Wright	Continued
✓	W. H. Skinner vs Harvey Skeels	Settled and costs paid — No record —
✓	David D. Beard by his next friend W. H. McClorg vs Thomas L. Hains	Settled and costs paid No record —
✓	James Draper vs Joshua Judy	Settled and costs paid No record
✓	State of Ohio vs Philip Mackley and others	Settled and costs paid — No record —
	David Rayner vs Ezekiel Clement & Henry Clement	Settled and costs paid — No record —

Wednesday April 2nd A.D. 1856

Joseph Marshall
vs
Edward Gregg & others } Confirmation

The court this day having examined the proceedings of the Sheriff and the sale by him made, upon the order of sale made in this case, and being satisfied that the same are in all respects in conformity with Law — do order the same to be confirmed, and direct the Sheriff Wm H Robb to execute and deliver to said purchaser a deed in fee simple for said land sold in this case to James Garner

Wm Graham
vs
Cyprus Beard &
Josiah Beard } Petition

This cause came on to be heard on motion of counsel for plaintiff, no one appearing for defendants and neither party demanding a Jury this cause was submitted to the court and thereupon the court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition to be true and find the amount due from the defendants Cyprus Beard and Josiah Beard ~~(the Sheriff having returned out found as to Josiah Beard)~~ the sum of five hundred and twenty ~~eight~~ one dollars & eight cents to the plaintiff —

It is therefor ordered and considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendants Cyprus Beard and Josiah Beard the said sum of five hundred & twenty ~~eight~~ one dollars and eight cents. and the costs of suit taxed to dollars

Wednesday April 2d A.D. 1856

Philander Manchester & Wm. H. H. Litts, partners in name of Manchester & Litts vs Samuel Judy — Petition

This cause came on to be heard, on motion of counsel for petitioner, no one appearing for defendant, and was submitted to the court, neither party demanding a jury, and the court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition to be true & the amount due the plaintiffs from defendant to be the sum of One hundred & thirty dollars and ninety five cents. It is therefore considered and ordered that the plaintiffs recover of defendant, said sum of One hundred and thirty dollars and ninety five cents found due as aforesaid & the costs of suit taxed at dollars

Philander Manchester & Wm. H. H. Litts, partners in name of Manchester & Litts vs Jonas Frigley — Petition

This cause came on to be heard, on motion of counsel for petitioner no one appearing for defendant, and was submitted to the court, neither party demanding a jury, and the court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition to be true & the amount due the plaintiffs from defendant to be the sum of one hundred and thirteen dollars and fifty one cents — It is therefore considered and ordered that the plaintiff recover of defendant, said sum of one hundred and thirteen dollars and fifty one cents, found due as aforesaid & the costs of suit taxed at dollars

Wednesday April 2nd A.D. 1856

/ Philander Manchester & Wm. H. Titus, partners in name of Manchester & Titus vs C. W. Smith & E. G. Smith } Petition

This cause came on to be heard, on motion of counsel for petitioners, no one appearing for defendant, and was submitted to the court, neither party demanding a jury, and the court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition to be true & the amount due the plaintiffs from defendant to be the sum of one hundred and two dollars and sixty five cents. —— It is therefore considered and ordered that the plaintiffs recover of defendant, said sum of one hundred and two dollars and sixty five cents, found due as aforesaid & the costs of suit taxed at dollars and cents

/ Lee & McCreight vs Jonas L Clark } Petition

And now comes the said Lee and McCreight by their attorneys. Hamilton & Lincoln, and the said Jonas L. Clark fails to demur or answer to the said petition, it is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises, and the court with the consent of the said plaintiffs find that there is due from the said defendant to the said plaintiffs on the notes in the petition set forth the sum of seventy nine dollars and twenty nine cents — It is therefore considered by the said court here. that the said plaintiffs recover of the said defendants the sum of

Wednesday April 2nd A.D. 1856

Seventy nine dollars and twenty nine cents. the sum found due as aforesaid, and also his costs taxed at dollars

And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant fails for ten days from the close of this term of court, to pay to the said plaintiff the sum of forty seven dollars and ninety three cents so as aforesaid found due, with cost of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of said County, commanding him to cause the said Lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised and sold according to Law, and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of said Judgment so as aforesaid.

P.B. Coe. Philip Snider & Thomas Stallings. Exr's of James. C. Dynes. Dec'd. vs Joshua Judy Et-als } Civil Action ~~Debt~~

And now comes the said Executors of James. C. Dynes. and the defendants, Joshua Judy, W.W. Woods & D.D. Welsh having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said plaintiffs, it is considered that the said plaintiffs, as such executors ought to recover of the defendants the sum of Seven hundred and thirty six dollars, which amount the court finds due as prayed for in their petition — It is therefore considered that the said executors recover of the said defendants, the sum of Seven hundred and thirty six dollars, found due as aforesaid and also, their costs in this behalf expended taxed at dollars and cents

Wednesday April 2nd A.D. 1856

John A. McCreight vs Byron Irwin } Confirmation of sale

On motion of the said plaintiff by Hamilton & Lincoln his attys and on producing the return of the Sheriff of this county of a sale of real estate made by him on the 23rd day of February A.D. 1856. to R L. Broome on an execution issued in this case and dated the 12th day of January A.D. 1856. and the court on examination of said proceedings, being satisfied that said sale, has been made in all respects in conformity to Law, it is ordered that the said sale and proceedings be and the same is hereby confirmed, and the said Sheriff is ordered to make to the purchaser a deed for the Land and tenements so sold.

John Woods vs Chas W. Rosette } Petition on note and mortgage

And now comes the said John Woods, by his attys Hamilton & Lincoln, and the said Charles W. Rosette failing to demur or answer, to the said petition, it is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises. and the court with the the consent of the said plaintiff find there is due from the said defendant to the said plaintiff on the note, in the said petition set forth the sum of one hundred and three dollars & ten cents — It is therefor considered by the said court here that the said plaintiff recover the sum of one hundred and three dollars & ten cents the sum so found due as aforesaid & also his costs taxed to dollars And it is further ordered and adjudged

Wednesday April 2nd A.D. 1856

that in case the said defendant fails for ten days from the close of this term of the court to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of one hundred and three dollars. and ten cents so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit, an order issue to the Sheriff of said County Commanding him to cause the said Land and tenements in said petition described to be appraised advertised and sold. according to Law and apply the proceeds of said Sale in satisfaction of the said judgment so as aforesaid rendered

√ 3 Griann Morrison & wife vs Hiram Beckley } Confirmation of partition

On motion to the Court by W.P. Reid, counsel for the petitioner and upon producing the proceedings of the Sheriff and also the report and proceedings of the Commissioners herein before appointed and the same being examined it is ordered that said proceedings and report be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed and that the said parties hold in severalty the shares set off and assigned them respectively, by said commissioners. and it is further ordered. that the costs and expenses of this suit. taxed to dollars be paid within twenty days. by the parties together with the attorney fee taxed to fifty dollars, in proportion to their respective shares. Notice of appeal given by ~~defendants~~ attorney - and the court set the appeal bond at two hundred dollars

Wednesday April 2d A.D. 1856

✓ Fayette Ball vs Michael Blue Et al — Confirmation of sale

On motion to the court by Cole counsel for the petitioner and upon producing the proceedings of the Sheriff, and the sale of the premises, by him made in pursuance of the former order of this court in all respects in due form of Law, it is ordered that said proceedings, and sale be and the same are hereby approved an confirmed, and that the said Sheriff execute and deliver to the said purchaser, a deed in fee simple, for the said Lands and tenements by him sold as aforesaid, and it is further ordered that the said Sheriff out of the moneys in his hands pay first the costs and expenses of this suit including an attorney fee to Cole of $35.00 and to Robinson of $15.00, taxed to [illegible] dollars, and that of the residue he pay to the petitioner Fayette Ball an equal twentieth part, amounting $ [illegible] $\frac{50}{100}$, To James K. Ball a like amount of one twentieth — Octavia Clark & Benjamin D Clark her husband, a like sum of one twentieth part — To Florentine Ball widow and Anna B. Ball, daughter of John B Ball dec^{d}, a like sum of one twentieth part — The said Michael Blue one of the defendants also the purchaser, being the owner of sixteen twentieths of the Land, has retained his proportion of the purchase money of the same and did not pay it out, to the Sheriff

✓ State of Ohio vs Gillman Wade — Gillman Wade being arraigned in open court for plea says that he is not guilty of the facts charged, & thereupon made a motion for a continuance of the case & submitted his showing to the court and thereupon a continuance was granted, and the court fixed the amount of his bail bonds at four hundred dollars for his appearance at next term of court and the said Wade failing to give bonds as aforesaid, was remanded to jail

Wednesday April 2nd A.D. 1856

(Isaac Uncapher vs Thomas R. Price } Civil action

On motion of the counsel for defendant this cause is continued, and the plaintiff ordered to give security for costs to the acceptance of the clerk in 20 days, and the said plaintiff has leave to perfect verification, and amend his petition in 20 days

Thereupon this court adjourned until tomorrow morning at 8 o'clock

Ben. McCarey.

Thursday April. 3rd A.D. 1856

This morning court met pursuant to adjournment. Same officers present as on yesterday —

X James W. Robinson
vs
David. M. Robinson Et-als } Partition

This cause came on to be heard upon petition & the court finding the defendants have been duly notified of the filing and pendency of this petition & the court finding the allegations of the petition to be true — It is ordered that by the oaths of Benjamin. A. Fay - John Mitchell and William Winget, one equal third part of the lands in the said petition described be assigned and set off to the said Elizabeth Robinson as her dower estate & if the same cannot be done without manifest injury that the one third part of the rents and profits be assigned her. — And that also by the oaths of the same, Benjamin A Fay. John Mitchell & William Winget partition of said premises be made in the following proportions, subject to said dower, to wit; To James W Robinson 1/8 - David M Robinson 1/8 — John W Robinson 1/8 - Aaron B. Robinson 1/8 - Robert Robinson 1/8 — Joseph Scott Robinson 1/8 — Martha Angeline Robinson 1/8 - and Emily Jane Robinson 1/8 —

And the court further order that a writ of partition issue to the sheriff of Union County, Ohio, commanding him to cause said dower to be assigned, and said partition to be made accordingly & cause continued

Thursday April 3rd A.D. 1856

Philander Manchester & Wm H.H. Titus. partners in name of Manchester & Titus vs Samuel Turner } Cognovit

This day came into Court the plaintiffs by their attorney and filed their petition against the said defendant, and thereupon Geo Lincoln one of the attorneys of this court appeared in open court on behalf of the said defendant & by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed by the said defendant and now produced in open court, waiving the issuing and service of process and acknowledged that the said defendant doth owe the plaintiffs as alleged in their petition, the sum of one hundred dollars and sixty cents, and thereupon it considered and adjudged that the plaintiffs recover of the defendant said sum of one hundred dollars and sixty cents found due as aforesaid, & the plaintiffs costs taxed to dollars & all errors and right of appeal are waived by virtue of said warrant of attorney

D.D. & C. Jones vs James Lee & Wm. E. Lee } motion to revive judgment of $551.45 debt and $6.12 costs rendered in this court on the 19th of September 1848 and which became dormant for want of execution within five years. upon which judgment the court at its October term 1855 conditionally revived, and the court being now satisfied that due notice hath been served upon Wm E. Lee one of the defendants, by copy of said conditional reviver and James Lee being out of the State of Ohio, — It is ordered that

Thursday April 3rd A.D. 1856

the conditional revivor become certain, and said judgment be revived against said Wm E Lee & execution be awarded on said judgment

John Cassil vs Wm Perry — Motion to confirm sale and authorize execution of deed-

The court having at its term 185 confirmed the sale made in this case to Adam Richey and ordered Wm C Malin then Sheriff of Union County, Ohio. to execute a deed to said purchaser for said Land, and the deed never being executed, and the term of his office having expired, and Wm H. Robb being now the Sheriff of said county-

It is therefore ordered that Wm H. Robb Sheriff execute and deliver to said purchaser a deed in fee simple for said land

Wm C. Shields vs Milton Colver & Samuel G. Smith — Judgment of $ 846.45 + costs. at the April Term 1855 against Milton Colver

The court this day having examined the proceedings of the Sheriff and the sale made by him upon the execution issued in this cause and being satisfied that said sale has in all respects been made in conformity to the provisions of the statute in such case made and provided, do order the clerk to make an entry on the journal, that the court are satisfied of the legality of the sale, and that he also enter an order on the sheriff to make to the purchaser, a deed for the lands and tenements so sold all of which is entered accordingly

Thursday April 3d A.D. 1856

Phidander Manchester & Wm H.H. Titus partners in name of Manchester & Titus
vs
Isa. A. Robbins

Cognovit

This day came into court the plaintiffs by their attorney and filed their petition against the said defendant and thereupon Geo Lincoln one of the attorneys of this court appeared in Open court on behalf of the said defendant and by virtue of a warrant of attorney for that purpose executed by the said defendant and now produced in open court waiving the issuing and service of process and acknowledged that the said defendant doth owe the plaintiffs as alleged in their petition the sum of one hundred dollars & sixty cents and thereupon it is considered and adjudged that the plaintiffs recover of the defendant said sum of one hundred dollars and Sixty cents found due as aforesaid and the plaintiffs costs taxed to
dollars & all errors and right of appeal are waived by virtue of said warrant of attorney

A. P. Stone & Co
vs
Yields & Fletcher

Chancery

On motion to the court by counsel for petitioner. Wm H Robb. Sheriff of Union County be and he is appointed a receiver to take possession of the property not yet sold, described in the plaintiffs bill and apply the rent of the same to the payment of the part of said decree which remains yet unpaid— Said receiver to enter into bond with security to the satisfaction of the clerk of this court in the sum of $
& this cause is continued

Thursday April 3d A.D. 1856

Philip Snider vs Charles W. Rosette	This cause came on to be heard on motion of counsel for petitioner & was submitted to the court & it is found there is due as alleged in the petition from defendant to plaintiff the sum of Forty nine dollars—

It is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant said sum of Forty nine dollars together with his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $

Johnathan McCrary vs Rebecca Shumway & James Valentine	Continued with order to A. J. Wilkins. county surveyor to make a survey of the land in controversy

On motion it is ordered that John B Coats be & he is hereby, appointed master commissioner of this court for the legal term of three years, on his giving an undertaking in the sum of One thousand dollars for the faithful performance of the duties of said office! and thereupon the said John. B. Coats gave his undertaking aforesaid, with John Cassil & Adam Richey as his sureties, in the sum of One thousand dollars to the acceptance of the court! and was duly sworn faithfully to perform the duties of his said office of Master Commissioner,

John McClerr vs Michael. M. Brown	On motion the appeal is quashed and Judgment of Justice reversed

Thursday April 3d A.D. 1856

Wm Westlake
vs
A. Hamilton

Petition

On motion of the defendant for an injunction upon a note not due, described in the defendants answer, thereupon the cause came on to be heard, on consideration whereof it is ordered that an injunction issue &c in the case against the plaintiff disposing of said note not yet due, set forth in the answer of the defendant upon said defendants giving his undertaking to said plaintiff to the acceptance of the Clerk of this court for the sum of $300 and this cause is continued;

Wm Westlake
vs
Alexander Hamilton

Continued

Hamilton Blue
vs
Wm Westlake

Continued

Abram Depp
vs
Isabella Good &
Francis Good

On motion to the court J.W. Robinson Esqr was appointed Guardian ad litem for the infant defendants Isabella Good & Francis E. Good, who thereupon appeared in open court and accepted said appointment

Thursday April 3rd A. D. 1856

James Guy
vs
L & E. Deland

This day came the parties and submitted this cause & the court upon issue joined between the parties, and the court being fully advised in the premises do find that the said L & E. Deland do owe the plaintiff in manner and form as the plaintiff hath compleined against them in the sum of Thirty five dollars, and that plaintiff hath sustained damage by the detention thereof in the sum of one $\frac{25}{100}$ dollars — Therefore it is considered that the said James Guy recover of defendants, L & E. Deland the said sum of thirty five dollars debt and one dollar and twenty five cents damages aforesaid — and also his costs taxed at dollars and — cents

Edward L Nugent
vs
Johnathan Burt

Pet in Error

This cause came on to be heard upon the petition in Error of L. Burt and the transcript and proceeding had before Robert Turner a Justice of the Peace of Paris township, Union County, and was argued by counsel — In consideration whereof it is ordered that the said judgment of said Justice be reversed and annulled with costs, and it is further ordered that execution issue against said Edward L Nugent for the same unless paid in twenty days from the rising of this Court, and the case is continued for trial and final Judgment

Thursday April 3rd A.D. 1856

Abram Depp
vs
Isabella Good &
Frances Good

This day came the plaintiff by P.B. Cole his Atty: and the defendants who are infants appeared by James W Robinson their Guardian ad Litem, who answered said petition — On consideration whereof the court do find as follows — 1st That the Contract in said petition mentioned was made as therein set forth and at the time therein alleged with the said Robert Good and that the same has been fully complied with on the part of said plaintiff and that it ought to be specifically performed on the part of Defendants. — It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed that the said defendants convey to the plaintiff said premises so contracted ~~with~~ by said Robert Good, by deed of general warranty, in ten days from the rising of this court, and in default of said conveyance, that this decree operate as a conveyance of said premises to plaintiff, and to be a good and sufficient title — It is further ordered that the said defendants who are infants as aforesaid have six months after they respectively become of age of majority to contest this decree — It is further ordered that the defendants pay the costs within twenty days from the rising of this court and that in default thereof execution issue therefor as on judgments at Law)

Wm. E. Lee
vs
Joshua Judy

In Chancery
Settled as per agreement on file at plaintiffs costs, & no record
It is therefore ordered & adjudged that the plaintiff pay the costs of this suit within ten days. or that in default thereof execution issue therefor

Thursday April 3rd A.D. 1856

A.L. Scott &
Charlotte Scott his wife
vs
Stephen Sepp
} In Error

This day came the parties by their Attys, and thereupon this cause came on to be heard as well upon the transcript of the Judgments and other proceedings between said parties in the probate court of Union County - as well as upon matters the said Scott & wife assigned for Error, and the same having been seen by the court; now here fully understood - It appears to the Court here that there is no Error either in the record and proceedings, aforesaid, or in giving the Judgment aforesaid - Therefore it is considered that the Judgment aforesaid in form aforesaid given be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect - And it is further ordered that the said Stephen Sepp do recover against the said Scott & wife his cost in this behalf expended taxed to dollars, and that in default of payment of said costs that Execution issue therefor

On matters of allowance it is ordered by the Court that John L Porter. Prosecuting Attorney be allowed the sum of One hundred & Eighty dollars in this Court which is in addition to the allowance made by the Probate Court for the present year -
And it is also ordered that William H Robb. Sheriff. be allowed the sum of one hundred dollars for the present year.

Thursday April 3d A.D. 1856

Richard Davis
vs
Emily D. Swarts Etals

Petition

This cause came on to be heard upon the petition on motion of counsel for plaintiff, no one appearing for defendants, and was submitted to the court and thereupon proof being produced to the court, it is found from the proof, that the defendants David C. Whitsel, Lavina Whitsel & Daniel Whitsel did during the life time of William Swarts execute & deliver to William Swarts a deed in fee simple for the land in said petition described as follows, to wit: all of lot No 2. containing sixty four acres and sixty poles in Survey No 6161. according to a Survey made by Lewis W Sifford on the 1st day of June 1850 which Survey is platted and recorded in the recorders office in said County of Union, to which reference is here made for a full description thereof: and the court further find that said article of agreement mentioned in said petition was made and executed on the part of Wm Swarts for the use and benefit of the plaintiff. and said plaintiff paid the entire purchase money for said land and the deed should have been executed to said plaintiff instead of said Swarts and upon the decease of said Swarts the land descended to the defendants his heirs & widow in trust for the plaintiff and they ought in equity to convey their interest in the same to the plaintiff — It is therefore ordered, decreed and adjudged that the defendants. Emily D Swarts, Margaret Swarts, Elnora Swarts. Theodore H Swarts. Arabel C. Swarts. and Nancy Swarts within ten days release, and convey to the plaintiff their interest in and to said land and in default of the execution of the release — this decree shall operate as such
And it is ordered that the plaintiff pay the

Thursday April 3d A.D. 1856

costs of suit taxed to dollars and in default thereof that execution issue as upon Judgments at Law

John Bland
vs
Samuel Maynard et als

Petition

This day this cause came on to be heard upon motion of counsel for plaintiff, no one appearing for defendants and they being in default, and the cause was submitted to the court, and upon proof the court being satisfied that the allegations of the petition are true, and that the plaintiff is entitled to a deed for the land in said petition described, with covenants of warranty - It is therefore ordered and decreed that the defendants within ten days execute and deliver to the plaintiff a deed in fee simple with covenants of warranty for the Land in said petition described as follows, to wit: being part of Survey N° 2879 beginning at an ash and hickory stump upper corner of said Survey on Dark Creek, thence N 53. E. with the upper line of said Survey 294 poles to two hickorys and an elm, thence S 37. E 55. poles to two hickories and small ash, thence S 53. W 274 poles to the East Bank of Dark Creek, thence up the Creek to the place of Beginning. containing 97½ acres more or less

It is further ordered that in case the defendants do not within ten days from this date execute a deed as herein above required, to the plaintiff, this decree shall operate in all respects as a deed in fee simple conveying the legal title in said land to the plaintiff & it is further ordered that the defendants pay the costs made by the plaintiff in this suit taxed to D within ten days from the rising of this court; and in default thereof that execution issue as upon Judgments at Law

Thursday April 3d A.D. 1856

1 Nicholas Kenner vs John G. Bishops } In Error

On motion of P.B. Cole Esqr counsel for John G. Bishops this cause is dismissed at John G. Bishops costs

It is therefore considered that this cause be dismissed without prejudice, and the said Nicholas Kenner defendant below recover of the plaintiff below. John G. Bishop his costs in this behalf expended taxed to dollars Papers withdrawn & no record

2 Providence Dynes vs Edward Powers et als } Dower

This cause came on to be heard upon the petition and neither of the defendants having answered or demurred. the case was argued by counsel and the court being advised in the premises do find that ~~that~~ the defendants have been duly notified of the filing and pendency of this petition for dower and the petitioner is entitled to dower in the land described in said bill—

It is therefore considered that the said petitioner be endowed of one full and equal third part of the lands in the said petition desribed, and it is further ordered that a writ issue to the Sheriff of the County of Union. commanding him that by the oaths of three judicious. disinterested men of the vicinity who are not of kin to Either of said parties. he cause dower. as aforesaid to be assigned and set off to said petitioner according to the statute in such case made and provided and this cause is continued

Thursday April 3rd A.D. 1856

X	John Doe Ex Dem Robert Good vs Jacob Henderson	Continued
X	Thomas Stellings vs Wm. W. Norton	Referred to the County Surveyor to make survey of the land in controversy and cause continued
X	Sarah A. Green vs George Green	Divorce Continued for service
√	G. A. Cassil vs James M. Reed & Elizabeth Reed	Dismissed at costs of plaintiff Judgment for costs
√	John McCollum vs Benjamin Street & Charles Wilson	Settled at defendants costs. costs paid & no record.
X	Calvin Y. Hays vs John A. Scott	Continued
X	Philander Manchester & Wm. H. H. Titus vs Milton Colver	Continued at costs of defendant. with leave to file amended answer in twenty days

Thursday April 3d A.D. 1856

	Philander Manchester + [illegible] vs Cooydon Winkler	Continued
	Violett Scott vs Samuel Wheeler + Desse Barnett. Exrs of C	Continued for service with leave to amend petition
	Davia Hill vs Mary Hill	Continued
	John Kerau vs Lora. A. Robbins John. C. McAdow + Charles. H. Robbins	Continued
	Manchester & Titus vs Joseph Glasscock	Leave granted to file amended answer. tomorrow & cause Continued
	John. A McCreight vs S. A. Lunks	Settled & costs paid No record
	John. A, McCreight vs Stephen Wringet	Settled at cost of defendant Judgment for costs No record

Thursday April 3rd A.D. 1856

Sabrina Chellis
vs
Johnathan Morrison
} Publication ordered for six weeks & cause continued

Rudolph. De. Steiger
vs
Scioto Wesson Et als
} This cause came on to be heard, and was argued by counsel, & submitted to the court, & continued under advisement,

E.G. Bartram
vs
Byron Irwin
} Confirmation

On motion of the said plaintiff, and on producing the return of the Sheriff of this county of a sale of real estate made by him on the 23d day of February A.D. 1856 to R.L. Broome on an execution issued in this case and dated the 12th day of January A.D. 1856, and the court on examination of said proceeding, being satisfied that said sale has been made in all respects in conformity to law, it is ordered that the said sale and proceeding be and the same is hereby confirmed, and the said Sheriff is ordered to make to the purchaser a deed for the land and tenements so sold.

Thursday April 3 A.D. 1856

	Drantha White. Admx vs Samuel Harriott. admr of William Ward	Chy Continued
	Administrator & E.L. Miller vs Stephen McLain	Chy Continued
	Moses Corwin vs John Organ	Chy Continued under former order
	James. Y. Wells & B. Woods. Admrs vs Dane White	Chy Continued
	S Wood & son vs S.G. Strong	Continued
	Reuben. A. Lamb vs Alexander Cowgill	Continued

Thursday April 3d A.D. 1856

X	Trustees of the Theological Seminary vs George A. Goines Et als	Continued
	James Galloway vs A. S. Alden	Continued
X		
X	Cole & Piper vs Saml Bland	Continued
X	Elnathan Hathaway vs John W. Gibbatts	Continued
X	Finch & Pettibone vs William T. Brophy	Continued under former order
	~~W. M. Starr vs Fleet & Fletcher~~	Continued
X	James W. Crotis vs Francis B. Harriott	Continued

Thursday April 3rd A.D. 1856

X	John W. Andrews Admr of Lyne Starling Et als vs R. P. Mann Et als	Continued
X	Adam Shower & wife vs Benjamin Tappan	Continued with leave to amend answer
X	Richard Cowling vs James Gray Et-als	Continued
X	Thomas Heard vs James McIlroy & Andrew Hemmiger	Continued
X	John H. James vs Norman Reed	Continued under former order
X	John W. Andrews Exr of Lyne Starling vs Hamilton Epps & Epps	Continued

Thursday April 3rd A.D. 1856

X	Powel & Buck vs S. A. Cherry	Continued
X	John Caspil vs Thomas R. Price	Continued

It is ordered by the Court that all cases not otherwise disposed of. be continued, ⟶
Thereupon this Court adjourned

Ben. McCaleb Judge.

Tuesday June 10th A.D. 1856

This day the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Union and State of Ohio, convened in pursuance of law. Present, Ben Metcalf, Judge. Wm H Robb, Sheriff. John L. Porter Prosecuting Atty. and Taber Randall, Clerk

Thomas Beston, an Alien and Native of Ireland, a free white person, this day came into open Court and declared, on his solemn oath, that he first arrived in the United States in the month of A.D. 185 and that it is his bona fide intention to become a citizen of the United States, and to renounce forever all allegiance and fidelity to every Foreign Prince, Potentate, State or Sovereignty whatsoever, and particularly to, Queen Victoria, of Great Brittain

George F. Mottler, an alien, and Native of Wertemburg, a free white person, this day came into open Court, and declared, on his solemn oath, that he first arrived in the United States in the month of A.D. 185 and that it is his bona fide intention to become a citizen of the United States, and to renounce forever all allegiance, and fidility to every Foreign Prince, Potentate, State or Sovereignty, whatsoever, and particularly to the King of Wertemburg

Tuesday June 10th A.D. 1856

Standish Colver
vs
John S Rohl

And now comes the said Standish Colver by Hamilton & Lincoln, his attorneys, and the said John S Rohl failing to demur or answer to said petition it is considered and adgudged that the plaintiff ought to recover the amount due by reason of the premises, and the Court find that there is due from the defendant to the plaintiff on the notes in the said petition set forth the sum of $200.02 ~ It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of Two Hundred dollars and two cents, so found due, and also his costs herein taxed to dollars

Addison Moose
vs
Thomas Seas

This day came the plaintiff by his attorneys, Hamilton & Lincoln, and the defendant, failing to demur or answer to the petition it is considered that there is due to the plaintiff by reason of the premises the sum of $429.50 on the notes in said petition described which sum so found due the court consider the plaintiff recover of said defendant, together with his costs taxed to dollars ~ And it is further ordered that in case the said defendant fails for ten days from the close of the present term of the Court to pay to the plaintiff the sum aforesaid and the costs herein, an order issue to Wm. H. Robb. Sheriff. commanding him to cause said Lands in the petition described to be appraised, advertised and sold, according to Law and apply the proceeds of said sale to the satisfaction of the judgement above rendered

Tuesday. June 10th A.D. 1856

The Juros of the Grand Jury being called came, to wit: William Dailey. Nathan Moffitt, James B Richey. Ambrose Beach. John. S. Gabriel Daniel Rench, William Richey. A. McNeal, John. P. Stout. Christopher Grubb. Hz Noteman, Ingham Wood, regular Juross, and Joseph Powers. S. F. Kinney, and D. D. Welsh, talesman,- The court appointed William Richey, Foreman- and the Jury being duly empanneled, sworn and charged, retired to their room to deliberate-

John Keran
vs
Ira. A. Robbins Et als

This day came the said John Keran, by Porter his attorney and the said Ira. A. Robbins, John C. McAdow, and Charles H Robbins, though called came not but made default.- Whereupon, It is considered that the said John Keran ought to recover his damages by reason of the premises, and neither party requiring a jury, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do assess the damages of the said John Keran to Eighty six dollars & fifteen cents- Therefore, It is considered that the said John Keran recover of the said Ira. A. Robbins, John C. McAdow & Charles H Robbins the said sum of Eighty six dollars & fifteen cents and his costs taxed at dollars

D & W. P. Brooks
vs
Alexander Gandy

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorneys Hamilton & Lincoln and the defendant failing to demur or answer to the petition it is considered that there is due to the plaintiff on the note in the petition described by reason of the premises the sum of $207.35. which sum it is considered the plaintiffs recover of the defendant, together

Tuesday. June 10th A.D. 1856

with their costs taxed to dollars

And it is further ordered that in case the said defendant fails within ten days from the expiration of the present term of the Court to pay the plaintiff the sum aforesaid, and his costs herein, an order issue to Wm H Robb, Sheriff commanding him to cause said Lands in the petition described to be appraised, advertised and sold according to law, and apply the proceeds of said sale to the satisfaction of the judgment herein rendered

Jeremiah Curl
vs
Thomas Turner

Petition

And now comes the said Jeremiah Curl and the said Thomas Turner having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said Jeremiah Curl it is considered that the said Jeremiah Curl ought to recover the sum of $114.$^{30}/_{100}$ so demanded in his petition together with the sum of 14 cents interest the Court finds due ~~as the interest~~ thereon as prayed for in said Petition. It is therefore considered that the said Jeremiah Curl recover against the said Thomas Turner the said sum of 114^{44}/_{100}$. together with his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed at dollars and cents

Tuesday June 10th A.D. 1856

Providence Dynes
vs
Edward Powers Et.als

Dower

On motion to the Court by Counsel for the petitioner and upon producing the proceedings of the Sheriff & the assignment of Dower to the petitioner by him made in pursuance of a former order of the court and the same being examined, — It is ordered that said proceedings and assignment of Dower be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed and the said Providence Dynes, stand endowed of so much of said real estate as is contained within said assignment as described in said petition and therein numbered as Lots No 1st 2d and 3d

And it is further ordered that a writ of seizin issue to the sheriff of said County commanding him to deliver to said Providence Dynes full possession of the premises assigned to her as aforesaid

And it is further ordered that the said Providence Dynes be further endowed in rents and profits of the Land in said petition described to be paid annually on the first day of April of each year so long as she shall live, as follows, to wit: of the rents and profits of Lots in said petition described as Lots 4th $25.80. on Lot 16th $5.16, on Lots 6th. 7th & 8th $15.48, On the part of lot 5, willed to the wife of Wm McClary $20.64. and the part of Lot 5. willed to Nancy Dynes $8.77, on Lots 9th. 10th & 11th $24.76, On lots 12th-13th & 14th- $33.02. and on Lot 15th $1.03. which sums are to remain a lien on each of said lots respectively, and in default of payment when due each year execution shall issue therefor in behalf of said Providence Dynes,

It is further ordered that for her dower in said premises, since the filing of said petition up to the 26th day of April 1856. the said Providence Dynes recover of the rents & profits of said lots as follows to wit: Of lot 2 and $26.25. On lots 4th $12.50. on said lot No.16. $2.50. On said lots 6th. 7th. & 8th $7.50

Tuesday June 10th. A.D. 1856

on the part of said lot 5 willed to Wm McClarey's wife $10.00. On the part of said lot 5, willed to Nancy Dynes $.4.25 On Lots 9th-10th & 11th $12,00, On lots 12th. 13th. & 14th. $16.00, and on lot 15th $0.50. which sums respectively shall be paid to said Providence Dynes by the respective owners of said lots within ten days from date, and in default thereof, it is ordered that execution issue therefor,

It is further ordered that the costs of this suit amounting to $ be paid, the one third by the petitioner and the remaining two thirds be paid by the defendants within ten days and in default thereof that execution issue therefor

William Walke
vs
Henry & Walke, Et ols.

This cause came on to be heard, upon submission to the Court and neither party requiring a Jury, the Court being fully advised do find that the deed of the defendant Anthony Walke, Senr. to Henry & Walke and others was intended and designed by the said Anthony Walke to convey the premises in the petition described to all the children of the plaintiff, William Walke lawfully begotten by his then and present wife whether they were then in being or born at any time thereafter, but that by mistake the deed was so drawn as to convey said premises to the Children of the plaintiff then in being & It is therefore, and by consent of parties ordered, adjudged and decreed that the said deed from Anthony Walke, Senr. be so altered and reformed as to carry out the original intention as herein found, The Court further find that since the execution of said deed there have been born, the following brothers and sisters of the original grantees who are now living and are entitled to share equally with the original grantees, to wit: James Walke, Frances

Tuesday June 10th A.D. 1856

Walke and Mary Walke, all of whom are defendants
hereto ~ It is therefore, and by consent of parties
as aforesaid ordered adjudged and decreed, that
the legal titte to said premises shall be held jointly
by the defendants Henry A. Walke, Susan V Walke,
William Walke Jr. Jane M Walke, Isaac E Walke,
Cornelius C Walke, Arthur C Walke, James Walke,
Frances Walke, and Mary Walke, share and share
alike, subject to the contingency of a future birth
of children, of the plaintiff by his present wife, who
are to share equally with the ten children aforesaid
now living, and are to be joint owners in all of
said premises, in case any such are born

It is further ordered and adjudged by consent
of parties that partition may be had in the case
now pending in this court, wherein Henry A Walke
is plaintiff, and Susan V Walke & others are
defendants so as to set off in severalty the one equal
tenth part of said premisis to be held and enjoyed
by him in fee simple subject however to the interest
of any brother or sister that may be hereafter
born as herein decreed ~ And it is further
ordered and decreed by like consent of parties
that the ballance of said premises shall be set off
in a body to the nine brothers and sisters of the
said Henry A Walke, now living subject to the
birth and claim of any full brother or sister
that may be hereafter born as herein decreed,

And by like consent it is further ordered
and decreed that the crops of all kinds now
in the ground or growing shall belong to and
be peaceably enjoyed by the plaintiff, William Walke
who is not to be divested of his possessory right
to the premises not set apart to the said Henry
A Walke, but he is to hold the same subject to
the claims of the brothers and sisters of the said
Henry A Walke as herein decreed, upon demand
by them ~ It is further ordered that the
costs of this suit be paid as follows, to wit:
the one half by the plaintiff & the other half by
the defendants

Tuesday June 10th. A.D. 1856

James W. Robinson
vs
D.M. Robinson Et als

Petition for partition

On motion to the court by the petitioner, and upon producing the proceedings of the Sheriff, and also the report and proceedings of the commissioners hereinbefore appointed, and the same being examined it is ordered that the report & proceedings be and the same are approved and confirmed, and that the said Elizabeth Robinson be endowed in said real estate as assigned by said proceedings & report to her, to wit: the sum of three hundred & fifty dollars annually so long as she shall live, out of the rents & profits of said real estate, to be paid, the one half on the first of April of each year & the other half on the first day of October of each year, the first payment of one hundred & seventy five dollars on the first of April 1857, & a like sum to be paid every six months thereafter, she having leased the land till the first of April 1857. The same to be a lien on that part of said land lying south of Big Darby creek

And thereupon the said David M Robinson electing to take said Estate at the said valuation of said Commissioners, and having secured the payment to the said James W Robinson, John W Robinson, Aaron B Robinson, Robert Robinson, Joseph S. Robinson, Martha A Robinson, and Emily J Robinson, their respective shares of the appraised value thereof, the said estate is hereby adjudged to the said David M Robinson, and the said Sheriff is ordered to execute a deed in fee simple for the same to the said David M Robinson, according to the statute in such case made and provided,

And the court order the costs of this proceedings to be paid by the said parties to this proceedings in equal parts

Tuesday June 10th. A.D. 1856

The State of Ohio
vs
Gillman Wade

Burglary & Larceny

This day came as well the prosecuting attorney as the dependant Gillman Wade in his own proper person, and thereupon came a jury, to wit: Charles P. Morse, Wm Turner, John B Langstaff James Yeasley, Wm Bird, Johnathan Haines, Thomas Stilings, John Chapman, Regular Jurors, and Wm Hamilton, R. D. Reed, Charles Fullington, John Weaver, Talesman, who being duly empanneled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the parties, upon their oaths do say that the defendant is guilty, and thereupon the said defendant Gillman Wade was remanded to Jail to await his sentence

Manchester & Situs
vs
Milton Colver

On motion of counsel for plaintiffs this cause was continued, Exceptions to depositions of Ben Tucker Jr. sustained, Judgment against the plaintiffs for costs of this term

Wm Ramsey
vs
Robert Broown

This cause settled by agreement at the costs of Defendant
No record
It is therefore ordered that the the costs of suit in ten days thereof that execution issue and in default defendant pay therefor

James W Robinson
vs
Samuel Schoffer &
Joseph H Robinson

This cause is settled by agreement of parties, at the costs of defendant, Samuel Schoffer. No record.
It is therefore ordered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the costs of suit taxed at $

Tuesday June 10th. A.D. 1856

Timothy. H. Edwell
vs
John Douglass +
Wilson Reed

On motion this cause was submitted to the court, neither party demanding a jury, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition to be true and that there is due from the defendant John Douglass, to the plaintiff the sum of one hundred and forty six dollars + twenty eight cents which is a vendors lien on the lot in said petition described — It is therefore ordered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant John Douglass the said sum of one hundred + forty six dollars and twenty eight cents + his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $.

And it is further ordered that the said premises be sold to satisfy said sum of money found due, + which is found to be a vendors lien, by the sheriff of said County if the sum be not paid within ten days + the deed on file to be withdrawn therefore, + delivered to the defendant John Douglass on his demand

Samuel H Ross
vs
Andrew Reed, Et als.

It appearing by proper affidavit that the names + residence of some part of the defendants are unknown to the plaintiff + on motion it is ordered that the plaintiff give notice of the pendency of this petition by publication, six consecutive weeks, in the Marysville Tribune, published in the County of Union Ohio + this cause is continued

Tuesday June 10th. A.D. 1856

Elias Lewis. Et als
vs
The Springfield. Mt Vernon & Pittsburgh R R Company &
Joshua Judy

On motion this cause is submitted to the Court neither party demanding a Jury, and thereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition to be true & there is due the plaintiffs from the defendants the sum of Six hundred and thirty nine dollars & Eighty cents,

It is therefore ordered and decreed that the plaintiffs recover of the defendants the said sum of Six hundred and thirty nine dollars & Eighty cts, found due as aforesaid & their costs taxed to $

And it appearing that said defendant Joshua Judy is a guarantor, it is ordered that the property &c of the principal debtor be first liable to levy & in default thereof the property of said Guarantor be liable

State of Ohio
vs
William Linn

Burglary & Larceny

This day the defendant, William Linn, being brought before the Court, the Court do sentence the said prisoner there to be confined in the Penitentiary of the State of Ohio

Thereupon court adjourned until tomorrow morning at 7. O,clock

Ebn McLean Judge.

Wednesday June 11th A.D. 1856

This morning the Court met pursuant to adjournment, present same officers as on yesterday.

State of Ohio
vs
Gillman Wade } Burglary & Larceny

This day the defendant, Gillman Wade, being brought before the court, The court do sentence the said Gillman Wade to be confined in the penitentiary of the State of Ohio, and kept at hard labor (no part of this time to be kept in solitary confinement) for the term of six years from this date, June 11th 1856, and pay the costs of prosecution herein taxed at $

Sabina Chellis
vs
Johnathan Morrison } Petition

This cause came on to be heard on the papers & was submitted to the court, neither party demanding a jury & the court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition to be true and there is due to the plaintiff from the defendant the sum of seventy eight dollars & seventy nine cents, which is a lien on lots No 11 & 21 described in said petition.

It is therefore ordered that the plaintiff recover of defendant said sum of money found due as aforesaid, And it is further ordered that if the said sum of money be not paid within ten days from the rising of this court, the sheriff of said County, who is appointed special master for that purpose, sell said real estate to pay said sum of money & report his proceedings therein at the next term of this court, to which time this cause is continued

Wednesday, June 11th. A.D. 1856

James S. Dunlap, Et. als
vs
S. G. Smith & Calvin Hill — This cause was submitted to the Court, neither party demanding a jury, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition to be true & do find that there is due the plaintiffs from the defendants the sum of One hundred and ten dollars & seventy nine cents —

It is therefore ordered and decreed that the plaintiffs recover of the Defendants the said sum of one hundred and ten dollars & seventy nine cents, found due as aforesaid, and his costs in this behalf expended taxed to dollars and cents

Adam Bower and Bartholomew Bower. Natives of Hesse Darm Stadt, Germany. free white persons, this day came into open Court, and declared, on their solemn oaths, that they first arrived in the United States in the month of May A.D. 1854. and that it is their bona fide intention to become citizens of the United States, and to renounce forever all allegiance and fidelity to every Foreign Prince, Potentate, State or Sovereignty whatsoever, and particularly to the King of Germany,

Lessee of Samel Stokely & others
vs
John Williams, Et. als — Continued

Robert Good
vs
Jacob Hudson — Settled & costs paid

Wednesday June 11th. A.D. 1856

Henry Sheldon
Wm Henry Sheldon
George E Bybee
Levi Chestnutwood &
Charles Cronkhite,
Partners under the
firm name of Wm
Henry Sheldon & Co
vs
Charles Rathbun
Levi Rathbun &
Elizabeth Rathbun

Petition on Notes & Mortgage

And now comes the said Henry Sheldon, William Henry Sheldon, George E Bybee, Levi Chestnutwood, and Charles Cronkhite, Partners under the name of William Henry Sheldon & Co by Young & Deedon their attorneys, and the said Charles Rathbun, Levi Rathbun, and Elizabeth Rathbun having duly acknowledged service & been served with process & still failing to demur or answer to the said petition it is considered that the said Plaintiffs ought to recover the amount due them by reason of the premises; and the court with the consent of the said plaintiffs, find that there is due from the said defendants, Charles Rathbun and Levi Rathbun to the said plaintiffs on the second note in the petition set forth the sum of nine hundred and eighteen Dollars and thirteen cents, the sum so found due as aforesaid and also his costs taxed to $

And it is further ordered and adgudged, that in case the said defendants fail for ten days from the close of this term of the court, to pay to the said plaintiffs the said sum of Nine hundred and eighteen dollars and thirteen cents so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit, an order issue to the Sheriff of Union County, who for the time being, for that purpose is made a special master, commanding him to cause the said lands, and tenements in said petition described, to wit; the following real estate in the Town of Marysville in Union County; Being part of out Lot No. 3. in said Town, and described as follows, Beginning at a stake in the North East corner of the said out lot, thence running West with the south line of Center Street 16 poles to a

Wednesday June 11th A.D. 1856

stake, corner to a lot deeded by Charles Rathbun & wife to John N. Rathbun; thence South with the line of said last mentioned Lot 10. poles to a stake in the corner of a lot owned by L. W. Smith; thence, East with the line of land owned by William Smith and G. A. Cassil 16 poles to a stake; thence North 10. poles to the place of beginning, containing One acre more or less, to be appraised, advertised & sold according to Law, and apply the proceeds of sale in satisfaction of the said Judgment so as aforesaid rendered

Michael Conner an alein a Native of Ireland in the Kingdom of Great Brittain, a free white person this day came into open Court and declared on his solemn oath that he first arrived in the United States in the month of September A.D. 1853 and that it is his bona fide intention to become a citizen of the United States and to renounce forever all allegiance and fidelity to every Foreign Prince, Potentate, State or Sovereignty whatsoever, and particularly to Victoria Queen of Great Britain & Ireland, defender of the faith &c

Charles R. Deming
vs
James M. Snodgrass
} Continued

James W. Marsh
vs
William Rice Et als
} Continued

Col. Pig & Enel
Rail Road Co
vs
James Robinson
} Continued

Wednesday June 11th. A.D. 1856

x	93	C. F. Bradley. Et als vs George McGee Et als	On motion leave is granted to amend the writ by entering the Individual names of the Plaintiffs
x	92	C. F. Bradley Et als vs Wm. B. Fowler & James. W. Boyd	On motion by Counsel for plaintiffs leave is granted to amend the writ by entering the Individual names of the Plaintiffs
x	68	C. F. Bradley, Et, als vs John Hildebrand	On motion by Counsel for plaintiffs leave is granted to amend the writ by entering the Individual names of the Plaintiffs
x	66	Bradley, Burnham, Lamb & Co. vs Wm Graham Et als	On motion by the counsel for plaintiffs leave is granted to amend the writ by entering the Individual names of the Plaintiffs,
x		John Stephen Shider vs Thomas Woodson Et als	Continued
x		George Shider vs Thomas Woodson Et, als	Continued
x		John Shortes vs Adam M Blackburn	Leave granted to defendant to answer in forty days & continued at defendants costs

Wednesday June 11th. A.D. 1856

Issued to John Kennady Oct, 14th 1856

John Cody & John Kennady natives of Ireland free white persons this day came into court and proved to the satisfaction of the court, that they made in this court two years ago the requisite declaration of their intentions to become citizens of the United States, that they have resided in the United States five yeders last past, that they have resided one year last past in the State of Ohio, and that during all that time they have behaved as men of good moral character. attached to the principles of of the Constitution of the United States, and that they do absolutely and entirely renounce and abjure all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign Prince, Potentate, State or Sovereignty, and particularly all allegiance and fidelity to Victoria, Queen of Great Brittain and Ireland whose subjects they were, Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that certificates of Naturalization be issued to them on payment of the costs of this application

Henry A Walke
vs
Susan V. Walke Etals

Partition

And now came the said Henry A Walke by Hamilton & Lincoln his attorneys, and this case came on to be heard upon the petition and Answer of William Walke, and evidence, and the court do find that due notice of the pendency of this action has been given for more than forty days prior to the first day of the term of this court first after the filing of the petition, and the court do further find that the said Henry A Walke has a legal title to and is seized in fee of the one tenth part of said premises, subject to the interest of any full brother or sister that may be born hereafter, as decreed in the case of William Walke against Henry A Walke & others in the Court of Common Pleas of Union County, And the court do find that

Wednesday June 11.th. 1856

Susan V Walke. William Walke Jr. Daniel Walke, Isaac E. Walke. Cornelius C Walke. Arthur Walke James Walke. Frances Walke. & Mary Walke, are tenants in common with the said Henry A Walke. in the following proportions to wit: the said Henry A Walke the one tenth part, and each of the said defendants one tenth part thereof —

It is therefore ordered that a writ of partition issue to the Sheriff of Union County, commanding him that by the oaths of Samuel. K. Reed. Robert D. Reed & William. B. Irwin partition be made of said lands in the following proportions; to wit; to the said Henry A Walke, the equal one tenth part thereof, and it is further ordered that the Sheriff return his proceedings in the premises forthwith,

× Ezra Reed Et.als vs George Winchester Et.als } Continued

× Col Pig & Ruda Rail Road Company vs William Orr } Continued

× Col, Pigua & Ruda Rail Road Co vs David Hollycross } Continued

× Col, Pig & Ruda Rail Road Co vs John Mitchell } Continued

Wednesday June 11th. A.D. 1856

x	Col. Piqua & Indo Rail Road company vs Benjamin Sinkham	Continued
x	Col. Piqua & Indo Rail Road company vs Squire Deland	Continued
x	Col. Piqua & Indo Rail Road company vs Charles Converse	Continued
x	Thomas Little vs George Rule	Continued
x	Sarah Owen vs James. G. Ford	Continued
/	S. W. Smith vs John Conner	On motion this cause is dismissed without prejudice, Judgment for costs against the plaintiff No record
x	Hiram Benton vs Bell & Delaware Rail Road Company	Continued

Wednesday June 11th. A.D. 1856

x	Custis Kempston vs Hannah Kempston	Continued
x	Juliann Sannells vs John Sannells	Continued
x	William Orr vs John C. Mitchell for A. R. Mitchell	Continued
x	Thornton Wasson vs Jacob Bowersmith	Adv't & Continued
✓	James W Wells vs Mary Hensel	Dismissed without prejudice
x	John Doe Ex Dem Woodson vs James G. Ford	Continued
x	Thomas Stilling vs W. W. Norton	Continued under former reference to County Surveyor

Wednesday June 11th. A.D. 1856

x	George Rule vs Thomas Woodson Et.als	Continued
x	James G. Ford vs Thomas Woodson. Et.als	Continued
f	David H. Silver vs Elisha Wright	Continued
f	William Dunn vs Robert G. Dunn	Leave granted to file amended petition petition filed and cause continued.
f	William Westlake vs A. Hamilton	Continued under former order
f	Frederick Wheeler vs Thomas A. Sheldon	Continued under former order
f	Joseph M Carson vs George Bowersmith	Continued. with leave granted to leave it off the docket
f	Hamilton Blue vs William Westlake	Continued under former order

Wednesday June 11th, A.D. 1856

X	Manchester & Sikes vs Joseph Glasscock	Continued at costs of plaintiffs
✓	Archelaus Doty vs Wm B Linscott	Settled as per agreement on file
X	Thomas Anderson vs Lathrop Converse, Constable.	Continued
✓	Alfred Dredy vs Wm Berrian	Dismissed at plaintiffs costs Judgement fa costs
✓	Barbara Geer vs George P Gundermen & Sophia M Gundermen	Settled & costs paid
X	Rebecca Miller vs Thomas Miller	Continued
X	George League vs James League Hiram Crusan Ransom Gasping	Continued

Wednesday June 11th. A.D. 1856

	Jason Chapman vs Samuel Lewis, Et, als	Continued for service
	R. L. Broome vs Elisha Wells & Elisabeth Wells	Settled and costs paid
	Alandrus Darrow, Admr. of Anson Darrow, Decd. vs Luther Weston & wife and Anna M Darrow	Continued under former order
	Diantha White, Admx vs Samuel Harriott, Admr. of William Ward	Continued
	Admr of D. L. Miller vs Stephen McLain	Continued
	Moses Corwin vs John Organ	Continued
	James L. Wells & B. Woods, admrs. vs Jane White	Continued

Wednesday June 11th. A.D. 1856

x	S. Wood & Son vs S. G. Strong	Continued
x	Reuben. A. Lamb vs Alexander Cowgill	Continued
x	Trustees of the Thological Seminary vs George. N. Grimes. Et als	Continued under former order of reference
x	James Galloway vs A. S. Alden	Continued
x	Cole & Piper vs Saml Bland	Continued
x	Elnathan Hathaway vs John. W. Tibbatts	Continued
x	Finch & Pettibone vs Wm. G. Boophy	Reappraisement ordered & cause continued
x	A. P. Stone vs Fields & Fletcher	Continued

Wednesday June 11th. A. D. 1856

X	D. W. Andrews. Admr. of Lyne Starling. Et. als. vs R. P. Mann, Et. als	Continued
/	Thomas Hord vs James McIlroy & Andrew Heminger	Disimissed without prejudice Judgment for costs against plaintiff
+	John. H. James vs Norman Reed	Continued under former order.
L	John. W. Andrews. Exr. of Lyne Starling vs Hamilton Epps & Epps	Continued
L	Powell & Buck vs S. A. Cherry	Continued
T	John Cassil vs Thomas. R. Price	Continued under former order

Certificat
June Ju
17th 185

Wednesday June 11th. A. D. 1856

John Stierhoff, an alien, and native of Bavaria in Germany, a free white person, this day came into open court, and declared, on his solemn oath, that he first arrived in the United States in the month of July 1850, and that it is bona fide his intention to become a citizen of the United States, and to renounce forever all allegiance and fidelity to every Foreign, Prince, Potentate, State or Sovereignty, whatsoever, and particularly to Maximillian King of Bavaria in Germany

Certificate issued June 17th 1856

Henry Hanrahan a Native of Ireland, a free white person this day came into court and proved to the satisfaction of the Court that ~~they~~ made in this Court two years ago the requisite declaration of ~~their~~ intentions to become a citizen~~s~~ of the United States that ~~they~~ ha~~s~~ resided in the United States five years last past, that ~~they~~ ha~~s~~ resided one year last past in the State of Ohio, and that during all that time ~~they~~ ha~~s~~ behaved as a man of good moral character, attached to the principles of the constitution of the United States and well disposed to the good order and happiness of the same. And thereupon the said Henry Hanrahan, in open court made solemn oath that ~~he~~ will support the constitution of the United States and that ~~he~~ does absolutely and entirely renounce and abjure all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign Prince, Potentate, State or Sovereignty and particularly all allegiance and fidelity to Victoria Queen of Great Brittain and Ireland, whose subject he is. Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that a certificate of Naturalization be issued to them on payment of the costs of this application

Wednesday June 11th. A.D. 1856

Richard Malone an Alien and Native of Scotland, a free white person, this day came into open Court and proved to the satisfaction of the Court, that he made in the Supreme Court of Champaign County Ohio, two years ago the requisite declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States, that he has resided in the United States for five years last past, that he has resided in the State of Ohio for one year last past, and that during all that time he has behaved as a man of good moral character, attached to the principles of the Constitution of the United States, and well disposed to the good order and happiness of the same;

And thereupon the said Richard Malone in open court here made solemn oath, that he will support the Constitution of the United States, and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and abjure all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign Prince Potentate, State or Sovereignty, and particularly all allegiance and fidelity to Queen, Victoria of the United Kingdom of Great Britain & Ireland, whose subject he was. Whereupon, It is ordered by the court that a certificate of Naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs this Applicatin

Peter Bloomeshine an Alien and Native of Hesse Darmstadt, Germany, a free white person, this day came into open court, and declared on his Solemn oath, that he first arrived in the United States in the month of June 1854, on the 9th day and that it is his bona fide intention to become a citizen of the United States, and to renounce forever all allegiance and fidelity to every Foreign, Prince, Pontentate, State or Sovereignty whatsoever, and particulary to King Luther 4th of Hesse Darmstadt in Germany

Wednesday June 11th. A.D. 1856

P. B. Cole
Philip Snider +
Thomas Stilings
Exrs of P. C. Dynes
vs
James. W. Evans +
Mary Evans

And now comes the said plaintiffs by P. B. Cole their attorney and the said James. W. Evans and Mary Evans still failing to demur or answer to the said petition it is considered that the said Plaintiffs Ought to recover the amount due them by reason of the premises, and the Court with the consent of the Plaintiffs find there is due from the defendant to the said Plaintiffs as such Executors, on the note first set forth in said petition the sum of. Three Hundred forty six dollars & fifty cents,

The Court further find there is now due on the last note mentioned in said petition, Three Hundred and twenty eight dollars, which last named note has fell due since the commencement of this suit, making in all, now due said Plaintiffs as such Executors from the said defendants the sum of Six Hundred and seventy four dollars, and fifty cents, It is therefore considered by the court here that said Plaintiffs as such Executors recover of the said defendants, the said sum of Six Hundred and Seventy four dollars and fifty cents,

And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendants fail for ten days from the close of this term of the court to pay said plaintiffs, the said sum of Six Hundred and seventy four dollars and fifty cents as aforesaid found due, and also his costs of suit, an order issue to the Sheriff of said county commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said petition described, to wit: Part of Survey No 1913. Beginning at a stone N. E. corner to a lot of land sold by P. C Dynes to John Burris, thence S. 81° W. 42 poles to a stake in the middle of S. Beighlers lane, thence N. 9. W. 176. poles to a stake in the S line of H. Wolford, thence N. 81. E. 42 poles to a stake, thence S. 9. E 176 poles to the beginning containing forty six & one eighth acres more or less.

Second Tuesday in June
A.D. 1856. this cause coming on to be heard on the motion of the Plaintiffs by their attorney and it appearing to the Court from the Sheriffs return on the Scire facias issued in this cause that the defendants have been duly notified to appear this day and answer the petition of the Plaintiffs herein and they failing to appear and answer the said petition it is ordered that the Plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of $346.13 cents mentioned in the petition with interest and costs of suit. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover against the defendants the sum of $346.13 cents with interest and costs of suit. And it is further ordered that unless the defendants pay the said sum of money with interest and costs of suit within ten days from the close of this term of the Court that the Sheriff of Prince George County cause to be made of the lands and tenements of the defendants in his bailiwick the said sum of money with interest and costs of suit.

Wednesday June 11th A.D. 1856

to be appraised, advertised and sold according to law, and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the judgment so as aforesaid rendered

Chauncey F. Bradey. Et. als. vs William B. Fowler. Et. als } Civil Action on Petition

And now comes the said plaintiffs, and the said Wm. B. Fowler, (the Sheriff having returned not found as to James. W. Boyd, against whom process in this cause was also issued) having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said plaintiffs it is considered by the court that the said petition be taken as true, against the said William B Fowler. And the said plaintiffs waiving their right to a trial by Jury, submitted this cause to the court. And the court being fully advised in the premises do find that the said plaintiffs ought to recover against the said William B Fowler the sum of Four Hundred & twenty three dollars & Eight one cents, being the amounts claimed in the said petition with the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition, It is therefore considered by the court that the said plaintiffs recover against the said William B Fowler the said sum of Four Hundred & twenty three dollars & Eight one cents (being the amount of both debt & interest) together with their costs in and about their suit in this behalf expended taxed to

dollars and cents,

And the Court further find that the foregoing judgment bears interest at the rate of ten per cent ~~interest~~ per annum till paid

Wednesday June 11th. A.D. 1856

Chauncey F. Brady. Et. als
vs
John Hildebrand
} Petition

And now come the said plaintiffs. and the said defendant. John Hildebrand having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said plaintiffs it is considered by the Court that the said petition be taken as true, And the said Plaintiffs waiving their right to a trial by Jury. submit this cause to the court. and the court being fully advised in the premises do find that the said plaintiffs ought to recover against the said defendant the sum of Twelve Hundred & Seventy four dollars and twenty six cents, being the gross sums of the Amounts claimed in said petition with interest thereon as prayed for in said petition

It is therefore considered by the court that the said plaintiffs. recover against the said defendants the said sum of. Twelve Hundred & Seventy four dollars & twenty six cents (being the amount of both debt and interest) together with their costs of suit in this behalf expended taxed to dollars and cents

Execution as to one third of this Judgment to be stayed for three months & if this be paid, then as to one other third. for six months, & if these two thirds be paid in time then as to last third execution is to be stayed eight months, from date, interest to be computed at 10. per cent per annum on this Judgment

Chauncey F. Brady. Et, als
vs
George McGee. Et. als
} Petition

And now comes the said plaintiffs, and the said defendants, George McGee, Thomas Prosper & Simon Kyle, having failed to ~~Expense~~, answer or demur to the petition of the said plaintiffs it is considered by the Court that the said petition be taken as true —

And the said plaintiffs waiving their right

Wednesday June 11th A.D. 1856

to a trial by Jury submit this cause to the Court
And the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that the said plaintiffs ought to recover against the said defendants the sum of One hundred and forty nine dollars & seventy two cents, being the gross sum of the amount claimed in said petition with interest thereon as prayed for in said petition.
It is therefore considered by the court that the said plaintiffs recover against the said defendants the said sum of $149.72 (being the amount of both debt & interest) together with their costs of suit in this behalf expended taxed to dollars and cents
And it is further considered that the above Judgment bear ten per cent interest from date

Bradley, Burnham, Land & Company
vs
Wm Graham. Et als
} Petition

And now comes the said Plaintiffs and the said defendants Wm Graham, Simon Kyle & George McGee. having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said plaintiffs it is considered by the court that the said petition be taken as true, And the said plaintiffs waiving their right to trial by Jury submit this cause to the court. And the court being fully advised in the premises, do find that the said plaintiffs ought to recover against the said defendants the sum of Seven Hundred and Sixty two dollars & Sixty cents being the gross sum of the of the amounts claimed in the petition with interest thereon as prayed for in said petition. It is therefore considered by the Court that the said plaintiff recover against the said defendants the said sum of $762.60 (being the account of both debt & interest) together with their costs of suit in this behalf expended

Wednesday June 11th. A.D. 1856

taxed to dollars & cents
And it is further ordered that the above Judgment bear ten percent interest from date

Daniel Griffin
vs
Samuel G. Smith

This day came the said Daniel Griffin by Cole his attorney & the said Samuel G Smith, though called came not but made default. Whereupon. It is considered that the said Daniel Griffin ought to recover his damages by reason of the premises, and neither party requiring a Jury, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do assess the damages of the said Daniel Griffin to Six Hundred & fifty one dollars, & ninety five cents.

Therefore it is considered that the said Daniel Griffin recover of the said Samuel G. Smith the said sum of $651.95 found due as aforesaid, and his costs in this behalf, taxed to $

And it is further considered that said judgment bear ten per cent interest from date

William Henderson
vs
A.R. Borden

This day came the said William Henderson by Cole his attorney & the said A.R. Borden, though called came not but made default. Whereupon. It is considered that the said William Henderson ought to recover his damages by reason of the premises, and neither party requiring a Jury, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do assess the damages of the said William Henderson to Two Hundred and thirteen dollars,

Therefore it is considered that the said William Henderson recover of the said A.R. Borden the sum of Two Hundred & Thirteen dollars found due as aforesaid, and his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $

Wednesday June 11th. A.D. 1856

Robert Yearsley
vs
Isa. A. Robbins &
Mary S. Robbins

Foreclosure

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney P. B. Cole and the defendants failing to demur or answer to the petition it is considered that there is due to the plaintiff on the note in the petition discribed by reason of the premises the sum of Six Hundred and fifty two dollars, which is a lien on the lands in the petition described, which sum it is considered the plaintiff recover of the defendant together with his costs herein expended taxed to dollars & cents

And it is further ordered that in case the said defendant fails within ten days from the rising of this Court to pay the plaintiff the sum aforesaid, and his costs herein, an order issue to the Sheriff of Union County, Ohio, commanding him to cause said lands in the petition described, to be appraised, advertised and sold, according to law, and apply the proceeds of said sale to the satisfaction of the judgment herein rendered And it is further considered that said judgment bear ten per cents interest from date,

This day the Jurors of the Grand Jury appeared at the bar of this Court, and presented their several bills of Indictment against, Gideon Draper, William Whelpley and John Wally, and their report, and there being no further business before them they were discharged

Wednesday June 11th. A.D. 1856

John Shirk
vs
Oren Perry

Order of sale

On motion of the Plaintiff by J. C Doughty his attorney and on producing the return of the Sheriff of this County of a sale of real estate, made by him on the tenth day of June 1856. to John Shirk, on an execution issued in this case, and dated, 15th day of April A.D. 1856, And the Court, on examination of said proceedings being satisfied that said sale has been made in all respects, in conformity of law, it is ordered, that the sale, and proceedings be and the same is hereby confirmed, and the said Sheriff is ordered to make to the purchaser a deed for the lands and tenements so sold

Ralph Moffitt
vs
John R. Lee +
Elisha Lee

Injunction Error

On application of the said Ralph Moffitt, Plaintiff for an injunction, and upon producing his petition duly verified, and it appearing to Error

Wednesday June 11th. 1856

Certifie
Geo Linc
June 16th

Wednesday June 11th. A.D. 1856

Charlotte Sanner &
Alva Sanner by their
Guardian, David Sanner
vs
Hannah Sanner, et. al.

In Partition

On motion to the court by Coats & Porter, Counsel for Petitioner, and on producing the report of the Sheriff of his sale made under a former order of this Court, and the Court being satisfied on examination, that the said sale has been made according to law. It is ordered that said proceedings and sale be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed, and the said Sheriff is ordered by deed duly executed, to convey said premises to said purchaser, in fee simple. And it is further ordered that the costs and expenses of this suit including a counsel fee of $30 to Coats & Porter, taxed to dollars and cents be paid by the Sheriff out of moneys in his hands, which shall be deducted from the share of each of the parties to this suit, according to their respective interests in the same, and that he pay the residue of the moneys arising from said sale to the parties in the like proportions, to wit! to William Richey the two fifths part, To Hannah Sanner one fifth, & To Alva C. Sanner one fifth part- To David Sanner late Guardian and now administrator of Charlotte Sanner, late deceased, so much of what would have been her share if living as will pay her just debts and that the residue (if any) of her interest be divided equally between Hannah Sanner, Alva C Sanner, Martha Dodds wife of Newton Dodds, and Hawley Sanner

certified to Geo Lincoln June 16th 1856

This day the Court made an allowance to George Lincoln, Esqr. of Twenty dollars, for assisting in the prosecution of Gilman Wade, to be paid out of the County Treasury upon the order of the County Auditor

Wednesday June 11th A.D. 1856

√ D. S. Woodbury & Co. vs George Bowersmith Et als } Petition

And now comes the said plaintiffs, and the defendants, George Bowersmith, Isaac Bowersmith, & Samuel Stout, having failed to demur or answer, to the petition of the said plaintiffs. It is considered by the court that the said petition be taken as true, and the plaintiffs waiving their right to a trial by Jury, the cause was submitted to the court. And the court being fully advised in the premises do find that the said plaintiff ought to recover against the said defendants the sum of Four Hundred and twenty dollars, being the amount of principal and interest claimed in the petition

It is therefore considered that the said plaintiffs recover of the said defendants the said sum of $420, so found due as aforesaid & also their costs in this behalf expended taxed to dollars and cents

√ Stadler, Brother & Co. vs Charles M Robinson } And now comes the said Stadler, Brother & Co., and the said Charles M Robinson having failed to demur or answer, to the Petition of the said plaintiffs, It is considered by the Court that the said Stadler, Brother & Co., ought to recover of the said Charles, M, Robinson the sum of One hundred & one dollars & fifty four cents as prayed for in the petition, together with the sum of Four dollars & three cents which the Court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition,

It is therefore considered that the said Stadler Brother & Co. recover of the said Charles M Robinson the said sum of $105.57, together with their costs in this behalf expended taxed to $

And it is further considered that said Judgt. bear 10 per cent interest from date

Wednesday June 11th A.D. 1856

Whereupon this Court adjourned untill tomorrow morning at 9 O.Clock

Ben. Westcott

Wednesday June 12.th. A.D. 1856

This morning the Court met pursuant to adjournment. present same officers as on yesterday

D. Burt
vs
E. D. Sargent
} Continued

Phelander Manchester
vs
Corydon Winkler
} Continued

George W Smith
vs
Josephus Jordan
} Continued

Ralph Moffet
against
John C Rhodes
Elisha Wells
} On Motion of Counsel. for Plaintiff a. provisional. injunction is allowed, as prayed. for in said petition on. giving Bond. in the Sum of five Hundred Dollars. with Security to Satisfactory to the Clerk of this Court. to be in force. until Otherwise in this Cause Ordered, and the Plaintiff is ordered. to give Notice to the Defendant Rhodes. of the Pendency of this petition by publication in the Mayville Sentinel. for six consecutive weeks. and personal. Notice to the Defendant Wells. as this Cause is Continued

Thursday June 12th. A.D. 1856

Chauncey. F. Bradley,
John. A. Burnham,
Henry Lamb, Sherman
Finch, John S. Burnham, &
Peter Reiger, Plaintiffs
vs
Samuel. A. Sunks &
Sarah. A. Sunks. Defts

This day came again the plaintiffs by their attorneys and the defendants. still remaining in default this cause is further submitted to the court as to the notes in petition described & not due at the time of the former judgment in this case & the court in consideration thereof do find & adjudge that there is due to the plaintiffs on the two notes in petition & mortgage described as last due. the sum of four hundred & seventy three dollars & eight cents. Therefore it is considered & adjudged that the plaintiffs recover of the said defendants the said further sum of Four Hundred & Seventy three dollars & eight cents & costs of suit, & this sum is also a lien on said mortgaged premises, and interest is to be computed on this judgment at ten per cent, and this cause stands continued under former order of sale

James Martin
vs
Columbus Pigua &
Ind^a Rail Road Co.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys & the defendants failing to answer, but demanding a jury to assess the damages by the plaintiff sustained by reason of the premises, a jury being called came, to wit: Charles. P. Morse, William Sumer. D. B. Langstaff, James Yearsley. Thomas Stillings, John Chapman, William Bird. John Weaver. D. M. Danforth. J. G. Sprague. David Wood, & O P. Lockwood, who being empannelled and sworn well and truly to assess the damages aforesaid do assess the same to three hundred and fifty dollars. Thereupon. It is considered by the court that the said James Martin recover of the said. Columbus. Pigua & Indiana Rail Road Company. the said sum of Three Hundred and

Thursday June 12th. A.D. 1856

Fifty dollars his damages aforesaid in form aforesaid sustained. and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to dollars & cents.
Notice of appeal given by Defendants

Johnathan McCrary vs James Valentine & Rebecca Shumway } Ejectment

This day came the parties by counsel and thereupon came a jury, to wit: Charles P. Morse, William Turner, D. B. Langstaff, James Yearsley, John Chapman, William Bird, John Weaver, D. M. Danforth, D. S. Sprague, David Wood, D. B. Lockwood and John Bonnett, who being empanneled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the parties, upon their oaths do find for the defendants,

It is therefore considered that the said defendants James Valentine and Rebecca Shumway go hence without day and recover of the said plaintiff, Johnathan McCrary, their costs herein expended taxed to dollars and cents
A new trial is hereby demanded by the Plff.

John A. McCreight vs Jonas D. Clark } Confirmation

19. 29

On motion of Hamilton & Sinclair plaintiffs attorneys, and on producing the return of the Sheriff of this county of a sale of real estate made by him on the 10th day of June A.D. 1856, to John A. McCreight on an execution issued in this case and dated the 6th day of May 1856, and the court on examination of the proceedings thereon, being satisfied that said sale has been in all respects in conformity to law it is ordered that the said sale and proceedings be and the same is hereby confirmed and the said sheriff is ordered to make a deed to the purchaser for the lands and tenements so sold,

Thursday June 12th. A. D. 1856

Charles Willcut Plaintiff
against
Rees Miller and
Jehu Gray defendants
} Civil action -
Appeal from Justices Court

This cause came on to be heard and thereupon came the parties and submit this cause to a Jury and thereupon came also a Jury Towit. Charles. P. Mosse. Wm Turner John. B. Langstaff. James Yearsley. Thomas. Stilings. John Weaver. J. M. Danforth. Robert Turner J. G. Sprague. David Wood. D. P. Lockwood and Aaron D. Doolittle - twelve good and lawful men of Union county who being duly impanneled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issues joined in this cause upon their oaths do say that they find for the plaintiff and assess his damages at one dollar. And thereupon the Court find that this cause was appealed by plaintiff from the judgment of a Justice of the Peace in Washington Township in Union county Ohio rendered in a cause tried by a Jury before said Justice and on their verdict in favor of defendant - It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff recover of defendants said sum of One dollar his damages aspesed as aforesaid and also the costs before the said Justice of the peace taxed at Dollars. It is also considered ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff pay all the costs of this action in this Court including a fee of five dollars herein taxed to defendants Attorney except that this cause having been continued at April term 1856 at defendants costs the plaintiff is not adjudged to pay the costs of that term. The plaintiff during this trial tendered his bill of exceptions which is signed sealed and ordered to be made part of the record The ~~plaintiff~~ ~~Defendant~~ after verdict and before Judgment moved for a new trial for reasons on file which motion was overruled

Whereupon this court adjourned untill to-morrow morning at 7. O. Clock.

Wm. McKeary Judge.

Friday June 13th. A.D. 1856

This morning court met pursuant to adjournment, present same officers as on yesterday

Isaac Uncapher
vs
Thomas R. Price

On motion to the Court by John B. Coats, Atty for Plaintiff. Isaac Uncapher, as well as for John B Dumble, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that the note and mortgage and the interest in the same has been transfered by said Isaac Uncapher to said John. B. Dumble since the commencemt of this suit, leave is therefore granted to amend the Petition originally filed in this case, and substitute the name of John. B. Dumble as Plaintiff in the place and stead of said Isaac Uncapher,

Joshua Judy
vs
John Mathers

Dismissed at costs of Plaintiff & no record. It is therefore considered that the plaintiff pay the costs of this suit in ten days, or in default thereof execution issue therefor,

Joshua Judy
vs
C. M. Robinson

Dismissed at plaintiffs costs, without record. It is therefore considered that the plaintiff pay the costs of this suit in ten days, or in default thereof, execution issue therefor,

Friday June 13th. A.D. 1856

Papers issued June 17th 1856

John Heneghan an Alien and native of Ireland a free white person this day came into open Court and proved to the satisfaction of the Court, that he made in this Court, two years ago the requisite declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States, that he has resided in the United States for five years last past, that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio, and that during all that time he has behaved as a man of good moral character, attached to the principles of the Constitution of the United States, and well disposed to the good order & happiness of the same, And thereupon the said John Heneghan in open court here made solemn oath, that he will support the constitution of the United States, and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and abjure all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign Prince, Potentate, State or Sovereignty, and particularly all allegiance and fidelity to Victoria, Queen of, of Great Britain & Ireland whose subject he was, Whereupon it is ordered by the Court that a Certificate of Naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this application.

Matthew Kennady an alien & native of Ireland, a free white person, this day came into Court and proved to the satisfaction of the Court, that he made in this Court, two years ago, the requisite declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States, that he has resided in the United States for five years last past, that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio, and that during all that time he has behaved as a man of good moral character, attached to the principles of the Constitution of the United States, and well disposed to the good order and happiness of the same And thereupon the said Matthew Kennady in open Court made solemn oath that he will support the Constitution of the United States, and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounced

Friday June 13th. A.D. 1856

abjure all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign Prince, Potentate, State or Sovereignty, and particularly all allegiance and fidelity to Victoria, Queen of Great Brittain and Ireland whose subject he was

Whereupon, It is ordered by the Court that a certificate of Naturalyation be issued to him on payment of the costs of this applicaton

Henry H. Darling
vs
Calvin Richard
} Continued

M. W. Brown
vs
Aaron Wells &
George Harriott
} Continued with leave to perfect appeal bond in thirty days

Evans & Johnson
vs
U. S. Morris
} Continued

James W. Eustis
vs
Francis B. Harriott
} Continued

Adam Shower & wife
vs
Benj Tappan
} Continued

Richard Cowling
vs
Dames Guy, Et. als
} Continued

Friday June 13th. A.D. 1856

Cornelius Sidle
vs
Wm. S. Leacock

And now come the plaintiffs Cornelius Sidle by his attorneys, C.S Hamilton & G. Lincoln, and the said Wm. S. Leacock failing to demur or answer to the said petition, It is considered that the plaintiff is entitled to the specific execution of the contract in the petition set forth and described. It is therefore ordered and decreed that the said William S. Leacock within ten days from the rising of the Court, execute and deliver to said Cornelius Sidle a good and sufficient deed with covenants of general warranty, conveying the premises in the petition described; to! the South half of Lot No.6 of Survey No 7008. to the plaintiff in fee simple, and in default of the execution and delivery of such deed as aforesaid by the said defendant it is ordered that this judgment and decree have the effect and operation of such conveyance, so as to vest the title to said premises in the plaintiff in fee simple, and it is further considered that the plaintiff recover of said defendant his costs herein expended taxed to dollars

Calvin S. Hays
vs
John A. Scott

On motion of counsel for plaintiff this cause came on to be heard, & the defendant being duly notified came not but made default & the cause was submitted to the court & upon proof it is found there is due to the plaintiff from the defendant, the sum of one hundred and sixteen dollars & seventy six cents, as in said petition alleged. It is therefore ordered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the said sum of money found due as aforesaid, and his costs herein expended taxed to dollars

Friday June 13th. A.D. 1856

Henry A Walke
vs
Susan N. Walke,
William Walke, Jr.
Jane M Walke
Isaac E Walke
Cornelius C Walke
Arthur C Walke
James D. Walke
Francis Walke
Mary Walke. Defendants

Confirmation of partition

On motion of Hamilton & Lincoln counsel for plaintiff, and upon producing the return of the Sheriff and the report of the Commissioners heretofore appointed, and the same being examined by the court and found in all respects, correct and in conformity to Law, it is hereby ordered that the said proceedings and report be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed and that said Henry. A. Walke, hold in severalty the premises set off and assigned to him, and it is further ordered that the costs of this action, including a counsel fee to the said Hamilton & Lincoln of Sixty five dollars be paid as follows, by the said Henry. A. Walke one half thereof and the residue thereof by the defendants, and in default thereof that execution issue therefor

Mary Jackson
vs
Henson Merryman
Mary Merryman
his wife

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came a jury, to wit Charles. P. Morse, ~~Orrin Turner~~ L. B. Langstaff, Samuel Sewell, William Bird, D. G. Sprague, E. D. Nugent, Beriah Wilkins, Joseph Hawkins, Aaron D. Doolittle, James Yearley & John Chapman, who being empannelled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the parties, upon their oaths do say that said defendants are guilty in manner and form, as the said Mary Jackson hath ~~complained~~ against them and they assess the damages of the said Mary Jackson by reason of the premises to five hundred dollars. Therefore

Friday June 13th. A.D. 1856

it is considered, that the said Mary Jackson recover of the said Henson Merryman and Mary Merriman his wife the said sum of Five Hundred dollars, her damages aforesaid in form aforesaid assessed, and also her cost in this behalf expended. taxed to dollars

Mary. R. Carnahan
vs
John Carnahan

Divorce

This day came the petitioner by P. B. Cole, her attorny and the defendant by James. W. Robinson his Attorney, and thereupon this cause was submitted to the court by the parties, on the petition and testimony, on consideration whereof the court find that the defendant has had notice of this suit, And that the defendant is guilty of wilful absence for more than three years previous to the filing this petition, as therein set forth, It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage contract heretofore existing between the parties, be and the same is hereby dissolved and held for naught; and the parties released from the obligations thereof, that petitioner hold all her own property now in possession. It is further ordered adjudged and decreed that the said Mary. R. be restored to her former name of Mary. R. Silver, And that the defendant pay the cost in this suit within thirty days from the rising of this court, and that in default thereof that execution issue therefor

David Hill
vs
Mary Hill

Divorce

This cause came on to be heard upon the petition and testimony. On consideration, whereof the court do find that notice has been duly served on the defendant, and further find that the defendant

Friday June 13th. A. D. 1856

has been guilty of wilful absence as charged in the petition, for more than three years,
On consideration whereof it is ordered, adjudged and decreed that the marriage contract heretofore existing between the parties be and the same is hereby dissolved and held for for naught,
It is further ordered that the said Mary be restored to her former name of Mary Webster,
And that the plaintiff pay the costs in this case within ten days from the rising of this court, and in default of payment that execution issue therefor

† John, B. Dumble vs Thomas R. Price } Pet on Note & Mortgage

And now comes the said John B. Dumble, by J. B. Coats his attorneys and the said Thomas R. Price, still failing to demur or answer to the said Petition it is considered that the said Plaintiffs ought to recover the amount dee him by reason of the premises, and the court with the consent of the said Plaintiff, find that there is due from the said defendant to the said plaintiff on the note in the said petition set forth, the sum of, One hundred & Seventy Six dollars & fifty cents, gross amount of principal and interest, It is therefore considered by the said Court here that the said Plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum of One hundred & Seventy six dollars & fifty cents, and also his costs taxed to dollars It is further considered that the above judgment bear ten per cent interest till paid, and it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant fails for ten days from the close of this term to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of One hundred & Seventy Six dollars & fifty cents so as aforesaid found due with the costs of suit, an order

Friday June 13th A.D. 1856

issue to the Sheriff of said County, commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said Petition described, to wit: In Lot No 31, in the Town of Summersville in said County of Union & State of Ohio, as designated upon the plat of said Town of Summersville, to be appraised, advertised, and sold, according to law, and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of said judgment, so as aforesaid rendered,

Sarah A. Green
vs
George Green
} Divorce

And now comes Sarah A Green by R. C. Clark. her attorney and thereupon this cause came on to be heard, upon the petition and testimony, on consideration thereof the court do find that due notice of the filing and pendency of this petition was served on said defendants, and the Court do further find that the said defendant has been guilty of gross neglect of duty, It is therefore adjudged and decreed that the marriage relation heretofore existing between the said parties be and the same is hereby set aside and wholly annulled, and the said parties wholly released from the obligations of the same; and it is further ordered that the said plaintiff be restored to the full ownership of all properties and effects owned by her before said marriage, and that defendant pay the costs of this suit, and in default thereof execution issue for the same

Whereupon this Court adjourned until to-morrow morning at 7 O clock A.M.

Ben Mateer

Saturday June 14th. A.D. 1856

Court met this morning pursuant to adjournment present, same officers as on yesterday

Chauncey. F. Bradley Et.al
vs
Samuel. A. Cherry Et.al

This cause is settled at costs of S. A. Cherry, & without record.

It is therefore considered that the said S. A Cherry pay the costs of this suit, taxed to dollars within ten days or in default thereof execution issue therefor,

Louisa Baessler
vs
John. S. Geer

Continued

David Crain
vs
David Wood.

Continued

George. W. Haskins
vs
L. Rathbun
P. W. Alden &
F. W. Pratt

Civil Actin

And now comes the said plaintiff and the said P. W. Alden & F. W. Pratt, (the Sheriff having returned not found as to L. Rathbun, against whom process in this cause was also issued) having failed to demur or answer, to the petition of the said plaintiff it is considered by the Court that the said petition be taken as true, against the said P. W. Alden, & F. W. Pratt, And the said plaintiff waiving his knight to a trial by Jury, submit this cause to the Court, and the court having been fully advised in the premises do find that the said plaintiff ought to recover against the said P. W. Alden & F. W. Pratt, the sum of One thousand, Three Hundred & Forty six dollars being the amount claimed in the said petition

Saturday, June, 14th, A.D. 1856

with the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition — It is therefore considered by the court that the said plaintiff recover against the said P. W. Alden & G. W. Pratt the said sum of one thousand three hundred & forty six dollars (being the amount of both debt & interest) together with his costs in and about this suit in this behalf expended taxed to dollars & cents And the court further find that the above judgment bears interest at the rate of ten per cents per annum till paid)

Violet Scott
vs
Samuel Wheeler &
Jesse Barnet

This cause came on to be heard on demurrer, & the demurrer was sustained by the court, whereupon the plaintiff gave notice of appeal and the Court fixed the amount of bond at $100.

James Liggett &
Abner Liggett
vs
Walter G. Clark
Et als

This day came the plaintiff by P. B. Cole their attorny, none appearing for the defendant, and this cause was submitted to the court upon the petition, exhibits, testimony and report of Special Master commissioner,
On consideration whereof the court finds as follows,
1st That defendants are nonresidents of the State,
2nd That the pendency and prayer of the petition has been regularly published according to law,
3d. That the contract was entered into between the said Strong and Gustavus V. Clark as alleged, for the purchase of the land. That payment had been made by Strong to Clark to the amount of between five and six Hundred dollars on the land described in the petition together with the land bought by Jacob Hawk, of said Strong
4th Said contract between the said Strong & James Ligget was executed by said Strong whereby the plaintiff, purchased the land therein described

Saturday June 14th. A.D. 1856

and that the said Clark through his agent had notice of the sale, 5th. that the said Liggets paid said Strong over one half the purchase money thereof, to wit: about Three Hundred dollars
6th. That the said G. V. Clark had title for only one undivided fifth of the land.
7th That said Liggetts were compeled to pay the heirs of the said Price named in the petition for four fifths of the said land.
8th. The Court further find that the said G. V. Clark is dead, without delivering conveyance for said land, and that his title in said land, to wit: for one undivided fifth part thereof descended to Walter G. Clark and Junius Clark two of the defendants hereto, and that the legal title to such fifth part is in them.
9th. The court further find that said Liggets have paid more than one half of the purchase money and are therefore entitled to a conveyance of the said one undivided fifth part of said land from said Walter G. & Junius Clark, their father said G. V. having had notice through his agent of the said Sale to the said Ligget, and acquiesced therein, and had the pay
It is therefore ordered adjudged and decred by the Court that the said Walter G. Clark and Junius Clark, execute and deliver to the said James Ligget according to the tenor of his said title bond with Surety within ten days from the rising of this Court a deed in fee simple with covenants of General Warranty, for one undivided fifth of the land described in the petition and in default thereof that this decree and that the notes executed by said James Leggett to said Strong for the said and which remaied unpaid operate as such conveyance. And it is further ordered that the defendants pay the costs of this suit in ten days or in default thereof that execution issue therefor,

be and the same are hereby declared void

per cent

Saturday June 14th. A.D. 1856

Robert Yeasley
vs
James. O. Carter

Cognovit

This day came into court the plaintiff by his attorney P. B. Cole. and filed his petition against said defendant and thereupon John B. Coats one of the attorneys of this court appeared in open court on behalf of the said defendant and by a warrant of attorney for that purpose executed by the said defendant and now produced in open court waiving the issuing and service of process and acknowledged that the said defendant do the owe the plaintiff as alleged in ~~the~~ petition the sum of One Hundred and four dollars and fifty cents, And thereupon it is considered and adjudged that the plaintiffs recover of the defendant said sum of One Hundred and four dollars and fifty cents, ~~the~~ per cent Int, found due as aforesaid & the plaintiffs costs taxed to dollars and all errors & right of appeal are waived by virtue of said warrant of Attorney, & it is further considered that in default of payment of Judgment & costs as above within ten days ~~that execution issue therefrom~~ from the close of this term of Court, an order issue to the Sheriff of Union County, commanding him to cause the land in the mortgage (of which a copy is filed with the petition) to be appraised, advertised and sold according to law, and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said judgment so as aforesaid rendered,

Jacob Hawk
vs
Walter. G. Clark. Et, als

This day came the plaintiff by P. B. Cole his attorney, none appearing for the defendant, and this cause was submitted to the court upon the petition exhibits, testimony and report of Special Master commissioner On consideration whereof the court finds as follows, 1st that defendants

Saturday June 14.th A.D. 1856

defendants are nonresidents of the state
2.nd. That the pendency and prayer of the petition has been regularly published according to law,
3.d that the contract was entered into between the said Strong and Gustavis V. Clark as alleged, for the purchase of the land. That payment had been made by Strong to Clark to the amount of between Five and Six Hundred dollars on the land described in the petition, together with the land bought by James Leggit of said Strong; 4th Said contract between said Strong & Jacob Hawk was executed by said Strong, whereby the plaintiff purchased the land therein described, and that the said Clark through his agent had notice of the sale, 5.th. that the said Jacob Hawk paid said Strong more than one fifth of the purchase money thereof, to wit: One hundred and fifty dollars
6.th. That the said G. V. Clark had title for only one undivided fifth of the land
7.th. That said Hawk was compelled to pay to the heirs of the said Price named in the petition for four fifths of the said land,
8.th. the Court further find that the said G. V. Clark is dead without delivering conveyance for said land, and that his title in said land, to wit: for one undivided fifth part thereof, descended to Walter G. Clark, and Dunius Clark two of the defendants hereto, and that the legal title to such fifth part is in them,
9.th. the Court further find that the said Hawk has paid more than one fifth part of the purchase money and is therefore entitled to a conveyance of the said one undivided fifth part of said land from said Walter G. & Dunius Clark. their father G. V. Clark having had notice through his agent of said sale to the said Hawk, and acquiesced therein — and it is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the court that the said Walter G. Clark, and Dunius Clark, execute and deliver to the said Jacob Hawk according to the tenor of his said title bond within ten days from the rising of this Court a deed in fee simple with covenants of General warranty for one

to J.B. Strong

Copied f
J. A. Che
June 19
J. Randa

Saturday June 14th. A.D. 1856

undivided fifth part of the land described in the petition, and in default thereof that this decree operate as such conveyance, & that the notes executed by said Isaac Hawk to Strong for said purchase which remains unpaid be & the same are hereby declared void And it is further ordered that the defendants pay the costs of this suit taxed at dollars within ten days or in default thereof that execution issue therefor

The Trustees of Paris Township
vs
Samuel A. Cherry et als

On motion this day came on this case and was submitted to the Court and neither party demanding a Jury & the court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition to be true & that the contract for the purchase of a cemetery as in said petition set forth is void in Law & the said contract ought to be annulled and that the plaintiffs ought to have leave to reconvey to the defendant Samuel A. Cherry the said land and that the orders issued by the said Township be rendered void,

Copied for S. A. Cherry June 19th 1856 S. Randall Clk

It is therefore ordered and decreed that said orders and conveyance be and the same are hereby annulled and rendered void and the said Township forever released from the same, and be authorized to reconvey on demand the said land to said Samuel A. Cherry, & it is further ordered that the plaintiff pay the costs of this suit,

Notice of appeal was given by defendants, whereupon the court fixed the amount of appeal bond at two hundred dollars,

Saturday June 14th. A.D. 1856

Adam Shower
Martha R. his wife &
Elizabeth Claiborne
vs
Jacob. Beam.
John. Moore.
Levi Sivey.
Hazard Adams.
Enock Moses.
John Calloway.
Samuel Moses.
Larkin Sonquit.
Wm H. Ferguson.
Michae Beam.
Theodore W. Munson.
George Hudson.
John. Evans

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and neither party requiring a Jury. This cause is submitted to the Court upon the issue joined between the parties, and thereupon the court finds that the said Jacob. Beam. John Moore. Levi Sivey. Hazard Adams. Enock Moses. John Calloway. Samuel Moses. Larkin Sonquit. Wm. H. Ferguson. Michael Beam. Theodore W Munson. George. E. Hudson. & John Evans are guilty of the trespass and ejectment laid to their charge. in manner and form as the said John Doe hath complained of them, as shown by the consent rule and exhibits thereto attached, and assess his damages by reason thereof to one cent.

It is therefore considered by the court that the said John Doe. do recover of the defendants, aforesaid his terms yet to come on the joint demise of the said Adam Shower. & Martha R his wife and Elisabeth Claiborne, one equal undivided fourth part of the several tracts of land claimed by each of the defendants as belonging to them in severalty as shown by their consent rule, and the plat therein refered to. the same being. part of the premises in the declaration described with the appurtenances, and also his said damages. so assessed as aforesaid together with his costs herein expended to be taxed by the clerk.

And thereupon the said defendants, aforesaid by their attorney. made application to the court for the valuation of improvements and assessment of damages under the statute, for the relief of occupying claimants. and

Saturday June 14th. A.D. 1856

the court having considered the same, are of opinion that they are entitled thereto. Whereupon it is ordered that further proceedings be had in the premises, agreeably to the provisions of the Statute, & this cause is continued.
Defendants gave notice of appeal and the Court do fix the amount of the bonds at two hundred dollars.

Jonathan McCrary
against
Rebecca Shumway
James Valentine

~~Judgment~~ Action in Replevin for the use of Case

On Motion to the Court by the Plaintiff it is ordered that the Judgment rendered in this case at the present Term of this Court be and the same is hereby vacated, and a new trial ordered

Rudolph De Steiger
vs
Scioto Wesson, Cadwallader Wallace, Adolph White, Thomas Sunks, George Brannon, David O. Dewett, Asad Franklin, Joseph Mory & Samuel Simmel

This day came the plaintiff & the defendants, Scioto Wesson & Cadwallader Wallace, by their attorneys, and the remaining above named defendants came not but made default. The Sheriff having returned not found as to the remaining defendants, named in said petition, and neither party demanding a jury this cause was submitted to the court & thereupon the court do find for the plaintiffs and it being agreed, that the said

Saturday June 14th A.D. 1856

defendants Scioto Wesson & Cadwallader Wallace will pay this claim if it be a lien on the land in said petition described. It is considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendants Scioto Wesson & Cadwallader Wallace the sum of Five Hundred & ten dollars & Seventy two cents, the amount found due in the premises, together with his costs taxed to dollars & thereupon the defendants Scioto Wesson & Cadwallader Wallace gave notice of appeal

All motions matters & causes not otherwise disposed of stand continued. And thereupon this Court Adjourned without day.

P W^m McDonald Judge.

Wednesday, November 5th A.D. 1856

This day the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Union and State of Ohio, convened in pursuance of Law. Present, Ben Metcalf Judge, Wm H. Robb, Sheriff, John L. Porter Prosecuting Attorney, and Taber Randall, Clerk

The Jurors of the Grand Jury being called came to wit, Gregory Hawley, Robert Paris, Henry C Sears, L M. Fairbanks, Jacob Woolford, D. A Guy, W. S. Fulton, James C. Miller, Dr R. Richey, Wm M. Flanagan Moses Devore, Samuel Reed, & Jesse A White, Regular Jurors & James Thompson, & G. W. Bayley, talesman, The Court appointed, James Thompson, Foreman, and the Jury being duly empanneled, sworn & charged, retired to their room to deliberate,

x) Joseph M. Carson } Motion Docket
vs
George Bowersmith }

On motion to the Court by Messrs. Powell & Van Deman, Attys, for Plaintiff, & it appearing that the lands described in the petition in this case, had been twice offered for sale, and not sold for want of bidders, It is ordered that an order of reappraisement issue to the Sheriff of Union County, & this cause is continued,

√ Samuel Raymond & Co. } Petition
vs
Samuel Beese }

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorneys, Hamilton & Lincoln and the defendant failing to demur or answer to the said petition, It is considered that the plaintiff ought to recover of the defendant the sum of $120.42, on the note as set forth in the petition. It is therefore considered and adjudged, that the plaintiff recover the sum of $120.42, with ten per cent interest on the same; also his costs herein taxed to $

Wednesday November 5th A.D. 1856

1 John Robinson
vs
Chas M. Robinson &
Wm H Robinson } Foreclosure

And now comes the said John Robinson by his Attorneys, Hamilton & Lincoln & the said Charles M Robinson & William H. Robinson, still failing to demur or answer to the petition. It is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises; And the court with the consent of the plaintiff find that there is due from the plaintiff to the defendant on the notes in said petition set forth the sum of $783.23
It is therefore considered by the court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of $783.23 with interest thereon ~~as law and usage~~, together with his cost herein taxed to $.
And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant fails for ten days from the close of this term of the Court to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of $783.23 as aforesaid due with costs of suit, an order do issue to William H. Robb, Master Commissioner of this Court commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in the petition described, to wit; part of Survey No [illegible] beginning at a black oak and two Sugartrees corner to Thomas Robinson, thence N 37. W 105½ poles to two Sugartrees, and an Ironwood, to James Robinson's line, thence N. 53° E. 196 poles to a white oak and hickory, thence S 37. E. 105. poles to one fallen hickory, thence S 53 W. 196. poles, to the beginning. Containing, one hundred and twenty nine acres more or less. to be appraised, advertised and sold, according to law, and apply the proceeds of the sale to the said Judgment as aforesaid rendered

Wednesday November 5th A.D. 1856

Josiah Mobesly
vs
A.D. Needles &
W. H. Dill

Petition

And now comes the said Josiah Mobesly, and the said A.D. Needles, and the said W.H. Dill having failed to demur, or answer to the said petition of the said Josiah Mobesly, It is considered that the said Josiah Mobesly ought to recover of the said A.D. Needles & the said W.H. Dill the sum of one hundred and twenty dollars and seventeen cents, as demanded in his petition together with his costs in and about this suit, expended, taxed to dollars and cents

David & Malvina Hamilton
vs
David D. Beard & others

This cause came on to be heard on the petition, on consideration whereof, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that due notice hath been given more than forty days previous to the present term, of the pendency and demand of said petition as required by Law, and that the demandant hath a legal right and estate in the premises described, in the petition & as therein set forth on motion of the Court by C.S. Hamilton counsel for plaintiff, It is ordered that by the oaths of A.F. Wilkins, Jesse Gill & William Bigger, judicious disinterested freeholders of the vicinity partition be made of said lands as follows to wit:

I. To said David & Malvina Hamilton one equal fourth part
To Lavina E Breard, one equal fourth part
To Hannah Beard one equal fourth part
To Nancy Beard one equal fourth part
And at it further ordered

And that a writ of partition issue to the Sheriff of Union County, commanding him to cause said partition to be made accordingly

Wednesday November 5th A.D. 1856

Yora Ford Pl
Bill Welsh
vs
Jonas P. Clark } Petition on Notes & Mortgage

And now comes the said Yora Ford and Bill Welch by DeBought their Attorney & the said Jonas P Clark still failing to demur or answer to the said Petition. It is considered that the said Plaintiffs ought to recover the amount due them by reason of the premises, and the Court with the consent of said plaintiffs find that there is due from the said Defendant to the said Plaintiffs, on the notes in the said Petition set forth the sum of Sixty two Dollars and fifty cents, It is therefore considered by the said Court here that the said Plaintiffs recover of the said Defendant the sum of Sixty two dollars & fifty cents the sum so found due as aforesaid and also his costs taxed, to Dollars and cents. And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said Defendant fails for ten days from the close of this term of the Court to pay to the said Plaintiffs the said sum of Sixty two Dollars and fifty cents, so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit, an order issue to the Sheriff of said County commanding him to cause said lands and tenements in said Petition described, to wit! Lot No 223, lying & being in the County of Union & State of Ohio, Bounded & described as follows to wit! In Lot No 223 of Welshs addition to the Town of Marysville in said County, lying on the North side of Centre Street, in said Town of Marysville, fronting five rods, on said street, and running eight rods back containing one fourth of an acre more or less, to be appraised, advertised and sold according to Law, and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said Judgment, so as aforesaid rendered

Wednesday, November 5th A.D. 1856

Barnett Bennett
vs
Thomas A Sheldon

Petition on Mortgage & notes

And now comes the said Barnett Bennett By L.C. Doughty his attorney and the said Thomas A Sheldon still failing to demur or answer to the said petition, It is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises and the court, with the consent of the said Plaintiff find that there is due from the Defendant to the said plaintiff on the notes, in the said Petition set forth the sum of one hundred and twelve Dollars, and that there is an other note of one hundred Dollars due April A.D 1857 as set forth in said petition, It is therefore ordered by the said court here that the said Plaintiff recover of the said Defendant the sum of one hundred and twelve dollars, the sum so found due, as aforesaid, and also his costs taxed to dollars and cents; And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant fails for ten days from the close of this term of the court to pay to the said Plaintiff the sum of one hundred and twelve Dollars so as aforesaid found due, with costs of suit, an order issue to the Sheriff of said county commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said Petition described, towit, Beginning at a stake in the original South West corner to said Survey Witness a large dead oak, leans from stake N.44, W.20 links, thence N.8, E 31 6/10 poles to a stake, thence N.46½, E 8 poles 4 links, thence S 51. E 9 8/10 poles to a stake, thence N 46½ E.28, poles 23 links to a stone, thence S 51. E 10 poles to a stone, thence S 31. W 54 poles 2 links to a stake & stone in the original South line of said Survey, thence with said line N 8.° 40' W 19 poles to the beginning containing seven acres D

Wednesday November 5th A.D. 1856

44 poles, more or less, to be appraised, advertised, and sold according to Said and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said Judgment so as aforesaid rendered, and this cause stand continued as to the note of one hundred Dollars due April, 1857

Ezra Reed, Adm'r
vs
George Winchester

By consent of parties the dependants have leave to file an answer within thirty days and this cause is continued

Wm Orr
vs
John C. Mitchell &
A. R. Mitchell

By consent of parties this cause is continued

E. D. Nugent
vs
D. Burt

On motion the plaintiff, E. D. Nugent has leave to file a petition within Sixty days, & cause continued

John Cassil & others
vs
A. R. Bowen

By arrangements of parties this cause is continued, and in consideration thereof the defendant is to pay ten per cent on the amount due on said notes from this date till the same is paid, and the defendant to have full privilege to sell the land in the mean time, subject to the plaintiffs claim & the defendant is to make no defence to this suit on account of the Mortgage in favor of Wm McCarty on said Land

Thomas Anderson
vs
Jathrop Converse

Dismissed without prejudice

Wednesday November 5th A.D. 1856

David Crane
vs
David Wood

Settled as per agreement on file, It is therefore considered by the Court that the Defendant recover of Plaintiff his costs herein expended, (as per agreement) taxed to $

John Cahill
vs
Hugh Stickney & wife

Settled as per agreement on file, By agreement of parties & with consent of Wm Berrian, It is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the said Wm Berrian the costs herein expended taxed to $

Martin W Brown
vs
Aaron Wells &
George Harriott

Continued with leave to put in appeal bond

Hiram Benton
vs
Bell & del R.R.Co

Continued

Julian Sammels
vs
John Sammels

Continued

Jessie of Samuel Stokely
vs.
John Williams, Etals

Continued

Charles R Dennis
vs
James M Snodgrass

Continued

Wednesday November 5th A.D. 1856

	James. W. Marsh vs William Rice Etals	Continued
	Col. Pig & Ind Rail Road Co vs James Robinson	Continued
	John Stephen Shider vs Thomas Woodson Etals	Dismissed at plaintiffs costs. It is therefore considered that defendant recover of the plaintiff his costs, herein taxed to dollars and cents
	George Shider vs Thomas Woodson Etals	Dismissed at plaintiffs costs. It is therefore considered that defendant recover of the plaintiff his costs herein taxed to dollars and cents,
	John Shortess vs Adam M Blackburn	Continued
	Col Pig & Ind Rail Road Co vs Wm Orr	Continued

Wednesday, November 5th A. D. 1856

x	Col Pig & Ind Rail Road Co vs David Hollycroft	Continued
x	Col Pig & Ind Rail Road Co vs John Mitchell	Continued
y	Col Pig & Ind Rail Road Co vs Benj Linkham	Continued
z	Col Pigs & Ind Rail Road Co vs Squire Leland	Continued
z	Col Pigs & Ind Rail Road Co vs Charles Converse	Continued
z	Curtis Kempton vs Hannah Kempton	Continued
z	Thornton Wasson Admr &c vs Jacob Boorsmith	Continued at costs of plaintiff Judgment for costs

Wednesday November 5th A.D. 1856

	David M. Silver vs Elisha Wright	Continued
	John B Trimble vs Thomas R. Price	Continued under former order
	William Westlake vs A. Hamilton	Continued
	Frederick Wheeler vs Thomas A. Sheldon	Continued under former order
	Hamilton Blue vs William Westlake	Continued
Error	Philander Manchester vs Error Gorydon Winkler	Dismissed by Plaintiff It is therefore considered by the court that the defendant recover of the plaintiff his costs herein taxed at $
	D. C. Symes Exr vs D. M. Evans wife	Settled & costs paid & of record
	George League vs James League Hiram Crusan & Ransom Sarpring	Continued

Wednesday November 5th A.D. 1856

Evans & Johnson
vs
U.S. Morris
} Continued

Judy & Welch
vs
Charles Bradlore
} Settled at costs of defendants
It is therefore considered that the plaintiffs that recover of the defendant their costs herein expended taxed to dollars & cents & in default thereof that execution issue therefor,

Henrietta Cornell
vs
George Cornell
} Dismissed,

Mary Jackson
vs
Henson Merryman &
James B Norris
} Leave granted to plaintiffs to amend petition in thirty days & cause continued,

John McCombs
vs
N. Hazas &
James McMasters
} Continued

W. W. Woods Et als
vs
Thomas Riley
} Continued

John Cassil Et als
vs
S. H. Felkner
} Continued

Wednesday November 5th A.D. 1856

1	Rebecca Miller vs John Miller	Settled + costs paid
1	Addison Moore vs Thomas Seas	Continued under former order
1	Robert Yearsley vs Ira A Robbins & wife	Settled + costs paid
1	Wm H. Sheldon + Co vs C. Rathbun et als	Continued under former order
1	J W. Books vs Alexander Gandy	Continued under former order
1	T. H. Elwell vs John Douglass & Wilson Reed	Continued under former order
1	Robert Yearsley vs James O Carter	Continued under former order
1	Diantha White, Admx, vs Samuel Harriott Admr of Wm Ward	Continued

Wednesday November 5th A.D. 1856

Adm[rs] of E. L. Miller
vs
Stephen McLain
Continued

Moses Conrin
vs
John Organ
On motion the sales herein made are set aside. This cause is dismissed without prejudice. Judgment for costs against plaintiff — the Complainant has leave to withdraw the papers, No. record

James L. Wells &
B. Woods. Admr[s]
vs
Lane White
Continued

S. Wood & Son
vs
S. G. Strong
Continued

Reuben A Lamb
vs
Alexander Longill
Continued

Trustees of the Theological
Seminary
vs
George A Grymes Etals
Continued

James Galloway
vs
A. S. Alden
Continued

Cole & Piper
vs
Saul Bland
Continued

Wednesday November 5th A.D. 1856

x 1	Elnathan Hathaway vs John W Tibbalts	Continued
x	Grinch & Pettibone vs Wm. S. Brophy	Continued under former orders
x	A. P. Stone vs Fields & Fletcher	Continued under former orders
x	James W Custis vs Francis B Harriott	Continued
x	D. W. Andrews Admr of Lyne Starling vs R. P. Mann	Continued
x	Richard Cooling vs James Guy Etals	Continued
x	John H James vs Norman Reed	Continued under former orders
x	John W. Andrews Exr of Lyne Starling vs Hamilton Epps & Epps.	Continued

Wednesday. November 5th A.D. 1856

X	Powell & Buck vs S. A. Cherry	Continued
X	John Cassie vs Thomas R Rice	Continued
✓	Sabrina Chellis vs Johnathan Morrison	This cause came on to be heard on the report & proceedings of Wm H. Robb, Sheriff, and special master, & the court being fully satisfied that the proceedings, and sale, are in all respects regular and in conformity with Law It is therefore ordered, decreed, and adjudged that the said sale and proceedings are confirmed and the Sheriff & special master aforesaid be required and authorized to execute and deliver to said purchaser a deed in fee simple for said Real Estate & it is further ordered that out of the purchase money, the costs of this suit be paid & the ballance be applied to the plaintiffs said decree

74.79

Whereupon this Court adjourned until tomorrow morning at 8. O.clock

Benj Mutcalf Judge.

Thursday November 6th A.D. 1856

This morning the Court of Common Pleas of Union County convened pursuant to adjournment. Present same officers as on yesterday

In the matter of Jacob Parthemore Commissioner Elect in Union County, on the 14th day of October 1856. The bond of said Parthemore as such Commissioner given to the State of Ohio, and dated October 28th 1856. for the sum of Two thousand dollars with Andrew Aurine & Philip Snider his sureties is hereby approved by the court

Jones & Reed
vs
Lewis B. Clevenger
Elizabeth Clevenger
Uriah J. Morris

And now comes the plaintiff by counsel and the defendants being in default this cause was submitted to the court and thereupon the Court being fully informed in the premises do find the allegations of the petition to be true & there is due the plaintiff from the defendant Lewis B. Clevenger the sum of Two hundred & thirty one & 4 3/100 dollars as set forth in said petition, which sum is secured by mortgage as set forth in said petition. It is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the said defendant Lewis B Clevenger the said sum of Two hundred & thirty one & 4 3/100 & his costs herein expended & taxed to $

And it is further ordered that in case said sum of money remain unpaid for ten days from the close of this term, an order shall issue to Wm H. Robb. Sheriff of said county, who is appointed special master for that purpose commanding him to sell the real estate in said petition described, in accordance with law and apply the proceeds to the payment of said Judgment

Joshu

Thursday, November 6th A.D. 1856

√	Daniel Wiswell vs Elihu Phelps Harriett B Phelps Marshall Wheeler Elizabeth Wheeler Milton Colver	And now comes the plaintiff by his attorneys, and the defendants being in default, the case was submitted to the court and thereupon the court do find the allegations of the petition to be true & there is due from the defendants Elihu Phelps, Harriet B Phelps, Marshall Wheeler & Elizabeth Wheeler, the sum of Six hundred and ninety four dollars & fifty cents which is a lien on the lands in said petition described — It is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the said defendants, Elihu Phelps & Harriet B Phelps, Marshall Wheeler & Elizabeth Wheeler said sum of Six hundred and ninety four dollars & fifty cents & his costs herein expended taxed to $\$$ And it is further ordered that in case said sum of money remain unpaid for ten days from the close of this term, an order of sale issue to Wm H Robb Sheriff of said County, who is appointed special master for that purpose commanding him to sell the real estate in said petition described in accordance with law and apply the proceeds to the payment of said Judgment
√	Springfield Bank against James W Evans Joshua Judy & James Turner.	Petition And now comes the said Springfield Bank by Mason, Bowman & Mason their Attorneys, and the said James W Evans, Joshua Judy, & James Turner, defendants, having failed to answer or demur to the petition of the said plaintiff, it is considered that the said Springfield Bank ought to recover the said sum of One Thousand, Dollars so demanded in its Petition together with the sum of nineteen 33/100 dollars which the court find due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition, and it is made appear to & is found by the court that the said Joshua Judy & James Turner executed said note as sureties

Thursday November 6th A.D. 1856

It is therefore considered that the said Springfield Bank recover against the said James W Evans ~~xxxx xxxx~~ as principal debtor and James Turner as Joshua Judy securities the said sum of One Thousand nineteen 83/100 dollars together with his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed to $

James Yearsley
vs
Mary Scott
} Foreclosure

And now comes the said James Yearsley by PBlevle his Attorney, and the said Mary Scott still failing to demur or answer to the said petition, it is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises; and the Court, with the consent of the said plaintiff, find that there is due from the said defendant to the said ~~defendant~~ plaintiff on the note in the said petition set forth, the sum of Five Hundred & five dollars & 46/100 dollars,
It is therefore considered by the court here, that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum of Five Hundred & five & 46/100 dollars, the sum so found due as aforesaid, & his costs taxed to $.
And it is further ordered that in case said sum of money remain unpaid for ten days from the close of this term, an order shall issue to Wm H Robb, Sheriff of said County, who is appointed special master for that purpose, commanding him to sell the real estate in said petition described in accordance with Law, and apply the proceeds to the payment ~~xxx~~ of said Judgment so as aforesaid rendered

th

James Yearsley
vs
Wm B Fowler & wife
} Petition on Note & Mortgage

And now comes the said James Yearsley, by PBlevle his Attorney, and the said Wm B Fowler & wife still failing to demur or answer to the said petition, it is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises, and

Thursday November 6th A.D. 1856

the court with the consent of the said plaintiff finds there is due from the said defendant to the said ~~defendant~~ plaintiff on the 1st note in the petition set forth the sum of Two Hundred & Thirteen & 60/100 dollars It is therefore considered by the Court here, that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the said sum of Two Hundred & Thirteen & 60/100 dollars, the sum so found due as aforesaid & his costs taxed to #

And it is further ordered that the premises in the petition described be sold by the Sheriff, and also that the Sheriff return the proceeds into court & retain in his hands a sum sufficient to pay a note for Two Hundred & forty six dollars, payable on the first day of March A D 1857, with interest, as set forth in the petition & this cause is continued.

Swasey & Wise
vs
D. M. Inskeep } Petition

This day came the said Swasey & Wise by their attorney P Bleole, & the said D. M. Inskeep though solemnly called came not but made default, whereupon. It is considered that the said Swasey & Wise ought to recover their damages by reason of the premises, and neither party demanding a Jury, & the Court being fully advised in the premises, do assess the damages of the said Swasey & Wise to Three Hundred & Nineteen 25/100 dollars. Therefore, It is considered, that the said Swasey & Wise recover of the said D. M. Inskeep, the sum of Three Hundred & nineteen & 25/100 dollars, their damages aforesaid, in form aforesaid assessed, & also their costs in this behalf expended taxed to $

Matilda A Gamble
vs
Benjamin Gamble } Continued

Thursday November 6th A.D. 1856

Isaac P. Gammans
Vs
John A. McCreight } Continued

D. J. Woodbury & Co
Vs
H. J. King } Judgment by Default

This day came the said D. J. Woodbury & Co By their Attorney, and the said H. J. King though solemnly called, came not, but made default; whereupon, It is considerd that the said D. J. Woodbury & Co ought to recover his damages by reason of the premises, and neither of the parties requiring a Jury, the Court being fully advised in the premises, do assess the damages of the said D. J. Woodbury & Co to Three hundred & Thirty three & 45/100 dollars, - Therefore it is considered, that the said D. J. Woodbury & Co recover of the said Henry J. King the said sum of $ 333 45/100 their damages aforesaid, in form aforesaid assessed, and also their costs in this behalf expended, taxed to $.

Raymond Burr &
Phebe Gooding, Admrx } Judgment by Default,
Vs
C. M. Robinson &
S. M. Kimball, } This day came the said Raymond Burr & Phebe Gooding, Admrx of George Gooding, Decd, by their attorney, & the said C. M. Robinson, & S. M. Kimball, came not but made default; whereupon it is considered that the said Raymond Burr & Phebe Gooding, as such Admrx ought to recover their damages by reason of the premises, and neither of the parties requiring a Jury, the Court being fully advised in the premises, do assess the damages of the said Burr & Gooding as such Admrx to Two hundred & Twenty Eight & 84/100 dollars - Therefore it is considered, that the said plaintiffs recover of the said defendants, the said sum of $228.84/100 his damages aforesaid found due & also his costs in this behalf expended, taxed to $

Thursday November 6th A.D. 1856

Frankenburg & Co. vs John Douglass Ellen Douglass & Timothy H. Elwell	Petition on Note & Mortgage

This day came the said Frankenburg & Co. by their attorney, and the said John Douglass, Ellen Douglass & Timothy H Elwell, still failing to demur or answer to the said petition, It is considered that the said plaintiffs ought to recover the amount due them by reason of the premises; and the Court with the consent of the said plaintiffs, find that there is due from the John & Ellen Douglass defendants, to the plaintiffs on the note in the petition set forth, the sum of Two hundred & Twenty four 16/100 dollars,

It is therefore considered by the said court here that the said plaintiffs recover of the said John & Ellen Douglass defendants the said sum of Two hundred & Twenty four 16/100 dollars, the sum so found due as aforesaid, and also their costs herein expended taxed to $

And it is further ordered and adjudged, that in case the said defendant fails for ten days from the close of this term of the court, to pay to the plaintiffs the sum so found due as aforesaid, with costs of suit, an order issue to the Sheriff of this County, commanding him to cause the lands & tenements in said petition described, to be appraised, advertised, & sold according to Laws and apply the proceds of said sale in satisfaction of the said judgment so as aforesaid rendered, after the payment of T. H Elwell's prior lien It is further considered that the above Judgment bear ten per cent interest till paid,

William Dunn vs Robert G. Dunn	This cause came on for a hearing on demurrer Whereupon the Demurrer, is sustained & leave granted to plaintiffs to amend their petition, Amended petition filed & cause continued

Thursday November 6th A.D. 1856

Naturalization of Michael Martin — Issued to Martin

Michael Martin an alien and native of a free white person, this day came into court and proved to the satisfaction of the Court, that he made in the Court of Common Pleas, for the County & City of New York, more than two years ago the requisite declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States, that he has resided in the United States for five years last past, that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio, and that during all that time, he has behaved as a man of good moral character, attached to the principles of the Constitution of the United States, and well disposed to the good order and happiness of the same, And thereupon the said Michael Martin in Open Court here made solemn oath, that he will support the Constitution of the United States, and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and abjure all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign Prince, Potentate, State or Sovereignty, and particularly all allegiance & fidelity to Victoria Queen of Great Brittain & Ireland whose subject he was, Whereupon It is Ordered by the Court that a Certificate of Naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this application

+ Thomas Stillings vs O. W. Norton — This cause came on for hearing on demurrer, Demurrer sustained, & leave granted to file amended petition in 30. days & Cause continued

+ Bradley Burnham Lamb & Co vs S. A. Tunks & wife — Continued under former order

Thursday November 6th A.D. 1856

Naturalization of Frederick Noelp, George L. Noelp & John Murphy

Frederick Noelp & George Leonard Noelp, aliens and natives of Bavaria in Germany & John Murphy, a native of Ireland, free white persons, this day came into Court and proved to the satisfaction of the Court, that Frederick Noelp & John Murphy had made in this Court more than two years ago the requisite declaration of their intentions, & that George Leonard Noelp, had made the requisite declaration of his intentions more than two years ago, ~~to become~~ in Schenectady County Court in the State of New York, of becoming Citizens of the United States, that they have resided in the United States for five years Last past, that they have resided one year last past in the State of Ohio, and that during all that time, they have behaved as men of good moral character, attached to the principles of the Constitution of the United States, and well disposed to the good order and happiness of the same, And thereupon the said Frederick Noelp, George Leonard Noelp & John Murphy in open Court here made solemn oath, that they will support the Constitution of the United States, and that they do absolutely and entirely renounce and abjure all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign Prince, Potentate, State or Sovereignty, and particularly, all allegiance & fidelity to Victoria Queen of Great Brittain & Ireland & to Maximillian 2nd King of Bavaria in Germany, whose subjects they respectively were, Whereupon It is ordered by the Court that a Certificate of Naturalization be issued to each of them on payment of the costs of this application

Laird & Herschelsode vs Reed & Price

This cause came on to be heard, & neither party demanding a Jury, & the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations in the petition to be true & that there is due the plffs from the defendants the sum of Three Hundred Ninety nine & 8/100 dollars. It is therefore ordered & decreed that the plaintiffs recover of the defendants the said sum of Three Hundred & Ninety nine 8/100 dollars, found due as aforesaid, and their costs in this behalf expended taxed to dollars & cents

Thursday November 6th A.D. 1856

Ralph Moffett
vs
John D. Kohl & Elisha Wells } Injunction.

And now comes the said Plaintiff by his attorney, D.C. Doughty, & the Defendants failing to answer or Demur to the Petition, the same is taken as true and the Court order adjudge & decree that the Defendants deliver up to be cancelled, the several promissory notes in the petition described & be perpetually enjoined from demanding payment upon, or transfering the same,
And it now being known that D. C Doughty, Esqr has the said notes in his possession, he is ordered to cancel the same, and thereupon the said Doughty appeared & produced said notes and in compliance with the above order of the Court cancelled the same;
And it further appearing that the Plaintiff has paid John D Kohl one of the defendants fifty dollars in August 1851, which, payment is hereby ordered to be refunded to the Pltff with interest, now amounting in principal & interest to the sum of $53,00, Judgment is hereby adjudged against the said John D Kohl for said sum of fifty three dollars & also that the plaintiff recover of said John D Kohl his costs herein expended taxed to $
It is further ordered that Elisha Wells be dismissed without costs,

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the bar of this court, and presented their several bills of Indictment, against, William Reynolds, Harvey & Everett and William Waits, and having further business before them they retired again to their room

State of Ohio
vs
William Reynolds } The following named persons, to wit William Gladhill, John Stickney & Caleb Wash entered into a recognizance for their appearance at the next term of this Court, as witnesses for the State in this case & cause continued,

Thursday November 6th A.D. 1856

The State of Ohio vs Harvey E Everett } The following named persons, to wit; Albert Marriott, entered into a Bond, each in the sum of one hundred dollars recognizance, for his appearance at the next term of this Court, as a witness in this case, & cause continued

The State of Ohio vs William Watts } The following named persons, to wit; Milton M McMeens, & John Elmore Bond each in the sum of one hundred dollars entered into a recognizance for their appearance at the next term of this Court, as witnesses for the State in this case & cause continued.

Thereupon this Court adjourned until tomorrow morning at 8. o'clock

Wm. McCauley Judge.

Friday. November 7th A. D. 1856

This morning the Court met pursuant to adjournment, Present same officers as on yesterday —

Christian Lehman
vs
Wm H. Brelsford et
Rebecca Brelsford } Petition on a note and mortgage

This day came the said Christian Lehman by his attorney C. S. Hamilton and the said Wm. H. Brelsford still failing to demur or answer to the petition, it is considered that the plaintiff ought to recover the amount claimed in the petition, and the court with the consent of the plaintiff, find that there is due to the plaintiff; and that the said plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of one hundred and forty eight 2/100 $ together with ten percent interest thereon, and also his costs herein, taxed to $

And it is further adjudged that in case the defendant fail for ten days from the close of this term of court to pay to the said plaintiff the sum aforesaid with costs, an order issue to William H Robb, who is hereby appointed master commissioner of this court, commanding him to cause the said lands in the petition described, to be appraised, advertised & sold according to Law, and apply the proceeds of the sale in satisfaction of said Judgment;

The State of Ohio
vs
Joseph Cahill } Attachment

On motion of S. L. Porter, Prosecuting Atty is is ordered by the Court that an attachment issue against Joseph Cahill returnable forthwith, for a certain contempt by him lately committed against the State of Ohio, by not appearing as a witness before the Grand Jury in behalf of said State of Ohio, having been duly summoned as is alleged

The State of Ohio
vs
Joseph Cahill } In Attachment
Dismissed

Friday, November 7th A.D. 1856

X | The State of Ohio vs U. S. Morris | In attachment

On motion of L. L. Porter, Pros, Atty. It is ordered by the Court that an attachment issue against U. S. Morris, returnable at the next term of this Court, for a certain contempt by him lately committed against the state of Ohio, by not appearing as a witness before the Grand Jury in behalf of said State of Ohio, having been duly summoned as is alleged

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the bar of this Court, and presented their several bills of Indictment against William Sands, Jr, and David Smith, and also their report, and they having no farther business before them, were discharged

X | State of Ohio vs William Sands, Jr. | The following persons to wit, John Thompson, Junr, Nelson P. Thompson, & Hezekiah Graham, executed a bond each in the sum of one hundred dollars, conditioned for their appearance at the next term of Court to give testimony as witnesses in this case and cause continued,

√ | Thomas Anderson vs L. Conversee | On motion of Plaintiff this cause is dismissed. It is therefore considered that the defendant recover of the plaintiff his costs herein expended taxed to $

X | Sophia Sabin Etals vs Henry D King. | To recover possession of land

Leave granted to pltff to amend petition in 30 days & cause continued

Friday, November 7th A.D. 1856

Abraham Beck
vs
Archelaus Doty

Judgment by confession,

And now comes the said Abraham Beck by his Attorney, & the said Archelaus Doty, defendant, in his own proper person, and says that he is justly indebted to the said Abraham Beck in the sum of Seven hundred & fifty three dollars & Thirty seven cents, on certain promissory notes, copies of which are attached to the petition of the Plaintiff, filed as pleading, in this case, for money by the plaintiff paid, laid out, and expended, before the filing of said petition, to & for the defendant and for his use, and at his request, and the said Archelaus Doty confessed the same and wished Judgment rendered against him therefor—

It is therefore considered by the court here, that the said Abraham Beck recover against the said Archelaus Doty the said Sum of Seven hundred & fifty three & 37/100 dollars, the debt so as aforesaid confessed, with ten per cent interest till paid, & also his costs herein expended taxed to $

Sophia Sabin Et als
vs
Henry A. King

To rescind contract

Leave granted to amend petition within 30 days & cause continued

Johnathan McCrary
vs
Rebecca Shumway &
James Valentine

This day came the parties by their Attorneys & this cause was submitted to the Court, & the Court do find that the several matters & things contained in the answer of the said defendants are true, as the said defendants hath in their answers alleged, It is therefore considered that the said defendants go hence without day, and recover of the said plaintiff their costs in this behalf expended, taxed to $, Notice of appeal given by Plaintiffs, and the Court do fix the appeal bond at two hundred dollars,

Friday November 7th A.D. 1856

Manchester & Titus
vs
Joseph Glasscock

This cause came on to be heard & was submitted to the Court, & the Court being fully advised in the premises, do find that the said Joseph Glasscock doth owe to the said Manchester & Titus the sum of Eighty one cents, Therefore it is considered that the said Manchester & Titus recover of the said Joseph Glasscock the sum of Eighty one cents & also that each party pay their own costs herein expended taxed to dollars and cents Notice of Appeal given by plaintiffs, & the Court do fix the appeal bond at one hundred dollars

Reed Miller &
Zehu Gray
against
Dearing Chapel
Martha Chapel his wife &
William H Allyn

Civil Action

This cause came on to be heard and defendants being in default & neither party requiring a Jury this cause is submitted to the Court & the Court having heard the proofs, do find that the plaintiffs are the owners of the notes & mortgage described in the petition, as therein stated, and that the notes therein described & a copy of one of which is annexed to said petition was intended to be described in & secured by said mortgage by the parties thereto; That on the note described in said petition & a copy of which is annexed to said petition (after deducting two hundred dollars paid to the plaintiffs in person October 9th 1856 by Dearing Chapel) there is yet due to plaintiffs on said note two hundred & twenty nine dollars & Eighty one cents from Dearing Chapel as maker and from Wm H Allyn as indorser of said note, That on the other note described in said petition, there will become due to plaintiff from defendant, Dearing Chapel as maker & defendant Allyn as indorser on the 1st day of March 1857. the sum of $436.67/100 and the remaining note described in said mortgage is owned by said Allyn & will become due & payable march 1st 1858. and that said notes are a lien on the mortgaged premises, having priority according to the time each

Friday, November 7th A.D. 1856

became & will become due, the last due being the latest lien. It is therefore considered ordered & adjudged that the said mortgage be & is reformed & shall have effect so as to secure said notes,

It is also considered, ordered & adjudged that the plaintiffs recover of said bearing Chapel as maker & defendant Allyn as indorser of said note, said sum of Two hundred & Twenty nine dollars & Eighty one cents, due on said note a copy of which is annexed to said petition, and the costs of this action to be taxed by the Clerk

It is also considered, ordered & adjudged that the Sheriff of Union County, for the time being, advertise, appraise & sell according to law, the said mortgaged premises described in the petition to satisfy said sums so due and to become due & the costs of this action and that the proper writ & order issue to said Sheriff accordingly and that all equity of redemption & right of dower be barred & foreclosed after the confirmation of a sale of said premises herein

And it is ordered, considered & adjudged that the proceeds of the sale of said premises be appropriated & applied as follows, 1st To pay the costs of this action,

2nd " To pay the Judgment herein, being the said amount due plaintiff Two hundred & Twenty nine & 81/100 $

3d To pay said sum of Four hundred & Thirty six & 67/100 dollars to become due to plaintiff March 1st 1857 as aforesaid and interest after that,

4. & lastly to pay the amount to become due defendant Allyn on his said note when due, & this cause is continued for report of sale &c

The State of Ohio
vs
William Walley

Continued for service

Friday, November 7th A.D. 1856

Isaac S. Gardner
William Thomas &
Samuel Miller,
Partners as Gardner
Thomas & Co. plaintiffs
against
James W Evans
Joshua Judy &
C. Rathbun Defts

Civil Action

This day came the plaintiffs, Isaac S. Gardner, William Thomas & Samuel Miller, and the said defendants James W Evans, Joshua Judy & C. Rathbun, and each of them having failed to demur or answer to the petition of said plaintiffs & neither party requiring a Jury this cause is submitted to the court, and the court find that there is due from the defendants to the plaintiffs, Five hundred & sixteen $\frac{50}{100}$ dollars with interest at the rate of ten per centum yearly from this date Nov. 7th 1856, that being the rate of interest specified in the note upon which this action was brought, It is therefore considered ordered & adjudged that said plaintiff recover of said defendants said sum of, Five hundred & Sixteen $\frac{50}{100}$ dollars so due with interest to be computed thereon at the rate of ten per centum yearly & the costs of this action to be taxed by the Clerk,
And it being made to appear to the court that defendants Joshua Judy & C. Rathbun, each signed said note sued on, as sureties for defendant, Evans, it is certified that said Evans is principal debtor & that defendants Judy & Rathbun are each sureties for said Evans

The State of Ohio
vs
William Whelpley
} Dismissed

The State of Ohio
vs
Gideon Draper
} Dismissed

Thereupon this Court adjourned until tomorrow morning at 8. O'clock

B Newcomb Co Judge

Saturday. November 8th A.D. 1856

This Morning the Court of Common Pleas of Union County met pursuant to adjournment. Present same officers as on yesterday

Jacob Hawk
vs
George Evans & wife } Pet on Note & Mortgage

And now comes the plaintiff by his Attorneys & the defendants being called came not but made default, & neither party demanding a Jury, this cause was submitted to the Court, & the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition to be true & that there is due the plaintiff from the defendant George Evans, the sum of one hundred & fifteen dollars & fifty cents as set forth in said petition, which sum is secured by mortgage as set forth in the petition. It is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant, George Evans, the said sum of one hundred & fifteen dollars & fifty cents & his costs herein expended taxed to dollars & cents

And it is further ordered that in case said sum of money remain unpaid for ten days from the close of this term, an order shall issue to the Sheriff of this County, who is hereby appointed special master commissioner for that purpose, commanding him to sell the real estate in said petition described in accordance with law and apply the proceeds to the payment of said Judgment

The State of Ohio
vs
Christian Adams } Transcript
In this case the Grand Jury did not find a bill of Indictment

The State of Ohio
vs
Josiah Jenkins } Transcript
In this case the Grand Jury did not find a bill of Indictment

Saturday November 8th A.D. 1856

X	Henry H Darlis vs Calvin Richards	Continued
X	Jason Chapman vs Samuel Lewis. Et als	Continued
X	George W Wheeler vs Richard Wheeler	Continued
X	Col. Pig & Linda Rail Road Co. vs John Mitchell Et als	Hearing on Demurrer & cause Continued
X	Samuel H Ross vs Andrew Reed Et als	In Partition on motion of counsel for plaintiff, no one appearing for defendants,

this cause was submitted to the court, the defendants being in default, and thereupon the court being fully advised in the premises do order decree and adjudge that the heirs of Wm W. Irwin decd (to whom the patent for survey No 4610 in said petition described was issued in trust for the heirs of Andrew Reed, decd) within ten days from this date convey by deed of release the said survey to the following persons the present heirs of Andrew Reed, decd and their assignees to wit, the plaintiff Samuel H Ross, Jane E. Reed, David L. Reed, Andrew B Reed, Jane Laughead, Esther Carr. Margaret & John Carr, Susannah Allison & her husband — Allison, Adeline L & Adam Rankin, Margaret A Reed, William Reed, John Reed, Andrew R. Stewart, John Stewart, William Stewart, Robert Stewart, James Stewart

Saturday, November 8th A.D. 1856

Mary Dunn & her husband — Dunn & Susannah Alexander, to hold the same survey of Land in fee simple, and the plaintiff demanding partition & being found to be so entitled, it is further ordered that said survey of Land be so partitioned and divided as to set off to each of said persons their respective parts of said land in severalty. It is therefore ordered and decreed that by the oaths of Thomas Stillings, B. Ne. B. Griswold & A. F. Wilkins commissioners, partition be made of said lands in the following proportions, to wit; To the said Jane E Reed, David Jesse Reed, and Andrew P. Reed, together the 2/9th parts to be set off in that part which is at present in their possession, 2nd to the plaintiff Samuel H Ross as heir & assignee of 22/63 parts, To Jane Laughead 1/27 part, To Esther Carr 1/27th, — Margaret & John Carr 1/27th, To Susannah Allison & her husband 1/45th, To Adeline L. Rankin & her husband Adam Rankin 1/45th, To Margaret A Reed 1/45th To William Reed 1/45th, To John Reed 1/45th — To Andrew R. Stewart 1/63d — To John Stewart 1/63d To William Stewart 1/63d — To Robert Stewart 1/63d James Stewart 1/63d To Mary Dunn & her husband 1/63d & To Susannah Alexander 1/9th, And it is further ordered that a writ of partition issue to the Sheriff of Union County, aforesaid commanding him by the oaths of the above named commissioners he make said partition as aforesaid and return his proceedings in the premises at the next term of this court, And it is further ordered and decreed that in default of the execution of a deed of release by the heirs of Wm W Irwin dec'd as in this decree required, this decree shall operate as such conveyance, the same as if such conveyance had in reality been fully executed,

And this cause is referred to John Bloods Esq. Master Commissioner to report by the next term of this court, the amount of taxes interest & charges which the plaintiff rightly has against the 7/9 of said Survey in his possession, now remaining a lien thereon, for taxes paid, tax titles redeemed, Surveys made & improvements made & such like, to which time this Cause is continued,

Saturday, November 8th A.D. 1856

Manchester & Litus
vs
Milton Colver

This day came the parties & their attorneys & thereupon came a Jury, to wit: Nicholas H Burnham, David R. White, James Wilber, Alfred V. Morse, John Reed, 3d, Samuel R Reed, Wm Foster, D. Anderson, Adam Richey, Jacob Parthemore, Othniel Dewett and John Bonnett, who being empanneled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the parties, upon their oaths do say that said Manchester & Litus ought to recover of the defendant, Milton Colver the sum of five dollars,

It is therefor considered by the court that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum of Five dollars, so as aforesaid found due from the said defendant to the plaintiff, and also their costs in and about their suit in this behalf expended taxed at dollars and cents

Notice of appeal given by both parties & each of them, & the Court do fix the appeal bond at Five hundred dollars

John P. Stout
against
Elizabeth L Stout

Petition for divorce

And now comes the said John P. Stout by Hamilton & Lincoln his attorneys and this cause came on to be heard upon the petition and testimony, on consideration whereof, the Court do find that due notice of the filing and pendency of this petition was given to the said defendant according to law, and the court do further find that the defendant had been guilty of Adultery with one Samuel Arnold, as in the petition declared, prior to the filing of said petition. It is therefore adjudged and decreed that the Marriage relation heretofore existing between the said parties be and the same is hereby set aside and wholly annulled and the said parties wholly released from the obligations of the same

And it is further considered by the Court that the Plaintiff pay the costs of this suit, to be taxed by the Clerk, within ten days or in default thereof that execution issue therefor

Saturday November 8th 1856

Thomas Little
vs
George Rule
} continued

Sarah Owen
vs
James G. Ford
} continued

John Doe Ex Dem
Woodson
vs
James G Ford
} continued

George Rule
vs
Thomas Woodson Et als
} continued

James G Ford
vs
Thomas Woodson Et als
} continued

Louisa Baepler
vs
John L Geer
} continued

Gotlieb Baepler
vs
Susan Baepler
} continued

David H Silver
vs
Daniel Rupel
} continued

Saturdays November 8th 1856

Stephen Swanston Etals
vs
John Y. Sabin Etals
} Continued

James A Stirrat
vs
Rowland Lee
} Continued

Adam Shower & wife
vs
Benj Lappan
} Continued

John Crowder
vs
Salmon Crowder Etals
} Pet on Note & Mortgage

And now comes the said John Crowder by L. B. Coats his attorney and the said Salmon Crowder still failing to demur or answer to the said petition, and the same being hereby dismissed as to the said Erastus Martin. It is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him from the said Salmon Crowder by reason of the premiss, and the court with the consent of the plaintiff, find that there is due from the said defendant Salmon Crowder to the said plaintiff on the note in the said petition set forth the sum of Two hundred and seventy three dollars, the sum so found due as aforesaid and also his costs taxed to

dollars & cents

And it is further ordrd and adjudged that in case the said defendant Salmon Crowder fails for ten days from the close of this term of the court to pay to the plaintiff the said sum of Two hundred and seventy three dollars as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order issue to Wm H Rott, Sheriff, as special master in this case, Commanding him to cause the said lands & tenements in said petition described to wit! Sixty acres of land situate in Union county, Ohio being

Saturday November 8th 1856

part of Surv^y N^o 12308 in the Virginia Military District described as follows, Beginning at a stone westerly corner to lot No 1, in the North line of the Surv^y, thence with the North line thereof North 50°50' East 70 poles to a stone, corner to Nathaniel M Crowders lot, thence with his line south 36°50' East 139 poles to a stone in the north line of lot N^o 5, thence South 50°50', West 70 poles to a Linn Ironwood & Dogwood, corner to Lot N^o 5, thence North 36°30', West 139 poles to the beginny containy sixty acres more or less, to be appraised, advertised and sold acording to law, and the proceeds of said sale applied in satisfaction of said Judgment &c as aforesaid rendered

George W Smith
vs
Josephus Jordan

This day came the parties & their Attorneys and thereupon came a Jury to wit; James Reed, D. W. Brooks, Nathaniel Raymond, A. L. Wilkins, George Stewart, Harrison Rice, Samuel Smith, R. A. Shandrack, David L Welch, Philip Snider, Wm M Robinson, Lewis H Lee, who being empannelled and sworn, the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the parties, upon their oaths do say, that they find for the defendant, Therefore, It is considered by the Court that the said Josephus Jordan, go hence without day, and recover of the said George W Smith his costs in this behalf expended, taxed to dollars and cents. Notice of appeal given by plaintiff, and the Court do fix the appeal bond to two hundred dollars,

Elihu Phelps
vs
Milton Colvin &
Thomas A Shelden

Motion to foreclose mortgage
Leave granted to plaintiff to amend pleadings & cause continued

Saturday November 8.th 1856

James Gill
vs
C. M. Robinson + Jesse Gill

Dismissed at defendants costs as per agreement on file,
Judgment for costs accordingly

A R Bowen
vs
J H. Said
& James. Briggs

Plaintiff

This day came the parties by their attorneys and by consent this cause is referred to C W B Allison Special Master commissioner to state an account, between said parties, on all Questions of Law and fact, in this Case, and Report to this Court on or before the Spring term of said Court, and it is further ordered, that the said C W B Allison be notified of his said appointment and this cause, stands continued

Phelander Manchester
vs
Corydon Winkler Et als

On motion of counsel for plaintiff this cause is dismissed as to Daley + Lockwood and Continued as to Corydon Winkler

Elias Lewis. Et als
vs
S. M.t V.y R. R. Co.r Joshua Leedy

motion to set aside levy on passenger car marked. D. + Baggage car No 1. + this motion was continued

Saturdays November 8th 1856

56 , Saturday, November 8th 1856

W. Metcalf Judge.

Tuesday April 7th A.D. 1857

This day the Court of Common Pleas, for the County of Union, & State of Ohio, convened in pursuance of Law— Present— M.C. Whitely, Judge— Wm H. Robb, Sheriff— John L. Porter, Prosecuting Attorney— & Saber Randall, Clerk—

The Jurors of the Grand Jury being called came to wit; William Scott, Elisha Wells, P. Manchester, A. L. Davis, D. B. Hays, Abram Sowel, Charles Botkins, Will. Baughard, C. L. Willcutt, Richard Hoskins, Mordicia Baughman Jr, G. W. Thompson, regular Jurors, and Joshua Judy, D. D Welsh and S. F. Kinney, talesmen,—
The Court appointed Joshua Judy, Foreman, and the Jury being duly empanneled, sworn and charged, retired to their room to deliberate

James, A. Marsh, Admr. of Squire Rice, Decd
vs
William Rice, Fanny Rice, Ebenezer Rice, John W Andrews, Executor of Lyne Starling & C. S. Hamilton

Petition to Sell Land

This cause was submitted to the Court & by consent of parties the claim of John W. Andrews, Executor of Lyne Starling, Decd which is a lien on the land in this case sold, is to be paid out of the proceeds of said sale, and the court finding that there is now due to said Executor for said land the sum of five hundred and seventy seven dollars, and twenty cents, and that said land is now owned by Rodger Poland, under the purchase aforesaid, from said Administrator, and the court do order and decree that said Executor, John W. Andrews execute and deliver to said Rodger Poland a deed in fee simple for said real estate described, as follows, to wit; Begining at a Sugartree and hickory, corner to Stillings in Dynes line, thence with said Dynes line passing his corner N 52° 50', E 171½ poles to a hickory & Elm corner to Leonard Peavers land, thence with Peavers line N 37, W 93 poles & 7 links to a stake, thence S. 52. 50' W 172½ poles to a stake & Elm & Hickory witness on Stillings line, thence with his line S 37° 45' E 93 poles & 7 links to the begining, containing One hundred acres and being the Southern half of the 200, acres sold to Oliphant & Rice in Survy No by Lyne Starling, now decd— The deed to be executed

Tuesday April 7th A. D. 1857

and delivered to said Poland for said land, when said sum of Five Hundred & Seventy Seven 20/100 dollars are paid — And the Court further order that said Administrator pay the costs of this proceeding out of said purchase money.

x	John B. Dumble vs Thomas R. Price	Continued under former order

This day the Jurors of the Grand Jury appeared at the Bar of this Court, and presented their report, and there being no further business before them they were discharged,

x	State of Ohio vs Wm Wait	Retailing Continued for service
x	State of Ohio vs Wm Wait	Retailing Continued for service
x	Lessee of Saml Stokely vs John Williams. Et als	Continued
x	Charles R. Deming vs James R. Snodgrass	Continued
x	Columbus, Piqua & Ind a Rail Road Company vs James Robinson	Continued
x	Ezra Reed vs George Winchester. Et als	Continued

Tuesday April 7th A.D. 1857

x	4	Columbus Pig & Ind Rail Road Company vs Wm Orr	Continued
x	1	Col Pig & Ind Rail Road Co vs David Hedges	Continued
x	1	Col Pig & Inda Rail Road Co vs John Mitchell	Continued
x	1	Col Pig & Inda Rail Road Co vs Benj Jenkins	Continued
x	1	Col Pig & Inda Rail Road Co vs Squire Leland	Continued
x	1	Col Pig & Inda Rail Road Co vs Charles Converse	Continued
x	1	Hiram Benton vs Bellefontaine & Delaware Rail Road Co	Continued

Tuesday April 7th A.D. 1857

x	Curtis Kempton vs Hannah Kempton	Continued
x	Julian Sannells vs John Sannells	Continued
x	Thomas Stillings vs W. W. Norton	Continued
√	Wm Westlake vs A Hamilton	Continued under former order
x	Philander Manchester vs Coryden Winkler	Continued
√	Louisa Baessler vs John Leonard Lees	Settled & costs paid No record
√	George League vs James League Hiram Cousens Ranson Tarpening	On motion of counsel for Plaintiffs this cause is dismissed without prejudice at costs of Plaintiffs No record- It is therefore considered that the Defendants recover of the Plaintiff their costs herein, taxed to $. or in default thereof that execution issue therefor
x	Evans & Johnson vs W. S. Morris	Continued

Tuesday April 7th A. D. 1857

+ Daniel Criswell vs Elihu Phelps — Continued under former order

+ Jacob Hawk vs George Evans & wife — Continued under former order

√ James A. Stewart vs Rowland Lee — Settled & costs paid. No record

x John Robinson vs C. M. Robinson & Wm H. Robinson — Continued

√ James Yeasley vs Mary Scott — Settled at costs of Plaintiff. It is therefore considered by the court that the Defendant recover of the Plaintiff his costs herein taxed at $ or in default thereof that execution issue therefor

√ James Yeasley vs Wm B Fowler — Settled at costs of Plaintiff. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Defendant recover of the Plaintiff his costs herein expended taxed to $ or in default thereof that execution issue therefor

130 Foreclosure

+ Addison Moore vs Thomas Leas — Continued under former order

Tuesday April 7th A.D. 1857

x	Wm H Sheldon & Co. vs C. Rathbun Etals	Continued
x	D & W Brooks vs Alexander Gandy	Continued
x	Robert Yeasley vs James O Carter	Continued
x	I. H. Elwell vs John Douglass & Wilson Reed	Continued
x	Asher Dille vs David Ellis Nathan Moffitt & John Robinson	Leave granted to file petition. Petition filed & cause continued
x	Nathan Evans vs Jesse Barnett	Continued
x	James Martin vs Columbus, Piqua & Ind Raild Road Co	Continued
x	Jerome Buckingham vs Alexander Shields	Continued

Tuesday April 7th A.D. 1857

X | Mary Dysert vs Joseph Dysert | Continued

√ | Christian M Broom vs Garret Harris. Etal | This cause was this day dismissed without prejudice at costs of Plaintiff.
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Defendant recover of the plaintiff his costs herein expended taxed to $. or in default thereof that execution issue therefor

√ | A. C. Gilbert vs Robert Welsh | Settled & costs paid
No record

† | Joseph N Rop vs Jacob Beam George Clark. Etals | This cause came on to be heard & by consent of parties it is hereby refered to John B [illegible] Coats. Master Commissioner to state an account & cause continued

See 128 √ | I. C. Reed vs L. B. Clevenger Etals | Settled & costs paid

† | Samtha White. Admx vs Same Harriott. Admr of William Ward | Continued

† | Admr of S. L. Miller vs Stephen McLain | Continued

Tuesday April 7th A. D. 1857

x	James. S. Wells & Ben Woods. Admrs vs Lame White	Continued
x	Cole & Piper vs Saul Bland	Continued
x	Elnathan Hathaway vs John W Sibbatts. Et als	Continued
x	John H. James vs Norman Reed	Continued
x	John Cassil vs Thomas R Price	Continued
✓	Stephen Cranston Et als vs John F Sabin. Et als	Stricken from the Docket at cost of Plaintiffs. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Defendants recover of the Plaintiffs their

Costs herein expended, taxed to $ thereof that execution issue therefor, or in default

Thereupon this Court adjourned until tomorrow morning at 8. O, clock.

M Whitley Judge

Wednesday, April 8th A.D. 1857

This morning the Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present same officers as on yesterday,

John Crowder vs Salmon Crowder } Confirmation of sale

On motion of the Plaintiff, by John B Coats his attorney, and on producing the return of the Sheriff of this County of a sale of real estate made by him on the 7th day of April A.D. 1857 to Emanuel Clark, on an execution issued in this case on the 27th day of February A.D. 1857, and the Court on examination of said proceedings and being satisfied that said sale has been made in all respects in conformity to law, It is ordered that the said sale, and proceedings be, and the same are hereby confirmed, and the said Sheriff is ordered to make to the purchaser, a deed for lands and tenements so sold, and that said Sheriff pay the costs of this action out of the purchase money, taxed to dollars, and cents

John G. Merritt &
Daniel A Merritt, Admrs
of Samuel Merritt, Decd
vs
Joseph Temple Jr. & als } This day came the petitioners by Porter & Lincoln, their Attorneys; and showed to the satisfaction of the Court, that the contract in said petition set forth, was duly made between Samuel Merritt, and Joseph Temple in his life time & has been fully complied with, and the purchase money paid by said Joseph Temple for the land there described, and that at the death of said Joseph Temple he willed said land to Joseph Temple Jr, as therein alledged, and that due notice of the pendency of this petition, and the demand thereof, has been given to the widow and heirs of Samuel Merritt, decd and the heirs of Joseph Temple deceased, and the Court being satisfied, that the said Joseph Temple, died after the order was made, as therein refered to, authorizing said administrators to to deed said land to said Joseph Temple, and before said deed was made, Therefore it is ordered that the said administrators for & in behalf of the heirs of Samuel

Wednesday April 8th A.D. 1857

Merritt, decd. do make, execute and deliver to said Joseph Temple Jr. a deed in fee simple for the lands & tenements in said petition described, according to Law, and it is further ordered that said order herein before made be set aside,

Shannon Reed
vs
Edward O. Stevenson
Minerva C. Evans

Petition for Partition

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition & exhibit of title and the court being advised in the premises & the defendants being duly notified of the filing & pendency of this petition, do order and decree that by the oaths of A. F. Wilkins, Joshua B Haines & Charles L Wilcut, partition be made of said lands in the following proportions, to wit: to the Petitioner the proportion of said land expressed by the fraction $\frac{428791}{863492}$ and to the defendants the proportion expressed by the fraction $\frac{435701}{863492}$ and it is further ordered that a writ of partition issue to the sheriff of Union County commanding him to cause said partition to be made accordingly and this cause is continued

Samuel M. Rose
vs
Andrew Reed, Et als

On motion of counsel for the plaintiff this cause came on to be heard on the report of John B Coals, Master Commissioner, and the court do find, order and decree that said report be confirmed, and it is ordered that the ten hundred and forty dollars, and three cents, found by said Master Commissioner to be a lien on the seven ninths of said Survey, be paid by the Plaintiff and Defendants in the same proportions as their respective shares in said land — The heirs of Jesse Reed having paid their proportion of the taxes and improvements &c are to pay no portion of said lien & the said $1040.03 is to be paid by the plaintiff, and the defendants, aforesaid, except the heirs of Jesse Reed.

And the court do further order and modify the order of partition made in this case at its last term, so as to assign & set off to John Carr, the shares

Wednesday April 8th A.D. 1857

which was then assigned to Esther Carr & Jane Laughead, from whom he purchased their shares, making for said John Carr and Margaret Carr, one ninth of said real estate & the commissioners aforesaid, appointed are further ordered to make the partition of said lands in the proportions set forth in said former order except as hereinbefore modified & this cause is continued

Mary Jackson
vs
Hensen Merryman &
Darius B. Norris

On motion of counsel for plaintiff this cause is continued, at plaintiffs costs

Judgment for costs accordingly

Leave granted to Plaintiff to amend petition in thirty days.

E. J. Nugent
vs
I. Burt

On motion of counsel for plaintiff this cause is dismissed without prejudice at plaintiffs cost, without record.

It is therefore considered that the defendant recover of the Plaintiff his costs herein expended taxed to $. on in default thereof, that execution issue therefor

David H Silver
vs
Daniel Russell

Civil action

On motion of plaintiffs counsel, no one appearing for defendant, & he being in default and neither party demanding a Jury, the cause was submitted to the court and thereupon the court being fully advised in the premises, do find that the defendant is indebted to plaintiff in manner & form as set forth in said petition, the sum of six hundred & seventy five dollars, on ten per cent interest.

It is therefore considered and adjudged that said plaintiff recover of said defendant the said sum of Six hundred and seventy five dollars, with ten per cent interest thereon from this date & his costs in this behalf expended taxed to dollars and cents

Wednesday April 8th A. D. 1857

X	John Doe Ex dem of Thomas Woodson. Et als vs James. G. Ford & George Rule	In Ejectment
	and	
X	Thomas Little Et als vs James. G. Ford, & George Rule	Civil action
	and	
X	Sarah Owen vs James. G. Ford & George Rule	Civil action

On motion to the Court and. by consent of parties it is ordered that the foregoing, three causes be and they are hereby consolidated, and that the subject matter of said actions be all disposed of in one action in the name of. Sarah Owen, Samuel Woodson, Elizabeth McDaniel, Anderson McDaniel, May Coy, Thomas Woodson, Margaret Southard, Fielding Southard, Wm Wheatley, Joseph Woodson, Lucy Ann. Kelly, Quinton Kelly, Thomas. Woodson, Elizabeth Woodson, Madison Woodson, Hughes Woodson, Martha Woodson, Nancy McCormick, Wm McCormick, Melissa P. Malone, Thomas Malone, Sarah Ellen Woodson, Wm Woodson, Virginia A Woodson, Virginia Jewell, and Thomas Jewell, Plaintiffs against, James. G. Ford and George Rule, Defendants,

X	Sarah Owens, & Others, Plffs, vs James. G. Ford & George Rule, Defts,	Civil Action, Consolidated,

This day came the parties by their. Attorneys, and neither party demanding a Jury. by consent of parties said cause is submitted to the Court upon the issue joined, and the Court having heard the evidence and arguments of. counsel,

Wednesday April 8th A D 1857

do find that the plaintiffs have the title to, and are entitled to the posession of forty eight, and three fourths, undivided sixtieth parts of the premises, in the petition described. It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover of the defendants forty eight and three fourths, undivided sixtieth parts, of the premises in the petitions described and also their costs herein expended to be taxed by the Clerk, & thereupon on motion of counsel for defendants & by consent of parties the defendants have the benefit of the occupying claimant law & It is ordered that a Jury be empaneled & view & appraise according to the occupying claimant Law, Notice of appeal by defendants, Bond to be given in one hundred dollars

Gotlieb Baesler
vs
Susan Baesler } Petition for Divorce

And now comes the said Gotlieb Baesler by Thomas Brown his Attorney, and thereupon, this cause came on to be heard, upon the petition and testimony and was argued by counsel, on consideration whereof the Court do find that due notice of the filing and pendency of this petition, was given to the said defendant, according to Law, and the court do further find that the said defendant has been willfully absent from the said petitioner for more than three years prior to the filing of said petition. It is therefore adjudged and decreed by the Court that the marriage relation heretofore existing between the said parties be and the same is hereby set aside and wholly annulled and the said parties wholly released from the obligations of the same. And it is further ordered that said complainant pay the costs of this suit. taxed to $

David H. Silver
vs
Elisha Wright } Continued

Wm Dun
vs
R. G. Dun } Continued

Wednesday Appil 8th A.D. 1857

George Rule, Plaintiff, against Thomas Woodson. Etals } Civil Action

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and by consent of Parties. (neither party requiring a Jury) and the Court having heard the evidence and arguments of counsel. do find that the premises in the plaintiffs petition mentioned are part and parcel of Virginia Military Survey No. 5008. which was located by James Galloway in the year 1806. under a contract with Jesse Woodson one of the children and Heirs at Law of Captain Hughs Woodson, deceased, — That by said contract said Galloway bound himself to warrant the title to the lands located on the warrants mentioned in said contract, and the said Woodson bound himself to convey to said Galloway one fourth part of the lands so located. That the said Jesse Woodson on or about the day of A.D. 1816 executed and delivered to the said James Galloway a deed in fee simple for one hundred and twenty acres of Land in said Survey in performance of his said contract, which deed also purported to be executed by the defendants, by the said Jesse Woodson their Attorney in fact, and that the plaintiff claims title to said premises by successive conveyances from the said James Galloway. And the Court do further find that the defendants and the said Jesse Woodson are the only heirs at Law of the said Capt Hughs Woodson, for whose services the Warrants upon which said lands were located, was issued, That at the date of the power of Attorney produced by said Jesse Woodson, the said defendants were infants under the age of majority, and that in fact said power of Attorney was never in fact executed by them, and that ~~this~~ so much of said deed as purports to convey to said Galloway the interest of the defendants is null and void, But the Court do further find that inasmuch as said location, entry and Survey were beneficial to the defendants, it is equitable that they should contribute their just proportion of the reasonable expenses of making said Survey, and

Wednesday April 8th A.D. 1857

that one undivided fifth part of the land is a reasonable charge for making said entry, and the plaintiff as the grantee is entitled to have and hold one undivided fifth part ~~of the~~ interest and estate of each of the defendants in the petition described, subject to the reduction hereinafter mentioned,

And the court do further find that one hundred
And Seven acres of the land contained in the original Survey made by said Galloway was lost to the defendants by an interference with a prior Entry and Survey & that it is just that said loss should fall upon said Galloway and those claiming under him, and that
Eighty six acres of the amount so lost shall be deducted from the amount to which said Galloway is entitled for making said Survey,

And the court do further find that after making the deduction aforesaid, the plaintiff is entitled to eleven and one fourth acres of the land in his petition, described to be held in common with the defendants

It is therefore ordered and considered by the Court, that the plaintiff be quieted in his title and possession to one undivided eleven and one fourth, eightieth parts of said premises, and that defendants do release and convey to plaintiff all their right title, interest and estate therein, and that, defendants be quieted in their title to forty eight and three fourth, undivided eightieth parts, of said premises, and that plaintiff release & convey to defendants all their right title interest and estate therein & It is further ordered that the parties pay the costs of this proceeding in proportion to the amount assigned them respectively,

James G. Ford, Plaintiff
vs
Thomas Woodson, Etals

Notice of appeal by plaintiff, Bond to be given in One Hundred dollars

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and by consent of Parties, (neither part requiring a Jury) and the Court having heard the evidence and arguments of counsel do find, that the premises, in the plaintiffs petition mentioned, are part and parcel of Virginia Military Survey No 8008, which was located by James Galloway in the year 1806, under a contract with Jesse Woodson, one of the children and Heirs at Law of Capt Hughes Woodson, deceased, That by said contract said

Wednesday April 8th A.D. 1857

Galloway bound himself to warrant the title to the lands located on the warrants mentioned in said contract, and the said Woodson bound himself to convey to said Galloway one fourth of the lands so located. That the said Jesse Woodson on or about the day of , A.D. 1846 executed and delivered to the said Dannes Galloway a deed in fee simpl for one hundred and twenty acres. of Land in said Survey in performance of his said contract, which deed also purported to be executed by the defendants by the said Jesse Woodson their Attorney in fact, and that the plaintiff claims title to said premises by sucessive conveyances from the said Dannes Galloway

And the Court do further find that the defendants and the said Jesse Woodson, are the only heirs at Law of the said Captain Hughs Woodson for whose services the Warrants upon which said lands were located, was issued — That at the date of the power of Attorney produced by said Jesse Woodson, the said defendants were infants under the age of majority, and that in fact said power of Attorney was never in fact executed by them, and that so much of said deed as purports to convey to said Galloway the interest of the defendants or any part thereof is null and void, But the court do further find that in as much as said location, entry and Survey were beneficial to the defendants, it is equitable that they should contribute their just proportion of the reasonable expenises of making said Survey and that one undivided fifth part of the land is a reasonable charge for making said entry, and the plaintiff as the grantee is entitled to have and hold one undivided fifth part of the interest and estate of each of the defendants in the petition described subject to the reduction hereinafter mentioned,

And the Court do further find that one hundred and seven acres of the land, contained in the original Survey made by said Galloway was lost to the defendants by an interference with a prior Entry and Survey, and that it is just that said loss should fall upon said Galloway and those claiming

Wednesday April 8th A.D. 1857

under him Eighty nine acres of and that the amount so lost shall be deducted from the amount to which said Galloway is entitled for making said Survey

And the Court do further find that after making the deduction aforesaid, the plaintiff is entitled to eleven and one fourth acres of the Land in his petition described, to be held in common with the defendants

It is therefore ordered and considered by the Court that the plaintiff be quieted in his title and posession to one undivided eleven and one fourth, sixtieth part of said premises, and that defendants do release and convey to plaintiff all their right title interest and estate therein, and that defendant be quieted in their title to forty eight and three fourth, undivided, Sixtieth parts of said premises, and that plaintiff release and convey to defendants all ~~their~~ his right title interest and estate therein. It is further ordered that the partels pay the costs of this proceeding in proportion to the amount assigned them, respectively, Notice of appeal by ~~defendants~~ Plaintiff, Bond to be given in one hundred dollars

Wm Orr
vs
John C. Mitchell & A. R. Mitchell
} Petition

And now comes the said William Orr, and the said John C. Mitchell and A. R. Michell having failed to demur or answer to the Petition of the said William Orr,

It is considered that said William Orr, ought to recover of the defendants the sum of Twenty four dollars, as demanded in his petition, his debt and interest, together with his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed to dollars and cents,

Joseph Carson
vs
George Bowersmith & wife
} Confirmation

This day the Court on motion of Messrs Powell & Van Deman, Attys for Plaintiff, having examined the proceedings of the Sheriff as special master Commissioner, and the sale by him made upon the order of sale issued in this cause, and being satisfied that said sale has in all respects been made in conformity to the provisions of the Statute in such case made and provided, do order the clerk

Wednesday April 8th A.D. 1857

to make an Entry on the Journal that the court are satisfied of the legality of the sale; and that he also enter an order on the Sheriff, as special master commissioner, to make to ~~the~~ each of the purchasers thereof, respectively, deeds for the lands and tenements so sold to them as aforesaid, All which is entered accordingly

$1180.00

David Beard &
James Robinson Admr
of Andrew H Beard. decd
vs
Martha Beard, Martha
S. Beard. Samue D
Beard, Andrew Beard,
Robert Bleurry. Sarah
Curry. Wm McCampbell,
Grace M McCampbell,
Margaret A Beard,
Elizabeth Beard
Harriett Beard
David Beard
Mary B Beard
Catherine B Beard
Wm M. Snodgrass
and Betsy Snodgrass
and Francis Oliver

Petition to complete real contract

On motion of plaintiffs counsel no one appearing for defendants & they being in default and neither demanding a Jury this cause was submitted to the court and the court being fully advised in the premises, do find that the defendants ought to release their interest in said real estate to Francis Oliver, and that the authority ought to be granted to plaintiffs to make a deed of conveyance of said real Estate to said Oliver. Therefore it is ordered and decreed that plaintiffs execute & deliver to said Oliver & her heirs a deed in fee simple for said Land. conveying the entire interest of defendants therein upon the payment to them of the entire purchase money due the Estate of Andrew H Beard, decd therefor. — It is further ordered that the said Administrators out of said purchase money, pay the costs of this proceeding taxed to dollars and cents,

Hamilton Blue
vs
Wm Whitaker

Continued

Wednesday April 8th A.D. 1857

William W. Woods
P. B. Cole
John Cassil
Gustavus A Cassil
James W. Robinson
vs
Thomas Riley

On motion of plaintiffs counsel, no one appearing for the defendant & he being in default, and neither party demanding a Jury, this cause was submitted to the Court. Whereupon the court being fully advised in the premises, do order and decree that said contract be and the same is hereby rescinded and made of no effect in Law, And the court do further order & decree that the notes in said petition described shall be and the same are hereby canceled and of no further effect in Law And it is ordered that defendant pay the costs of this proceeding taxed to dollars & cents

John Cassil,
William W. Woods
Gustavus A Cassil
James W. Robinson
Philander B Cole
vs
J. H. Felkner

Civil Action Petition to Foreclose Mortgage

On motion of Plaintiffs counsel, no one appearing for defendant, and he being in default, and neither party requiring a Jury, the cause was submitted to the Court, and thereupon, the court being fully advised in the premises, do find that the defendant is indebted to plaintiffs in manner and form set forth in said petition, in the sum of Twenty one hundred & Eighty nine dollars & fifty three cents, which is also a Mortgage lien on the 200 acres of Land in the petition described.

Therefore it is ordered and decreed that the plaintiffs recover of the defendant, the said sum of Twenty one hundred and Eighty nine dollars & fifty-three cents, and their costs in this behalf expended taxed to dollars, and in default of the payment of said sum of money & costs in ten days from the rising of this court, it is ordered and decreed that the Sheriff of said county of Union, who is appointed Special Master for that purpose, proceed to sell said real estate according to Law & this cause is continued,

Wednesday, April 8th A.D. 1857

John Cassil
W. W. Woods
Gustavus A Cassil
James W Robinson
P. B. Cole
against
A. R. Bowen

Civil Action to foreclose,

On motion of plaintiffs counsel, no one appearing for defendant and he being in default, and neither party requiring a Jury, the cause was submitted to the court and thereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that the defendant is indebted to plaintiffs in manner & form set forth in said petition, ~~in the sum~~ in the sum of Sixteen Hundred and ninety five dollars and seventy three cents, which is also a mortgage lien on the 100. acres of land in said petition described, Therefore it is ordered and decreed that the plaintiffs recover of the defendant the said sum of Sixteen hundred and Ninety five dollars and Seventy three cents, with ten per cent interest and their costs in this behalf expended taxed to dollars, and in default of the payment of said sum of money & costs in ten days from the rising of this court, it is ordered and decreed that the Sheriff of said county of Union, who is appointed special master for that purpose, proceed to sell said real estate according to Law & this cause is continued Notice of appeal by defendant

with ten per cent Interest

C. Bradley. Et als
vs
Wm Graham. Et als

On Motion, and it appearing that the lands levied upon in this case have been twice offered, and not sold for want of bidders, It is ordered that the appraisement be set aside and a new one made & cause continued

C. Bradley Et als,
vs
Geo McGee. Et als

On motion of Plaintiffs attorney, and it appearing that the lands levied upon in this case have been twice offered, and not sold for want of bidders, It is ordered that the appraisement be set aside and a new one made & cause continued

Wednesday April 8th A.D. 1857

x	Dason Chapman vs Saml Lewis, Etals	Continued
x	Bradley, Burnham Lamb & Company vs S. A. Sanks & wife	Continued under former order
x	Geo. W. Wheeler vs R. C. Wheeler	Continued at cost of Defendant. Judgment for costs.
/	Col. Pry & Inda Rail Road Co vs John Mitchell, Etals	Bill of review Dismissed at cost of Plaintiffs This cause coming on was submitted on demurrer by counsel of Defendants, and thereupon the Court do sustain the demurrer & It is thereupon ordered and decreed that said Bill of Review be & the same is hereby dismissed at the costs of the plaintiffs & It is ordered and decreed that the plaintiffs to this Bill of review pay the costs herein expended taxed to $ within ten days from the rising of the court & in default thereof that execution issue therefor
x	David Hamilton & wife vs David Beard, Etals	Continued
/	Sophia Sabin, Etals vs Henry D. King.	Dismissed at costs of plaintiffs. Judgment for costs to record
/	Sophia Sabin, Et. als vs Henry D. King.	Dismissed at costs of plaintiff Judgment for costs to record,
x	John McCoumbs vs N. Hagar & Dav. McMasters	Continued

Wednesday April 8th A. D. 1857

X	John Morrison vs Joseph Biddle	Continued
Y	Frich & Pettibone vs Wm. L. Boophy	Continued under former order
Y	Powell & Buck vs A. A. Cherry	Continued under former order

Whereupon this Court adjourned until tomorrow morning at half past seven O'clock.

A. C. Whiteley Judge

Thursday April 9th A.D. 1857

This morning the Court of Common Pleas for Union County met, pursuant to adjournment. Present same officers as on yesterday

X Henry H. Darling. Plaintiff
against
Calvin Richards, Defendant

~~Dog trial before his Honor, Judge Wheeler~~

This day came the parties, and this cause came on to be heard, and thereupon came a Jury, to wit: Levi Longbake, W. C. Malin, W. M Robinson, Wm Porter, A D Doolittle, L. M. Danforth, James Thompson, D. F. Kinney, John Hutchinson, Adam Richey, E. G. Bartram & D. D. Welsh, who being empannelled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the parties, upon their oaths do say that the plaintiff ought to recover of the defendant, Calvin Richards, the sum of Eight dollars his damages, by reason of the premises,

It is therefore considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant, the said sum of Eight dollars, so as aforesaid found due from the said defendant to said plaintiff, and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $

✓ Martin Broom. Plaintiff
vs
Aaron Wells & George Harriott, Defts

Civil Action

This day came the parties, and this cause came on to be heard, and thereupon came a Jury, to wit: Lora Ford, Nathan Kenning, James Fullington, Geo B Brenham, Thomas Miller, Samuel Said, John Fleck, C. W Rosette, L. B W Haynes, L. W. Smith Wm Anderson & Philip Snider, who being empaneled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the parties, upon their oaths do say that they find for the defendants, It is therefore considered by the court that the defendants go hence without day, and recover against the said plaintiff his costs in and about this suit in this behalf expended, taxed to $ and an Attorney fee of (5) five dollars, for defendant, this suit having been tried before a Justice of the Peace, and Jury, and a verdict obtained against the plaintiff, who appealed therefrom

Thursday April 9th A.D. 1857

John Shartle
against
Abraham M Blackburn.
Wray Thomas &
Lyne Starling

Civil action

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney and the defendants having failed to answer or demur to plaintiffs petition, and no one appearing for said defendants, it is ordered that the matters and things set forth in said petition be taken as confessed. And thereupon, neither party requiring a Jury. by consent of the plaintiff this cause is submitted to the Court and the Court being fully advised in the premises, do find that the plaintiff has a good and sufficient title to the premises in the petition described, and that he is entitled to the possession of the same, It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendants the lands and tenements in the plaintiffs petition mentioned, and that a writ of possessin issue to the Sheriff commanding him to put the plaintiff in possessin of said premises, and that plaintiff recover of the defendants his costs herein expended to be taxed by the Clerk.
Notice of appeal by defendants, Bond to be given in one hundred dollars

Charles Kleber an alien & native of Wertemburg a free white person this day came into open Court & declared on his solemn oath that he first arrived in the United States in the month of September 1852 & that it is his bona fide intention to become a citizen of the United States & to renounce forever all allegiance & fidelity to every foreign Prince, Potentate, State or Sovereignty whatsoever & particularly, to Frederick William, 2d King of Wurtemburg

Elkanah Watson
vs
Robert Coatty &
Adam Gossage

This day came Elkanah Watson, and the said Robert Coatty and Adam Gossage having failed to answer or demur to plaintiffs petition, and neither party requiring a Jury. It is considered by

Thursday April 9th A.D. 1857

the Court that the said Elkanah Watson ought to recover the sum of one hundred and forty five dollars and forty eight cents, so demanded in his petition together with the sum of One dollars & seventy cents which the court do find due as the interest thereon, as prayed for in said petition, It is therefore considered that said Elkanah Watson recover against the said Robert Cratty & Adam Gossage, the said sum of $147.18, together with his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended. taxed at $

And it further appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that the account upon which this suit is brought was for work and labor performed by plaintiff, as Millwright, upon the saw and grist mill of defendants, as per contract between the parties hereto, and, upon the premises owned by Robert Cratty as alleged in plaintiffs petition, and that said plaintiff has a mechanics lien upon the same, according to law, and has taken the necessary steps to perfect said lien. It is therefore further ordered, that in case the defendants fail for ten days from the rising of this court, to pay plaintiff said sum above adjudged to be his due, that an order issue to the Sheriff of said county commanding him to cause said saw & grist mill and the lot of land upon which the same stands, to be sold according to law, to satisfy said Judgment so rendered, as aforesaid & cause continued.

Barnet Bennett vs Thomas A. Sheldon } Petition on Note and Mortgage

This day came the Plaintiff John yeasley by Gl. Doughty his Attorney and the said Thomas A. Sheldon, still failing to demur or answer to the said petition, It is considered that the said Plaintiff ought to recover the sum of One hundred and twenty one Dollars, the amount due him, and his costs taxed to $
upon a Note executed to Barnet Bennett, secured by mortgage which has heretofore been sued upon in this Court, It is further ordered that the lands and tenements in said petition described, agreeably to

Thursday April 9th A.D. 1857

a former orders of this court, be appraised, advertised and sold according to law, and the proceeds of said sale be applied in satisfaction of the said Judgment so as aforesaid rendered,

X — Elihu Phelps vs Milton Colver & Thomas A Sheldon — This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney no one appearing for the defendants, who still failing to answer or demur, are adjudged to be in default. And thereupon this cause came on to be heard on the petition & proofs & neither party requiring a Jury, the cause is submitted to the Court who being fully advised, finds there is due from the defendants to the plaintiff on the securety of said mortgage premises, the sum of $1019.64, and the court do further find that one of the notes has been assigned by plaintiff since the filing of the petition to David Wood, the amount due on the same to this day being $509.82 It is therefore adjudged and decreed that the defendants pay the plaintiff said sum of $1019.64 and the costs herein, to be taxed, within ten days, and in default that the Sheriff of this County who is hereby appointed Special master for the purpose, proceed to sell said mortgaged premises & bring the money into court to await the distribution thereof.

X — George Hill vs Joseph R. Cahill & Manchester & Titus — This cause was submitted by counsel to the court on demurrer, whereupon the court being fully advised in the premises do sustain the demurrer & thereupon, on motion of counsel for plaintiff, leave is granted to plaintiff to amend his petition in thirty days, and this cause is continued at the costs of plaintiff, with the costs of the demurrer,

Thursday April 9th A.D. 1857

James Brooks vs Evans & Neill } Petition on Note and Mortgage

And now comes the said James Brooks by D.C. Doughty his Attorney, And the said Evans & Neill still failing to demur or answer to the said petition, it is considered that the said Plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises and the court with the consent of the said Plaintiff, find that there is due from the said Defendants, to the said plaintiff, on the note in the petition set forth, the sum of one hundred and four dollars. It is therefore considered by the said court here, That the said plaintiff recover of the said defendants the sum of one hundred and four dollars, the sum so found due as aforesaid and also his costs taxed to $ And it is further ordered and adjudged, that in case the said Defendants fails for ten days from the rising of this Court, to pay to the said plaintiff, the said sum of One hundred and four dollars, so as aforesaid found due, with costs of suit, an order issue to the Sheriff of Union County commanding him to cause the lands and tenements in said petition described, to be appraised, advertised, and sold, according to law, and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said Judgments so as aforesaid rendered & costs.

Orlando Stewart & Elsey B. Stewart vs Heirs of Jacob Fairfield, dec'd } On motion of counsel for Plaintiffs it is found that Wm. C. Malin late Sheriff of said County of Union, Ohio was ordered to execute and deliver to Duncan McDonald a deed in fee simple for lots No. 4. and 5. of the subdivision of Lot No 4. of the subdivision of the Jacob Fairfield farm near Milford centre in said County which deed was never delivered, The present Sheriff of said county Wm. H. Robb is ordered and directed to execute & deliver to said Duncan McDonald a deed for said land, the same as said Malin was authorized to do.

Thursday April 9th A. D. 1857

David Marquis vs David Sager — Civil Action

This day came the parties, and this cause came on to be heard and thereupon came a Jury. to wit: James Thompson Nathan Henning. James Fullington, Geo B. Burnham, Thomas Miller, Samuel Saad, John Fleck, C. W. Rosette, Lora Ford, L. B. W. Haynes, L. W. Smith, & Wm Anderson, who being duly empannelled and sworn the truth to speak. upon the issue joined between the parties, upon their oaths do say, that the plaintiff ought to recover of the defendant David Sager the sum of Fifteen dollars. his damages by reason of the premises. It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum of Fifteen dollars. so as aforesaid found due, and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $ and an Attorney fee to plaintiff of five dollars. this suit having been tried by a Jury. before a Justice of the Peace, and a verdict obtained against the defendant, who appealed therefrom,

Henson Merriman & Mary Merriman. vs William Jackson & Catherine Jackson. — On Motion of counsel for defendants this cause is continued at defendants costs. including witnesses, Sheriff & clerks, fees of this term,

It is therefore ordered and decreed that the defendants pay the costs if this term as aforesaid taxed to dollars, within ten days from the rising of this court, and in default thereof that execution issue therefor. according to Law

Lora Ford & Bill Welch vs Jonas T. Clark — Confirmation

On motion of the said Plaintiffs by S. C. Doughty. their Attorney, and on producing the return of the Sheriff of this County, of a sale of real estate made by him on the 3d day of January A. D. 1857. to James Turner. on an Execution issued in this case. and

Thursday April 9th A.D. 1857

dated the 25th day of November A.D. 1856. And the Court on examination of said proceedings, being satisfied that said sale has been made in all respects in conformity to law. It is ordered that the said sale and proceedings be and the same are hereby confirmed, and the said Sheriff is ordered to make, to Rowland Lee, the purchaser of said lands from James Sumner, a deed for the lands and tenements so sold

Matilda Gamble vs Benjamin Gamble } In Divorce
This cause was this day dismissed at costs of defendant without prejudice & without record. It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant her costs herein expended, taxed to $ and in default thereof that execution issue therefor

Jacob Sidles vs E. L. Smith } Continued

George Cowgill vs E. L. Smith } Continued

Joseph G. Cassil & Andrew Pollock vs David Plumb & H. B. Plumb } This cause is settled at costs of plaintiffs
It is therefore considered by the Court that the defendants recover of the plaintiffs their costs herein expended taxed to $ or in default thereof that execution issue therefor.

E. Wood & Son vs E. G. Strong } Continued

Thursday April 9th A.D. 1857

x	Reuben A Lamb vs Alexander Cowgill	Continued
x	Daines Galloway vs A.S. Alden	Continued
x	A.P. Stone vs Fields & Fletcher	On motion of Plaintiffs Counsel, and it appearing that the Lands levied upon in this case have been twice offered, and not sold for want of bidders. It is therefore ordered that the Appraisement be set aside, and a new one made & cause Continued
x	Daines, B, Custis vs Francis, B. Hassett	Continued
x	I. W. Andrews, Admr. of Lyne Starling, Decd vs R. P. Mann	Continued
x	Adam Shower & wife vs Benjamin Tappan	Continued
x	Richard Cowling vs Daines Guy	Continued
x	I. W. Andrews, Exr of Lyne Starling vs Hamilton Epps & Epps	Continued:

Thursday April 9th A.D. 1857

Bradley Burnham Lamb & Company vs Stout & Inskeep } Civil Actin

This day came the said Bradley Burnham Lamb & Co by their Attorneys, and the said Stout & Inskeep though solemnly called came not, but made default; whereupon It is Considered that the said Bradley, Burnham, Lamb & Co ought to recover their damages by reason of the premises, and neither of the parties requiring a Jury, the Court being fully advised in the premises, do assess the damages of the said Bradley, Burnham, Lamb & Co to Five Hundred and Twelve dollars, and Twenty seven cents, Therefore, It is considered, that the said Bradley Burnham, Lamb & Co recover of the said Stout & Inskeep, the said sum of Five Hundred and Twelve dollars, and Twenty seven cents, his damages aforesaid, and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to dollars and cents,

George L. Leavitt vs Jonathan Burt & others } Petition on Mortgage

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorneys (the defendants having failed to plead answer or demur to the petition) and waives the intervention of a Jury & submits this cause to the Court,

On consideration whereof ~~the~~ the Court do find that the said Johnathan Burt & Nancy A Miller, did execute to the plaintiff the notes in the petition refered to, and that the said Jonathan Burt & Abagail Burt his wife, N. H. L. Miller & Nancy A Miller his wife, executed to the plaintiff the Mortgage in the petition refered to, that the plaintiff holds & owns the note of Five Hundred & Seventy five dollars in said ~~petition~~ set petition first described & that said Defendant, Washington Allen, owns and holds the said note of Four hundred & Seventy five Dollars, in said petition, secondly described, upon which there is due & owing the sum of $ 551.79. And that there is due & owing to the plaintiff upon said note so held by him the sum of Eight Hundred & Eleven dollars & twelve cents; and the Court do further find that the said Jonathan Burt, conveyed to the

Thursday April 9th A.D. 1857

Dependant, D. H. Wartsbaugh the undivided half of the premises in said mortgage described & that said D. H. Wartsbaugh at the time of receiving said conveyance had full notice of said mortgage; it is therefore ordered & adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the said Jonathan Burt & Nancy A Miller the said sum of Eight hundred & eleven Dollars, & twelve cents, with interest thereon from this date at the rate of Eight per centum per annum and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to Dollars. And it is further ordered & adjudged that in default of the payment by the said Jonathan Burt and Nancy A Miller, of the said sum of Eight hundred and Eleven dollars. & twelve cents, in ten days from this date that the Sheriff of this County for the time being, cause the land & tenements in the petition described to be appraised & that he advertise & sell the same agreeably to the Statute and that he apply the proceeds arising from said sale, to the satisfaction of said Judgment and interest & costs, and to the satisfaction of the said claim of Defendant Washington Allen, and cause continued.

M. W. Broown Plaintiff
vs
George Hassett &
Aaron Wells. Defendants

Motion to vacate & set aside, verdict & Judgment & award a new trial

This day came the parties by their Attorneys, and submit this motion to the court upon the reasons and affidavits filed by the plaintiff, and thereupon the court being fully advised in the premises, does order & adjudge that the verdict & Judgment rendered heretofore in this cause be and the same are hereby set aside held for naught, and a new trial of this cause is granted at the costs of the said M. W. Broown

It is therefore ordered, adjudged & decreed that the said M. W. Broown, Plff. pay the costs of this ~~Deft~~, taxed to $ within ten days from the rising of this court or in default thereof that execution issue therefor

Thursday April 9th A.D. 1857

In the matter of Allowance.

cert. Apr 9 '57 } The court do make an allowance to the Prosecuting Attorny for the ensuing year of three hundred and five dollars, one third each, term, including the present term

cert Apr 9 '57 } The court do make an allowance to the Sheriff, Wm. H. Robb, in the sum of one hundred dollars, for the present year, one third each term

Nesbet January, Atwell Stubbs, James Eaton, Joseph Haines, Augustus C. Haines, Huston January, James Soughart, Samuel Skidmore, and Joseph Elliott
vs
John Barbour, Treasurer of Union County, and the Board of Education of York Township, of Union County

Civil action

To enjoin the collection of School House Tax.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and neither party requiring a Jury, submitted this cause to the court, upon the petition and the answer of the said Board of Education. John Barbour said Treasurer defendant failing to answer, And the Court having heard the proofs and arguments of Counsel & being fully advised, finds the allegations of the petition to be true, that said tax of two hundred dollars assessed on said Sub school District No. 10. for building a school house, was illegal and void & That said John Barbour Treasurer, of said County threatens to enforce the collection of the same against plaintiffs and others

It is therefore considered that said Levy & assessment be and the same is hereby annulled & set aside, that the said John Barbour, as Treasurer of Union County, be strictly & perpetually, enjoined from enforcing the collection of the said tax and every part thereof from plaintiffs, and each & either of them, and that the said Board of Education pay the costs of this proceeding, taxed to $

It is further ordered that the said John Barbour,

Thursday April 9th A.D. 1857

Treasurer, pay out & refund to such of the tax payers of said subschooldistrict, as have paid their portions of said illegal tax, the amount by each respectively paid, deducting therefrom his percentage,

Ryan Gray vs John. H. Stewart } Civil Actin

This day came the parties by their attorneys and neither party requiring a Jury, this cause was submitted to the Court on petition, answer, and evidence & the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that the defendant is indebted to the plaintiff in the sum of twelve hundred and twenty three dollars, as claimed in his petition & that the answer to the petition is not true in fact and thereupon it is considered, adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the said sum of twelve hundred and twenty three dollars found due as aforesaid with his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $

Notice of appeal given by defendant,

Dearing Chapel against Charles L. Wilcutt } Civil Actin

This day came the parties by their attorneys and neither party requiring a Jury the cause was submitted to the Court on the petition and answer & the ~~evidence~~ Court being fully advised in the premises, find that there is due from the defendant to the plaintiff in the sum of one hundred and sixty dollars and thirty six cents and also, that there is due from plaintiff to the defendant the sum of forty one dollars and forty six cents, and thereupon, It is considered and adjudged, that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of one hundred & eighteen dollars & ninety six cents, and his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $

Thursday April 9th A.D. 1857

Isaac I. Grumman
vs
John A. McCreight
} On motion of defendants counsel this cause is continued at defendants costs, It is therefore considered by the Court that Plaintiff recover of defendant the cost of this term, to be taxed by the Clerk or in default, thereof that execution issue therefor,

James A. Stirratt
vs
Stephen Winger
} Cognovit,

This day came the plaintiff, & defendant, by warrant of Attorney and submitted this cause to the court, and thereupon the court do find the defendant is indebted to the plaintiff in the sum of one hundred and sixty four dollars, and twenty four cents,

It is therefore ordered, and adjudged that plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of one hundred and sixty four dollars and twenty four cents, and his costs herein expended taxed to ~~& and in default thereof that execution issue therefor~~

James W. Robinson
vs
Charles Smith
} Cognovit

This day came the plaintiff and the defendant by warrant of Attorney, and submitted this cause to the court, and thereupon the court do find the defendant is indebted to the plaintiff in the sum of Two Hundred and Thirty dollars and forty five cents,

It is therefore ordered and adjudged that plaintiff recover of Defendant the sum of Two Hundred and Thirty dollars and forty five cents, and his costs herein expended taxed to ~~& and in default thereof that execution issue therefor~~

Hopk

Elias Lewis Etals
vs
Springfield Mt Vernon & Pittsburg R. R. Co & Joshua Judy
} Motion to set aside levy continued

Thursday April 9th A.D. 1857

1 Frederick Wheeler vs Thomas A. Sheldon } Civil Action

This day this cause was submitted to the court by plaintiffs counsel, (the defendant being still in default) & thereupon the court do find that since the rendition of the former Judgment & order of sale in this case, the last note in said petition described has become due. thereupon It is ordered and decreed that the plaintiff recover of defendant on said last mentioned note the sum of two hundred & thirty & $\frac{25}{100}$ dollars, & his costs taxed to $\$$ and the proceeds of the sale of said real estate be applied to the payment of this Judgment and costs, the same as the ~~other~~ former Judgment. & this cause is continued

X Wm Walke Jr. vs Susan V. Walke, Henry A. Walke Isaac C Walke Cornelius C Walke & Arthur C. Walke } Petition for Partition

This cause came on to be heard ~~upon the~~ petition, and the defendants having failed to demur or answer, and after an examination of the papers in the case, It is ordered that by the oaths of A. F. Wilkins, Eliphas Burnham, & Geo R. ~~Boardman~~ Hopkins one full and equal tenth part of the lands in said petition described be assigned and set off to the said William Walke, Jr. and it is further ordered that a writ of partition issue to the Sheriff of Union County, commanding him to cause said partition to be made accordingly and cause continued

Thursday April 9th A.D. 1857

David B Lockwood. Plff
vs
Corydon Winkler
P. Manchester
Chambers Caley and
David Wood Defendants

The plaintiff made proper publication. in this case as to C. Winkler, and Chambers Caley, Thereupon this cause came on to be heard on the demurrer of P Manchester one of the defendants, to the petition of the said David. B. Lockwood, and the court being fully advised in the premises, are of opinion that the petition and the matters therein contained are sufficient in Law, to support this action and thereupon said demurrer is overruled,,

And thereupon David Wood one of the defendants on leave put in his answer to the petition, the said C. Winkler and Chambers Caly still failing to plead answer or demur, Thereupon this case is submitted to the court, whereupon the Court find the following facts, First. That the defendants Winkler and Manchester are bound to release the said David B Lockwood from all the notes and accounts, wherein he was bound with Caley & Lockwood for the purchase of the mill, or running the same as alleged in plaintiffs petition, and that they the said Winkler and Manchester are bound to pay the note made by David Lockwood and Thomas Haresha, as alleged in the plaintiffs petition,

That the said David Wood is the owner of the ($550. note) Five Hundred and Fifty dollar note as alleged in Plaintiffs Petition — It is therefore considered by the court that the said C Winkler & P. Manchester are indebted to the said Lockwood the sum of one hundred & fifty five dollars, being the amount of the principal and interest on the note made by the said Lockwood & Thomas Haresha to C. A Williams, and owned by Wait, The Court further find that the said Winkler and Manchester are indebted on the written agreement refered to in said petition, to the following Amounts, being sums of money which were received by the firm of Caley & Lockwood for running the mill refered to in said petition, to, wit; To Thomas Haresha $7,51

Thursday April 9th A.D. 1857

To Jacob Dean $4,50. To John Carter $17.00 To A Lockwood $21.00. To John Johnson. $6. Winslow & Co $14. E Hammond $ 1,50 D Paul $12

It is therefore considered that the said David B Lockwood recover of the said C. Winkler & P Manchester the said sum of one thousand & fifty nine Dollars. the amount due on the said note owned by Wait, and that the said Lockwood be restrained from receiving said money until he has filed the receipt from said Wait for said Claim It is further considered that the said Lockwood recover of the said Winkler & Manchester the said several sums above specified for saving the said mill, And it is further ordered, that the said Lockwood assign the said Judgments over to the several persons to whom they respectively belong. It is further ordered by the court that three of the notes made by said Caley & Lockwood for the purchase of said mill, payable to said Winkler as follows to, wit;

One note dated Oct 9th 1854, Due April 1st 1855 for $800.00
" " " " " " " one year after date for $ 300.00
" " " " " " " 18 months after date for $ 550.00

be delivered up by said Winkler and Manchester or either of them, into the hands of the Clerk of this Court, within thirty days from the rising of this Court, to be cancelled so far as the liability of the said David Lockwood is concerned therein, And as to the other note given for said Mill by said Caley & Lockwood for five hundred and fifty dollars. dated Oct. 9. th 1854 payable two years after date, the Court finds that David Wood one of the defendants is the owner thereof, It is therefore considered by the Court that the said David Wood do recover of Winkler & Manchester, and Caley the defendants and Lockwood the plaintiff the sum of Six Hundred and Thirty dollars the amount of principal and interest due on said note, The court further finds that the said Winkler and Manchester are liable, the said Winkler as principal & Manchester as surety. and that upon return of no property as to the said Winkler & Manchester, that the property of the said Caley

Thursday April 9th A.D. 1857

and Lockwood, then be liable for the same,

It is further ordered that the said Winkler & Manchester pay the said several sums of money as herein ordered, to the Clerk of this Court within ten days from the rising of this court, as well as the costs, in this case to be taxed by the Clerk, and in default thereof that execution issue therefor.

Notice of appeal by P. Manchester,

Bond to be given in $150 dollars.

D. C. Lee Admr &c
vs
L. W. Smith
} Foreclosure

And now comes the said Saml Lee Admr &c by Thomas Boon his Attorney, and the said Samuel W Smith, still failing to demur or answer, to the said petition, It is considered that plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises, and the court with the consent of the Plaintiff, find that there is due from the defendant to the Plaintiff on the note in the petition set forth the sum of One Hundred and Thirty seven dollars & forty four, cents, It is therefore considered by the Court here, that said plaintiff recover of said defendant, the sum of One Hundred Thirty seven 44/100 dollars, the sum so found due as aforesaid, with interest at the rate of ten per cent per annum, and also his costs taxed to $ And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case said defendant fail for ten days from the close of this term of this Court, to pay to the plaintiff said sum of money with costs, an order issue to the Sheriff of said County, commanding him to cause the said premises, in the petition described, to be appraised, advertised, and sold according to Law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of said Judgment as aforesaid rendered,

Thursday April 9th A.D. 1857

X	Elizabeth Perry vs Wm. C. Malin	On Motion Docket Motion to amerce Wm. C. Malin late Sheriff of Union County, Ohio. Motion Continued,
X	S. K. Reed & P. W. Alden vs Elijah Neil. Etals	Motion to have receiver appointed to take charge of the Mortgaged premises, Motion Continued
X	David Wood vs I. B. Lockwood Chambers Caley C. Winkler & P. Manchester	Continued
X	A. A. Woodworth Adm'r & C vs John Perry	Continued
X	James C. Dynes Exr vs Harmon Rice. Etals	Continued
X	Trustees of the Theological Seminary vs George A Graymes Etals	Motion to set aside decree as to Gwynne & Motion & cause continued,

Whereupon this Court adjourned until tomorrow morning at six o'clock.

M M Whiteley Judge

Friday April 10th A.D. 1857

This morning the Court met pursuant to adjournment,
Present same officers as on yesterday,

A.R. Bowers vs Jesse H Said & James Biggs } On report of referee & exceptions.
This cause was submitted by counsel of parties on petition, answer, report of Referee and exceptions,
Whereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do overrule the exceptions to said report, and confirm the report of the Referee, and thereupon it is considered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant Jesse H. Said the sum of four hundred and thirty four dollars ~~fourteen~~ cents, found due as aforesaid, together with costs of this proceeding, including a fee of forty dollars, for C.W.B. Allison referee, taxed to $
And the Court further order and decree that the plaintiff pay to said C.W.B. Allison the sum of ten dollars in addition to the forty by defendant Said,
And thereupon the Defendants gave notice of appeal,

Michael Fogarty vs James Whelpley } This cause came on to be heard, both parties being present, and thereupon came a Jury, to wit: James Thompson Lisa Ford, Nathan Benning Thomas Miller, Samuel Said, John Fleck, C W Rosette, J.B.W Haynes, L.W. Smith, William Anderson, A.A. Woodworth, & Joshua Judy, who being duly empanneled and sworn, the truth to speak in behalf of the parties, upon their oaths do say, that they cannot agree, and thereupon this cause is continued

Thomton Waldon, Adm. vs Jacob, Bowersmith } Continued

Friday April 10th A.D. 1857

X | Stephen Cranston for Himself and others vs John Barbour, Deft. | The plaintiff has leave to file an amended petition & the motion herein made is reserved for decision at the next term of Logan County Common Pleas.

V | Mary Pickett vs G. A. Cazil | On motion of counsel for Pltff this cause was this day dismissed without prejudice, at cost of Plaintiff,
It is therefore considered by the Court that the Defendant recover of the Plaintiff his costs herein expended taxed to $ Papers in the case are ordered

All cause and settlement of all the term are continued & Court adjourned to day.

M. Hitchcock Clerk

The following Journal Entry was received by me and filed May 30th A.D. 1857 to wit,

Stephen Cranston vs. John Barbour Treasurer of Union County } Union Common Pleas On Petition & Injunction,

This cause was submitted to the Court at the last Term of the Court in Union County on motion to dispolve the Injunction and the Court having heard the same in part on application of plaintiff leave was given to plaintiff to file an amended petition and the further hearing of the cause was reserved for hearing at Chambers

And now here the cause being again submitted to the Court for further hearing and the Court being fully advised in the premises, it is considered and adjudged by the Court that the Injunction heretofore allowed in this case be and the same is hereby dispolved upon this condition to wit, that the defendants relinquish all right & Claim to bring suit on upon the Injunction bond filed in this cause, which the said defendants by their attorneis Anthony & Goode appear before me & on behalf of the defendants freely agent to,

And it is further Ordered that this cause stands for hearing at the next term of the Court

The Clerk of Union County will enter this order on the Journal of the Court of Common Pleas,

M.C. Whiteley Judge

Tuesday. June. 9th A. D. 1857

This day the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Union & State of Ohio, convened in pursuance of Law - Present, Wm Lawrence, Judge. Wm H. Robb, Sheriff, John L. Porter, Prosecuting Attorney, and Saber Randall, Clerk.

The Jurors of the Grand Jury being called came, to wit: William Freshwater, Abraham Holycross, W. D. Jenkins, James B. Richey, A Topey, D. F. Welsh, J. B. Dort, Andrew Aumine 1st, William Graham, James E Bird, D. G. Miller, & John Harris, regular Jurors, and B. H. B. Griswold, A. G. Benton, & E. J. Nugent, talesmen, The Court appointed A. G. Miller, Foreman, and the Jury being duly empanneled, Sworn and charged, retired to their room to deliberate

John McCombs. vs Nathaniel Kazar & James McMasters } This cause is settled by agreement between the parties. It is agreed that the defendants shall pay the costs made before Justice of the Peace, and the costs they made on appeal, in the Court of Common Pleas, and the Plaintiff is to pay the costs made by him in the Court of Common Pleas.

It is therefore ordered & adjudged that the Plaintiff pay the costs according to said agreement taxed to $. And that the Defendants pay their share of costs according to said agreement, taxed to $

Jason Chapman against Samuel Lewis Langerfield Lewis Lucy B Lewis C. Willis & Mary. W. Willis } This day came the plaintiff by C. S Hamilton & George Lincoln, and this cause came on to be heard, and the defendants failing to answer or demur, and no one appearing for the defendants, the cause was considered, and the court doth find that the plaintiff is entitled to the specific execution of the contract as set forth and alleged in the petition, and it is ordered and Considered ~~decreed~~ that the said defendants within ten days

Tuesday June 9th A. D. 1857

from the rising of the Court execute and deliver to the plaintiff a good and sufficient deed with covenants of general warranty, conveying to the plaintiff the premises in the petition described, to wit:, Beginning at the North west corner of Davis & Allens tract in the east line of the Survey which is No. 9921. thence with Allens North line seventy four poles to a stake, thence parallel to the east line of the Survey. sixty two and one half poles to the south line of a tract of land of one hundred acres of said Survey conveyed by defendants to John Price, and thence with Prices south line seventy four poles to his South East corner in the East line of the Survey. thence with said line sixty two and a half poles to the beginning. Containing thirty acres, in fee simple, and in default thereof that this Judgment and decree have the effect and operation of such conveyance, so as to vest in the plaintiff the title to said premises, in fee simple. and it is further considered and adjudged that the plaintiff pay his costs herein taxed at dollars ✝ cents,

P. C. Sidle
vs
E. L. Smith
} Dismissed at Plaintiffs costs, without record, leave to withdraw papers

George Cowgill
vs
E. L. Smith
} ~~Dismissed at Plaintiffs costs.~~ Continued ~~to record~~

In Partition

David Hamilton wife
vs
David Beard & Wife
} On motion of the Court by Hamilton & Lincoln, counsel for said petitioner and upon producing the return of the Sheriff and the report of said commissioners, heretofore appointed and the same having been examined by the court here, and found in all

License issued & fee paid June 16th

Tuesday June. 9th A.D. 1857

respects correct and in conformity to Law, it is hereby ordered that said proceedings and report be and the same is hereby approved and confirmed, and thereupon neither of said parties electing to take the premises at said valuation. It is ordered that the said premises be sold at public sale by the Sheriff of said county of Union, according to Law, and that he return his doings in the premises to the next term of this court, to which time this action is continued)

Licence issued & fee paid June 16th 1857

On motion. It is ordered that S. A. Sunks. be licensed to keep a Tavern at his house in Pharisburg for the term of one year, on his paying into the County Treasury the sum of two dollars.

x { Lepee of Saml Stokely vs John Williams. Et als } Continued

x { Charles R. Deming vs James M. Snodgrass } Continued

x { Columbus & Pigua & Indiana R.R. Co vs James Robinson } Continued

x { Ezra Reed vs George Winchester. Et. als } Continued

x { Col. Pig & Indiana R.R. Co vs Wm Orr } Continued

Tuesday June 9th A. D. 1857

X	Col. Pig & Indiana R.R. Co vs David Holycross	Continued
X	Col. Pig & Indiana R.R. Co vs John Mitchell	Continued
X	Col. Pig & Indiana R.R. Co vs Benjamin Gibham	Continued
X	Col. Pig & Inda R.R. Co vs Squire Delands	Continued
X	Col. Pig & Inda R.R. Co vs Charles Converse	Continued
X	Hiram Benton vs Bellfontain & Delaware Rail Road Company	Continued
+	Curtis Kempton vs Hannah Kempton	Continued
+	Julian Sannells vs John Sannells	Continued

Tuesday June 9th A. D. 1857

x	David H Silver vs Elisha Wright	Continued
x	William Dunn vs Robert G. Dunn	Continued
x	John B. Dumble vs Thomas R. Price	Continued under former order
x	William Westlake vs A. Hamilton	Continued
x	Frederick Wheeler vs Thomas A. Sheldon	Continued under former order
x	Hamilton Blue vs William Westlake	Continued
x	Philander Manchester vs Corydon Winkler	Continued
x	Evans & Johnson vs H. L. Morris	Continued

Tuesday June 9th A.D. 1857

Bradley. Burnham Lamb & Co.
vs
Samuel A. Sunks & wife
— Continued under former order

George W. Wheeler
vs
Richard Wheeler
— Continued

Mary Jackson
vs
Hiram Merryman &
James B. Norris
— Dismissed without prejudice, at Plffs cost — It is therefore ordered & adjudged that the Plaintiffs pay the costs herein expended taxed to $ or in default thereof that execution issue therefor
Papers withdrawn No record

Daniel Criswell
vs
Elihu Phelps. Et. als
— Continued under former order

Barnet Bennett
vs
Thomas A. Sheldon
— Continued

M. W. Brown
vs
Aaron Wells &
George Harriott
— Discontinued without prejudice, at Plaintiffs costs. It is therefore ordered & adjudged that the Plaintiff pay the costs herein expended taxed to $ or in default thereof that execution issue therefor, No record

John Robinson
vs
C. M. Robinson &
Wm H Robinson
— Continued under former order

Tuesday June 9th A.D. 1857

X	John Capil. Et als vs A. R. Bowers. ~~Et als~~	Continued under former order
X	John Capil. Et als vs L. H. Felkner	Continued under former order
X	Addison Moore vs Thomas Seas	Continued under former order
X	Wm H Sheldon & Co vs E. Rathburn	Continued under former orders
X	J & W. Brooks vs Alexander Gandy	Continued under former order
X	Robert Yearsley vs James. O. Carter	Continued under former order
X	S. H Elwell vs John Douglass & Walson Reed	Continued under former order
X	Nathaniel Evans vs Lepe Barnett	Continued

Tuesday June 9th A.D. 1857

X	George Hill vs Joseph Cahill & Manchester & Situs	Continued
X	David Wood vs David B. Lockwood Chambers Caley C. Winkler & P. Manchester	Continued
X	George L'Leavitt vs Jonathan Burt. Et als	Continued under former order
X	James Brooks vs John Evans & Calvin Hill	Continued under former order.
X	James Martin vs Col. Prg & Indo Rail Road. Co	Continued
X	Mary Dysert vs Joseph Dysert	Continued
X	Saml. C. Lee. Admr of C. Lee. Deceased vs Samuel W. Smith	Continued under former order

Tuesday June 9th A.D. 1857

X	William Walke. Jun vs Susan. V. Walke. Etals	Continued under former order
X	A. A. Woodworth. Admr with the will annexed. of Elisha Reynolds. Decd vs John Perry	Leave granted to Defendant to answer. & cause continued
X	James. C. Dynes. Exrs vs Harrison Rice, John Newbrand & Joshua Marshall	Continued
X	Elihu Phelps vs Milton Culver & Thomas. A. Sheldon	Continued under former order
X	John Perry vs A. A. Woodworth. Admr. of Elisha Reynolds. Decd	Continued
X	Wm. E. Ogborn vs Horace. M. Roberts Etals	Continued
√	Valentine Heminger vs Moses Devore	Settled and costs paid by Deft No Record.

Tuesday June 9th A. D. 1857

Thomas Broom, Guardian
vs
S. A. Cherry

Death of Solomon Neil suggested, Ann Neil, Admx of Solomon Neil made a party. Leave granted to file a petition & cause continued

Eli Long
vs
Lewis Green & ~~John Sisler~~

On motion, Leave was granted to Plaintiff to file Petition, and cause continued at Plaintiffs costs. It is therefore ordered adjudged & decreed that the Plaintiff pay the costs of this term to be taxed by the Clerk.

Thornton Wasson
vs
William Sands

Leave granted to Plaintiff to file a petition & cause continued
Leave granted to file amended petition, in thirty days

Emily Gill
vs
Wm. P. Gill

Continued

Robson L Broome
vs
Jackson G. Sprague

Continued

David. B. Lockwood
vs
Corydon Winkler & E. & G. Winkler

Continued

John. D. Jones
vs
James. W. Evans

~~Continued~~
On motion it is ordered that publication be made of the pending of this action, in the Marysville Tribune for six consecutive weeks. And this cause is continued

Tuesday June 9th A. D. 1857

x	Samuel Covey vs L. L. Childs	Continued
✓	Wm Scofield & Julia E. Scofield vs G. Semple & Rebecca Semple.	Settled & costs paid Mortgage cancelled. No record
x	Elkanah Watson vs Robert Watty & Adam Gossage	Continued under former order
x	Diantha White, Admr. vs Saml Harriott, Admr. of Wm Ward, Decd.	Leave granted to amend by making new parties and otherwise & cause continued,
x	Administrator of S. L. Miller vs Stephen McLain	Continued
x	James L. Wells & B. Woods, Admrs. vs Jane White	Continued
x	S. Wood & Son vs S. G. Strong	Continued

Tuesday June 9th A.D. 1857

X	Reuben A Lamb vs Alexander Cowgill	Continued
X	James Galloway vs A. S. Alden	Continued
X	Cole & Piper vs Saml Bland	Continued
X	Ebenathan Hathaway vs John W. Sibbatts	Continued
X	A. P Stone vs Fields & Fletcher	Continued under former order
X	James W. Custus vs Francis B Harriott	Continued
X	D. W. Andrews, Admr of Lyne Starling, Decd vs R. P. Mann	Continued
X	Adam Shower & wife vs Benjamin Tappan	Continued

Tuesday. June. 9th 1857

X	Richard Cowling vs James Guy	Continued
X	John. H. Dames vs Norman Reed	Continued
X	John W Andrews. Exr of Lyne Starling. Decd vs Hamilton Epps & Epps	Continued
X	Powell & Buck vs S. A. Cherry	Continued
X	John Cassil vs Thomas R. Price	Continued
✓	Isaac. I. Gammons vs John A. McCreight	By arrangement of parties this cause is settled upon the following terms. The deed, receipt and assignment placed on file by defendant are delivered to the plaintiff, The Plaintiff pays the fees of John. Fleck & the defendant pays the remaining costs of the case and is to pay the plaintiff the sum of Three dollars for the brick taken out of the well, No record Judgment according to the above agreement,

Tuesday June 9th A.D. 1857

Samuel H. Rose. vs Andrew Reed. Etals } On motion of counsel this cause came on to be heard on the report of the sheriff and commissioners in partition and the same being submitted to the court are found in all respects in conformity with Law, the Court do therefore order and decree & adjudge that the same be, and the same is approved and confirmed, and the said Jane E Reed, David I Reed & Andrew B. Reed hold in severalty the 2/9 of said land set off to them in said proceedings by meets and bounds, and it appearing that the remaining seven ninths of said land would not bear division without manifest injury, and the same being duly appraised and neither of the parties electing to take the same at the valuation thereof, the court do order adjudge and decree that the Sheriff who is hereby appointed Master Commissioner for that purpose, do sell the same according to Law and the proceeds bring into court for distribution, and this cause is continued.

This day the following persons were duly sworn & received certificates, to go before the Grand Jury to wit; G.L. Childs, James Keesey, Milton McMenas, Tyler Thompson, Hezekiah Graham, Caleb Nash, Leonard Blip. Monroe Andrews, D.N. Hamilton, A. D. Doolittle, W. H. Dunnick. L. M. Fairbank, John Gray, John Epps, Richard Epps, Abraham Spain,

Whereupon this Court adjourned until tomorrow morning at half past 7 O'clock.

Wm Lawrence
Judge of Court of Com Pleas

Wednesday June 10th A.D. 1857

This morning the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Union & State of Ohio, met pursuant to adjournment. Present same officers as on yesterday.

X | Shannon Reed vs Ed. O. Stevenson & Minerva C. Evans. | Pet for Partition

It appearing that the petitioner Shannon Reed has died since the filing of this petition. His heirs at Law, (under the guardianship of Samuel Roberts,) John A Reed, Cyrus Reed, and Shannon Reed, and his widow Mary J Reed, were made parties in his stead and thereupon the cause being submitted to the court, it is ordered and adjudged that the lands in said petition mentioned, be divided between the tenants in common as follows, viz: to the heirs of Shannon Reed aforesaid the part expressed by the fraction $\frac{428791}{863492}$, and to Edward O Stevenson & Minerva C Evans, the part expressed by the fraction $\frac{434701}{863492}$ — It is further ordered that the said widow of Shannon Reed, dec'd, be endowed of the full one third part of said share set off to said John A. Cyrus, and Shannon Reed, — and that said land when set off, to said John A. Cyrus & Shannon Reed, be again divided equally between them subject to said dower giving the one third thereof to each, And the Court do further order that the Sheriff of said County by the oaths of A F. Wilkins, Joshua Haines, and Wallen Allyn he cause partition to be made of said premises, and the dower be assigned as above ordered and this cause is continued.

√ | Catherine Hickey vs Nancy McCurdy, Jane McCurdy & Sarah McCurdy | On motion of counsel of the plaintiff this cause was submitted to the court, no one appearing for defendants, and thereupon the court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition to be true, and

Wednesday June 10th A.D. 1857

there is due the plaintiff from defendants the sum of Six hundred and forty nine dollars and ninety three cents on the article of agreement in said petition described for said land- which sum remains a vendors lein on said Land, upon the payment of which the deed tendered should be delivered, Therefore it is considered and decreed that the plaintiff recover of the defendants the said sum of Six hundred & forty nine & 93/100 dollars and the costs of suit- and in default of payment in ten days from the rising of this court, it is ordered that the land in said petition described be sold according to Law, by the Sheriff who is appointed special master for that purpose & the proceeds be applied to the payment of said debt & costs, and the balance if any be paid to the defendants & the said Sheriff is authorized to sell said land in parcels or entire as he may think best and as to other matters this cause is continued)

Thomas M Ewing, Admr &c
vs
Elijah Warner, & wife } Pet on note and mortgage

And now comes the said Thomas M Ewing, by L. Curry his Atty and the said Elijah Warner, & wife failing to answer or demur to the petition of said Ewing, it is considered that the said Plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him from the said Elijah Warner by reason of the premises and the court with the consent of the Plaintiff find that there is due from the said defendant, Elijah Warner to the said plaintiff on the note in said petition set forth, the sum of three hundred and fourteen dollars & eight cents and also his costs taxed to $.
And it is further ordered & adjudged that in case the said defendant, Elijah Warner, fails for ten days, from the close of this term of the Court to pay to the plaintiff the said sum of Three Hundred and fourteen dollars & eight cents, as aforesaid found due with costs of suit, an order issue to Wm H Robb, Sheriff, as special master in the case

Wednesday June 10th A.D. 1857

commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to Law, and the proceeds of said sale applied in satisfaction of said judgment as aforesaid rendered, and this cause is continued)

P. W. Alden & Saml R Reed vs Elijah Hill, Etals } On motion this cause came on to be heard the defendant being in default & neither party demanding a jury the case was submitted to the court and thereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition to be true and that the plaintiffs are sureties for said Hill on the note of three hundred dollars to R. L. Broome and the two hundred dollars to James Riddle, which is now owned by Asenath Fairfield, and the Plaintiffs have been compeled to pay thirty dollars to R. L. Broome on his note & that the land in said petition described is mortgaged to plaintiffs to secure them as set forth in said petition, therefore it is considered and adjudged that the said R. L. Broome recover on said note the said sum of Three Hundred dollars from Elijah Hill, as principal & the plaintiffs as surety, and that said Asenath Fairfield recover of said Elijah Hill as principal & the plaintiffs as sureties the sum of two hundred & fifty eight & $\frac{33}{100}$ dollars, in full of said Riddle note & that the plaintiffs recover of the said Elijah Hill the sum of Thirty dollars & thirty cents, and the costs of this proceeding taxed to $\$$: And it is further ordered and decreed that the Lot in said petition described be sold by the Sheriff who is appointed special master for that purpose and the proceeds thereof be applied to the payment of this decree, and the ballance if any be paid to Elijah Hill,

Wednesday June 10th A.D. 1857

X	Robson L. Broome vs Cyrus Purl & Louisa Purl wife of R. A. Shadrack,	Petition on Note & Mortgage

This day came Robson L. Broome by his Atty and the said Cyrus Purl, Louisa Purl & R. A. Shadrack still failing to answer or demur to plaintiffs petition, it is considered that the Plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises, and the court find that there is due from defendants to plaintiff on the note in the petition set forth the sum of Three Hundred & seven dollars & fifty five cents, It is therefor considered by the court that the plaintiff recover of defendants said sum of $307.55 found due as aforsaid, and also his costs taxed to $ And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendants ~~for~~ ~~the~~ fail for three days from the close of this term of Court to pay said Plaintiff said sum of $307.55/100 found due, with costs of suit, that an order issue to the Sheriff of said county commanding him to cause the Lands and tenements in the petition described, to be sold according to Law, and to apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the judgment aforesaid & cause continued.

X	Perrine Buckingham against Alexander Shields & Mary Shields	Petition on Note & Mortgage

And now comes Perrine Buckingham by R. C. Clark his attorney, and the said Alexander Shields & Mary Shields still failing to demur or answer to the said petition, it is considered that the said Plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises; and the Court with the consent of the said Pltff find that there is due from the said defendants to said Plaintiffs on the note in the petition set forth the sum of four hundred ~~dollars~~, forty six dollars & seventy cents, It is therefore considered by the said Court here, that the plaintiff recover of the defendants the said sum of ~~three~~ Hundred

Wednesday June 10th A.D. 1857

and forty six dollars, & seventy cents ($446.70) the sum so found as aforesaid and also his costs taxed to
And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendants fail for ten days from the close of this term of court to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of four hundred & forty six dollars & seventy cents, so as aforesaid found due, with costs of suit, an order issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union commanding him to cause the said Lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised and sold according to Law, and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said Judgment so as aforesaid rendered,

X | William Cooperider vs James Conklin & William Stettiem | Motion to quash appeal, by plaintiff. Leave granted to defendants to file a more perfect transcript & to file an appeal bond & cause continued

This day the Jurors, of the Grand Jury appeared at the bar of this Court and presented their several bills of Indictment as follows, N. 1, against Bolivar Hays, for Gaming, one against Robert Gamble for Gaming, one against Amariah Hays for Gaming, two against William Sands Jr. Three against Peter Beaver, all for Gaming, and one against Jeremiah Johnson for selling intoxicating liquor to be drank where sold, And they having further business before them retired again to their room,

X | The State of Ohio vs Peter Beaver. | The following persons, to wit: Hezekiah Graham, Tyler Thompson, and John Gray, executed a bond in the sum of one hundred dollars, conditioned for their appearance at the next term of this court to give testimony as witnesses in the above case and others in favor of the State,

Wednesday June 10th A.D. 1857

Peyton B. Smith against John S. Fulton } On motion leave was granted to the defendant to file a demurrer in this case. Demurrer filed and sustained. Whereupon Plaintiff has leave to file an amended Petition within 30 days from the rising of the Court, and cause continued

Thomas Stillings against William W. Norton } This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon this cause came on to be heard and was ~~fully~~ submitted to the Court and argued by counsel, and the court being fully advised in the premises it is considered and adjudged that the defendant go hence without day and recover of the plaintiff his costs herein taxed to $.
Previous to submission as above leave was granted to file an amended petition, Amended petition filed.
Thereupon the Plaintiff gave notice of his intention to make a motion for a new trial in this case,
And Thereupon on motion of the Plaintiff the Judgment rendered in this case above, is hereby vacated and set aside and a new trial granted under the provisions of section 562. of Code of Civil procedure, & this cause is continued

Whereupon this Court adjourned until tomorrow morning at 8. O.Clock.

Wm Laurence
Judge of Court of Com Pleas

Thursday June 11th A.D. 1857

This morning the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Union & State of Ohio, met pursuant to adjournment. Present same officers as on yesterday

Wm. E. Lee, Pltff.
against
Wm W Ballinger & Edward Weller. Defts

And now comes the said Wm E Lee by Geo Lincoln his Atty. and the said defendants, still failing to demur or answer to the said petition, it is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises, and the court with the consent of the Plaintiff find that there is due from the said Wm W Ballinger as principal debtor, and Edward Weller, as, endorser, on the notes in the petition set forth the sum of one hundred and thirty five dollars, ($135.)

It is therefore considered by the court here that the said plaintiff recover of said defendant William W Ballinger as principal debtor and Edward Weller as endorser the sum of one hundred and thirty five dollars so found due, and also his costs herein taxed to $.

Michael Hogarty
vs.
James Whelpley

This cause came on to be heard and was continued at plaintiffs costs and unless said costs are paid and, the undertaking which is requised by law for costs be filed within forty days, the plaintiff shall stand nonsuited

G. A. Cassil, Pltff
against
Joshua Judy, Defdt

On motion leave was granted to defendant to file demurrer to plaintiffs petition, Whereupon demurrer was filed, and on motion by defendant leave was granted to withdraw demurrer & it was withdrawn, Thereupon this cause came on to be heard, on motion to dismiss plaintiffs petition, and strike the same from the files. Whereupon this motion and cause was continued

Thursday June 11th A.D. 1857

Frederick Wheeler vs Nathaniel Raymond } This day came the said Frederick Wheeler by G. Lincoln his attorney, and the said Nathaniel Raymond still failing to demur or answer to the said petition, it is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises, and the court with the consent of the plaintiff find that there is due from the said Nathaniel Raymond, on the notes in the petition set forth the sum of Three Hundred & Twelve dollars & twenty six cents, It is therefore considered & adjudged by the court here that the said plaintiff recover of said defendant, Nathaniel Raymond, the sum of $312.26. so found due, and also his costs herein taxed to $

James Thompson vs Israel T. Richardson & Estelle T. Richardson his wife } Petition on Note & Mortgage
This day came James Thompson by his attorney and the said Israel T. Richardson & Estelle T. Richardson still failing to demur or answer to plaintiffs petition, it is considered that the plaintiffs ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises, and the court find that there is due from defendants to plaintiff on the note in the petition set forth the sum of Eleven Hundred & twenty six dollars & ninety three cents, It is therefore considered by the court that the plaintiff recover of defendants said sum of $1126.93 found due as aforesaid, and also his costs taxed at $ And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendants fail for ten days from the close of this term of court to pay said sum of Eleven Hundred & twenty six dollars & ninety three cents to the Pltff, with costs of suit, that an order issue to the Sheriff of Union County, who is hereby appointed Special Master for that purpose, commanding him to cause the lands & tenements in the petition set forth, to be

Thursday June 11th A.D. 1857

Sold according to Law, and to apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the Judgment aforesaid, and cause continued

Charles. L. Marks
vs
Joel Armstrong & Nancy. L. Armstrong his wife and David. D. Welsh

Petition on Notes & Mortgage

This day came the Plaintiff by his attorney, and this cause came on to be heard, on the petition, and the answer of the Defendant, David D. Welsh, the Defendants Joel Armstrong and Nancy L Armstrong, his wife still failing to demur or answer to the Plaintiffs petition the same is taken as True, and neither party requiring a Jury this cause was submitted to the Court, the Court do find that there is due to the Plaintiff Charles. L. Marks from the Defendants Joel Armstrong & Nancy L. Armstrong his wife the sum of Two hundred & Eighty three dollars & thirty three cents, as alledged in the Plaintiffs petition, ~~set forth~~ and that the same is a lein on the premises described in the petition & mortgage,

And the Court do further find that there is due to the Defendant David D. Welsh, from the defendants Joel Armstrong & Nancy. L. Armstrong his wife the sum of Two hundred & Eighty three dollars and Thirty three cents, (he being the owner of the note first mentioned in the mortgage & Petition,) and that the same is a lein on the premises described in the said Mortgage, & Petition,

Therefore it is ordered adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff recover of the defendants. Joel Armstrong & Nancy. L. Armstrong his wife the said sum of Two hundred & Eighty dollars & Thirty three cents and the costs herein taxed to $.

And it is further ordered adjudged and decreed that the defendant Welsh recover of the defendants Joel Armstrong & Nancy L. Armstrong his wife the sum of Two hundred & Eighty three dollars & thirty three cents as aforesaid found due,

And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case

Thursday June 11th A.D. 1857

the said defendants, Joel Armstrong & Nancy J Armstrong his wife fail for ten days from the close of this term of Court to pay the sums found due as aforesaid with costs of suit. that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of Union County, who is appointed special master commissioner for that purpose, commanding him to have the lands in the petition described appraised advertized & sold according to Law, and to apply the proceeds arising from such sale, to the payment of the sums found due as aforesaid, and costs of suit, & cause continued

Christopher Branston vs Silas Igow } Ca. ad. vend.

And now comes the said Christopher Branston by C.S. Hamilton his attorney and files his petition against the the said Silas Igow, and thereupon George Sinclair answered for the defendant, confessing by virtue of a warrant of attorney for that purpose executed and now produced in Court and duly proved, that the defendant is indebted to the plaintiff the said sum of Six hundred & sixty two, dollars and fifty two cents as in the petition set forth, and waived the issuing and service of process,

It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed that the said Christopher Branston as receiver of said Silas Igow the said sum of $662.52 so confessed to be due, and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $

And by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney, all error is released and all right of appeal waived by said Silas Igow,

Error — James Gill, Pltff. against C. M. Robinson & Israel Slack } Petition on Note

Error — Suited at defendants costs ~~of defendants~~, as per agreement;

It is therefore Adjudged & decreed that the defendants C. M. Robinson and Israel Slack, pay the costs of this suit To be taxed by the Clerk within ten days from the rising of this Court or in default thereof that an execution issue therefor &c record

Thursday June 11th A.D. 1857

Thornton Wasson, admr. vs Jacob Bowersmith } Continued

Israel Slack vs C. M. Robinson Etals } On motion of plaintiffs counsel this cause was submitted to the court & the defendants waiving the right of trial by Jury. and the court being fully advised in the premises do find that the defendant C. M. Robinson doth owe the plaintiff the sum of Six hundred and seventy nine dollars on ten per cent interest, which is a lein on the land in said petition described & the court further find that the defendants Anthony Wise, John Slack, and the executor of Lyne Starling dec'd. have no interest in said lands.—

It is therefore considered and adjudged that the plaintiff do recover of the defendant C. M. Robinson the said sum of six hundred and seventy nine dollars, with ten per cent interest from date, and it is further ordered and adjudged that the Sheriff, who is appointed Special master for that purpose, sell said real estate & apply the proceeds of said sale to the payment of said debt, and as to the claims in said petition described for which plaintiff is surety, and as to the Executor of Lyne Starling this cause is continued,

Stephen Cravenston vs John Barbour. Issue of Minister this } Continued

Thursday June 11th A.D. 1857

John Morrison
vs
Joseph Biddle

On motion this cause came on to be heard, & the same was Submitted to the Court neither party requiring a Jury, and it appearing that the defendant has been duly notified of the pendency of this petition and that there is due the plaintiff from the defendant the sum of $400, as claimed in said petition set forth. Therefore it is Considered & adjudged that the plaintiff do recover of the defendant the said Sum of four hundred dollars and his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $ And this cause is continued as to the Garnishees

Full papers issued March 1st 1859

Patrick Odonnel an alien and a native of Ireland a free white person this day appeared in open Court and declared on his Solemn oath that he arrived in the United States in the month of November A.D. 1849 and that it is his bona fide intention to become a citizen of the United States, and to renounce forever all allegiance & fidelity to every foreign prince Potentate, State or Sovereignty whatsoever and, particularly to Queen Victoria of Great Britain,

License issued June 12th 1857

On motion. It is ordered that A.G. Benton be licensed to keep Tavern, at his house in ~~California~~ for the term of one year, on his paying into the County Treasury, the sum of two dollars.

James Gill. Pltff,
vs
C.M. Robinson &
Israel Slack. Defts

Settled at cost of Defendants. as per agreement. It is therefor ordered adjudged & decreed that the Plaintiff recover of the defendants his costs herein expended taxed to dollars & cents & in default thereof that execution issue therefor,

Thursday June 11th A. D. 1857

X | Joseph A Rofs. vs Jacob Beam George Clark Et als | This cause came on to be heard upon the petition of Plaintiff the answer of George Clark, Jacob Beam, Jesse Bell, S. R. Sanders, Benjain Sager, Wm Fisher, John Calloway, L. H. Hastings, and Renaldo Moses, And report of the master commissioner,

It is therefore ordered cnpidered and adjudged that the report of John B. Coats the master commissioner of this court ~~boust~~ be and the same is hereby approved and confirmed, and that the said master Commissioner to whom this cause is again refered, proceed to advertize and sell said premises, as upon executions at Law, and return the proceeds to this court, and this cause is continued

X | The Columbus Piqua & Indiana Rail Road Co vs John Mitchell, Et als | Motion to set aside decree. Motion Continued

X | James Snellington vs D. R. White and John Chapman | This cause came on to be heard and the plaintiff by G. Lincoln his attorney moved the Court for leave to amend the petition to correct mistake therein, leave granted and amended petition filed, And then came the said James Snellington by G. Lincoln his Attorney and the said David R. White and John Chapman still failing to demur or answer to the said petition, it is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises, and the court with the consent of the plaintiff find that there is due from the said defendant to the said plaintiff on the note in the said petition set forth the sum of

Thursday June 11th A.D. 1857

Three Hundred & Eight dollars & twenty eight cents
It is therefore considered & adjudged by the Court that the said plaintiff recover of said defendants the said sum of $308.28 with 8 pr cent interest the sum so found due with 8 pr cents interest thereon until paid as aforesaid and also his costs herein taxed to dollars & cents

Asher Dille
vs
David Ellis,
Nathan Moffitt &
John Robinson

On motion leave was granted to defendant to answer.
——& Cause continued

Furlong & Co
vs
John Kerar
John Wheeler &
Calvin F. Hays.

This cause came on to be heard & the Plaintiffs appearing by their Atty, the defendants John Kerar and Calvin F. Hays, still failing to answer, or demur to plaintiffs petition, & the defendant John Wheeler by his Atty R. C. Clark. entered his appearance, and on motion leave was granted him to answer. and neither party demanding a Jury this cause was submitted to the Court, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that the defendant Calvin F. Hays doth owe the Plaintiffs the sum of One hundred & fifty nine 50/100 dollars, his damages by reason of the premises
It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the said plaintiffs recover of said defendant the said sum of $159.50/100 the sum so found due and also their costs herein expended taxed to $.
And this cause is continued as to John Wheeler & John Kerar,

Slander

Hensen Merryman &
Mary Merryman his wife
vs
William Jackson &
Catherine Jackson his wife

This cause came on to be heard. both parties appearing by their Attys and thereupon came a Jury, to wit:

Thursday June 11th A.D. 1857

Christopher Grubbs. Jacob Painter, G. C. Porter, John Weaver
L. M. Andrews, Archibald Waggle, Garret Harriss,
Levin Wright Abram Beck John Organ, A. Stewart
& D. C. Robinson who being impannelled and sworn the
truth to speak upon the issues joined between the
parties, upon their oaths do ~~say~~ return into Court
the following verdict, in writing - The Jury in the
above cause say that the defendants are guilty
in manner and form as charged in complainants
petition, and assess the damages of Plaintiffs by
reason ~~of the promises~~ thereof to four hundred &
sixty dollars. (Signed) John Organ, Foreman.

It is therefore considered and adjudged by
the court that the said plaintiffs recover of the
said defendants, the said sum of four hundred and
sixty dollars, his damages in form aforesaid
assessed and also their costs in and about their
suit in this behalf expended taxed at $

Notice of appeal by both parties and either
of them

This day came Cole & Porter and moved the Court for
a rule against William C. Malin, late Sheriff of Union
County to show cause at the next term of this Court
Why he should not be compelled to pay to said Cole & Porter
Fifty four dollars with interest thereon since Oct. 17, 1855,
being part of money charged to be in his hands arising from
the sale of lands in the case of John Cassil vs William Perry
in this court which money was set off to Elizabeth Perry
wife of the said William Perry as a homestead, and
which said Malin was ordered to pay over in the case
of C. Cooper & Co vs William C. Malin by this court. Oct
17th 1857. being ballance of fees claimed to be due said
Cole & Porter, Attorneys of record for said Elizabeth Perry
in said case, which order is granted & cause continued

This day the Jurors of the Grand Jury, appeared at the bar of this court and
presented their several bills of Indictment against the following persons
for keeping tavern without license, to wit: Luther Weston, George Snodgrass,
Russel Bigelow, Bill Welch, Robert Snodgrass, & Benjamin Street, And an
Indictment vs Reuben Foote, for refusing & neglecting to do his duty as Supervisor
And their report, and they having no further business before them were discharged

Thursday June 11th A.D. 1857

And all motions matters & causes not otherwise disposed of are continued and the Court adjourned until next day

Wm Lawrence
Judge of Court of Com Pleas

George Carr, an alien and native of Biem, a free white person, this day came before me Saber Randall, Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas, of Union County Ohio, and declared, on his solemn oath, that he first arrived in the United States in the Month of August 1852, & that it is his bona fide intention to become a citizen of the United States, and to renounce forever all allegiance and fidelity to every Foreign Prince, Potentate, State or Sovereignty whatsoever and particularly to Louis, King of Biem, in Germany whose subject he was.

Marysville, June 11th 1857

Saber Randall, Clerk of Court
of Common Pleas of Union County Ohio

License issued Oct 23

License
Sept 11th

Thursday August 20th A.D. 1857

This day the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Union & State of Ohio convened in pursuance of Law and according to Notice previously given, Present M. C. Whitely Judge, Wm H Robb. Sheriff & Saber Randall. Clerk

George Cowgill vs E. L. Smith } In this case, It appearing that the Defendant has paid the claim of Pltff, set up in his petition, and that the Defendant has agreed to pay the costs — It is therefore ordered that the cause be dismissed at the Defendants costs, and the Pltff have leave to withdraw Papers. No record to be made —

It is therefore ordered that the Pltff recover from the Defendant his costs herein expended taxed to $

License issued Oct. 2d 1857 } On Motion, It is ordered that Robert Snodgrass be licensed to keep Tavern at his house in Milford for the term of one year, on his paying into the County Treasury the sum of Two dollars.

License issued Sept 11th 1857 } On Motion, It is ordered that George Snodgrass be licensed to keep Tavern at his house in Marysville for the term of one year, on his paying into the County Treasury the sum of Three dollars ($3)

} On Motion, It is ordered that Bill Welch be licensed to keep Tavern at his house in Pharisburg for the term of one year. on his paying into the County Treasury the sum of Two dollars.

} Stephen Cranston et als. Vs John Barbour Treas } Continued

} George Cowgill vs E. L. Smith } Continued

Thursday August 20th A.D. 1857

Moritz Reigelmann, an alien and Native of Bavaria, a free white person, this day came into open Court and made the Declaration and Proof required, by the Laws of the United States, of Aliens who have resided in these States three years next preceding their arrival at the age of Twenty one years, for the purpose of obtaining the benefit of the Laws of the United States, relating to Naturalization: And thereupon the said Moritz Reigelmann in open Court here, made solemn oath, that he will support the Constitution of the United States, and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and abjure all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign Prince, Potentate, State or Sovereignty, and particularly all allegiance and fidelity to Maximillian 2nd King of Bavaria whose subject he was,

Whereupon It is ordered by the Court that a certificate of Naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this application

Papers Issued

S. A. Cazil
vs
Joshua Judy &
D. W. Evans

This cause being submitted to the court, upon motion to dismiss the petition on the ground that it did not declare against D.W Evans as well as Joshua Judy, and thereupon the court do order and adjudge that the petition is defective in that behalf & thereupon Counsel for plaintiff moved the court to dismiss the appeal because the appeal bond does not obligate the Bail to pay all Judgments which shall be rendered against D. W. Evans as well as against Joshua Judy, which motion the court overruled & thereupon leave was granted to the plaintiff to file an amended petition in thirty days & answer in fifty days & this cause is continued

On motion. It is ordered that Luther Weston be licensed to keep Tavern at his house in Newton for the term of one year, on his paying into the County Treasury the sum of Two dollars,

License Granted

Thursday August 20th A.D. 1857

William Ogborn
vs
Horace M. Roberts. Etals

Civil action

On motion to the Court by E. Stillings, Atty for William Dille it is ordered that said William Dille be made defendant in this case and thereupon by leave of Court William Dille and Elizabeth Williams file their answers in this case.

The Trustees of the Theological Seminary of the Protestant Episcopal Church
vs
George A Grymes. Et als

In Chancery

No. 6 Chy

It appearing to the Court that the former decrees in this case determine the rights of the parties,

It is ordered, adjudged and decreed that said decrees remain and continue in force, that D. B. Coats be and is appointed and continued as Master Commissioner in Chancery to execute said decrees, and all writs and orders of execution thereon, and make a final settlement with all parties in interest in this case, together with special master as to any monies in his hands, according to said decrees; and it is further ordered that a final record be made to this time, and this cause is no longer to be continued on the docket

Asher Dille. Pltff
vs
Nathan Moffitt &
John Robinson Defts

No 36

Civil Action

This cause came on to be heard upon the petition of the plaintiff and the answer thereto by the defendant Nathan Moffitt, and the demurrer of the Plaintiff to said answer, on consideration whereof the Court do sustain said Demurrer and overrule said answer as insufficient in Law to bar the plaintiff of his right to recover as set out in said petition. And thereupon

Thursday August 20th A.D. 1857

neither party requiring a Jury, the parties waive a Jury and submit this cause to the Court, on consideration whereof the Court do find that there is due to the plaintiff on the promissory note in said petition set forth the sum of ninety dollars. It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of the defendants Nathan Moffitt and John Robinson the said sum of ninty dollars and also his costs herein expended taxed to $

William E. Osborn vs Horace M. Roberts Et als } Proof of Publication in this cause was made by filing a copy of the notice with the proper affidavit.

William E. Osborn vs Horace M Roberts. Et als } Civil Action. Petition on Note & Mortgage.

And now comes the said Wm. E. Osborn by S. H & H C. Godman his Attorneys, and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition and exhibits, and the answers of Defts Aaron Richardson, Elizabeth Williams and Lorllian Dille, the other defendants, having failed to plead, answer or demur to said petition, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, do find that there is due to the plaintiff on the notes in his petition mentioned, for principal and interest on said note the sum of four hundred and twenty two dollars, that there is due to defendant Richardson on the note in his answer mentioned the sum of three hundred and Eighty dollars and sixty cents, to defendant Elizabeth Williams the sum of three hundred and Eighty nine dollars and fifty nine cents, to defendant William Dille on the note from Ellis & Burk to defendant Clarkson or bearer the sum of one hundred and twenty dollars & ten cents

Thursday August 20th A.D. 1857

It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant Horace M Roberts the said sum of $422, that defendant Richardson recover of the defendant Horace M Roberts the said sum of $380.60/100, that defendant Elizabeth Williams recover of defendant Horace M Roberts the sum of $ ~~[illegible]~~ 389 59/100

The Court do further find that the amount due plaintiff, and the amount due defendant Richardson are secured by mortgages on the premises in the petition described, which are duly recorded in the County of Union in the State of Ohio, that the amount due defendant Elizabeth Williams is secured by the levy of writs of attachment from the Court of Common Pleas of Hardin County to the Sheriffs of Union and Hardin Counties, and levied by them on the premises in the petition described, that the amount due defendant Dille is secured by a mortgage on the premises in the petition described, and recorded in the County of Hardin in the State of Ohio It is therefore ordered and adjudged that if Defendant H. M. Roberts shall fail to pay the several sums so found to be due as aforesaid for ten days, that an order issue to the Sheriff of Union County who is hereby appointed special master commissioner for that purpose, commanding him to cause the mortgaged premises in the petition described to wit: Partly in Hardin & partly in Union County Ohio & mostly in Union County, to wit: Part of Virginia Military Entry & Survey Number 9960 & 10042 on the waters of Rush creek, Beginning at the South East corner of said Survey: Thence Northwardly with the East line of said Survey 200. poles: Thence at right angles Westwardly 100 poles, thence Southwardly 200 poles on a line parallel with the East line of said Survey to the South line of said Survey at a stake 100 poles from the South East corner of said Survey, Thence with the South line of said Survey Eastwardly 100 poles to the South East corner of said Survey, containing 125. acres.

Thursday August 20th A.D. 1857

to be appraised, advertised and sold according to Law, and apply the proceeds of the sale of the Lands lying in Union County. (after the payment of Costs) 1st To the payment of the Judgment in favor of defendant Richardson, 2nd To the payment of the Judgment in favor of Plaintiff, 3rd To the payment of the Judgment in favor of the defendant Elizabeth Williams,

That he apply the proceeds of that portion of the Lands lying in Hardin County as follows, 1st To the payment of the Judgment in favor of defendant William Dille, 2nd To the payment of the Judgment in favor of the plaintiff, 3rd To the payment of the Judgment in favor of Elizabeth Williams, but the costs and expenses of this proceeding shall be first paid from the gross proceeds of the sale of the whole of the Mortgaged premises, before any part shall be applied to the several Judgments in this cause, And thereupon this cause is continued for report of sale

Declaration of Charles Erb

I Bund

Charles Erp an alien and Native of Baden, a free white person came into open Court and declared on his solemn oath that he first arrived in the United States in the month of September 1852 and that it is bona fide his intention to become a citizen of the United States & to renounce forever all allegiance & fidelity to every foreign Prince, Potentate, State or Sovereignty, and Particularly to Frederick William King of Baden

Trustees of the Theological Seminary of the Protestant Episcopal Church vs George N Grymes, Et als

It is further ordered that the further order of reference made at the April Term of this Court, to wit; April 18th 1857. be

and the same is hereby set aside

Whereupon this Court adjourned until tomorrow morning at 9. O'clock

Friday August 21st A.D. 1857

The court of Common Pleas for the County aforesaid met this morning pursuant to adjournment. Present same officers as on yesterday

E. H. Elwell vs John Douglas & Wilson Reed	This cause is settled & costs paid
Catharine Hickey vs Nancy Mc Curdy Etals	This cause is arranged and is to be left off the Docket
Catharine Columber vs James M. Snodgrass Admr of John Columber	On motion of counsel for plaintiff & both parties waiving the right of trial by Jury & the Court finding that the award is in all respects regular & there is due the plaintiff from the said defendant as administrator the sum of fifteen hundred dollars. It is therefore considered, and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant James. M. Snodgrass, as administrator of John Columber, dec'd the sum of fifteen hundred dollars, found due by said award & the costs of suit taxed to $
Wm C. Malin. Etals vs David. H. Silver	On motion this cause was dismissed without prejudice to a future suit & by arrangement at the costs of Defendant. Thereupon it is considered & adjudged that the plaintiffs recover of Defendant the costs of this suit taxed to $ No record
Wm Williams vs Evelyn M. Bailey Etals	On motion it is ordered that notice by publication be given to defendants by six consecutive weeks publication in the Marysville Tribune & cause contained

Friday August 21st A.D. 1857

Thomas Tomlinson vs John F. Eaton & John Cassil } This day came the parties & submitted this case to the Court. waiving the right of trial by Jury & thereupon the Court do find that, there is due to the plaintiff from said defendant Eaton the sum of Eight hundred & seventy two dollars & ninety two cents on said article of agreement & the said Eaton holds the following claims as offsett to wit! a claim of note &c in favor of McCune & Mythoff $93.80 with $3.47 interest — a claim of $27.00 in favor of "G Wheelock, agent for the Washington Union Insurance Company with $1.13 interest — a claim of $32.87 of account in favor of John Coder with $.78 interest — and a note & transcript against James Simpson which the plaintiff had sold & guaranteed, amounting to $30.59/100 It is therefore considered & adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant Eaton the sum of Six hundred & Eighty three dollars & thirty eight cents the ballance due after deducting the said claims of offsett, to draw ten per cent interest until paid & the costs of this suit taxed to $

And it is further ordered & decreed that unless said sum of Six hundred & Eighty three & 38/100 dollars with interest be paid in ten days from the rising of this court, then the said real Estate in said petition described, be sold according to Law by the Sheriff who is hereby appointed special master for that purpose, & as to the deferred payments on said land, this cause is continued

Foreclosure

$307.50

Robson L. Boone, Pltff vs Cyrus Purkwife, Etals, } On motion to the Court by Plaintiff, by his Atty, and on producing the return of the Sheriff as Special Master Commissioner of this County, of a sale made on July 18th, 1857. of real estate on an order of sale issued in said case and the court on examination of said proceedings, being satisfied that said sale has been in all respects in conformity to Law, do approve and confirm the same. and said Sheriff, as special Master

Friday August. 21st A.D. 1857

commissioner is ordered to make to the purchaser a deed for the land & tenements sold,

Joseph Curtell
vs
Christopher Goubb & Elizabeth Goubb } Per on Note & Mortgage

and now comes Joseph Curtell by R.C. Clark his Attorney and the said Christopher Goubb and Elizabeth Goubb still failing to demur or answer to said petition. It is considered the plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises; and the court with the consent of the said plaintiff find that there is due from the said defendant to the said ~~defendant to~~ plaintiff on the note in said petition set forth, the sum of Eight five dollars. It is therefore considered by the said court here; that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendants the said sum of Eight five dollars, the sum found due as aforesaid, and also his costs taxed to $
And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant fails for ten days from the close of this term of court to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of Eight five dollars as aforesaid found due, with costs of suit, an order issue to the Sheriff of said County commanding him to cause the said Lands and tenements in said petition desired to be appraised, advertised, and sold according to Law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said Judgment so as aforesaid rendered

A. P. Stone & Co.
vs
Fields & Fletcher } On motion of the said Pltff by D.W. Robinson his Attorney, and on producing the return of the Sheriff of this County, as special master Commissioner in this case, of a sale of real estate made by him on the 8th day of August 1857. to John Gillard, on an order of sale

Friday August 21st A.D. 1857

issued in this case, and dated the 19th day of June 1857. and the court on examination of said proceedings, being satisfied that said sale has been made in all respects in conformity to Law. It is ordered that the said sale and proceedings be and the same is hereby confirmed, and the said Sheriff, and Special master in this case, is ordered to make a deed for the land and tenements so sold. being in lots No 129 & 130 in the town of Richwood in the County of Union.

John A. Reed,
Cyrus Reed &
Shannon Reed, by their
Guardian Saml Roberts &
Mary D. Reed. Widow of Shannon Reed dec'd
Ed O. Stevenson
Minerva C. Evans

In Partition

On motion to the court by D. W. Robinson counsel for petitioners and upon producing the proceedings of the Sheriff & also the report and proceedings of the commissioners herein before appointed and the same being examined, It is ordered that said proceedings and report be and the same are hereby approved & confirmed & that said widow be endowed of the part set off to her, and that said Shannon Reed Cyrus Reed & John A. Reed & Ed O. Stevenson & Minerva C. Evans hold in severalty the shares set off and assigned to each respectively by said commissioners. And it is further ordered that the costs & expenses of this suit including an attorney fee of Sixty dollars for D. W. Robinson be paid within twenty days by the parties in the following proportions, to wit: By Shannon Reed, Cyrus Reed and John A. Reed together the part expressed by the fraction $\frac{412791}{863492}$ and Edward O. Stevenson & Minerva C. Evans, each the part expressed by the fraction, $\frac{434701}{863492}$ & It is further ordered that unless the parties pay the costs herein taxed to them respectively within ten days that execution issue therefor as upon Judgments at law

David Wood
vs.
David B. Lockwood et als

Continued

Friday August 21st A.D. 1857

William B. Linscott
vs
Thomas A. Shelden
D. G. Scatty Et als

And now comes the said William B. Linscott by S. W. McCoy his Attorney & the said Thos. A. Shelden & D. G. Scatty having failed to demur or answer to the said petition of the said William B. Linscott It is considered that the said William B. Linscott ought to recover the said Sum of One hundred & Seven dollars & fifty cents so demanded in his petition which the Court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition. It is therefor considered that the said William B. Linscott recover against the said Thomas A. Shelden & D G. Scatty the said Sum of One hundred & Seven dollars & fifty Cents his debt & interest together with his costs in and about his Suit in this behalf expended taxed to dollars & Cents

The State of Ohio
vs
Robert Gamble

Gaming

The defendant Robert Gamble being arraigned for plea says he is guilty of the facts charged, Therefore, It is considered by the Court that said defendant make his fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of one dollars & costs of prosecution. taxed to $

It is ordered that the clerk of this court make out indexes to the books &c kept in his office, in accordance with the provisions of the act entitled an "act to authorize the making of indexes to the Judicial Records in the county of Hamilton, and other counties in this State" passed March 14th 1836. and the acts amendatory thereof — And it is further ordered that said indexes be completed by the next Term of this court, at which time he is to make report of his services.

Friday August 21st A.D. 1857

John Cassil
vs
Thomas A Mapes
Mary Mapes &
George W Wheeler

This cause was submitted to the court on motion of plaintiff, no one appearing for defendants, & neither party demanding a Jury, it is found there is due on the two first notes in said petition described the sum of two hundred & forty five dollars & twenty cents and there are other notes in said petition described not yet due. It is therefore considered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant, Thomas A Mapes the said sum of two hundred & forty five dollars & twenty cents & the costs of suit taxed to $ and it is further ordered that the said mortgaged premises be sold according to law by the Sheriff. who is appointed special master for that purpose, and as to the notes not yet due &c this cause is continued.

License issued Nov. 30 1857

This day came into Court Wm H Robb of Marysville in said County, and presented his Petition to be appointed and Licensed to exercise the trade or occupation of an Auctioneer in said County of Union, and on hearing, It is ordered by the court that a License for that purpose be issued to the said William H Robb on his giving bond, agreeably to the Statute in the sum of One thousand dollars with Wm L. Malin as surety and paying into the Treasury of said county a duty of Two dollars.

Edward Turner
Naturalization Papers

Issued

Edmund Turner an Alien & native of Great Britain & a free white person this day came into Court & proved to the Satisfaction of the Court, that he made in this Court more than two years ago the requisite & the declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the U. States, that he has resided in the U. S. for five years last past, that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio & that during all the time he has behaved as a man of good moral character attached to the principals of the Constitution of the United States & well disposed to the good order & happiness of the same & thereupon the said Edmund Turner in open Court made Solemn oath that he will support the Constitution of the U. S. & that he doth absolutely & entirely renounce & abjure all allegiance & fidelity to every foreign Prince Potentate State or Sovereignty & particularly all allegiance & fidelity to Victoria Queen of Great Britain whose subject he is Whereupon it is Ordered by the Court that a Certificate of naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this application

Friday August 21st A.D. 1857

1

Phebe Ann Johnson Plaintiff
against
George W. Coats Samuel K. Reed Benjamin F. Kelsey & S.G. Smith Defendants

Confession of Judgement on a warrant of Attorney

And now comes the Plaintiff by her Attorneys Hamilton & Lincoln & files her petition against the said defendants the said George W. Coats Saml K. Reed, Benjamin F. Kelsey & S.G. Smith & thereupon John L. Porter one of the Attorneys of this Court appeared in opened Court in behalf of said defendants and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed, and now produced to the Court and duly proved, waived the issuing and Service of process and confessed that the said George B. Coats & Saml K. Reed as principal and Benjamin F. Kelsey as bail do owe the plaintiff the Sum of three hundred dollars with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum from the 20th day of October 1834 as the plaintiff has in her petition set forth. It is therefore considered that the said Phebe Ann Johnson do recover of the said George W. Coats & Samuel K. Reed as principal debtors & Benjamin F. Kelsey & S.G. Smith as bail the said Sum of three hundred & ninety nine 66/100 dollars and interest thereon from the date of this Judgement at the rate of ten per cent per annum so confessed to be due & also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to dollars and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors is released & all right of appeal waived by the said Defendants.

✓

Mary Jackson
vs
James B Norris
Elijah Kent-act.

This day came the parties and compromised this cause as per agreement on file, whereupon, Judgment for the plaintiff for sixty four dollars against James B Norris one of the defendants, and plaintiff to pay costs. It is therefore considered by the Court that the [illegible] recover of said Norris said [illegible] Judgment so as aforesaid agreed upon, and to pay costs to this time, and said

John D Jones
vs
James W Evans
& Mary Evans

Defts This day come the plaintiff & his Attorn and made proof of publication of the pendency of this action with the substance and prayer of the petition for its connective writs according to law, and thereupon this Court proceeded upon the petition of plaintiff & the Defts, and the defendants filed pleas to appear, answer or demur to the plaintiffs petition. It is therefore considered that the plaintiff ought to recover of the defendants by reason of the premises the sums justly due him, and the Court both the Court of the plaintiff find that there is due to him from the defendant James W. Evans on the note in the petition mentioned the sum of Five hundred and thirty-seven 15/100 Dollars. It is therefore ordered & adjudged by the Court here, that the plaintiff do recover of the defendant James W Evans said sum of Five Hundred and thirty-seven 15/100 dollars found due as aforesaid also his costs in this behalf expended for to which. It is further ordered and adjudged, that unless said defendant fail for ten days from the term of this Court, to pay said plaintiff said sum of money to wit $537.15 so found due, with costs of suit, that an order issue to the Sheriff of said County commanding to cause the lands & tenements in the petition described to be sold according to law and to satisfy the proceeds of said sale in payment of the judgment aforesaid, and this cause is continued

Michael Fogarty vs Jas Whelpley — The Plaintiff having failed to give Security for Costs is hereby Nonsuited. It is therefore ordered & adjudged that the Plaintiff pay the costs herein expended taxed to which and in default thereof that Execution issue therefor

No record

M Watson vs Bill Welch — Leave granted to file petition in thirty days, and cause continued,

David B. Lockwood vs C. Winkler & wife — Continued.

And all motions, matters & causes not otherwise disposed of Stand Continued. And thereupon the Court adjourned without day

Wednesday noon

October 5th A. D. 1857

Robert Moody an alien and native of Ireland a free white person this day came before me Taber Randall Clk of the Court of Common Pleas in & for said County & State and declared on his Solemn Oath that he first arrived in the United States in the month of June A D. 1835 and that it is his bona fide intention to become a Citizen of the United States and to renounce forever all allegiance & fidelity to every Foreign Prince, Potentate, State or Sovereignty whatsoever, & particularly to Queen Victoria Queen of Britain Great Britain

October 29th 1857

John Parish. an alien. a Native of England aged 41 years came before me Taber Randall. Clerk. of the Court of Com Pleas for the County of Union & State of Ohio. and declared on his solemn oath that it was bona fide his intention to become a citizen of the United States, and to renounce forever all allegiance to every foreign Prince. Potentate. State or Sovereignty whatsoever & particularly to Victoria. Queen of the United Kingdom of Great Brittain & Ireland.

Taber Randall Clerk

November 10th A. D. 1857 Special Mandates from the District Court for the County of Union in the following cases to wit, Reese Miller & John Gray vs David H. Silver & Elisha Wright and Rees Miller & John Gray vs David H. Silver & James L. Wright were received & filed in the Clerks office

Taber Randall Clerk

Tuesday. November. 3d A.D. 1857

This day the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Union & State of Ohio. convened in pursuance of Law - Present. Wm Lawrence, Judge. Wm H Robb. Sheriff. John L. Porter. Prosecuting Attorney. and Faber Randall. Clerk.

No 19 ✓

Frederick Wheeler
vs
Thomas A. Sheldon

Confirmation.

On motion of the plaintiff by Hamilton & Lincoln, his Attorneys, and on producing the return of the Sheriff of this County, who is Special Master commissioner of this Court in this cause, of a sale of real estate made by him on the 19th day of September 1857, to Frederick Wheeler, on an order of sale issued in this case, and dated the 13th day of August 1857, and the court on examination of said proceedings being satisfied that said sale has been made in all respects according to Law, It is ordered that the sale and proceedings be, and the same are hereby confirmed, and the said special master commissioner is hereby ordered to make to the purchaser a deed for the real estate so sold & the balance of the money after payment of debt & costs be paid to Nathaniel Raymond who owned the equity of redemption in the land

$230 25

P. 189

The Juros of the Grand Jury being called came, to wit: Robert Thompson, Peter V. Finch, James Cunningham, Wm Wynegar, Levi Bonham, Othniell Leavitt, John McAllister, Isaac Broderick, Samuel Myers, Charles E. Wilkins & John Mapes, regular Jurors, and Adam Richey, A. F. Wilkins, John F. Sabin, William B. Irwin, talesmen.

The Court appointed John F. Sabin, Foreman, and the Jury being duly empanneled, Sworn, and charged, retired to their room to deliberate.

No 1 ✓

State of Ohio
vs
Benjamin Street

Continued for service

No 2 ✓

State of Ohio
vs
Russell Bigelow

Continued for service

Tuesday. November 3d A. D. 1857

No 3	State of Ohio vs Robert Snodgrass	Nolle Prosequi
No 4	State of Ohio vs Bill Welch	Continued for service
No 5	State of Ohio vs Luther Weston	Nolle Prosequi
No 6	State of Ohio vs George Snodgrass	Nolle Prosequi
No 7	State of Ohio vs William Sands	Continued for service
No 8	State of Ohio vs William Sands	Continued for service
No 14	State of Ohio vs Jeremiah Johnson	Nolle Prosequi
No 15	State of Ohio vs Reuben Foote	Nolle Prosequi

Tuesday November 3d A. D. 1857

No. 1.	Lessee of Saml Stokely vs John Williams. Etals	Continued
No. 2.	Charles N. Dennis vs James, M. Snodgrass	Continued
No 3	Col. Pig & Ind^{a} R. R. Co^{y} vs James Robinson	Continued & by agreement of counsel for both parties, the Special demurrer, heretofore filed is withdrawn, and a general demurrer filed,
No 4	Ezra Reed vs George Winchester Etals	Continued
No 5	Col. Pig & Ind^{a} R. R. Co^{y} vs Willian Dix	Continued
No 6	Col, Pig & Ind^{a} R. R. Co^{y} vs David Hodgcroas	Continued
No 7	Col. Pig & Ind^{a} R. R. Co^{y} vs John Mitchell	Continued
No 8	Col. Pig & Ind^{a} R. R. Co^{y} vs Benjamin Tinkham	Continued
No 9	Col. Pig & Ind^{a} R. R. Co^{y} vs Squire Leland	Continued

Tuesday November 3d A. D. 1857

X No 10	Col. Pigg & Ind. R R Co vs Charles Converse	Continued
X No 11	Hiram Benton vs Bellefontaine & Ind R R Co	Continued
X No 12	Curtis Tempston vs Hannah Tempston	Continued
No 13 X	Julia Ann Samells vs John Samells	Continued
X No 14	Thornton Wasson vs Jacob Bowersmith	Continued
X No 15	David H. Silver vs Elisha Wright	Continued
X No 18	William Westlake vs A. Hamilton	Continued under former order.
X No 20	Hamilton Blue vs William Westlake	Continued
X No 21	Philander Manchester vs Corydon Winkler	Continued

Tuesday November 3d A. D. 1857

No 22	Evans & Johnson vs W. T. Morris	Continued
No 23	Bradley, Burnham, Lamb & Co vs Samuel A. Lunks & wife	Cont'd under former order.
No 25	Daniel Wisell vs Elihu Phelps Et als	Continued under former order.
No 26	David. Hamilton & wife vs David Beard Et als	Continued under former order.
No 27	Barnet Bennett vs Thomas A. Sheldon	Continued
No 28	John Robinson vs C. M. Robinson & Wm H Robinson	Continued under former order.
No 29	John Cassil Et als vs A. R. Bowen	Continued under former order.
No 30	John Cassil Et als vs D. H. Fulkner	Continued under former order.
No 31	Addison Moore vs Thomas Leas	Continued under former order.
No 32	Wm. H. Sheldon vs C. Rathbun	Continued under former order.

Tuesday. November 3rd A. D. 1857

No 33	I & W Brooks vs Alexander Gaudy	Continued under former order.
No 35	George Neil vs Joseph Cahill & ~~Bolivar C. Evans~~ Manchester & Titus	This day came the parties to this case & on motion, it is continued ~~Continued~~ with this further order that the case shall be tried or dismiped, as to Manchester & Titus at the next term of this Court.
Joseph Samuel Declaration	Joseph Simon, an alien, & native of Bavaria, being duly sworn says that he first arrived in the United States in December 1854, and that it is bona fide his intention to become a citizen of the United States & to renounce forever all allegiance & fidelity to every foreign Prince, Potentate, State or Sovereignty whatsoever, and particularly to Maximillian King of Bavaria whose subject he was.	
	On motion, It is ordered that S. A. Lucas be licensed to keep tavern at his house in Marysville for the term of one year, on his paying into the County Treasury the sum of Two dollars.	
No 34	Nathaniel Evans vs Jesse Barnett	Dismiped at the costs of Plaintiffs, without prejudice, It is therefore ordered & adjudged that the Defendant recover of the Plaintiff his cost herein expended taxed to $ or in default thereof that execution issue therefor.
No 9	State of Ohio vs Bolivar Hays	Gaming. The defendant Bolivar Hays, being arraigned for plea says, that he is guilty, It is therefore Ordered, adjudged and decreed that the defendant Bolivar Hays make his fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of one dollar, and that he pay the costs of prosecution taxed to $ or in default that execution issue therefor.

Tuesday, November 3rd A.D. 1857

No 10 — Gaming.
State of Ohio vs Peter Beaver — The defendant Bolivar Hays being arraign for plea says that he is guilty. It is therefor ordered adjudged & decreed that the defendant make his fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of One dollar, and that he pay the cost of prosecution taxed to $

No 11 — Gaming.
State of Ohio vs Peter Beaver — The defendant Bolivar Hays being arraigned for plea says that he is guilty. It is therefore ordered adjudged & decreed that the defendant make his fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of one dollar, and that he pay the costs of prosecution taxed to $

No 12 — Gaming.
State of Ohio vs Peter Beaver — The defendant Bolivar Hays being arraigned for plea says that he is guilty. It is therefore ordered adjudged & decreed that the defendant make his fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of One dollar, and that he pay the costs of prosecution taxed to $

No 13 — Gaming.
State of Ohio vs Amaziah Hays — The defendant Amaziah Hays being arraigned for plea says that he is guilty. It is therefore ordered adjudged & decreed that the defendant make his fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of one dollar, and that he pay the costs of prosecutin taxed to $

It is ordered by the court that all causes and matters placed upon the docket for tomorrow and the day following be set for this afternoon.

Tuesday November 3rd A.D. 1857

X No 17	John B. Dumble. Pltff vs Thomas R. Price Deft	On motion to the Court by L. B. Coates. Attorney for Pltff. and it appearing to the satisfactim of the Court. that the land levied on in this case. being the same that was mortgaged to secure the payment of the Plaintiffs claim. has been offered more than three times. and not sold for want of bidders. it is therefore ordered and adjudged that the appraisement heretofore made of said premises. be and the same is hereby set aside. and a new appraisement ordered. and cause continued.
X No 16	William Dunn vs Robert. G. Dunn	Continued
X No 36	David Wood vs David. B. Lockwood Chambers Caley C. Winkler & P. Manchester	Continued
X No 38	Stephen Cranston. Et als vs John Bartnur. Jr. U. S.	Continued
X No 41	James Martin vs Col. Pitz & Pa. R. R. Co.	Continued
X No 42	John Morrison vs Joseph Biddle	Continued
X No 47	Mary Dysert vs Joseph. Dysert	Continued.

Tuesday. November 3d A.D. 1857

No 45	Samuel C. Lee vs Lemuel W. Smith	Continued under former order.
No 48	Joseph A Rop vs Jacob Beard George Clark. Et als	On this cause it is ordered that a final record be made.
No 49	James C. Dynes. Exr &c vs Harrison Rice. John Newbrand & Joshua Marshall	Continued
No 52	John Perry vs A. A. Woodworth. Admr &c	Continued
No 55	Ann Neil. Admx of Solomon Neil Deceased vs Samuel A. Cherry	Continued
No 58	P. B. Smith vs John S. Fulton	Continued
No 62	Thomas M. Ewing. Admr &c vs Elijah Warner & wife	Continued under former order.
No 64	Israel Slack vs C. M. Robinson	Continued under former order.

Tuesday November 3rd A. D. 1857

X No 66	John D. Jones vs James M. Evans	Continued under former order.
X No 67	Samuel Covey vs H. L. Childs	Continued.
✓ No 24.	George W. Wheeler vs R. C. Wheeler	On motion this cause was submitted to the court by the parties, neither party demanding a Jury & thereupon, upon hearing the testimony & the court do find the allegations of the petition to be true and there is due the plaintiff from the defendant the sum of $71.00. It is therefore ordered, considered & adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of Seventy one dollars & the costs of this suit. taxed to $

Considered

And on motion of plaintiff Attorney and it appearing from the answer of the said George Coder that he is indebted to the said defendant in a sum of money greater than the amount of this Judgment and that the same is now due and payable and liable to be applied under said attachment. It is therefore ordered that the said George Coder, do pay into the hands of the Clerk of this court the said sum of $71.00 & costs of this suit within ten days from this time. and in default thereof. It is further ordered that execution issue against said George Coder. to collect the said sum of $71. and costs of suit, as upon Judgments at Law, which sum so paid shall operate as a payment to said defendant by said Coder.

Tuesday November 3d A.D. 1857.

✓

No 39 | James Brooks vs John Emmons & Calvin Hill } Confirmation

On motion of the said Plaintiff by D.C. Doughty his Attorney, and on producing the return of the Sheriff of this County of a sale ~~made~~ of Real Estate made by him on the 31st day of October A.D. 1857, to Henry Baldwin, on an order of sale in this case, and the proceedings being found in all respects regular and in conformity with law. do therefore confirm the same & order the Sheriff to execute to said purchaser a deed in fee simple for said land & It is further ordered that the costs of suit be paid out of the purchase money and the ballance if any due after payment of the decree, is to remain with Henry Baldwin, who now for that purpose is made a party, and now owns the entire interest of the plaintiff, as set forth in the answer of the said Henry Baldwin filed herein,

P.180
$104.00

✓
P. 213
P. 482
No 56 | P. W. Alden & S. H. Reed vs Elijah Hill. Et als } On motion of counsel for plaintiffs, this case was submitted on the report and proceedings of the Sheriff and special master, and the same being in all respects in conformity with law, therefore it is ordered that the sale & proceedings be confirmed, and the said William H. Robb. do execute and deliver to the said purchaser a deed in fee simple for said land. And the Court further order that the Sheriff out of the proceeds of said sale pay the costs of this proceeding taxed to $19.15 & the ballance of the purchase money, $503. be applied to the payment of the former orders in this case, leaving still unpaid $85.58

✓
No 46 | William Walke Jr. vs Susan E. Walke Et als } On motion of Counsel for Plff & it appearing that Geo. B. Hopkinson of the appraisers appointed in this case has died, Decimer Payne is appointed by the court in his stead and cause continued [illegible] from order

Tuesday November 3d A.D 1857

No 50 / 179 / $1019 64

Elihu Phelps
vs
Milton Colver & Thomas A Sheldon

Confirmation

On motion of the plaintiff by L.S. Doughty his Attorney, on producing the return of the Sheriff of this County of a sale of real estate made by him on the 20th day of June A.D. 1857. to Elihu Phelps. and David Wood. on an order of sale issued in this case and dated the 9th day of May A.D. 1857. and the Court on examination of said proceedings being satisfied that the said sale and proceedings are in all respects regular. do order that the same be. and they are hereby confirmed. and the said Sheriff is ordered to make to David Wood one of the purchasers who now owns the interest of the said Elihu Phelps in said lands a deed for the lands and tenements so sold.

No 51 "

Samuel H. Rob
vs
Andrew Reed Et als

This cause came on to be heard on the proceedings & sale of Wm H. Robb. Sheriff & other papers & the court do find that the same have been in all respects in conformity with law, and therefore order adjudge and decree that said sale & proceedings be and the same are hereby confirmed. and said Wm H Robb. Sheriff &c is ordered to execute and deliver to said purchaser a deed in fee simple for said land upon his paying & securing to be paid according to law the purchase money - It is further ordered & decreed that out of the purchase money said Sheriff pay the costs of this suit including an attorny fee of Eight dollars. to D. W. Robinson. and further out of said proceeds, that he pay to Samuel H Rob his lien heretofore allowed in this case for taxes &c by him paid amounting to $1040.03 with interest from the date of said decree, to wit! April 8th 1857. and the ballance of the purchase money amounting to $1438 13/100 and

Tuesday November 3d A.D. 1857

and interest from this date be paid when collected to the following persons in the following proportions to wit! To Samuel H. Rop. 22/49 parts. To Margaret and John Carr 3/27 parts. To Susannah Allison and her husband 1/35 part. To Adeline L. Rankin & her husband Adam Rankin 1/35 part. To Margaret Reed 1/35 part - To William Reed 1/35 part. To John Reed 1/35 part. To Andrew R Stewart 1/49 part - To John Stewart 1/49 part To William Stewart 1/49 part - To Robert Stewart 1/49 part - To Dannes Stewart 1/49 part - To Mary Dunn & her husband 1/49 part and to Susannah Alexander 1/7 part.

Motion Docket,

Jesse ~~Harrison~~ Barnett & Samuel Wheeler, Admrs of Thomas Scott, Decd vs John A. Scott

It appearing that the Sheriff Wm H Robb, did on the 12th day of September A.D. 1857 sell to Calvin F. Keays for $ the undivided 13th part of the following Real Estate situate in said County in Surveys Nos 5629 & 6493. Beginning at a stake N 10 W. 8. poles from a sugartree, corner to lands of Richard Shanks, thence with the line of lands sold to Jesse Butcher N 10. W 174 poles to a stake corner to lands of Dannes Tallman, thence with his line S 80 W 150. poles to a stake, thence S. 10. E 174. poles to a stake in the line of lands of Wm Carson, thence with his line N 80 E 150 poles to the Beginning, containing one hundred and fifty acres more or less & being the farm on which Thomas Scott last resided. And it appearing that the sale and proceedings of said Sheriff have been in all respects lawful, the court do order and decree that the same be confirmed & that said Sheriff do execute and deliver to said purchaser a deed in fee simple for said land.

Thereupon this court adjourned until tomorrow morning at half past seven, O. Clock

Wm Lanegrae
Judge of Com Pleas

Wednesday November 4th A.D 1857

This morning Court met pursuant to adjournment. Same officers present as on yesterday.

No 94 James Carter. Pltff vs Abraham Morey & Abigail Morey. Defts } Petition on Note & Mortgage

And now comes the said James Carter by Hamilton & Lincoln his Attorneys. and the said Abraham Morey and Abigail Morey still failing to demur or answer to said petition It is considered that the plaintiff ought to recover of said defendants the sum of four hundred and twenty four dollars with interest at ten per cent. as in the petition set forth: and It is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendants the said sum of four hundred and twenty four dollars, as aforesaid found due, and interest at ten per cent. and his costs herein taxed at $. And it is further ordered & adjudged that in case the defendants fail for ten days from the close of the present term of the Court to pay to said plaintiff said sum of $424, and interest at ten per cent. and the costs of suit, an order issue to W. H. Robb, who is hereby appointed Special Master Commissioner in this case, Commanding him to cause the lands and tenements in the petition described: to wit: In Union, County Ohio, part of Survey No 3354, beginning at the north west corner of lot No 4. Western addition to the Town of Marysville on South Street, thence with said Street west two and a half poles to a stake South East Corner to L.B. Kinneys land, thence west with L.B. Kinneys line three poles to a stake in the line of S. F. Kinney. Thence S. 8° 45' E with said S. F. Kinneys line 26 poles & 18 links to a stake and stone S. E corner to S. F. Kinneys land, and in the line of Adam Wolford. Thence East with said Wolfords line twenty feet to a stake, thence N 0° 30' E 25 7/20 poles to the place of beginning, containing. 87 poles more or less. Also part of same Survey beginning at the Southwest corner of the tract aforesaid, and thence with Adam Wolford line, aforesaid N 89° W four poles & 7 links to a stake. thence N 1° E 26 poles & 5 links to a stake. Southwesterly corner to a lot formerly sold by Henry Shedd to L.B. Kinney. Thence S. 8° 45'

Wednesday November 4th A.D. 1857

E. Twenty six poles & 18 links to the beginning, containing 35 poles more or less, to be appraised, advertised & sold according to law, and apply the proceeds of said sale to the satisfaction of said Judgment.

No 71 — James R. Young vs John R Bowen — Motion to dismiss petition, overruled & cause continued, with leave to file answer.

No 601 — Thornton Wasson, Pltff vs William Sands, Dem Deft — Continued at the costs of the Defendant. It is therefore considered and adjudged that the Plaintiff recover of the Defendant the costs of this term taxed at $, or in default thereof that execution issue therefor.

No 88 — Kelton Bancroft & Co vs Wm H Dunnick. — This day came the said plaintiffs by their Attorneys Hamilton & Lincoln & the said defendant failing to demur or answer to the petition. It is considered by the Court that the said Plaintiffs ought to recover of the defendant, the amount due them by reason of the premises, and the Court with the consent of the Pltffs find there is due from the defendant to the plaintiffs on the note in the petition set forth the sum of $328.76 with interest at ten per cent.

It is therefore considered by the Court that the Pltffs recover of the defendant the sum of $328.76 with interest thereon at ten per cent, so found due, and their costs herein taxed to $ And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the defendant fails for ten days from the close of this term of the court to pay said sum so found due to the plaintiffs with costs of suit, an order issue to William H. Robb, Sheriff of said County, who is hereby appointed Special Master Commissioner in this case commanding him to cause said lands in the petition described

Wednesday November 4th A.D. 1857

to wit: In Darby township Union County Ohio. to wit: being part of Survey No 5005 on the waters of Darby Creek. beging at a stake Southwestern corner of Thomas Andrews 13 acre lot; thence with his line corecting the Course thereof N 63° 20' W 110 poles to a stake in the line of Joel Conklins 39 acres. thence S 63° W. 61. poles to a Sugartree and oak. Southwestern corner to Joel Conklins 39 acres. Thence South 63° 20'. E 140 poles to a Swamp oak. thence N 27° E to the place of Beging. conting 51 acres more or less. to be appraised advertyed and sold according to law, and apply the procuds of the sale to the satisfaction of said Judgment

No 85 — Othniel Jewett, Admr. of D. D. Kirk vs Daniel Berkepile — This day came the plaintiff by his Attorney & no one appearing for the defendant; and submitted the case to the court & thereupon the Court do find that there was due at the commencement of this suit from defendant to plaintiff the sum of $97.46 & that the defendant since the commencement of suit has paid $45, and there is still due the sum of $53.43 on said note & mortgage,

It is therefore considered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of Defendant the sum of $53.43 & the costs of suit taxed to $ and it is further ordered that unless the defendant pay said sum of money & costs within ten days from this time, then the Sheriff of this County who is appointed Special master for that purpose sell said mortgaged premiss according to law & report his proceedings herein & this cause is continued for report.

Divorce

No 80 — Sarah Bird vs Samuel H. Bird — This day came the said Sarah Bird by her Attorneys Hamilton & Lincoln and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the petition and testimony and was argued by counsel. on consideration whereof. the Court do find that

Wednesday November 4th A. D. 1857

due notice of the filing and pendency of said petition was given to the said defendant according to Law, and the court do further find that the said Samuel H. Bird has been guilty of adultery since his marriage with the ~~defendt~~ plaintiff and prior to the filing of said petition. It is therefore considered & adjudged & decreed that the marriage relation heretofore existing between the said parties be, and the same is hereby set aside and wholly annulled, and it is further ordered that the said Complainant be restored to her maiden name of Sarah Walker and that the said defendant do pay to the said plaintiff for her reasonable alimony the sum of one hundred dollars, and that if the ~~Plaintiff~~ Defendant fail for ten days from the close of this term of the Court, that execution issue against the defendant and in favor of the plaintiff to collect the same.

And it is further ordered that the custody, nurture, education and care of her children, to wit:

be and the same is hereby given to the said petitioner, and the said defendant is hereby forever enjoined from interfering with or disturbing the said petitioner, in the custody, nurture, education and care of the said above named children until the further order of this Court, and that the Plaintiff pay the cost of this proceeding in ten days, taxed to $. or in default thereof that execution issue therefor.

No 93 | Austin Dunmer & Co. vs Samuel C. Lee | Petition on Note.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorneys Hamilton & Lincoln, and the said Samuel C. Lee still failing to demur or answer to the petition, and the plaintiff consenting thereto, the court do consider that the said plaintiff ought to recover of the defendant the sum of $345.67. And the Court do find that there is due to the plaintiffs the sum of $345.67 on the note in the petition set forth. It is

Wednesday November 4th A. D. 1857

therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiffs recover of said defendant the sum of $345.67 the sum so found due, and their costs herein taxed to $

No 83 } ~~Blackstock Landry~~ George W. Casey vs R. A. Shadrack & D. D. Welsh } This day came the plaintiff by his Attorneys Hamilton & Sinclair and the said defendant failing to demur or answer to the petition, It is considered by the Court that the plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises, and the Court with the consent of the plaintiff find there is due from the defendant to the Plaintiff on the note in the petition set forth the sum of $198.64 with interest thereon. It is therefor considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of One hundred & ninety Eight 64/100 dollars, so found due and his costs herein taxed to $

And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the defendants fails for ten days from the close of this term of the court to pay said sum so found due to the plaintiff with costs of suit, an order issue to Wm. H. Robb, Sheriff of said County, who is hereby appointed Special Master Commissioner of this Court, in this case, commanding him to cause the lands in the petition described, to wit: In Union County, Ohio, and part of survey No 3351, beginning at a stake in the Kenton road N. E. corner to Stephen Wingets land, thence with his east line S 1/2 W 39 1/2 poles to the said Wingets corner in the center of Mill creek, thence with the creek S. 82 1/4. E 7 3/5 poles, thence S. 45 1/2, E 13 poles, thence S 7 1/2 E. 5 1/5 poles, thence N 5. E 45 4/5 poles to a stake in the Kenton road, thence with said road N 50, W. 34 1/5 poles to the beginning containing five acres and 146 poles, be the same more or less to be appraised, advertised and sold according to law, and apply the proceeds of the sale to the satisfaction of said Judgment.

Wednesday November 4th A.D. 1857

No 78 | John Pollock. Pltff vs Joshua Judy & A. M. Cherry. Deft | This day this cause came on to be heard. Plaintiff appearing in person and Joshua Judy appears by himself and also by his Attorney, and Abram M. Cherry having failed to answer or demur to plaintiffs petition, is adjudged to be in default thereof. And neither party requiring a Jury, and with the assent of Plaintiff this cause is submitted to the court. and the said Joshua Judy appearing in open Court assented that Judgment should be entered against him for the sum of $1035,37 with ten per cent interest on said sum from this time until payment. The court do order and adjudge that the said John Pollock. recover of the said Joshua Judy, as principal, and of the said Abram M. Cherry as indorser the said sum of one thousand and thirty five dollars & thirty seven cents the said sum confessed by the said Judy, to be due as aforesaid, and taken as confessed as against the said A. M. Cherry, and that said sum of $1035,37 shall bear interest at the rate of .10 per cent per annum, until paid & that he recover his costs herein expended taxed to $

No 63 | R. L. Boorne vs Jackson G. Sprague | In Attachment.

This day came R. L. Boorne by his Atty John S. Porter, and makes proof that he caused a notice containing a summary statement of the object and prayer of said petition, and notifying said defendant that he was required to answer said petition on the 19th day of September 1857. and that said notice was published according to Law, and now the said defendant having failed to appear and answer or demur to the said petition. the court do find that said plaintiff ought to recover against Defendant. Jackson G. Sprague the sum of one hundred and seventy eight dollars & seventeen cents. as claimed in his

Wednesday November 4th A.D. 1857

petitn It is therefore considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant said sum of One hundred & seventy eight dollars & seventeen cents and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $

And on motin of said plaintiff. It is further ordered that the Sheriff of this County proceed as upon execution to advertize and sell the real property heretofore attached in this case, or so much thereof as will satisfy said Judgment and costs. and cause continued

No 69	Charles T. Marks vs Joel Armstrong & D Welch	Continued under former order
No 70	William Reynolds vs John James Wallace	Continued
No 74	Joseph Cussell vs Christopher Grubbs & Elizabeth Grubbs	Continued under former order
No 79	Peter Wynegar vs James A. Langsdaff	Continued
No 81	Stephen Cravens vs Heylas Sabin Etals	Continued
No 82	James Wilson Etals admrs &c vs James Fullington Etals	Continued

Wednesday November 4th A.D. 1857

No. 40. George Cowgill vs Ebenezer L. Smith — This day came the Plff by his Attorney, and the defendant being in default for an answer, and submits this cause to the Court, without the intervention of a Jury. In consideration whereof the Court find that there is due the Plff from the defendant the sum of One hundred and Twenty nine dollars and twenty cents ($129.20) the amount of the note which the Plff paid John Detwiler as surety for said defendant and interest. It is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover from the defendant said sum of $129.20 and his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $. Thereupon the Plaintiff remits the said Judgment upon the payment of the costs, It is therefore ordered that the Plaintiff have Execution and an order for the sale of the Lands and Tenements which are attached in this case

No 90 Wm Bingham & Co vs John F. Eaton & D.D. Welsh — Settled & costs paid

No 91 Tyler Davidson & Co vs David Wood — Settled & costs Paid

No 92 James S. Alexander vs G. Rathbun & Geo Snodgrass — On motion this cause is discontinued at the cost of Plaintiff, without prejudice. It is therefore considered and adjudged that the defendants recover of the plaintiff their costs herein expended taxed to $

No 96 Josephus L. Goodyce vs Wm. B. Irwin — This cause is discontinued without prejudice. Costs paid. No record,

Wednesday November 4th A.D. 1857

No 97	Susannah Dysert vs S. M. & V & Pittsburg R. R. Co	Continued
No 98	Israel D. Richardson vs D. G. W House	Settled & costs paid, No record.
Ch No 1	Diantha White, Admx vs Samuel Marriott Admr of Ward	Continued
Ch No 2	Admx of D. L. Miller vs Stephen McClain	Continued
Ch No 3	James T. Wells & B. Wood Admrs vs Jane White	Continued
Ch No 4	D. Wood & Son vs D. G. Strong	Continued
Ch No 5	Reuben A Scumb vs Alexander Cargill	Cont'd
Ch No 6	James Galloway vs A. S. Alden	Cont'd
Ch No 7	Cole & Piper vs Saml Bland	Cont'd
Ch No 8	Elnathan Hathaway vs John W. Tibbatts	Continued

Wednesday November 4th A.D. 1857

Chy No 9	James. W Eustis vs Francis B Marriott	Continued
Chy No 10	L. W. Andrews. Admr of Lyne Starling, Dcd vs R. P. Mann	Continued
Chy No 12	Richard Cowling vs James Guy	Continued
Chy No 13	John. H. Lames vs Norman Reed	Continued under former order.
Chy No 14	L. W. Andrews. Exor of Lyne Starling Decd. vs Hamilton Epps & Epps	Continued under former order.
Chy No 15	Powel & Buck vs S. A. Cherry	Continued under former order.
Chy No 16	John Cassil vs Thos R Price	Continued
	Springfield Bank. Pltff against James W. Evans, James Turner, & Joshua Judy. Defts	On motion of Mason, Booman & Mason, Attorneys of the Plaintiff and it appearing to the court that the real estate heretofore levied upon in this case has been appraised and twice advertised & offered for sale and remains unsold for want of bidders. It is ordered that said appraisement be set aside, and that a new appraisement of said real estate be made

No 86.

No 37.

P. 10

$811.

No 43

$446

P. 627

Wednesday November 4th A.D. 1857

No 86. | Willie P. Hall vs Union Sp. Union Co. | On motion to the Court this action is dismissed without prejudice, without record, and the Plaintiff has leave to withdraw the papers

It is therefore ordered, considered & adjudged by the Court that the Defendant recover of the Plaintiff Willie P. Hall, their costs herein expended taxed to $, or in default thereof that execution issue therefor. (The papers in the above case were withdrawn by Pltffs agent Mr Ward after paying the costs, J. Randall)

No 37. | George L. Leavitt vs Jonathan Burt & others | Confirmation

P. 184 $811.12

The Sheriff of Union County having made sale of the premises described in the petition pursuant to the former order of the Court in this cause, And the court having carefully examined his proceedings, and the sale by him made, and being satisfied of the legality of said sale, and that the same was in all respects made in conformity to the law, do confirm the same & do order said Sheriff to execute to the purchasers a deed for said premises so sold

No 43 | Jerome Buckingham vs Alexander, Shields & Mary Shields | Confirmation
Foreclosure

$446.70 P. 627

On motion of the said plaintiff by R. C. Clark his Attorney and producing the return of the Sheriff of this County of a sale of real estate made by him on the 31st day of October A.D. 1857 to Jerome Buckingham, on an order of sale issued in this case, and dated the 15th day of September A.D. 1857. And the court on examination of said proceedings, being satisfied that the sale has been made in all respects in conformity to Law,

It is ordered that the said sale and proceedings be and the same are hereby confirmed and the said Sheriff is ordered to make to the purchaser a deed for the Lands and tenements so sold

Wednesday November 4th A. D. 1857

No 47 | A. A. Woodworth, Admr &c vs John Perry } Continued

No 57 | Eli Long vs Lewis Green } Continued at costs of Plaintiff. It is therefore ordered & considered that the Defendant recover of the Plaintiff his costs expended at this term taxed to # and in default of payment within ten days that execution issue therefor

No 73 | Nimrod Garwood vs Wm M Robinson } This day came the said Plaintiff by his Atty, and the said defendant Wm M Robinson having failed to answer or demur, to plaintiffs petition — It is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the sum of one hundred & fifty six dollars & forty cents the sum demanded in his petition which includes the interest due on said claim — It is therefore considered that the said plaintiff Nimrod Garwood recover of the defendant Wm M Robinson the said sum of one hundred & fifty-six 40/100 Dollars and his costs in this behalf expended taxed to #

John Heuber Naturalization — Full Papers Issued Nov. 6th 1857

John Heuber an Alien and Native of Bavaria, a free white person, this day came into open Court and made the declaration & Proof required by the laws of the United States, of Aliens who have resided in these states three years next preceding their arrival at the age of 21 years, for the purpose of obtaining the benefit of the Laws of the United States, relating to Naturalization. And thereupon the said John Heuber in open Court here made solemn, that he will support the Constitution of the United States, and that he doth absolutely & entirely renounce & abjure all allegiance to every foreign Prince Potentate, State or Sovereignty, and particularly to Maximillian King of Bavaria, whose subject he was, Whereupon It is ordered by the court that a certificate of Naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this application

Wednesday. November. 4th A.D. 1857

X
No 99 — James M Snodgrass. Admr &c vs Richard Columber. Et als — In this case it is ordered that service be made by publication in the Maysville Bulletin for 6 consecutive weeks according to Law. & Cause. Contd.

X
No 95 — Benjamin Long vs David McMeans Saml A Lunks & R. T. Morris — This day came the plaintiff by his Attorneys. Hamilton & Linch and the defendants McMeans failing still to demur or answer to the petition. It is considered that the plaintiff ought to recover of said defendant McMeans the amount due him by reason of the premises, and the Court being fully advised thereof, with the consent of the said plaintiff, find there is due from the defendant David M. McMeans, on the note set forth in the petition, the sum of one hundred and thirteen 4/100 Dollars, It is therefore considered by said court that the plaintiff recover of the said defendant McMeans the said sum of one hundred and thirteen 4/100 Dollars and his costs herein expended taxed to $ And it is further considered that the demurrer of R. T. Morris be sustained, and the petition dismissed as to him,

X
No 100 — John Shortess vs A. M. Blackburn Wray. Thomas & Lyne Starling — On mandate from the District Court for the County of Mason. This Mandate, entered in execution stayed until next term. Motion made by counsel for Defendants for stay of execution until taxes paid. & Motion and cause continued

X
No 76 — The Ohio Life Ins & Trust Company vs A. S. Alden Et als — Continued

X
No 75 — Mains Wason vs Bill Welch — On this cause the default is opened up & leave granted to Plaintiff to file his petition = and it is ordered ~~that~~ that the plaintiff have leave to amend the appeal bond & cause continued.

Wednesday November 4th A.D. 1857

No 68
Foreclosure
$1126.93

James Thompson
vs
Israel J. Richardson &
Estelle T. Richardson,

Motion to confirm sale,
Order to make Robert Welsh
a party, Leave granted to file
a supplemental petition in 10 days
And it appearing to the satisfacti
of the Court that the sevicive of the lands sought to be sold
have been twice offered & not sold for want of bidders.
It is therefore ordered & adjudged that the same be
reappraised, and cause & motion to confirm the
sale continued,

No 77
$196.87

Robert Yearsley
vs
Samuel Wheeler & wife

This day came the plaintiff by
his Attorney and filed proof of
publication in this cause &
thereupon this cause came on
to be heard, and the defendants being in default
for plea ~~&~~ answer or demurrer, this cause was
submitted to the Court without the intervention of
a Jury, on consideration whereof the court do
find ~~that~~ that the equity of the case is with the
plaintiff & that there is due him by reason of the
premises the sum of $196.87. as claimed in his
petition & that the same is a lien on the premises
described in the said petition,
It is therefore ordered and adjudged that the said
defendant, within ten days pay to the Plaintiff
said sum of $196.87. with interest at 10 per cent and his costs
herein expended taxed to $ or in default
thereof ~~that~~ that the Sheriff, who is appointed special
master commissioner for that purpose proceed to sell
the lands in the petition mentioned, as upon
Judgments at Law, and apply the proceeds of such
sale to the satisfaction of this Judgment, & this
cause is continued for his report.

No 84

Israel J. Richardson
vs
James D. Alexander &
James W. Robinson

Continued

Motion Doc
Motion Doc
Motion Doc
Ch No

Wednesday November 4th A.D. 1857

No 53 — Wm. E. Osborne vs H. M. Roberts. Etals — Continued under from order

Motion docket — Bradley Burnham Lamb & Co vs John Hildebrand — In this cause, upon proper showing being made. It is ordered that the appraisement made herein be and the same is hereby set aside and that the Sheriff cause a reappraisement to be made herein in conformity to the provisions of the statute in such case made & provided,

Motion docket — Bradley Burnham Lamb & Co vs George McGee, Thomas Roper & Peter Kyle — In this cause upon proper showing being made, It is ordered that the appraisement made herein be and the same is hereby set aside, and that the Sheriff cause a reappraisement to be made herein in conformity to the provisions of the Statute in such case made and provided,

Motion docket — Bradley Burnham Lamb & Co vs Stout & Inskeep — In this cause upon proper showing being made, It is ordered that the appraisement made herein be and the same is hereby set aside, and that the Sheriff cause a reappraisement to be made herein in conformity to the provisions of the Statute in such case made and provided,

Ch No 11 — Adam Shower & wife vs Benjamin Tappen Jr. — Continued

Wednesday November 4th A.D. 1857

No 59 ✓ William Coopender vs William Stithem D & James Conklin } This day came the parties by their Attorneys, and also came a Jury, who being duly empanneled & Sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the parties, thereupon by consent of parties one of the Jurors of said Jury is withdrawn from the panel thereof, and the residue of said Jury is all together discharged of giving any verdict of and upon the premises,

the said

Therefore it is considered & adjudged that the defendants go hence without day and recover of the plaintiff their costs taxed to $

No 72 ✓ William Williams vs Evelyn M Bailey, Ann M Bailey, Evelyn M Bailey Jr., Wm. J. Loynes Admr. of Thomas H Bayley. Decd., & Loray Thomas } This day came the plaintiff by D. W. Robinson his Atty and no one appearing for defendants, & submitted this case to the Court upon the petition and evidence and the Court thereupon being fully advised in the premises do find that the contract was executed as set forth in said petition and that the purchase money has been fully paid. — That Loray Thomas one of the defendants has consented that a decree may be taken against him by agreement — And that the allegations of the petition are true.

It is therefore considered, adjudged & decreed that the defendants execute and deliver to the plaintiff a deed in fee simple with covenants of general warranty according to the terms of said contract for the land in said petition described in said County of Union, to wit; the west half of Survey of Land No 12289 in the name of Scarborough — It is further considered adjudged & decreed that in case the defendants fail to execute and deliver to plaintiff a deed for said land according to the terms of the above order with in ten days from this date, then and in that

Wednesday November 4th A.D. 1857

case this decree shall operate as such conveyance
And It is further considered adjudged & decreed that the defendants pay the costs of this case in ten days & in default thereof that execution issue as upon Judgments at Law.

X
No 65 — David. B. Lockwood vs Corydon Winkler & Ellen D. Winkler. — This day came the Plaintiff by his attorneys, and the Defts by Geo Lincoln, and by agreement Wm. H. Robb, Sheriff, is appointed a receiver by the Court, to take charge of the premises in litigation, receive rents, pay taxes, &c, and this cause is continued at the costs of Defendants. It is therefore considered & adjudged that the Plaintiff recover of the Defendants ~~the~~ costs expended at this term, ~~and~~ taxed to $ Defendants have leave to file answer in ninety days & cause continued,
It is ordered that the receiver give bond to the acceptance of the Clerk in the sum of one hundred dollars

No 87 — Samuel. C. Lee vs George Swank & wife — This day came the plaintiff by his attorney ~~and filed proof of publication~~ and this cause came on to be heard, and the defendant being in default for plea answer or demurrer, this cause was submitted to the Court without the intervention of a Jury. on consideration whereof the Court do find that there is due to the plaintiff from the defendants, by reason of the premises the sum of $199.86, as claimed in his petition & that the same is a lien on the premises described in the petition. It is therefore ordered & adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendants the sum of $199.86 found due as aforesaid, and the costs of prosecution taxed to $.
And it is further ordered that in case the defts fail for ten days to pay said sum of money, an order issue to Wm H Robb, Sheriff, who is appointed special master commissioner for that purpose ~~pro~~ commanding him to sell the Lands in the petition described, as upon Judgments at Law, & apply the proceeds of such sale in satisfaction of this Judgment, & cause Continued.

Wednesday. November. 4th A.D. 1857

In Divorce

No 61 | Emily Gill vs Wm. P. Gill | And now comes the said Emily Gill by P Bleckley her Atty and thereupon this cause came on to be heard, upon the petition and testimony and was argued by counsel. On consideration whereof the Court do find that due notice of this petition was given to the said defendant according to Law, and the Court further find that the said defendant has been wilfully absent from the said petitioner more than three years prior to the filing of the petition, It is therefore adjudged and decreed that the marriage relation heretofore existing between the said parties be and the same is hereby set aside and wholly annulled, and the said parties be wholly released from the obligations of the same. And it is further ordered that the custody nurture, education & care & Guardianship of their children, Wm. M. Gill, aged 12 years, David D. Gill aged 9 years and Forest D. Gill aged 6 years, be and the same is hereby given to the said petitioner, and the defendant is hereby forever enjoined from interfering with or disturbing the said petitioner in the custody nurture education & care & Guardianship of the said children until the further order of this Court. And it is further ordered that the said defendant pay the costs of this suit within ten days from the rising of this Court or that execution issue therefor.

No 54 $225

No 81 | James Wilson Et al Admrs vs James Sullington Et als | The continuance in this case is set aside. This cause came on to be heard, and neither party demanding a Jury, it was submitted to the Court.

$321.54 On consideration whereof the Court are of opinion that the Plaintiffs as such administrators, ought to recover of the Defendants the sum of $321.54 their damages by reason of the premises.

It is therefore ordered adjudged & decreed that the Plaintiffs as such Admrs recover of the defendants the sum of $321.54 found due as aforesaid with cost of suit taxed at $ Notice of appeal by both parties and either of them.

No 8

Wednesday November 4th A. D. 1857

No 54

G. A. Cassil
vs
James W. Evans
Joshua Judy

This day came the said G. A. Cassil by James W. Robinson his Attorney & the said Joshua Judy by Coats & Sweet his attorneys, the said James W. Evans failing to demur or answer, to the petition of the said plaintiff, and thereupon this action came on for trial on the petition of plaintiff, the answer of Judy & replication of plaintiff, and thereupon came a Jury, to wit: James Martin, Alfred McCampbell, Dacon Rogers, Josiah Westlake, Robert Sharp, Silas Bell, Senr. Samuel Bowdrie, A. G. Brooks, John Payne, James Smith Senr. William Cowgill & James Guy, who being duly ~~empannelled~~ & sworn the truth speak on the issue joined between the said G. A. Cassil & Joshua Judy, upon their oaths do find that the allegations of the plaintiffs petition are true as to the defendant James W. Evans, and do assess the damages, of the said plaintiff to two hundred and twenty five dollars, & The said Jury upon their oaths aforesaid do also find, upon the issue between the plaintiff & the defendant Joshua Judy, for the said defendant And thereupon it is considered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the said James W. Evans the sum of $225 his damages aforesaid in form aforesaid assessed and also his costs herein taxed to $ & It is also considered and adjudged that the said defendant Joshua Judy go hence without day and recover of the plaintiff his costs taxed to $.

$225

Notice of Appeal by Plaintiff, & the court fix the bond at $100. And now comes the said plaintiff and presents his bill of exceptions in the words and figures following, to wit:

No 89

Seymour & Crowell
vs
James S. Alexander Et als

And now comes the said Seymour & Crowell by P Bleeke their Attorney and the defendant still failing to demur or answer to the said petition. It is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises. And the Court by the consent of the said plaintiff, find that the said defendant J. S. Alexander does owe to the plaintiff on the two notes in the petition

taken on the trial of this cause, and the same is ordered to be placed on file with the pleadings and made a part of the record of this cause.

Wednesday November 4th A.D. 1857

described, the sum of Eight hundred & nineteen dollars, It is therefor considered and adjudged by the Court here, that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant James. D. Alexander, the said sum of Eight hundred and nineteen dollars, the sum so found due as aforesaid, & costs of suit, And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the defendant Alexander fails for ten days from the close of this term of the Court to pay said sum of $819, so as aforesaid found due, with costs of suit, an order issue to the Sheriff of this County commanding him to cause the lands & tenements in said petition set forth to be appraised advertised & sold according to law, And that he apply the proceeds of said sale as follows:
1st To the payment of the costs of this action.
2nd To the payment of the Judgment rendered on the notes due in this action, to wit; Eight hundred and nineteen dollars with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum,
3d To the payment of the note of one hundred & ninety three dollars, described in the petition, which is to become due on the 28th day of December A.D. 1857 & cause continued

Thereupon the Court adjourned until tomorrow morning at half past seven O, Clock

Wm Lawrence
Judge of Court of Com. Pleas

Wednesday November 4th 1857.

This day the Jurors of the Grand Jury appeared at the bar of this Court and presented their several bills of Indictment, as follows to wit. 2 against Furman Cowell for retailing, 2 against William Riddle for retailing, 1 against James Riddle for retailing, and one against Benjamin Spiller for an Assault and Battery, and they having further business before them retired again to their room.

Thursday November 5th A.D. 1857

This morning court met pursuant to adjournment
Present same officers as on yesterday

X | State of Ohio vs Benj Speller } Indictment for an assault & Battery.

This day came into open Court Isaac J. Sawyer, Jesse Barnett, & Benj Blasco, and acknowledged themselves to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the sum of one hundred dollars each, to be levied of their goods & chattels, lands and tenements, if default be made in the condition following to wit: that the said Isaac J. Sawyer, Jesse Barnett & Benj Blasco be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union, on the first day of the next term thereof, to give evidence in a cause pending, wherein the said State of Ohio is plaintiff and the said Benjamin Spiller is defendant, and not depart the Court without leave, then this recognizance to be void, otherwise to be and remain in full force

X | State of Ohio vs Furman Lowell } Indictment for retailing

This day came into open Court James J. Spears, Michael Davis Jr. & Enoch Burris, and acknowledged themselves to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the sum of One hundred dollars each, to be levied of their goods & chattels, lands & tenements, if default be made in the condition following, to wit: that the said James J. Spears Michael Davis Jr. & Enoch Burris be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union, on the first day of the next term thereof, to give evidence in a cause therein pending wherein the said State of Ohio is plaintiff and the said Furman Lowell is defendant, and not depart the Court without leave, then this recognizance to be void, otherwise to be and remain in full force

Thursday November. 5th A.D. 1857

R. L. Broome vs M. K. Wadkins. B. Welch & Joshua Judy } Cognovit

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney. L. S. Foster and the defendants by their atty L. W. Robinson who appeared by authority of a warrant of Attorney, and neither party demanding a Jury, and submit this case to the court, and the defendants confessed that they do owe the plaintiff in manner & form as alleged against them in said petition, and confessed Judgment accordingly.

$200.70

It is therefore considered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendants the said sum of Two hundred dollars & seventy cents, confessed as due him and his costs herein expended taxed to $ And the said defendants further waive all errors & writs of error, and all right of appeal in this case

James A. Stirrat vs John Cazil } This day came the plaintiff by his Attorny & the defendant in person & waived the issuing & service of process and confessed that he doth owe the defendant the sum of Eighteen hundred & fifty dollars, & Eighty one cents, which is a mortgage lien as in said petition set forth & by consent of parties it is considered adjudged & decreed that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the said sum of Eighteen hundred & fifty & 81/100 dollars & costs of suit taxed to $

And by like consent it is ordered and decreed that in case said sum of money is not paid within ten days from this time an order of sale issue to Wm H Robb. Sheriff. who is appointed special master for that purpose, for the sale of said mortgaged premises, who is ordered to sell the same according to law,

Thursday November 5th A.D. 1857

1 | William Reynolds vs John James Wallace } This day came the parties and with the consent of the Court the continuance is opened up and thereupon this cause was submitted to the Court and by consent of parties that the whole case between the plaintiff and defendant is to be settled as hereinafter mentioned, and an entire settlement between James. M. Brooks and William Reynolds. who is also by consent of parties made a party, and now appears and agrees to the settlement. and the said defendant John James Wallace is to pay to plaintiff twenty five dollars and his own costs before the Justice of the Peace and all the costs of the Common Pleas court, and the plaintiff is to relinquish all claims aforesaid Wallace & James. M. Brooks and pay his own costs before the Justice of the Peace, This is not to affect any account between Reynolds & Wallace It is therefore considered that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of twenty five dollars & the costs of suit in the Court of Common Pleas & that both parties pay their own costs before the Justice of the Peace

(No Record)

X | Cole & Porter vs Wm. C. Malin, late Sheriff &c } On motion and it appearing to the satisfaction of the Court that Adam Richey ought to be made a party defendant in this case in order to a complete settlement of the matters in controversy in this case. It is therefore ordered that said Richey be made a party defendant hereto and that notice of this order be served upon him and this cause is continued

X | State of Ohio vs Samuel Smith } Indictment for Gaming This day came into open Court Andrew Marks & William Bancroft and acknowledged themselves

Thursday November 5th A.D. 1857

to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the sum of one hundred dollars each, to be levied of their goods and chattels, lands and tenements, if default be made in the condition following, to wit; that the said Andrew Marks & William Bancroft be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union, on the first day of next term thereof to give evidence in a cause therein pending, wherein the State of Ohio is plaintiff and the said Lemuel Smith is defendant, and not to depart the Court without leave, then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be and remain in full force

1 | State of Ohio vs Tyler Thompson | Indictment for Gaming. This day came into open Court John Grey & Hezekiah Goaham and acknowledged themselves to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the sum of One hundred dollars each to be levied of their goods and chattels, lands & tenements, if default be made in the condition following, to wit! that the said John Grey & Hezekiah Goaham be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union on the first day of next term thereof to give evidence in a cause therein pending, wherein the State of Ohio is plaintiff & the said Tyler Thompson is defendant, and not to depart the Court without leave, then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be and remain in full force.

Selley Lig

State of Ohio vs Benjamin Spiller | Assault and battery. Nolle Prosequi

Thursday November 5th A.D. 1857

This day the Jurors of the Grand Jury appeared at the bar of this Court and presented their several bills of Indictment against the following persons, as follows, to wit: Three against Linnel Smith for Gaming: Two against Gideon Draper for Gaming One against Benjamin Spiller, for an Assault & Battery. One against Levi Neill for Gaming One for Gaming against David Campbell Two against James S. Alexander for Gaming One against Perry Measley for Gaming One against James Measley for Gaming One against Tyler Thompson for Gaming One against Wm T. Brophy for Gaming One against Thomas Aplin for selling Liquor to be drank upon the premises where sold One against Furman Cowell for selling Liquor to be drank upon the premises where sold One against William B. Dunfee, for selling Liquor to be drank upon the premises where sold One against Wm H. Cartmell for selling Liquor to be drank upon the premises where sold One against James Riddle for to be drank on the premises where sold And one against William Riddle for selling Liquor to be drank on the premis where sold.

selling Liquor

And they also presented their report, and they having no further business were discharged

It is ordered by the Court that all causes & matters not otherwise disposed of stand continued.

And thereupon this Court adjourned Sine die

Wm Lawrence
Judge Court Com Pleas

Conrod Dellinger an Alien & native of Bierne a free white person this day came before me Taber Randall Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas of the County of Union & State of Ohio & declared ~~that~~ on his Solemn Oath that he first arrived in the United States in the month of October 1854 & that it is bona fide his intention to become a citizen of the United States & to renounce forever all allegiance & fidelity to every foreign Prince Potentate State or Sovereignty whatsoever & particularly to Ludwick King of Bierne

March 1. 1858 Taber Randall Clerk

March 25th A.D. 1858

Alexander Leonhard an Alien & native of Baden aged thirty One years this day came before me Saber Randall Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas in & for the County of Union in the State of Ohio & declared on his solemn oath that it is his bona fide intention to become a citizen of the United States and to renounce forever all allegiance & fidelity to every foreign Prince, Potentate, State or Sovereignty whatsoever and particularly to Leobold King of Baden

Saber Randall Clerk

April 3d 1858

Michael Yealy an alien & native of Wirtemberg this day came before me Saber Randall Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas in & for the County of Union in the State of Ohio & declared on his solemn oath that it is bona fide his intention to become a citizen of the United States & to renounce forever all allegiance to every foreign Prince Pottentate, State or Sovereignty whatsoever & particularly to Ludwick King of Wirtemberg

Saber Randall Clerk

April 3d A. D. 1858 Michael Greenbaum an alien and native of Bierne this day came before me Saber Randall Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas in & for the County of Union in the State of Ohio & declard on his Solemn oath that it is bona fide his intention to become a citizen of the United States & to renounce forever all allegiance to every foreign Prince, Pottentate, State or Sovereignty Whatsoever & particularly to Maximillian King of Bierne

Saber Randall Clerk

May 8th A.D. 1858 Richard Herbst an Alien & native of Prussia this day came before me Saber Randall Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas in & for the County of Union & State of Ohio & declared on his solemn oath that it is bona fide his intention to become a citizen of the United & to renounce forever all allegiance to every foreign Prince Pottentate State or Sovereignty Whatsoever & particularly to Frederick William the 4 King of Prussia

Saber Randall Clerk

Tuesday April 6th 1858

This day the court of common pleas for the county of Union & State of Ohio convened in pursuance of Law present Wm Lawrence Judge W H Robb Sheriff John L Porter Prosecuting Attorney and Taber Randall Clerk

Cyrus Wigle
vs
William B. Dunfee

Leave asked to file a petition
Leave granted & cause continued

Cyrus R Dibblee John L Work & Lansing L Moore late partners under the name and form of Dibblee Work & Moore Plaintiff

against

Harvey Steels and Huldah Steels his wife and George Swank defendants sued with William H Skinner as to whom the writ was returned not found

This day this cause came on to be heard and tried upon the petition and exhibits and said defendants having failed to plead or answer to said petition and being in default it is ordered that said petition and the matters therein contained be taken as confessed and the court having seen and examined said petition and promissory note and mortgage do find that there is now due to said plaintiffs from said defendant Harvey Steels on said promisory note in the petition mentioned the sum of four hundred and sixty five dollars fifty seven cents and that said Lands and tenements in said petition mentioned and described are liable for the payment of said Amount in the hands of said defendant George Swank and subject to claims and that the same are a lien on said premises by virtue of said Mortgage it is therefore considered by the court that said plaintiffs do recover of the said defendant Harvey Steels said sum of four hundred and sixty five Dollars & fifty seven cents and their costs herein expended taxed &c and it is further ordered by the court that in default of payment of said

Tuesday April 6. 1858

Sum of four hundred and sixty five Dollars fifty seven cents with interest from the first day of this term and all the costs herein expended by said Defendants within ten days from this day the Sheriff of this County as Master commissioner proceed to sell said lands and tenements according to Law and from the proceeds of said sale that he pay first the costs of this suit second the amount so found due to said plaintiffs with interest from the first day of this term and the residue if any he pay over to the defendant George Swank the purchaser of said premises from the defendant Harvey Steels ~~and is~~ subject to said Mortgage and that said Sheriff return his proceedings to the next term of this court to which time this cause is continued

David D Welsh
vs
Robert Evans
In Partition

On motion to the court by counsel for the plaintiff It is ordered that by the oaths of A.F. Wilkins James. M. Welsh Thomas Wasson partition of the lands in said petition described be made in the following proportions to wit to the said David D Welsh one half & to said Robert Evans one half and it is further ordered that a writ of partition issue to the Sheriff of Union County commanding him to cause said partition to be made accordingly

Isreal J Richardson
against
James S Alexander
& James W Robinson

This day came the Plaintiff by his attorneys Hamilton & Lincoln and the defendants failing to demur or answer to the petition & this cause was heard by the court and it is considered by the court that the Plaintiff ought to recover of the defendant on the promissory note in the petition set forth the sum due him which the court find to be two hundred twenty Dollars It is therefore ordered considered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiffs do recover of the defendants said sum so found due to wit two hundred and twenty dollars and his costs taxed to Dollars And the court do also find that the

Tuesday April 6. A. D. 1858

defendant James J Alexander is principal debtor and that the defendant James W Robinson is security

X } John W Rupel vs John Capil — Continued with leave to defendant to file answer in ten days and cause continued

James M Snodgrass Admr of John Columber decd vs Richard Columber Etals — Petition to sell real estate. This day came the petitioner by his counsel J. W. Robinson and the defendants being duly notified came not and the court being ~~duly notified came not~~ fully advised in the premises do find that there is necessity for the sale of the real estate in said petition described to pay the debts of said estate therefore it is ordered that Wm Lucky & David Farmer Administrator cause said real estate to be ~~duly~~ appraised and sold and that he report his proceedings to this court at its next term and this cause is continued for report

X Calvin G Hays vs Wm Scott Etals — Petition for Partition. This day came the petitioner by J. W. Robinson his counsel and made proof of publication and the court being fully advised in the premises do find that the petitioner is seized in fee simple of the undivided one thirteenth part of the real estate in said petition described and is entitled to partition thereof it is therefore ordered that by the oaths of J. B. Pooler John McCain and Jacob Stash one full equal third part of said land be assigned and set to the said Violet Scott as her dower estate and that by the like oaths of the same persons partition be made of said lands subject to said Dower Estate in the following proportions to wit
To the said C. G. Hays petitioner one thirteenth part

Tuesday April 6. 1858

2 William Scott one thirteenth part
3 Zachariah Hayden & his wife Hannah one thirteenth part
4 Jacob Stultz & his wife Eliza one thirteenth part
5 Elisabeth Scott one thirteenth part
6 Dorsey Scott one thirteenth part
7 James Scott one thirteenth part
8 Isaac Scott one thirteenth part
9 Lewis Scott one thirteenth part
10 Wingfield Scott one thirteenth part
11 Nancy Scott one thirteenth part
12 Solomon Weaver & his wife Lucy Maria one thirteenth part
13 James Gardner Wheeler & his wife Sarah Ann one thirteenth part
And it is further ordered that a writ of partition issue to the Sheriff of Union County commanding him to cause said dower to be assigned and said partition to be made accordingly and this cause is continued for report

Christopher Cranston
against
George W Coats Samuel Tyler Samuel K Reed & John B Coats

This day came the said Christopher Cranston by his attorney Hamilton & Lincoln and filed his petition against the said George W Coats Samuel Tyler Samuel K Reed & John B Coats and thereupon John S Porter one of the attorneys of this court appeared in open court in behalf of said Christopher Cranston and by virtue of a warrant of attorney for that purpose executed and now produced to the court and duly proved waived the issuing and service of procp and confessed that the said George W. Coats Samuel Tyler Samuel K Reed & John B Coats do owe to the said plaintiff the sum of Seven hundred dollars with ten per cent interest thereon as the plaintiff hath in his petition set forth It is therefore considered that the said Christopher Cranston do recover of the said George W Coats Samuel Tyler Samuel K Reed and John B Coats the said sum of Seven hundred Dollars with interest thereon at ten per cent &c as aforesaid confessed to be due and his costs herein taxed to Dollars And by virtue of the same warrant of attorney all error is released and all right of appeal waived by the said defendants And the court do find that George W Coats Samuel Tyler and Samuel K Reed are principal debtors & John B Coats security

Tuesday April 6. 1858

Charles Gullington
vs
G. W. Coats Samuel Tyler Samuel K Reed

Petition on cognovit

This day came the plaintiff by his attorneys Hamilton & Lincoln and filed his petition against the said George W Coats Samuel Tyler and Samuel K Reed and thereupon John L Porter one of the attorneys of this court appeared in open court in behalf of said George W Coats Samuel Tyler and Samuel K Reed and by virtue of a warrant of attorney for that purpose executed and now produced to the court and duly proved waived the issuing and service of process and confessed that the said George W Coats Samuel Tyler and Samuel K Reed do owe to the said plaintiff the sum of three hundred and ninety nine $\frac{80}{100}$ dollars with ten per cent interest as the plaintiff in his petition has set forth It is therefore considered by the court that the said Charles Gullington do recover of the said George W Coats Samuel Tyler and Samuel K Reed the said sum of three hundred and ninety nine $\frac{80}{100}$ Dollars so confessed to be due and also his costs herein taxed to dollars And by virtue of the same warrant of attorney all error is released and all right of appeal waived by the said defendants

Ex. B-322

Addison Moore
vs
Thomas Leak

On order of sale returned to this term Sale to Addison Moore

The court this day carefully examined the proceedings Williams & Robb Special Master commissioner in this case upon an order of sale heretofore issued in this case as required by Law and are satisfied of the legality of said sale and that the same has been made in all respects in conformity to Law and it is hereby ordered that said proceedings and sale be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed and the said Special Master Commissioner is hereby ordered to convey said premises so sold to Addison Moore the purchaser at said sale in fee simple upon the payment of the costs herein taxed to Dollars

Tuesday April 6. 1858

Newton P Wills and
Juliett B Wills
vs
Elnathan Hathaway
& Celia E Hathaway

In Partition

And now came the said Newton P Wills & Juliett B Wills by Hamilton & Lincoln their attorneys and this case was heard by the court upon the petition and evidence and on consideration whereof they do find that due notice of the pendency of this action has been given for more than forty days prior to the first day of the present term of this court and the court do find that the said Newton P Wills and Juliett B Wills have a legal title to and are seized in fee simple of the one fourth part of the premises in the petition set forth and the court do also find that the said Elnathan Hathaway and Celia E Hathaway are tenants in common in said premises with the said Newton P Wills & Juliett B Wills in the following proportions viz the said Elnathan Hathaway is seized ~~in fee simple~~ of the one half of said premises and the said Celia E Hathaway is seized of the one fourth part of said premises It is therefore ordered that a writ of partition ipue to the sheriff of said county of Union commanding him that by the oaths of William B Irwin Robert D Reed and Samuel K Reed partition be made of said lands in the following proportions to wit to the petitioners one fourth part to said Elnathan one half and to the said Celia E one fourth part thereof It is further ordered that the Sheriff return his proceedings in the premises forthwith

The Juross of the Grand Jury being called, some of them came to wit: B. A. Fay. Garett Harris, Jacob Wolford, Emanuel Burns, James Westlake, Daniel Cosp. John Walters, George Haumahwalt, S. L. Wisnell, Simpson Price, John Pierol, Edward Powers, Alfred McCampbell, and Renaldo Moses. regular Juross, and because the residue of said Juross of that Jury do not appear, others from among the bystanders are, by the Sheriff of said County, at the Command of the Court, elected anew, to wit: A. A. Woodworth, a good & Lawful man, whose name is annexed to this panel according to the Statute. The Court appoint B. A. Fay foreman, and the said Juross being duly empanneled, sworn and charged, retired to their Room to deliberate; attended by a sworn Constable.

Tuesday April 6. 1858

Nathaniel Knox
vs
David Wood & others

Petition on Note & Mortgage

This cause came on to be heard and was submitted to the court neither party requiring a jury and the court being fully advised in the premises it is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises and the court do find that there is due from the said defendant David Wood to the said plaintiff on the note in the said petition set forth the sum of ten hundred and thirty five dollars and seventy six cents It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court here that the plaintiff recover of the said defendant David Wood the said sum of ten hundred and thirty five Dollars and seventy six cents the sum so found due as aforesaid and also his costs herein taxed at $ Dollars it is further ordered and adjudged that this judgement draw interest at the rate of ten per cent per annum from the first day of the present term of this court until paid And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendants David Wood and Meranda Wood his wife fail for ten days from the close of this term of the court to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of $1035.76 so as aforesaid found due with the interest thereon and costs of suit an order issue to the sheriff of said county of Union commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to Law by the correct description as therein stated the said Mortgage being hereby corrected in the course of the 3rd line which by mistake was stated to run N 83° W instead of N 38° W the true course of said line the said lands and tenements are described as follows to wit Situate in the county of Union and State of Ohio being part of a Military Survey No 12400 - 12403 - 12393 & 12413 originally for 1823 acres in the name of Andrew Rogers & others and patented to James Galloway Beginning at two elms & a hickory and white oak corner to Mazz Vance Survey No 12472, in the line of Benjamin Grimes Survey 14404 thence South 10° E 186 poles to a hickory & Sugar tree corner to Samuel Griffins land thence South 52° W

Tuesday April 6. 1858

44 poles to two Elms & a hickory in said line Easterly corner to Thomas Neals land thence with his line & course thereof N 38° W 296 poles to a beech & Elm in the line of said Vances Survey thence with her line South 88 E 188 poles to the beginning containing 162 acres more or less it is further ordered that the said Sheriff apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said judgement &c as aforesaid herein rendered

William Walk Jr
vs
Susan Walk Etals

On Motion to the Court by Hamilton & Lincoln counsel for Petitioner and upon producing the proceedings of the Sheriff and also the report and proceedings of the commissioners herein before appointed and the same being examined it is ordered that said proceedings and report be and they are hereby approved and confirmed: and that the said petitioner hold in severalty the share set off and assigned to him by the said commissioners and it is further ordered that the costs of and expenses including a counsel fee of $ 50. Dollars to be paid by Plaintiff taxed to Dollars be paid in ten days by the petitioner and in default thereof that execution issue therefor The other costs & expenses to be paid 1/2 by Defendants

Ex B P. 508 Nov 9-1859 Apr 23 1864

George Hill
vs
Joseph R Cahill
Thomas A Sheldon
P Manchester &
W H Litig

On appeal on Note

This day came the said Plaintiff George Hill by J. C Donghty his Attorney and the said defendants Joseph R Cahill and Thomas A Sheldon Defendants having failed to plead answer or demur to the said Petition it is therefore ordered by the court that the Plaintiff do recover of the defendants Joseph R Cahill and Thomas A Sheldon the sum of forty four dollars and seventy one cents and his costs taxed to $ And that the said Manchester and W H H Litig be dismissed from the record as parties to this suit

Tuesday April 6. 1858

V
No 10

The State of Ohio
vs
Lemuel Smith

Indictment for Gameing

The defendant Lemuel Smith being arraigned and the indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged Therefore it is considered by the court that the defendant Lemuel Smith make his fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of one Dollar and costs of prosecution taxed at $ — Dollars

✓
No 11

The State of Ohio
vs
Lemuel Smith

Indictment for Gameing

The defendant Lemuel Smith being arraigned and the indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged Therefore it is considered by the court that the defendant Lemuel Smith make his fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of one Dollar and costs of prosecution taxed at $ Dollars

✓
No 12

The State of Ohio
vs
Lemuel Smith

Indictment for Gameing

The defendant Lemuel Smith being arraigned and the indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises acquit himself for plea says he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged Therefore it is considered by the court that the defendant Lemuel Smith makehis fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of one Dollar and costs of prosecution taxed at $ Dollars

Tuesday April 6th 1858

State of Ohio
vs
Perry Heasley

Indictment for Gameing

The defendant Perry Heasley being arraigned and the indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged Therefore it is considered by the court that the defendant Perry Heasley make his fine unto the state of Ohio in the sum of one Dollar and costs of prosecution taxed at $

No 24

State of Ohio
vs
James Heasley

Indictment for Gameing

The defendant James Heasley being arraigned and the indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged Therefore it is considered by the court that the defendant James Heasley make his fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of one Dollar and costs of prosecution taxed at $

No 25

State of Ohio
vs
Gideon Draper

Indictment for Gameing

The defendant Gideon Draper being arraigned and the indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says that he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged Therefore it is considered by the court that the defendant Gideon Draper make his fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of one dollar and costs of prosecution taxed at $

No 15

Tuesday April 6th 1858

The State of Ohio
vs
Gideon Draper

Indictment for Gameing
The defendant Gideon Draper being arraigned and the indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged Therefore it is considered by the court that the defendant Gideon Draper make his fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of one dollar and costs of prosecution taxed at $

Ap 16

The State of Ohio
vs
Tyler Thompson

Indictment for Gameing
The defendant Tyler Thompson being arraigned and the indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged therefore it is considered by the court that the defendant Tyler Thompson make his fine unto the state of Ohio in the sum of one Dollar and costs of prosecution taxed to $

Ap 20

The State of Ohio
vs
David Campbell

Indictment for gameing
The defendant David David Campbell being arraigned and the indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged Therefore it is considered by the court that the defendant David Campbell make his fine unto the state of Ohio in the sum of one Dollar and costs of prosecution taxed at

Ap 23

Tuesday April 6 1858

The State of Ohio vs W.T. Brophy — Indictment for Damning

The defendant W.T. Brophy being arraigned and the indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged Therefore it is considered by the court that the defendant W.T. Brophy make his fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of One Dollar and costs of prosecution taxed at $

The State of Ohio vs Levi Hill — Indictment for Damning

The defendant Levi Hill being arraigned and the indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged Therefore it is considered by the court that the defendant Levi Hill make his fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of one Dollar and costs of prosecution taxed at $

Thornton Mason vs William Landsden — By agreement of parties this case is dismissed at the cost of the defendant except the cost rendered against the plaintiff on the trial of this case before Henry Hulkner a Justice of the peace No Record

State of Ohio vs Bill Welch — Continued

Tuesday April 6th 1858

X No 4	The State of Ohio vs William Sands	Continued	
X No 5	The State of Ohio vs William Sands	Continued	
X No 6	The State of Ohio vs Herman Conell	Continued	
X No 7	The State of Ohio vs Herman Conell	Continued	
X No 8	The State of Ohio vs Herman Conell	Continued	
X No 17	The State of Ohio vs William B Dunfee	Continued	
X No 19	The State of Ohio vs William H Cartmell	Continued	
X No 26	The State of Ohio vs William Riddle	Continued	

Tuesday April 6th 1858

✓ No 27	The State of Ohio vs William Riddle	Continued
✓ No 28	The State of Ohio vs William Riddle	Continued
✓ No	The State of Ohio vs Benjamin Street	Continued
✓ ✓ No 18	The State of Ohio vs Thomas Aplin	Nolle Prosequi
✓ No 29	The State of Ohio vs James Riddle	Nolle Prosequi
✓ No 30	The State of Ohio vs James Riddle	Nolle Prosequi
✓ No 1	Lessee of Samuel Stokely vs John Williams Etals	Continued
✓ No 2	Charles R Deming vs James Mc Snodgrass	Continued

Tuesday April 6th 1858

X No 4	Ezra Reed vs Geo Winchester Etals	Continued
X No 6	Hiram Benton vs Bellefontaine & Del R R Co	Continued
X No 12	Curtis Kempton vs Hannah Kempton	Continued
X No 13	Julia Ann Samells vs John Samells	Continued
✓ No 14	Thonton Wason Admr vs Jacob Bowersmith	Settled & Deft to pay cost no Record It is therefore considered by the Court that the plff recover of the Deft. his costs herein taxed to $
✓ No 15	David H Silver vs Elisha Wright	~~Continued~~ Dis'd cont'd & cost paid
X No 17	John B Dumble vs Thomas R Price	Continued under former order
X No 18	William Westlake vs Hamilton Blue	Continued

Tuesday April 6th 1858

X No 19	Hamilton Blue vs William Westlake	Continued
X No 20	Philander Manchester vs Corydon Winkler	Continued
X No 22	Bradley Burnham Lamb & Co vs Samuel A Lucks & Wife	Continued under former order
X No 21	Evans & Johnson vs M.T. Morris	Continued
X No 23	Daul Wisnell vs Elihu Phelps	Continued under former order
X No 24	David Hamilton & Wife vs David Beard	Continued under former order
X No 25	Barnett Bennett vs Thomas A Shelden	Continued
X No 26	John Robinson vs C M Robinson & Wm H Robinson	Continued

Tuesday April 6th 1838

X No 27	John Capil Et als vs A R Bowen	Continued under former order
X No 28	John Capil Et als vs J H Gilkner	Continued under former order
X No 29	Addison Ware vs Thomas Leas	Sale confirmed
X No 30	W H Shelden vs C Rathbun	Continued under former order
X No 31	J & W Brooks vs Alexander Gandy	Continued under former order
X No 33	David Woods vs Daniel B Lockwood Chambers Caley & Winkler & P Manchester	Continued
X No 387	James Martin vs Col Pig & Ia R.R. Co	Continued
X No 36	John Monison vs Joseph Biddle	Continued

Tuesday April 6th 1838

X No 37	Mary Dysert vs Joseph Dysert	Continued
X No 38	Samuel C Lee admr vs Lemuel W Smith	Continued under former order
F No 41	S.C. Dynes Exrs vs Harrison Rice John Newbrand & Joshua Marshall	Continued
X No 43	Wm. C. Ogborn vs Horace M Roberts Etals	Continued under former order
X No 44	Ann Neil admrx of Solomon Neil decd vs Samuel A Cheney	Leave to answer & Continued
✓ No 46	P. B. Smith vs John S Fulton	dismissed at Plaintiffs costs Judgement against Plaintiff for costs
X No 48	Thomas M Ewing admr &c vs Elijah Warner & Wife	Continued under former order
X No 49	Robson L Broome vs J. G Sprague	Continued under former order

Tuesday April 6th 1858

X
1057 } Isreal Slack
vs
C. Mc. Robinson } Continued under former order

Whereupon Court adjourned until tomorrow morning 8 o'clock

Wednesday April 7 1858

Court met persuant to adjournment Same officers present as Yesterday

X } James Thompson
vs
A. R. Bowers } Union Common Pleas

This day came the Plaintiff by Cole & Laurence his attorney no one appearing for the defendant and he being in default this cause came on to be heard upon the petition on consideration whereof the court do find the equity of the case with the plaintiff and that there is due to him on the note in the petition described the sum of Seven Hundred & forty five Dollars & sixty cents with interest at the rate of ten per cent and that the same is secured by mortgage on the premises as set forth in the petition It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed that the defendant within ten days from this date pay to the plaintiff said sum of $ 745.60 with interest at the rate of ten per cent per annum until paid and costs to be taxed by the Clerk and in default thereof that the Sheriff of this county for the time being who is hereby appointed Special Master for that purpose proceed to sell the lands in the petition mentioned as upon Judgements at Law and apply the proceeds of such Sale in satisfaction of the Judgement above rendered and this cause is continued for his report

On motion to the Court by the Plaintiffs Counsel this Judgment was set aside, and this cause Continued.

Wednesday · April 7 1858

E Bunker
vs
William Simmons

Leave asked in this case to file an amended transcript and appeal bond Leave granted by the court

C. W. Lyon & Co
vs
Christopher Grubb & Esther Grubb

This day came the plaintiff by their attorneys Hamilton & Lincoln and the said defendants failing to demur or answer to the petition is considered that there is due to and that the plaintiff ought to recover from the said defendants the sum of one hundred and nineteen dollar and ninety five cents on the notes and mortgage in the petition set forth It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiff recover of the defendants said sum of one hundred and nineteen dollars and ninety five cents so found due and his costs taxed at Dollars And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendants fail for ten days from the close of this term of the court to pay the said plaintiffs the sum aforesaid with cost of suit an order issue to William H. Robb Sheriff who is hereby appointed Special Master Commissioner of this court for that purpose commanding him to cause the lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale to the satisfaction of said judgement so as aforesaid rendered

James McMasters
vs
William Elliott & John Elliott

This day came the said James McMasters by his attorney and the said William Elliott & John Elliott though called came not but made default Whereupon it is considered that the said James McMasters ought to recover his damages by reason of the premises and neither party requiring a jury the court being fully advised in the premises do assess the damages of the said

Wednesday April 7th 1858

James McMasters to two hundred & seventy nine Dollars & forty cents therefore considered and adjudged that the said James McMasters recover of the said Wm Elliott & John Elliott the said sum of two hundred & seventy nine dollars & forty cents and also his costs herein expended taxed at $

James McMaster
vs
William Copeland & John Birge

This day came the said James McMasters by his attorney and the said William Copeland & John Birge though called came not but made default Whereupon it is considered that the said James McMasters ought to recover his damages by reason of the premises and neither party requiring a jury the court being fully advised in the premises do apep the damages of the said James McMasters to one hundred and twenty one Dollars & twenty one cents Therefore it is considered and adjudged, that the said James McMasters recover of the said Wm Copeland & John Birge the said sum of one hundred & twenty one dollars & twenty one cents and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed at $

Othniel Jewett Admn of the estate of S J Kirk deceased
vs
Rowland Lee

Petition on Note & Mortgage

And now comes the said Othniel Jewett Administration of the estate of S. J. Kirk deceased by J. B. Doughty his attorney and the said Rowland Lee still failing to demur or answer to the said Petition it is considered that the said Plaintiff as Administrator ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises thereupon the court do find that there is due from the said defendant to the said Plaintiff on the Note in the Petition set forth the sum of two hundred and seventeen Dollars and fifty one cents it is therefore considered by the said court

Wednesday April 7th 1858

here that the said Plaintiff recover of the said defendant the sum of two hundred and seventeen Dollars and fifty one cents the sum so found due as aforesaid and also his costs taxed to $ And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case said defendant fail from ten days from the close of this term of this court to pay to the said Plaintiff the sum of two hundred & seventeen Dollars and fifty one cents so as aforesaid found due with cost of suit an order issue to W H Robb Sheriff of said county commanding him to cause the said Lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to Law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of said Judgement so as aforesaid rendered

Hiram Benton
vs
Bellefontaine & Delaware Rail Road Company

The continuance entered in this case is opened up and thereupon came the plaintiff & dismissed this suit without prejudice wherefore it is considered that the defendant recover of the Plaintiff its costs in this behalf expended taxed at $

Andy Gandy
vs
Amanda Gandy
Isaac Gandy et als

In Partition

This day came the said Andy Gandy by Hamilton & Lincoln his attorneys and thereupon this case was heard by the court upon the petition and evidence on consideration whereof the court do find that due notice of the pendency of this action has been given for more than forty days prior to the first day of the present term of this court and the court do further find that the said Andy Gandy has a legal right to and is seized in fee simple of the undivided ninth part of the premises in the petition described

Wednesday April 7th 1858

and that Isaac Gandy Sheppard Gandy Henry Gandy George Gandy Ache Hulse Keziah McIntire and the unknown heirs of Elmy Elliott and Jane Gandy Abijah Gandy Marion Gandy James Gandy and Alice Gandy the last five named heirs of Jacob Gandy are tenants in common in said premises with the said Andy Gandy in the following proportions to wit the said Isaac Gandy Sheppard Gandy Henry Gandy George Gandy Ache Hulse & Keziah McIntire each one equal ninth part and the said unknown heirs of Elmy Elliott one equal ninth part conjointly and the said Jane Gandy Abijah Gandy Marion Gandy James Gandy and Alice Gandy heirs of Jacob Gandy each one equal forty fifth part and that the said Amanda Gandy is entitled to Dower thereon It is therefore considered by the court that a writ of partition issue to the Sheriff commanding him that by the oaths of A.G. Wilkins Philip Snider & Joseph Saxton one equal third part of said premises in the petition described he set off and assigned to the said Amanda Gandy as her dower estate and that partition be made by the like oaths of said lands subject to said Dower estate in the proportions in which the said parties are severally stated herein before to be seized of said premises that is Andy Gandy Isaac Gandy Sheppard Gandy Henry Gandy George Gandy Ache Hulse Keziah McIntire each one equal ninth part to the unknown heirs of Elmy Elliott one equal ninth part and to Jane Gandy Abijah Gandy Marion Gandy James Gandy and Alice Gandy each one equal forty fifth part and it is ordered that the Sheriff return his proceedings in the premises to the next term of this court to which time this case is continued

Wednesday April 7th 1858

John Harris
vs
Wm L Bailey
E D Smith &
Lathrop Converse

Error

This day came the Plaintiff by his attorney Hamilton & Lincoln and the defendant failing to demur & answer to the petition this case was heard by the court and it is considered and the court do find that there is due to the Plaintiff from the defendant on the promisory note in the petition set forth the sum of one hundred and fourteen 75/100 dollars with interest at eight per cent It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiff recover of the defendants said sum of one hundred and fourteen 75/100 dollars so found due with interest at eight per cent and his costs herein taxed at Dollars
And the court do find that Wm M Bailey is the principal debtor and that E D Smith and Lathrop Converse are securities

Moses P Rice
vs
Joseph Gladhill

This day came the plaintiff by his attorneys Hamilton & Lincoln and this cause came on to be heard by the court and it is considered by the court that there is due to the Plaintiff from the defendant on the promisory note in the petition set forth the sum of one hundred and eighteen Dollars and that the Plaintiff ought to recover that sum of the defendant Therefore it is considered and adjudged by the court that the Plaintiff do recover of the defendant the said sum of one hundred and eighteen dollars with interest from this date and his costs herein taxed to Dollars

John Harris
vs
Wm D. Bailey
E. D. Smith &
Lathrop Converse

Settled Debt & Costs paid
No record

Wednesday April 7 1858

Charles Phillips
vs
Justus Miller
John E Cahill &
John Chapman

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney Hamilton & Lincoln and the defendants failing to demurr or answer to the petition this cause was heard by the court and the court do consider and find that there is due to the Plaintiff on the promisory note in the petition set forth the sum of three hundred and three 75/100 dollars with interest at ten per cent and it is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the Plaintiff do recover the said sum of three hundred and three 75/100 dollars so found due as aforesaid with interest at ten per cent and his costs herein taxed to Dollars
And the court further find that said Justus Miller is principal debtor and that John E Cahill and John Chapman are securities

W W Woods
vs
J. H. Sumbard &
Etals

This day came the plaintiff by his attorneys Hamilton & Lincoln and the said defendants having failed to answer or demurr to the petition and this case being heard by the court it considered and the court do find that there is due to the plaintiff from the defendants and that he ought to recover the sum of ninety four 95/100 dollars on the note in the petition set forth It is therefore considered by the court that the plaintiff do recover of the defendants the said sum of ninety four 95/100 dollars so found due and his costs taxed to Dollars
And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the defendant fail for ten days from the close of this term of the court to pay said sum to the plaintiff with costs of suit an order issue to William H Koff Sheriff who is hereby appointed Special Master Commissioners for that purpose by the court

Wednesday April 7th 1858

commanding him to cause said lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to Law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of said Judgement so as aforesaid rendered

William Saylor
vs
John Fields & Martha Fields

This day came the said William Saylor by his attorney and the said John Fields & Martha Fields failing to answer or demur to the petition of Plaintiff it is considered and adjudged that there is due to and that the Plaintiff ought to recover of said defendants the sum of Two hundred & fourteen dollars and forty five cents on the note and Mortgage in the petition mentioned It is therefore considered and adjudged that the Plaintiff William Saylor recover of the defendants said sum of Two hundred & fourteen dollars & forty five cents and his costs taxed at Dollars And it is futher ordered considered and adjudged that in case said defendants fail for three days from the rising of this court to pay to said plaintiff said sum adjudged his due as aforesaid with costs of suit that an order issue to the Sheriff of this county who is hereby appointed Special Master Commissioner for such purpose commanding him to cause the lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to Law and apply the proceeds of the sale to the satisfaction of said Judgement rendered as aforesaid and cause continued

Samuel Dunn Etals
vs
Robert G Dunn

Rule on defendant to plead answer or demur by May 1st next & cause continued

Wednesday April 7 1858

Nathan Moffit
vs
Draing Chapel

This day came the Plaintiff by Hamilton & Lincoln his attorney and the defendant failing to answer or demur to the petition this case came on to be heard by the court and it is considered by the court that there is due to the plaintiff on the promisory note in the petition set forth in the sum of four hundred and fourteen 57/100 Dollars and that the plaintiff ought to recover the same of the defendant It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiff do recover of the defendant said sum of four hundred and fourteen 57/100 Dollars with interest from this date and his costs herein expended taxed to Dollars

C. W. Lyon & Co
vs
Isaac Sparks

This day came the said plaintiffs by their attorney and the said defendant failing to demur or answer to the petition and this case being heard by the court it is considered by the court that there is due from the defendant to the Plaintiff the sum of seventy nine 18/100 on the promisory note in the petition set forth and that the plaintiff ought to recover the same together with his costs herein taxed to Dollars
It is therefore considered by the court that the said plaintiff recover of the defendant the said sum of seventy nine 18/100 so as aforesaid found due and his costs herein taxed to Dollars
And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the defendant fail for ten days from the close of this term of the court to pay said sum as found due with costs of suit an order issue to William H Robb. Sheriff of said county who is appointed Special Master Commissioner of this court for that purpose commanding him to cause the lands and tenements in the petition described to be appraised advertised and sold accordingly in satisfaction of said Judgement so as aforesaid rendered

Wednesday April 7th 1858

C W Lyon & Co
vs
Stephen G Gregory
James Gallington &
Charles Gallington

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorneys Hamilton & Lincoln and the defendants failing to answer or demur to the petition this case was heard by the court and by the court it is considered that the plaintiffs ought to recover of the defendants the sum of two hundred & fourteen 47/100 Dollars with interest thereon at ten per cent which sum the court find due to the plaintiffs on the promisory note in the petition set forth It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiffs do recover of the defendants said sum of two hundred and fourteen 47/100 dollars with interest at ten per cent and his cost taxed to dollars And the court do further find that Stephen G Gregory is principal debtor and that James Gallington and Charles Gallington are securities

Daniel H Garwood &
William Gorton
Admrs of Benj Gorton dec'd
vs
Jemison Hall

This cause came on to be heard upon the ipsue joined between the parties and thereupon came a jury to wit John Weaver Elias Johnson John Organ Wm E Burrows Harvey Mathis Jonas Poling Saml Woods John Woods Graham Bird & James B Richey E G Bertrand & Samuel Woodburn who being duly empannelled and sworn well and truly to try said issue and a true verdict give according to evidence upon their oaths do find for the defendant and do assess the damages sustained by the defendant at four hundred and seventy five Dollars whereupon it is considered by the court that the defendant do recover of said Plaintiffs as such Administrators as aforesaid the said sum of four hundred and seventy five dollars together with his costs to be taxed Thereupon Plaintiffs come and enter their notice of appeal and upon the motion of Plaintiff the court fix the amount of bond for said appeal at $ Leave asked to file reply and Leave granted ~~Copy filed~~

Transcript made out

Wednesday April 7th 1858

✓ Robson & Broome
vs
David Davis Sr
George Davis Sen
Joshua Judy

And now comes the said Robson & Broome by his attorney John L Porter and files his petition against the said David Davis Sr George Davis Sen & Joshua Judy defendants and thereupon M. L. Lawrence one of the attorneys of this court appeared in open court in behalf of said defendants and by virtue of a warrant of attorney for that purpose executed and now produced to the court and duly proved waived the issuing and service of procs and confessed that the said defendants owe to the said plaintiffs the said sum of one hundred dollars as the plaintiff in his petition has set forth- it is therefore considered that the said Robson & Broome recover of the said Daniel Davis Sr George Davis Sen & Joshua Judy the said sum of one hundred dollars with 10 pr cent Interest from the 30th day of June prext to be his due and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $
And by virtue of said Warrant of attorney all error is released and the right of appeal waived by defendants

X David D Welsh
vs
Robert Evans

In Partition

On motion to the court by counsel for petitioner and upon producing the proceedings of the Sheriff and the report and proceedings of the commissioners hereinbefore appointed and the same being examined It is ordered that said proceedings and report be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed and thereupon neither of the parties electing to take said estate at the valuation thereof as returned by said Commissioners on motion of counsel for petitioner It is ordered that said estate be sold at public Auction by the Sheriff of said County of Union according to the Statute in such case made and provided

Wednesday April 7 1858

Thomas Smith an Alien and Native of Ireland a free white person this day came into open court and proved to the satisfaction of the court that he made in this court two years ago the Requisite declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States for five years last past that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio and that during all that time he has behaved as a man of good moral character attached to the principles of the constitution of the United States and well disposed to the good order and happiness of the same and thereupon the said Thomas Smith in open court here made Solemn oath that he will support the constitution of the United States and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and abjure all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign prince Potentate State or Sovereignty and particularly all allegiance and fidelity to Victoria Queen of Great Britain & Ireland whose subject he was Whereupon it is ordered by the court that a certificate of Naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this application

Certificate issued April 8, 1858

Eli Long }
vs } Civil Action
Lewis Grund }

This day came the Parties to this suit by their respective Attorneys and by leave of the court the Plaintiff file his replication and thereupon came a jury to wit Robert Graham Adam Richey D D Welsh M.P. Rice Sampson Gaywood Alfred Indy Nathaniel Raymond Ely. Richey R.P. Brazelle Seth McMahill P Manchester John Bartshorn who were duly empanneled and sworn a true verdict to speak and render on the issues joined between the parties and assess the damages sustained who upon their oaths do say that the defendant is guilty in manner and form as alledged against him and assess the plaintiffs damage at one cent It is thereupon considered and adjudged that the Plaintiff recover of the defendant the said sum of one cent his damages aforesaid assessed and his cost in this behalf expended taxed to

Wednesday April 7 1838

c George Haiz an Alien an Native of Bavaria a free white person this day came into open court and proved to the satisfaction of the court that he made in this court two years ago the requisite declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States for five years last past that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio and that all that time he has behaved as a man of good moral character attached to the principles of the Constitution of the United States and well disposed to the good order and happiness of the same and thereupon the said George Hon in open court here made & form oath that he will support the constitution of the United States and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and abjure all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign prince Potentate State or Sovereignty and particularly all allegiance and fidelity to of Bavaria whose subject he was whereupon it is ordered by the court that a certificate of naturalization be issued to him on payment of this application.

(Stephen Cranston } It appearing to the court that a part
vs } only of the defendants have been properly
John Barbour Etals } served with process herein it is ordered
& directed that as to the defendant the
Springfield Mount Vernon & Pittsburg Rail Road company
a Summons issue returnable on the ~~Succeeding~~ second Monday
next hereafter and fixing the day for the answer of said
defendant on the third Saturday thereafter & cause
Continued

(Stephen Cranson } Continued answers of Defts to be
vs } filed by 13 of May
Thyas Sabine Etals

Wednesday April 7th 1858

State of Ohio
vs
Alfred V Morse

Indictment for Retailing

State of Ohio
vs
Moses Blake

Indictment for Retailing

This day came into open court John M Keever and acknowledged himself to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the sum of one hundred Dollars in each of the above cases to be levied of his goods and chattles lands and tenements if default be made in the conditions following that the said John M Keever be and appear before the court of common pleas of said County of Union on the first day of the next term thereof at ten o'clock A.M. to give evidence in a cause therein pending wherein the said State of Ohio is plaintiff and the said Alfred V Morse is defendant & the State of Ohio is plaintiff & Moses Blake is defendant and not depart the Court without leave then this recognizance to be void otherwize to be and remain in full force

Isaac Anderson
vs
Belinda Anderson

Petition for Divorce

This day came the said Isaac Anderson by his Attorneys Hamilton & Lincoln and this cause came on for a hearing upon the petition and testimony and was argued by counsel on consideration whereof the court do find that due notice of the filing and pendency of this petition was given to the defendant according to Law and the court do further find that the said defendant did fraudulently contract marriage with the petitioner as in the petition alledged and it is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the court here that the marriage relation heretofore existing between the said parties be and the same is hereby set aside and wholly annulled and the said parties released from the obligations of the same and it is further ordered that the complainant pay the cost of this suit in ten days or that execution issue therefor

Wednesday April 8th 1858

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the bar of this court and presented their bill of indictment against Charles Brockway for assault with intent to commit a rape and indorsed "A true bill Benj A Gay Foreman also their other Bill of indictment against Alfred V Morse for Retailing indorsed a true bill Benj A Gay Foreman Also their other bill of indictment against Moses Blake for Retailing indorsed a true Bill Benj A Gay and there being no other business before them they Grand Jury were discharged

The State of Ohio
vs
Benjamin Spillers } Indictment for assault & Battery

This day come the parties by their attorneys the said defendant being in person before the court and thereupon come a jury to wit John Weaver Elias Johnson John Gabriel B.H.B. Griswold John Organ W.E. Burrows Harvey Mather Jonas Poling Saml Woods John Woods Goram Bird & Chas Brooks who being duly empannelled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the parties upon their oaths say that the defendant is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged in said indictment

Judah Dodge
vs
Isreal Slack

This day came the parties to this suit and submitted the case to a jury and thereupon came a jury to wit John Weaver Elias Johnson John Gabriel B.H.B. Griswold John Organ Wm E Burrows Harvey Mather Jonas Poling Samuel Woods John Woods Goram Bird & John Perry who being duly empanneled and sworn a true verdict to give on the issue joined between the parties do upon their oaths find for the defendant It is thereupon considered that the defendant go hence without day and recover of the plaintiff his costs herein expended taxed to $ notice of appeal given by the plaintiffs appeal bond fixed at $100

Wednesday April 7 1858

Full papers
Issued
April 17th 1858

John Stienbauer an Alien and native of Bavaria a free white person this day came into open court and proved to the satisfaction of the court that he made in this court two years ago the requisite declaration of his intention to become a Citizen of the United States five years last past that he has resided one year last past in the state of Ohio and that during all that time he has behaved as a man of good moral character attached to the principles of the constitution of the United States and well disposed to the good order and happiness of the same and thereupon the said John Stienbauer open court here made solemn oath that he will support the constitution of the United States and that he will absolutely and entirely renounce and abjure all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign prince Potentate State or Sovereignty and particularly all allegiance and fidelity to Victoria Maximillian King of Bavaria whose subject he was whereupon it is ordered by the court that a certificate of Naturalization be issued to him on payment of the cost of this application

And thereupon the Court adjourned until to-morrow morning at 8 o'Clock

Attest

W. Lawrence

Prop Court Com

Clerk

Thursday April 8 1858 Court pursuant to adjournment

Same officers present as on yesterday

Mains Mason }
vs } It appearing satisfactory to the
X Bill Welch } court upon the affidavit of Isaac
Jane that the said Bill Welsh has become
incompetent to transact business it is ordered that
George Lincoln be appointed Truster for the said
Welsh and this cause continued and Defendant
has leave to file an answer within thirty days

C. M. Robinson }
vs } Slander
Israel Slack }

The defendant says that he did never charge or state that plaintiff stole hogs either of Joseph Russel or any other person That so far as Defendant knows Plaintiff is free from any such imputation That whatever defendant has said in regard to the subject matter was merely a reference to a rumor which he had heard from others and that he is without any feeling of malice or ill will towards Plaintiff And thereupon the Plaintiff comes and dismisses the action aforesaid at the defendants costs proceeding to be stayed on the decree of sale on land against Robinson by Slack until John Graham demands the money of Slack provided the interest hereafter is kept paid by Robinson but the Judgement and chattle mortgage to remain in full force for the purpose therein expressed
It is thereupon considered that the Plaintiff recover his cost herein taxed to Dollars All of which is entered by agreement

Thursday April 8. 1858

Napolean B Darsh &
Christian Harchelroad partners
as Darsh & Harchelrod Plaintiffs
vs
Elias Johns & Hiram Littsworth
partners as John & Littsworth Defts

And now comes the said Plaintiffs by their attorneys & the said Elias Johns one of the defendants and who was duly served with the procep of this court (~~the Sheriff having returned~~) still failing to answer or demur the said petition of the said Plaintiff The Sheriff having returned not found as to the defendant Hiram Littsworth and thereupon this cause came on to be heard on the petition of the said Plaintiff and the evidence and neither party requiring a Jury this case was submitted to the court who being fully advised in the premises do find that the allegations contained in the said petition are true in manner and form as the said Plaintiffs have in their said petition set forth it is therefore considered and adjudged by the court here that the said plaintiffs recover of the said Elias Johns who was served with the procep of this court the said sum of three hundred and twelve Dollars and sixty four cents and also the costs herein expended taxed to Dollars cents saving and reserving the lawful rights of the said Plaintiff to proceed hereafter against the said Hiram Littsworth as to whom this cause is dismissed without prejudice

Prosecuting Attorney It is ordered that $300 be allowed & paid to the Prosecuting Attorney for his services to be paid one third at the end of each term of this court for 1858 to be paid out of the county Treasury

The State of Ohio
vs
Charles Brockway

Indictment for assault with intent to commit a Rape On Motion to the court The Indictment found in this case is quashed

Thursday April 8, A. D. 1858

After the discharge of the Grand Jury at this Term of the Court, it was deemed necessary by said Court to call together a new Grand Jury, Whereupon by order of the Court the Sheriff Summoned from the bystanders fifteen good and Lawful men having the qualifications of Grand Jurors, To wit; I. B. W. Haynes, William Bigger, Jesse Gill, William Liggett, James A. Gay, William Henderson, Isaac Anderson, William Nowell, Settle McMahill, Charles Sullington, Rawson Welch, John F. Sabin, Elephaz Burnham, James Roney, & Judah Dodge, And the Court appoint John F. Sabin, Foreman, and the said Jurors being duly empanneled, Sworn and Charged by the Court, retired to deliberate; attended by a Sworn Constable,

John Long
vs
Springfield M & B.
& P R R Co.
W W Woods &
S Dolbear

} Civil Action

This cause came on to be heard upon the petition of the Plaintiff & the answer of the defendants the said Rail Road company and ~~the~~ S H Dolbear the said Woods They failing to plead answer or demur. Whereupon the Court found as follows 1st That there was a Judgement rendered against the said Rail Road Company in favor of said Plaintiff for the sum of Eighty nine 93/100 Dollars as alledged in Plaintiffs Petition and that execution upon thereon & return made of no property found as charged in Plaintiffs Petition

2" That there was at the commencement of this action and still is in the hands of the said Woods & Dolbear Eighty six 40/100 Dollars in Money then and still belonging to the said Rail Road company It is therefore considered adjudged and ordered that the said Woods & Dolbear Several defendants pay to the said John Long the said sum of Eighty six 40/100 Dollars so remaining in their hands to apply on his said Judgement against the said Rail Road Company and that in default of such payment execution issue therefor

Notice of Appeal by Defendant the S. M. & P. R. R. Co.

Thursday April 8th 1858

State of Ohio
vs
Charles Brockway

Indictment for assault with attempt to commit a Rape

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the bar of the court and presented their Bill of indictment against Charles Brockway for assault with intent to commit a Rape indorsed A true Bill John D Sabin Foreman and their being no other business before them the Grand Jury were discharged

The State of Ohio
vs
Benjamin Spilles

Indictment for Assault & Battery

The said Benjamin Spilles was this day again brought before the court and having nothing further to say why Sentence should not be pronounced against him It is therefore considered by the court that he make into the State of Ohio his fine in the sum of three Dollars and the cost of this prosecution

Peter Winegar
vs
James H Langstaff

Settled and costs to be paid as per agreement on file to wit one half the costs by each of the parties

It is therefore considered by the court that the Plaintiff pay ½ the costs and the defendant ½ the costs herein taxed to ~~$~~ Dollars

The State of Ohio
vs
Charles Brockway

The defendant being arraigned on a capias issued to the Sheriff is remanded back to his custody

It is Ordered that $130, be allowed to the clerk for making indexes subject to the approval of the County Commissioners

Thursday April 8th 1858

X

Daniel B Lockwood
vs
Loyden Winkler &
Ellen J Winkler his Wife.

This cause came on to be heard on the petition of the Plaintiff testimony &c The defendant still failing to answer plead or demur whereupon this cause was submitted to the court and the court being fully advised in the premises do find as follows
1st That on 9th day of April 1857 Judgement was rendered in favor of Plaintiff against said Loyden Winkler and others for the Sum of Two hundred and forty one 50/100 Dollars and that in the same action Judgement was rendered in favor of David Woods against the said Loyden Winkler - said Daniel B Lockwood and others by which Judgement the property of said Winkler was to be exhausted and if any ballance was left unpaid after such exhaustion then the said Lockwood was liable for the same the amount of the said last named Judgement was Six hundred and thirty Dollars with interest from the rendition on the 9th day of April AD 1857 with cost amounting at that time to fifteen 26/100 Dollars
2d The court further finds that execution issued on said Judgement against the said Loyden Winkler on said Judgement with return of no property ~~for~~ thereon
3d The court further finds that the said Tracts of land amounting togather to Seventy four acres 145 poles as well as the town property in the Village of Benton all of which are described in Plaintiffs Petition were all deeded to the said Ellen J Winkler as alledged in Plaintiffs Petition
4 The Court further fined that said real estate was bought and paid for with the moneys of the said Loyden Winkler and that the conveyance of same made to said Ellen J Winkler was fraudulent and made for the purpose of defeating the Creditors of the said Loyden Winkler, that said real estate was in fact the property of said Loyden Winkler and not of the said Ellen J Winkler It is therefore considered adjudged and ordered that there is now due to the Plaintiff on the said Judgement rendered at the April term of this

Thursday April 8 1858

Court for the year 1857 for the use of Daniel Wood and others therein specified the sum of Nine Hundred and forty Dollars including principal interest and costs And it is further adjudged that all the real estate described in the Plaintiffs Petition be subject to the payment of said Judgements and that execution at any time hereafter issue on order of Plff for the sale of all or any part of said premises for the payment of said Judgements. Notice of Appeal by Defts

W. W. Bridge
vs
W & Ingeson
& others

This day came the Plaintiff and this cause came on to be heard upon the petition and demurrer of the defendant thereto filed by W & Ingeson in consideration whereof the court do overrule the demurrer and it is further considered by the court that the two Mortgages in the petition set forth are a lien on the premises in them and in the said petition described to wit in lot No 81 and out Lot No 10 in the town of Richwood Union County Ohio and that there is due to the Plaintiff upon said Mortgages to wit on the first Mortgage in the petition described the sum of $110,25 with interest at ten per cent and upon the last Mortgage in the petition described and set forth the sum of one hundred and five 40 Dollars with interest at ten per cent for money paid by Plaintiff for E Bridge to Hand & co It is therefore considered and adjudged that an order issue to W.B. Robb Special Master Commissioner of this court in this case commanding him to cause said Mortgaged premises above and in the petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to Law to pay said sum of $110,25 with ten per cent interest thereon so as aforesaid found due and that the residue thereof he bring into court and retain the same there to await the further order of the court and it is further ordered by the court that Boston & Lamb be made parties defendants to this action and that they answer on or before the first day of the next term of this court to which time this cause stands continued

Thursday April 8th 1858

	John Shortep vs A. M. Blackburn	On Mandate
X	Wray Thomas & Cyrus Starling	Ordered that complete Record be made
	Veale & Porter vs Mc Malin & Adam Richey	This day Adam Richey entered his appearance in this case and thereby became a party and thereupon this cause is continued
X	C. P. & A. R. R. Co. vs James Robinson	Continued at Plffs costs to be tried at next term
X	C. P. & A. R. R. Co vs Wm Orr	Continued at Plffs cost for trial at next term
X	C. P. & A. R. R. Co. vs Daniel Healy exp	Continued at Plffs costs for trial at next term
X	C. P. & A. R. R. Co vs John Mitchell	Continued at Plffs costs for trial at next term
X	C. P. & A. R. R. Co vs Benjamin Linkham	Continued at Plffs costs for trial at next term
X	C. P. & A. R. R. Co vs Squire Delamel	Continued at Plffs costs for trial at next term

Thursday April 8 1858

x	C. P. & S. R. R. Co vs Chas Conners	Continued at Plff cost for trial next term
x	John S Jones vs James W Evans	Continued under former rules
x	Samuel Levy vs I. L. Childs	Continued
x	James Thompson vs I. J. Richardson et al	Continued under former order
x	C. T. Marks vs Joel Armstrong & D Welch	Continued under former orders
x	Joseph Lovell vs C Grubbs & wife	Continued under former order
x	Ohio Life Insurance & trust Co vs A. S. Alden & others	Continued under former order
x	Robert Yeamley vs Samuel Wheeler	Settled & costs paid
x	S Gandy & Gary vs R. A. Shadrac & D D Welch	Continued under former order
x	Samuel C Lee vs I Frank & wife	Continued under former order

Thursday April 8 1858

X	Kelton Bancroft & Co vs W H Dunnick	Continued under former order
X	James Carter vs A Morey & Wife	Continued under former order
X	Susannah Bryant vs Mi. Co. & R R Co	Continued
X	James R Young vs John R Brown	Leave to answer & cause continued
✓	W Parthamore Infant by H Parthamore vs Henry J King	Settled and costs paid
X	A D Beltz vs N. B. Clark	Motion to Quash appeal overruled leave granted to file a petition petition filed and cause continued
X	J & A H Tullington vs Sheldon Smith	Settled at Defts cost Judgement Vs Defendant for Cost
✓	A. C. Robinson vs W H Dunnick	Settled at Plffs cost Judgement for cost
X	John W Rupel vs John Capil	Leave to answer in ten days and cause continued

Thursday April 8 1858

S. W. H & L Dunbar
vs
John George Rhuben
— Settled and cost paid no Records

Charles Phillis
vs
T. H Moore & wife
— Settled and cost paid

W. E. Scofield &
Julia E Scofield
vs
Jacob Bonesmith
& Hedric Small
— Continued at Plffs cost

Isaac Jane Adm
vs
James Mulvane &
John Lister
— Settled and cost paid (by Mulvane)

Shelden Smith
vs
Joshua Grady
— Settled and cost paid

Levi Merideth
vs
W. S Morris
— Settled and cost paid

Diantha White admrx
vs
Samuel Harriott Admr
of William Hard
— Order for final Record

Administrators of S Dillon
vs
Stephen McLain
— Continued

Thursday April 8th 1858

X	James L Wells & B Woods Admn vs Jane White	Continued
X	L Woodson vs G. G. Strong	Continued
X	Reuben A Lamb vs Alexander Bowgill	Continued
X	James L Galloway vs A.S Alden	Continued
✓	Cole & Piper vs Saml Bland	Final Record
X	Elnathan Hathaway vs John W Gibbatts	Continued
X	John W Anders Admn of Lyne Starling deceased vs R. P. Mann	Continued
X	James W Custis vs Francis B Harriott	Continued
X	Adam Shomer & wife vs Benjamin Laban	Continued

Thursday April 8th 1858

Richard Cowding
vs
James Guy
} Continued

John H James
vs
Norman Reed
} Continued

D W Andrews Administrator
of Lyne Starling deceased
vs
Hamilton Epps & Epps
} Continued

Powel & Buck
vs
S A Cheny
} Continued

John Capil
vs
Thos R Price
} Continued

It is ordered by the court that $100, Dollars be allowed to the Sheriff to be paid one third each Term out of the County Treasury for the present year

The State of Ohio
vs
Charles Brockway
} Indictment for an assault with attempt to commit a rape

This day came into open court Emeline Moodie Almira Moodie George B Burnham & Charles Woodworth and acknowledged themselves jointly and severally to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of one hundred Dollars to be levied of their goods and chattles lands and tenements if default be made on the following conditions to wit that said Emeline Moodie Almira Moodie George B Burnham & Charles Woodworth be and appear before the court of common pleas of said county of Union on the first day of the next term thereof at ten O clock A M on said day to give evidence in the cause therein pending wherein the said State

Thursday April 8 1858

of Ohio is Plaintiff and, Charles Brockway the surpe
is defendant and not depart the court without
leave then this acognizance to be void otherwise to
be and remain in full force

The State of Ohio } Indictment for Gaming
vs. } The defendant James S. Alexander
James S. Alexander } being arraigned & the Indictment having
been read to him, was asked how of the
premises he would acquit himself for plea Says he is guilty
in manner & form as he stands charged. Therefor it is
considered by the Court that the defendant James S.
Alexander make his fine unto the State of Ohio in the
Sum of one dollar and costs of prosecution layed
at dollars

The State of Ohio } Indictment for Gaming
vs } The defendant
James S. Alexander } James S. Alexander being arraigned
& the Indictment having been read to him was asked how of the premises
he would acquit himself, for plea Says he is guilty
in the manner & form as he stands charged.
Therefore it is considered by the Court that the
Defendant James S. Alexander make his fine
unto the State of Ohio in the Sum of One dollar
and costs of prosecution layed at dollars

Coonrod Creathbaum an alien and native of
Hessen Dermstead Gemany a free white person this
day came into open Court and made the declaration &
proof required by the laws of the United States. and to the
Satisfaction of this Court that he made in this Court more
than two years ago the requisite declaration of his intention
to become a citizen of the United States, And that he
had resided for five years last past within the U. States
and has resided One year last past within the State
of & that during all that time he has behaved as a man

Thursday April 8th A. D. 1858

of good moral character, attached to the principles of the United States & well disposed to the good order and happiness of the same and thereupon the said Conrad Breathbauer in Open Court here made Solemn oath that he will Support the United States & that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce & abjure all allegiance & fidelity to every foreign Prince State Potentate State or Sovereignty & particularly all allegiance & fidelity to Louis Grand Duke of Hessen whose Subject he was. Whereupon It is Ordered by the Court that a Certificate of Naturalization be issued to him on his payment of the Costs of this application.

May 18, 1859
Paid by R Bigelow
$2.00

On motion by Rufel Bigelow it was ordered that License issue to said Bigelow to keep a Tavern in Richwood Union County Ohio on the payment of two dollars

It is Ordered by the Court that all causes & matters not disposed of Stand Continued
And thereupon this Court adjourned
Sine die

Tuesday June 8th AD 1858

This day the court of common pleas for the County of Union & State of Ohio Commenced in pursuance of Law present Wm Lawrence Judge Wm Robb Sheriff John L Potter Prosecuting Attorney & Taber Randall Clerk

The jurors of the Grand Jury being called some of them came to wit Wm Freshwater George Stewart Seth B Saville William Phillips George Morse Michael Seger Wm S Elliott Robert Thompson James Carter George W Bayley John Jenkins Henry Leist Amos Beach Jr. Charles E Wilkins. regular jurors & Robert Sharp Talisman the court officer George W Bayley Foreman & the said jurors. Being duly empannelled & sworn & charged retired to their room to deliberate attended by a sworn constable

X

D D Welsh vs Robert Evans } Petition for partition

By consent of the said Robert Evans having entered his appearance by filing his answer It is ordered that the Sale made in this case since the last term be set aside And it appearing that one of the Commissioners appointed to make partition is a brother of the petitioner it is also ordered that the appraisement be set aside and that Judge Levi Phelps Philip Snider John Jewell be appointed commissioners to make partition as prayed for in the petition and that they go out & make Report of their proceedings to this Term

Tuesday 8 June A.D. 1858

James Thompson Pltff
vs
A R Boren Defdt

Ret on Note & Mortgage

And now comes the said James Thompson by Cole & Lawrence his attorneys and the said A R Boren still failing to demur or answer to the said Petition it is considered that the said Plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises and the court with the consent of the said Plaintiff find that there is due from the said defendant to plaintiff on the Note in the Petition set forth the sum of Seven hundred and fifty Seven Dollars & ninety cents & it is therefore ordered adjudged & decreed that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant the sum of $757.90 the sum so found due with interest from this date with the rate of ten per cent per annum and also his cost herein expended taxed to $

And it is further ordered & adjudged that in case the said defendant fails for ten days from the close of this term of the court to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of $757.90 with interest from this date at the rate of ten per cent per annum with cost I sign an order issue to the sheriff of this county who for that purpose is hereby appointed Special Master Commissioner commanding him to cause the said lands & tenements in said petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law & apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said Judgement so rendered

Tuesday [illegible] A.D. 1858

X — Andy Gandy vs Amanda Gandy Etals — In Partition

On motion to the court by Hamilton & Lincoln counsel for petitioner and upon producing the proceedings & Return of the Sheriff and the report of the said commissioners heretofore appointed and the same having been examined by the court and found in all respects in conformity to law it is hereby ordered that the said report and proceedings be and the same are hereby approved & confirmed and therefore whether of the said parties electing to take said premises at said valuation it is ordered that the same be sold subject to the dower of Amanda Gandy at public sale by the Sheriff of said County of Union according to law and that he return his proceedings in the premises to the next term of this court to which time this cause is continued

No 37

✓ — Newton P Biles & Juliett B Biles vs Elnathan Hathaway & Celia E Hathaway — In Partition

On motion to the court by Hamilton & Lincoln counsel for petitioners and upon producing the return of the Sheriff & the report of said commissioners heretofore appointed and the same having been examined by the court and found all respects correct & in conformity to law it is hereby ordered that said report and proceedings be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed and the said parties holds in severalty the part & premises so set off and assigned to each respectively and it is further ordered that the costs of this action including a counsel fee to the said Hamilton & Lincoln of $ One hundred Dollars be paid by the said parties in the following proportions to wit the said

No 39

Tuesday June 8th AD 1858

Denton P Miles & Juliett B Miles the one fourth part by Celia E Hathaway the one fourth part and the one half by Ebenathan Hathaway and in default thereof that execution issue therefor the land set of to petitioners it is adjudged be owned in fee simple by Juliet B Miles in said land by reason of the fact in her husbands

No 2 The State of Ohio vs Bill Welch } This day the prosecuting attorney entered a Nolle Prosequi on the above indictment

No 14 The State of Ohio vs Moses Blake } This day the Prosecuting Attorney entered a Nolle Prosequi on the above Indictment

Nimrod Garwood vs Wm M Robinson } This day came the Plaintiff by his attorney John L Porter and the said William M Robinson though called came not but made default Whereupon it is considered that the said Nimrod Garwood ought to recover his damages by reason of the premises and neither Party requiring a Jury & the court being fully advised in the premises do assess the damages of said Nimrod Garwood to Five hundred & two 85/100 Dollars Therefore it is considered that the said Nimrod Garwood recover of the Wm M Robinson the said sum of Five hundred & two 85/100 Dollars his damage aforesaid and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed at Dollars

Tuesday June 8 1858

State of Ohio
vs
Martin Varner

It appearing to the court that a writ of venire was served upon Martin Varner by reading the same in his presence requiring him to be and appear before the court of Common Pleas of Union County Ohio at the Court House in said County on the first day of the June term AD 1858 to serve as Petit Juror and that said Martin Varner failed to attend in obedience to said venire It is therefore ordered that a writ of attachment be issued for the body of said Martin Varner for contempt of this court that the same be returnable on the first day of the next term of this court

The State of Ohio
vs
Thomas Jones

It appearing to the court that a writ of venire was served upon Thomas Jones by leaving a writtn summons at the residence of said Thomas Jones requiring him to be and appear before the court of Common Pleas within and for the county of Union & State of Ohio at the court house in said County of Union on the first day of the June term AD 1858 to serve as Petit Juror & that said Thomas Jones failed to attend in obedience to said venire It is therefore ordered that a rule be taken upon said Thomas Jones to be and appear before this court on the first day of the next term to show cause if any he have why a writ of attachment should not issue against him for a contempt of this court & it is further ordered that a duly certified copy of this order be served upon said Jones by the Sheriff of this county at least twenty days previous to the next term of this court

Tuesday June 8 1858

Hiram Beckly
vs
Isaac Kitelinger Etals

This day came the Plaintiff by his attorneys and the said defendants having failed to answer or demur thereto made default and the Plaintiff waiving his right to a trial by Jury submit his cause to the court and the court being fully advised in the premises do find this allegation of the said Petition to be true and that there is due from the said defendant Kitelinger to the said Plaintiff the sum of one hundred & eighteen Dollars & thirty one cents as alledged in said Petition it is therefore ordered adjudged that the defendant Isaac W Kitelinger within ten days from this date pay to the said Plaintiff the said sum of one hundred & eighteen Dollars & thirty one cents with interest to be computed thereon from this day at 10 per cent and also the costs of this suit to be taxed & in default thereof that the Sheriff of this county as Special Master Commissioner proceed to sell the tenements in the petition mentioned as upon Judgements at Law and report his proceedings in the premises to the next term of this court and cause continued

Calvin Hays
vs
Wm Scott Etals

On Motion of Petitioners Counsel the order of Partition made in this case at the April Term of this court ~~at the April Term~~ is changed so as to substitute Jeremiah Danforth as a commissioner in partition in the stead of Jacob Nash and the said G. B. Porter Jeremiah Danforth and ~~John McLain~~ are hereby authorized and required to assign Dower & make partition of said real estate in the manner and the form in said order decreed & this cause is continued for Report

136

Tuesday June 8 1858

State of Ohio
vs
J. T. Lenox

It appearing to the court that a writ of venire was served upon J. T. Lenox by leaving a written summons at the residence of said Lenox requiring him to be and appear before the court of common pleas within & for the county of Union & State of Ohio at the court house in said county on the first day of the June Term A.D. 1858 to serve as Grand Juror and that the said Lenox failed to attend in obedience to said Venire It is therefore ordered that a rule be taken upon said J. T. Lenox to be and appear before the court on the first day of the next term to show cause if any he have why a writ of attachment should not issue against him for a contempt of this court & it is further ordered that a duly certified copy of this order be served upon said Lenox by the Sheriff of this county at least twenty days previous to the next term of this court

The State of Ohio
vs
Charles Brockway

Indictment for assault with intent to commit a rape

This day came the Prosecuting attorney and entered a Nolle Prosequi on the above indictment as to the intent to commit a rape and thereupon the defendant being arraigned for Plea says he is guilty of the assault & Battery as he stands in said indictment whereupon It is considered by the court that the defendant Charles Brockway make his fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of fifty Dollars and be imprisioned in the cell of the Jail of the county & be fed on bread & water only for the term of ten days and pay the costs of Prosecution

N 13

Tuesday June 8 1858

James Snodgrass Admr &c
vs
Richard Columber Etals
Motion to Confirm Sale

This day came the Petitioner by his counsel & it appearing that the appraisement notice of sale & the sale and all other of the proceedings have been regular & in conformity with law the court do confirm the sale of the real estate in the petition described made to Catharine Columber and order the Administrator of John Columber decd to execute & deliver to the said purchaser of said real estate a deed in fee simple for said Land upon the payment of the purchase money upon the terms of said sale and it is further ordered the petitioner pay out of the proceeds of said sale the cost of this suit taxed to

NB1

E E Powers
vs
S A Cheny Etals
Civil Action

This cause came on to be heard upon the petition of the Plaintiff & testimony & was submitted to the Court (a jury being waived) In consideration whereof the court do find that there is due from the defendant S A Cheny as principal & John Cassil & D D Welsh as sureties the sum of $ 362.25 It is therefore considered by the court that said Plaintiff recover of the defendant S A Cheny as principal & John Cassil & D D Welsh as surety as aforesaid the said sum of $ 362.25 & all the costs herein except the costs on Depositions taxed to $ or in default of such payment that execution issue therefor

A53

And thereupon the court adjourned until tomorrow morning 8 o'clock

Wm Lawrence
Judge Com Pleas

Wednesday June 9th 1858

This Morning Court met pursuant to adjournment Same Officers present as on Yesterday

Wednesday June 9 1858

1 Virginia Washington
vs
Samuel Scott

On motion of Plaintiffs counsel this cause was submitted to the court & the court being fully advised in the premises do find that there is due the Plaintiff from the defendant on the promisory note in the petition described (which Notes were given for the land in said petition described) the sum of three hundred & twenty one dollars & fifty cents which is a vendors lein on said land It is therefore considered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the said sum of money found due and his costs in this action sustained taxed to $ And it is further ordered that in default of payment of said sum of money within ten days from the rising of this court the Sheriff of this county who is hereby appointed Special Master for that purpose is required to sell said real estate or so much thereof as may be necessary to satisfy said debt as upon Judgements at Law

License issued to A F Wilkins July 12 1858 Isaac Baker Clerk

On motion to the court It is ordered that A F Wilkins have license to Keep a tavern for one year at the Stand where he now resides on payment of three dollars

License issued to Samuel Breese, Jun 26th A.D. 1858 Isaac Baker Clerk

On motion to the court It is ordered that license issue to Samuel Breese to Keep a tavern in the Town of Summerville Union County Ohio on the payment of Two Dollars

Wednesday June 9th 1858

Wm Smart Plaintiff
against
G W. Coats
S K Reed
Samuel Tyler &
John Hutchison Defendants

Petition on Note

This day came the Plaintiff by his attorney Cole & Lawrence and the said defendants S K Reed & Samuel Tyler by their attorneys Hamilton & Lincoln the said G W Coats & John Hutchison being in default and neither Party demanding a jury this cause was submitted to the court on the petition of Plaintiff & answers of defts Reed and Tyler on consideration whereof the court do find that the several allegations contained in the petition and delivered in the answers of Reed and Tyler are true in manner and form as the said defts have in their answers set forth to and that there is due to the Plaintiff from the defendant G. W. Coats as principal debtor & S K Reed Samuel Tyler & John Hutchison as his sureties the sum of Four hundred and twenty Dollars & twenty one cents with interest from this date at ten per cent per annum Therefore it is ordered adjudged & decreed that the said Wm Smart recover of the said G. W. Coats as principal debtor & S K Reed Samuel Tyler & John Hutchison as his sureties the sum of four hundred & twenty Dollars & twenty one cents his damages aforesaid in form as aforesaid & also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $ and it is further ordered adjudged & decreed that this judgement bear interest at the rate of ten per cent per annum from this date until paid

T. W. & G Hopkins
vs
Joel Pierce & E A Upham
partners in name of
Pierce & Upham

This day came the Plaintiffs by their attorneys and the defendants came not but made default and thereupon neither party demanding a jury this cause was submitted to the court and thereupon the court being fully advised in the premises do find there is due the Plaintiff from the defendants on the promissory Note described in the Plaintiffs petition the sum of two hundred & twenty eight Dollars and thirty five cents Therefore it is considered & adjudged that the Plaintiffs recover of the defendants

Wednesday June 9 1858

the sum of two hundred & twenty eight dollars & thirty five cents found due as aforesaid & their costs in this behalf expended Voted to $

George. T. Williamson
28
George Stewart stals

On motion of Plaintiffs Atty it is ordered that the Surveyor of this County do go upon the lands in controversy and lay off the same as either party shall require and return three plats and report of the same to the next term of this court If no time be agreed upon between the parties for the Survey either party may fix the time giving the opposite party five days previous notice And it appearing that the Plaintiff is a non-resident of this county he is ordered to entered Security for cost within forty days from the rising of this court & this cause is continued

A52

John Morrison
vs
Joseph Biddle Stats

On motion of Plaintiffs Counsel it is ordered that Wm Young & David Evans show cause why attachment should not issue against them for failing to answer unto the notice served upon them as garnishee in this case & this cause is continued

A22

Thomas Evans
vs
David Sagar

Petition on Note

And now comes the said Thomas Evans by his Attorneys Hamilton & Lincoln and the defendant still failing to demur or answer to the said petition it is considered by the court that the Plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises and the court with the consent of the plaintiff finds that there is due to the plaintiff find that there is due to

Wednesday June 9 1858

the Plaintiff from the defendant as in the petition set forth the sum of two hundred & eighty $\frac{82}{100}$ dollars with interest at ten per cent It is therefore considered by the court that the said Plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum of two hundred and eighty $\frac{82}{100}$ dollars at ten per cent and the cost of suit herein expended taxed at Dollars

X | James H Irwin
vs
A.S. Irwin Etals } Continued

X A57 | A Blackburn
vs
Lyne Starling Jr Etals } Continued

X A14 | T Manchester
vs
L Winkler Etals } Continued

X A79 | Augustus Seevers
vs
Geo W Bayley & Wife } On motion of defendants counsel it is ordered that Plaintiff give security for costs in this case in forty days and by consent of parties this cause is continued with leave to defendant to file his answer now & the Plaintiff file his replication in thirty days from the rising of the court

X A21 | James Martin
vs
C.R.I. & P R R Co & Etals } On Motion of Plaintiffs counsel this cause was continued and leave is granted to the Plaintiff to amend his petition in thirty days

Wednesday June 9. 1858

Samuel Stonghton vs Wm Beratty & John Beratty } Petition on a Note

In And now comes the said Plaintiff by his attorneys and the said Beratty & Beratty still failing to demur or answer to said Petition it is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the amount due to him by reason of the premises and the court with the consent of the Plaintiff find that there is due to the Plaintiff from the defendants on the Note in the petition set forth the sum of three hundred & sixty five 95/100 Dollars It is therefore considered by the court that the plaintiff recover of the said defendants said sum of three hundred & sixty five 95/100 Dollars so found due as aforesaid and his costs herein taxed to Dollars

N 45 —

N 1 } State of Ohio vs Benjamin Street } Continued

N 2 } State of Ohio vs William Sands } Continued

N 3 } State of Ohio vs William Sands } Continued

N 5 } State of Ohio vs Ferman Bonell } Continued

N 6. } State of Ohio vs Ferman Bonell } Continued

Wednesday June 9 1838

✓ No 7	State of Ohio vs Truman Cowell	Continued
✓ No 9	The State of Ohio vs Wm H Cartmell	Continued
✓ No 10	The State of Ohio vs William Riddle	Continued
✓ No 11	The State of Ohio vs William Riddle	Continued
✓ No 1	Supr of Stokely vs John Williams Etals	Continued
✓ No 2	Charles R Deming vs Jas Mc Snodgrass	Continued
✓ No 3	Col P & I R R Co vs James Robinson	Discontinued without prejudice. at Plffs cost Judgement for cost
✓ No 4	Ezra Reed vs George Winchester Etals	It is considered by the Court that the Deft recover of the plaintiff his ~~pay the~~ Costs herein taxed at $ No record Continued
✓ No 12	State of Ohio vs Wm Riddle	Continued

Wednesday June 9th 1838

✓	Col P. & S R R Co vs Williams Ors	Discontinued at Plaintiffs cost without prejudice. And It is considered by the Court that the plaintiff ~~pay~~ his ~~the~~ costs herein taxed at $ No record
No 5 ✓	Defendant recover of the Col P & S R R Co vs David Kelly ors	
No 6		Discontinued at Plaintiffs cost without prejudice. It is Considered by the Court that the Deft recover of the plaintiff ~~pay~~ his ~~the~~ costs herein taxed at $ No record
✓	Col. P. & S R R Co vs	Discontinued at Plaintiffs cost
No 7	John Mitchell	without prejudice. It is considered by the Court that the ~~Defendant~~ recover of ~~plaintiff pay~~ Plaintiff his the Costs herein taxed at $ No record
✓	Col. P. & S R R Co vs	Discontinued at Plaintiffs cost
No 8	Benjamin Pinkham	without prejudice. It is considered by the Court that the Deft recover of the plaintiff ~~pay the~~ his Costs herein taxed at $ No record
✓	Col. P. & S R R Co vs	Discontinued at Plaintiffs cost
No 9	Square Leland	without prejudice. And It is considered by the Court that the Defendant recover of the plaintiff ~~pay the~~ his costs herein taxed at $ no record
✓	Col P & S R R Co vs	Discontinued at Plaintiffs cost
No 10	Charles Converse	without prejudice. And it is considered by the Court that the Defendant recover of the plaintiff ~~pay the~~ his costs herein taxed herein at $ No record
✓	Curtis Kempton vs	
No 11	Hannah Kempton	Continued
✓	Julia Ann Samalls vs	
No 12	John Samalls	Continued

Wednesday June 9 1858

† N 14	William Westlake vs A Hamilton	Continued
† N 15	Hamilton Blue vs Wm Westlake	Continued
† N 16	Philander Manchester vs Corydon Winkler	Continued
† N 17	Evans & Jackson vs W.S. Morris	Continued
† N 18	David Hamilton & wife vs David D Beard Etals	Continued
√ N 23	Mary Dysert vs Joseph Dysert	Discontinued without prejudice at Plaintiffs costs.
† N 24	S.B. Dynes Exr vs Harrison Rice Etals	Continued
† N 25	Ann Neil Administratrix &c vs I A Cherry	Continued

Wednesday June 9th 1858

X N 28	Ohio Life I. & trust Co vs A. Alden	Continued
X N 30	Susannah Dysert vs S M. V. & P R R Co	Discontinued without prejudice at Plaintiffs cost
X N 33	James R Young vs John R Brown	Continued at Defts cost
+ N 35	A D Beltz vs A. G. Clark	Leave to answer & Continued at Defts cost
X N 38	John W Rupel vs John Capil	Continued
X N 40	Wm E & Julia E Scofield vs A Bonesmith & Fred Duvall	Continued at Defts cost
X N 43	Wm Taylor vs John & M R Fields	Cont'd under former order
X N 54	Daniel N Silver vs Daniel E Rupel	Continued

Wednesday June 9th 1858

D D Welsh vs R Evans } Partition

The commissioners appointed at this time to make Partition herein Reported that the lands and tenements described in the Petition cannot be partitioned without manifest injury to the value thereof On motion of Defendants Atty this cause is continued to next term in order that the parties may have time and opportunity to make an election whether they or either of them will take the land at the appraised value

David Sager vs Clark Richey } Petition on Note

This day came the Plaintiff by his attorneys Hamilton & Lincoln and this cause was heard by the court and it is considered by the court that the Plaintiff ought to recover from the defendant on the promisory Note in the petition set forth the sum of two hundred and fifty seven Dollars & 85/100 It is therefore considered by the court that the Plaintiff recover of the defendant said sum of two hundred & fifty seven 85/100 Dollars & found due as aforesaid and the costs herein taxed to Dollars

D. L. Woodbery & Co vs A. C. Robinson & Clark Richey } Petition on Note

This day came the Plaintiffs by their attorneys and this case was heard by the court and it is considered by the court that the plaintiffs ought to recover of the defendants the sum of two hundred twenty four 70/100 Dollars on the promisory Note in the petition set forth & ten per cent interest thereon It is therefor considered by the court that the plaintiffs recover of the defendants the said sum of two hundred and twenty four 70/100 Dollars with interest thereon at ten per cent and the cost of suit taxed to Dollars and it is hereby certified that the said A C Robinson is principal and that said Clark Richey is surety

Wednesday June 9 1858

Thomas Evans
vs
David Sager &
I. M. Andrews

This day came the Plaintiff by his Attorneys Hamilton & Lincoln and this case was heard by the court and it is considered by the court that the Plaintiffs ought to recover of the defendants the sum of one hundred & eighty Dollars with interest at ten per cent on the promisory note in the petition set forth Wherefore it is considered by the court that the Plaintiff do recover of the defendants the said sum of one hundred and eighty Dollars with interest at ten per cent And the court do find that the said David Sager is the principal deblon and that the said I M. Andrews is Surety

D. S. Woodberry
vs
A. C. Robinson

This day came the Plaintiff by their attorneys and this cause was heard by the court and it is considered by the court that the Plaintiff ought to recover of the defendant the sum of one hundred & nineteen 66/100 Dollars with interest thereon at ten per cent from this date on the promisory note in the petition described It is therefore considered by the court that the plaintiffs recover of the defendants the said sum of one hundred and nineteen 66/100 dollars with interest thereon at ten per cent interest and the costs of suit.

Micheal Ringer
vs
Joseph White &
Benjamin Wood

And now comes the said Michael Ringer and thereupon this cause came on to be heard & the said Joseph White & Benjamin Wood having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said Michael Ringer and neither of the parties requiring a jury this cause is therefore submitted

Wednesday June 9th 1858

to the court and the court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the Plaintiffs petition are true and that there is due to the said Plaintiff (Michael Ringer) from the said defendants Joseph White and Benjamin Wood on the two promisory notes of the said Joseph White & Benjamin Wood as set forth in said petition the sum of Two hundred & thirty three dollars and forty five cents and that the said Joseph White is principal debtor and the said Benjamin Wood his surety It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the Plaintiff Michael Ringer recover of the defendants Joseph White as principal debtor and Benjamin Wood as his surety as aforesaid the said sum of Two hundred and thirty three Dollars and forty five cents and the costs herein taxed to Dollars cents

D. S. Woodbery
vs
S D Robinson &
S M Robinson

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorneys and this case was heard by the court and it is considered by the court that the Plaintiff ought to recover the sum of three hundred & nineteen 00 Dollars of the defendants on the promisory Note in the petetion set forth It is therefore considered by the court that the defendants do recover of the defendants said sum of three hundred and nineteen 00 dollars and the cost of suit

P. L. Haycock
vs
Francis Kuahler

Civil action
Settled as per agreement on file Judgement against the parties as by the terms of said agreement

Wednesday June 9 1858

✓ N 70	S D Welch vs Harvey Skeels	Settled & Cost paid	
✓ N 74	John Batees vs Sarah Battees	Continued	
✓ N 1	Admr of S L Miller vs Stephen McCain	Continued	
✓ N 2	James S Wells & B Woods Admrs vs Jane White	Continued	
✓ N 3	S Wood & Son vs S G Strong	Continued	
✓ N 4	Reuben A Lamb vs Alexander Cowgill	Continued	
✓ N 5	James S Galloway vs A S Alden	Continued	
✓ N 6	Elnathan Hathaway vs John W Sibbalt	Continued	

Wednesday June 9 1858

X
N 7 — J W Andrews Admr of Lyne Starling decd vs R P Mann — Continued

Y
N 8 — James W Custus vs Francis B Hamlett — Continued

X
N 9 — Adam Shower & wife vs Benjamin Tappan — Continued

X
N 10 — Richard Cowling vs James Guy — Continued

X
N 11 — John H James vs Norman Reed — Continued under former order

X
N 12 — J W Andrews Admr of Lyne Starling decd vs Hamilton Epps & Epps — Continued

√
N 26 — Samuel Covey vs F L Childs — This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came a jury to wit Joseph Powers, Thos J Henderson, G. C. Porter, William Wingfield, S. N. Wells, John Moss, George Starr, Elijah Danforth, Isaac Bonar, John Organ, Christopher Grubbs, Garrett Harris who being empannelled & sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the parties upon their oaths do say that said F L Childs is guilty in manner and form as the said Samuel Covey hath complained against him and they assess the damages of the said Samuel Covey by reason of the premises to twenty five dollars therefore it is considered that the said Samuel Covey recover of the said F L Childs the sum of twenty five Dollars his damages aforesaid in form aforesaid assessed and also his costs in this behalf expended to

Dollars

Issued

Benedict
Satisfac
than y
resided
during al
of the C
Goodma
that he d
or Sovere
Whereup
On paym

Wednesday June 9th 1858

This day the Jurors of the Grand Jury appeared at the bar of this court and presented their report and there being no further business before them, the Grand Jury were discharged

No 8 | The State of Ohio vs Wm B. Dunfee } On Indictment. This day came the Prosecuting Attorney & Entered a Nolli on Above Indictment.

Richard Holmes vs Elder D. Lindsey } Civil action. This day came into Court Richard Holmes by Peleg Bunker his counsel & filed his petition against the said Elder D. Lindsey and thereupon George Lincoln one of the Attorneys of this Court appeared in Open Court in behalf of Elder D. Lindsey and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose Executed by said Elder D. Lindsey & now produced in open Court & duly proved, waived the issuing & Service of proces, filed his answer & acknowledged that the said Elder D Lindsey did make said note & that he owes the plaintiff as set forth in plaintiffs petition Therefore it is considered that the said Richard Holmes recover of the said Elder D. Lindsey the said Sum of One hundred & Seventy five dollars & Sixteen cents & so confessed to be due as aforesaid to draw Interest at the rate of ten per cent and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to dollars And by virtue of the said warrant of Attorney all error is released by the said Elder D. Lindsey

Issued

Benedict Goodman in Citizen & native of Baden a free white person this day came into Court & proved to the Satisfaction of the Court that he made in the Court of Common Pleas for Erie County & State of Ohio more than years ago the requisite declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States, that he has resided in the United States for five years last past & that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio & during all that time he has behaved as a man of good moral character attached to the principles of the Constitution of the United States & well disposed to the good order & happiness of the same & thereupon the said Benedict Goodman in open Court here made solemn oath that he will support the Constitution of the United States & that he doth absolutely & entirely renounce & abjure all allegiance & fidelity to every foreign Prince, Potentate, State or Sovereignty & particularly all allegiance & fidelity to the Grand Duke of Baden whose subject he was Whereupon it is ordered by the Court that a Certificate of Naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this application

Wednesday June 9th 1858

John B Dumble
vs
Thomas R Price

On motion to the court by John B Coats attorney for the Plaintiff and it appearing to the satisfaction of the court that the premises heretofore ordered to be sold in this case has been at least twice advertised and offered for sale by the Sheriff of Union County and not sold for want of bidders Thereupon it is ordered and adjudged by the court that the appraisement heretofore made in this case be and the same is hereby set aside and the Sheriff of said County is ordered to cause said premises to be again appraised before offering the same for sale

D D Welsh
vs
Robert Evans

On motion to the court by counsel for the petitioner and upon producing the proceedings of the Sheriff and Sheriff Report & proceedings and report of the commissioners herein before appointed and the same being examined It is ordered that said proceedings and report be and the same are hereby confirmed And thereupon this cause is continued until the next term of this court to allow either party to elect to take said estate at the valuation of said commissioners

And thereupon the court adjourned until tomorrow morning 8 oclock

M Lawrence
Judge Court Com Pleas
3d Judicial District

Thursday June 10th 1858

Court met persuant to adjournment Same Officers present as on yesterday

James Dunn & Walter A Dunn Plffs
vs
Charles Tullington & James Emerson doing busines as partners under the firm name of Tullington & Emerson and James Tullington Defendants

This day came the said Plaintiffs James Dunn & Walter A Dunn by their Attorney and the said Defendants Charles Tullington & James Emerson doing busines as partners under the firm name of Tullington & Emerson and James Tullington though duly served with process of summons having failed to demur to or answer the Plaintiffs' petition and though now three times called coming not but making default It is considered by the court that the plaintiffs ought to recover the amount due them by reason of the premises and neither party requiring a Jury the court do find & assess the ~~demand~~ amount due to the Plaintiffs by the defendants by reason of the premises in the petition mentioned at two thousand three hundred and ninety nine dollars and fifteen cents Therefore it is considered by the court that the Plaintiffs recover of the defendants the said sum of two thousand three hundred & thirty nine dollars and fifteen cents due them as aforesaid together with their costs in this behalf expended taxed at ____ dollars and ____ cents The defendants costs due taxed at ____ Dollars & ____ cents

N 58

State of Ohio
vs
Alfred V Morse } Rolle

N 15

Thursday June 10 1858

James A Brainard
vs
B.S. Hull &
Joshua Judy

This day came the Plaintiff by P.B. Cole his attorney said defendant Joshua Judy (who was ~~served~~ served with process) having

83 failed to answer or demur thereto made default the said Hull having not been served) and the Plaintiff waiving his right to a trial by Jury submitted this cause to the court and the court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations in the petition to be true and that there is due from the defendant Joshua Judy to the Plaintiff the sum of three hundred & Sixty Seven 50/100 Dollars It is therefore ~~considered~~ ordered and adjudged by the court that the said Plaintiff recover of the said Joshua Judy the said sum of Three hundred & Sixty Seven & 50/100 Dollars so found due as aforesaid and also the costs in this behalf expended taxed to $

James A Brainard
vs
Joshua Judy &
W.S Brophy

82 This day came the Plaintiff by his Attorney P.B. Cole said defendant having failed to answer or demur thereto made default and the Plaintiff waiving his right to trial by Jury submits this cause to the court and the court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition to ~~be true~~ true and that there is due from the defendants to the Plaintiff the sum of one hundred and twenty 56/100 dollars It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the said Plaintiff recover of the said defendants the said sum ~~defendants the said sum~~ of one hundred & twenty 50/100 Dollars his debt as aforesaid and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $

Thursday June 10 1858

Samuel Dunn & others
vs
Robert G Dunn

This day came the parties by their attorney and waived a jury and submitted this cause to the court for trial and the court having heard the testimony and the arguments of counsel and being fully advised in the premises do find that the Plaintiffs have not nor have any or either of them any title, estate or right of Possession in or to the lands and tenements described in the petition or any part thereof, or to any rents, or profits thereof, or of any part thereof. It is therefore considered by the court that as to the 1st supposed cause of action as numbered in the petition, the defendant go hence without day. And as to the supposed cause of action numbered 2d in the petition the court do find that the defendant Robert. G. Dunn and those under whom he claims, (to wit Walter Dunn and his heirs) duly and regularly paid all taxes that accrued on said lands and tenements for the years 1829 to 1854 both inclusive except the three years 1832, 1852 and 1853 they respectively, being the owners of the said lands and tenements — And the court further find that William Dunn the original plaintiff under whom the now plaintiffs claim died also pay the taxes that accrued on said lands and tenements for the year 1826 to 1853 both inclusive except the Years 1835, 1836, 1837, 1838, 1839, 1840, & 1841. And the court do further find that said lands and tenements were sold at the delinquent tax sale of 1849 for the taxes of 1847 and 1848 to John Capil who afterwards assigned his certificate of purchase to said William Dunn. That said sale was and is wholly void the taxes for said years 1847 and 1848 not being delinquent but having been paid by the defendant as aforesaid and the court further find that the plaintiff James Pallman administrator as aforesaid, has a lien on said lands and tenements for the taxes of 1849, 1850, 1852 and 1853 with interest amounting to two hundred and thirteen dollars and thirty six cents But that neither the said Administrator nor the other plaintiffs nor any or either of them have any other or further lien on said lands and tenements, or other or further

N 13

Thursday June 10 1858

claim in the premises against the defendant or those under whom he claims &

It is therefore considered by the court that unless the defendant pay to said Administrator within thirty days from the close of this term of this court the said sum of 213 dollars & 36 cents with interest from this date the Sheriff of this county shall sell as upon executions at law so much of said lands and tenements as may be necessary to satisfy the same & the cost of this suit which costs the Defts is also to pay

And the Plaintiffs here in open court release all lein in the premises but do not waive their right of appeal notices of appeal by Plaintiffs Bond fixed by court at one hundred dollars

No 80 J G Irwin vs S K. Reed } This cause was discontinued without prejudice Each party to pay half of the costs Judgement for cost accordingly - No Record

No 77 D. M. Robinson vs S. K. Reed & A A Woodworth } ~~Discontinued~~ ~~Continued~~ The defendant to pay the costs by agreement one half the plaintiff are held Judgement for costs accordingly

No 116 Isaac Garretson vs James Wilson Etals } On motion of Messrs Hamilton & Lincoln Josephus Jordan was made a party defendant herein and leave was granted to him to answer & on motion It was ordered that the surveyor of this County make survey of the lands in controversy as he shall be directed by the parties & return a plat & report of the same to the next term of this court Either party may fix the time of survey by giving the opposite party five days notice of the same & this cause is continued

Thursday June 10 1858

X
A 15 | Hamilton Blue
vs
William Westlake } Continuance opened up and set for hearing

X | Hamilton Blue
vs
William Westlake } This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon the cause came on to be heard upon the petition and answer and was argued by counsel on consideration whereof the court do find that the Plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises and that there is due from the defendant to the plaintiff on the notes in the petition set forth the Sum of two hundred & Sixty Six dollars & Eighty five cents it is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of the defendant said Sum of two hundred & Sixty six dollars and eighty five cents so found due as aforesaid and also his costs taxed to $ and it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant fails for three days from the rising of this court to pay the said Plaintiff said Sum of two hundred & Sixty six dollars and eighty five cents adjudged his due as aforesaid with costs of suit that an order issue to the Sheriff of this county (who is hereby appointed Special master commissioner for such purpose commanding him to cause the land & tenements in said petition discribed to be appraised advertised & sold according to Law and apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the Judgement so aforesaid rendered and thereupon on motion of defendants counsel new trial is granted under the Statute on giving bond in double the amount of the Judgement

A 15

Wm Westlake
vs
A Hamilton } Continued with leave for Plaintiff to file amended petition within thirty days

Thursday June 10 1858

Means Wason
vs
Bill Welch

And now comes the said parties by their attorneys and thereupon this action comes on for trial upon the petition ~~set forth~~ answer and evidence and submitted by counsel on consideration whereof the court find that the allegations contained in the said petition are true in manner and form as the plaintiff has in his said petition set forth It is therefore considered by the court here that the said Means Wason recover against the said Bill Welch the sum of one hundred & eleven dollars & ninety cents the sum so found due as aforesaid and his cost also ~~found due as aforesaid and also his costs~~ herein expended taxed to

A
dollars & cents

Cyrus Wigle
vs
W. B. Dunfee

In Replevin

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon this cause came on to be heard and thereupon came a Jury to wit Joseph Powers Thos J Henderson G C Pooler Wm Winget T. N. Wells John Mosp C. Gutts Bliss Danforth Jesse Bowen Sumner Payne John Organ, & Levis Wright who being empannelled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the parties after hearing the evidence and arguments of the counsel that the right of property and the right of possession were in the Plaintiff at the time of the injuries complained of by the Plaintiff and the Jury do find that the defendant William B Dunfee doth detain the goods and chattels of the Cyrus Wigle in manner and form as the said Cyrus Wigle hath complained against him and they assess the damages of the said Cyrus Wigle at ~~recover of said~~ one Dollar Therefore it is considered by the court that the said Cyrus Wigle recover of the said William B Dunfee the said sum of one Dollar his damages aforesaid assessed and also his cost herein expended taxed to Dollars

Thursday June 10 1858

1149 | Wm Hildreth / vs / Wm Wells } Civil Action

X | Wm Hildreth / vs / Wm Wells } civil action

X 1150 | On motion of Plaintiff by counsel and by consent of parties these two causes are consolidated and leave granted to plaintiff to file his petition in thirty days It is further ordered that an Official Survey of the lands which may be required by either parties be made by the county surveyor of this county on reasonable notice and this cause is continued at the Plaintiffs cost

X X 1157 | Wm Hildreth / vs / John Page } Leave granted to the Plaintiff to file a petition within thirty days & cause continued at the Plaintiffs costs

X | Azor Heil Administratrix of Solomon Heil deceased Plaintiff / vs / S. N. Cherry Defendant } Civil action

This cause came on to be heard upon the demurrer of the defendant to the said plaintiffs petition and was argued by counsel and the court being fully advised in the premises do find that the said petition of the said plaintiff does not state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against this defendant and in favor of this plaintiff Whereupon the said plaintiff has leave to amend said petition instanter Whereupon the said defendant moved the court to strike the said petition from the files and quash the same which motion was argued by counsel and the court being fully advised in the premises the same was overruled & thereupon on motion of defendant this cause is continued with leave to answer in thirty days

Thursday June 10 1858

Whereupon court adjourned until tomorrow morning 9½ o'clock

Wm Lawrence
Judge Court Com Pleas
3d Judicial District

Friday June 11th 1858

Court met persuant to adjournment
same officers present as on Yesterday

Deering Chapel
vs
Charles L Wilcox

This day this cause came on to be heard by the court upon the motion of the Plaintiff to correct a mistake made by the clerk in entering the judgement heretofore rendered in this case at the April term 1857 and upon a full hearing of said motion and being satisfied that due & lawful notice was given to the said defendant of the pendency of this motion the court do find that the said judgement at said April term 1857 was rendered for the sum of one hundred & eighteen 26/100 dollars when it should have been entered for the sum of one hundred & thirty one 2/100 dollars whereupon it is ordered by the court that said entry of said judgement be corrected by the clerk

I sign the above to Hamilton Lincoln Deering Chapel

Friday June 11 1858

T. C. Moore
vs
Alonzo Gitchell

Order to make deeds

It appearing to the court that the sale of real estate made in this case made to T. C. Moore was regular & has heretofore been confirmed & W. C. Malin late Sheriff was ordered to execute a deed to said purchase which was not done & thereupon it is ordered that W. H. Robb present Sheriff execute and deliver to said purchaser a deed in fee simple to said real estate

Ordered that $20, be allowed Geo Lincoln fees in defending Charles Brockway to be paid out of the County Treasury subject to the approval of the County Commissioners

Wm. T. Fulton
vs
Beriah W Miller &
Orlando Wells partners &c

This day came the parties by their attorneys and this cause came on to be heard and by agreement of parties it was tried by the court and the court upon hearing the evidence do consider & find that the Plaintiff ough to recover of the said Orlando Wells and Beriah W. Miller the sum due him by reason of the premises and that there is due to him from the said defendants upon the promisory note in the petition set forth the sum of one hundred and thirty seven 29/100 Dollars with interest. Wherefore it is considered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiff do recover of the defendants Orlando Wells & Beriah W Miller said sum of one hundred & thirty seven 29/100 Dollars so found due with interest and also his costs herein taxed to Dollars & thereupon on Motion of Counsel of Orlando Wells a new trial is granted under the Statute on giving Bond in double the amount of the Judgement

Friday June 11 1858

William Hamilton
vs
Orlando Wells &
Beriah W Miller partners &c

This day came the parties by their attorneys and this cause came on to be heard and by agreement of parties it was tried by the court and the court upon hearing the evidence do consider and find that the plaintiff ought to recover of said defendant that there is due to him from the said defendants the sum of Three hundred & twenty one dollars upon the promisory Note in the petition set forth together with interest at ten per cent Whereupon it is considered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiffs do recover of the said defendants Orlando Wells & Beriah W Miller said sum of three hundred & twenty one Dollars so found due as aforesaid with interest at ten per cent and his costs herein taxed to Dollars and thereupon on the motion of Counsel of Orlando Wells a new trial is granted under the Statute on giving bond in double the amount of the Judgement

John B Hoyle
vs
Beriah W Miller &
Orlando Wells

This day came the parties and this cause came on to be heard and by agreement of parties it was tried by the court and the court upon a hearing of the evidence do consider and find that the plaintiff ought to recover of said defendants Beriah W Miller & Orlando Wells the sum due to him by reason of the premises and that there is due to him from the said defendants upon the promisory Note in the petition set forth the sum of one hundred & thirty nine 70/100 Dollars with interest Wherefore it is considered and adjudged by the court that the Plaintiff do recover of the said defendants Beriah W Miller & Orlando Wells said sum of one hundred & thirty nine 70/100 Dollars so found due as aforesaid and interest thereon and his costs herein taxed to Dollars and thereupon on motion of counsel of Orlando Wells a new trial is granted under the Statute on giving bond in double the amount of the Judgement

Friday June 11 1858

N 94	Cyrus Wigle vs W. B. Donifee	It is ordered by the court that the above case that Asberry Dockum be made a party to this suit and this cause continued
	Edward Storm Etal vs James Guy	This day came the parties by their attorneys and this cause came on to be heard and thereupon came a jury to wit Joseph Powers, Thomas J Henderson G. C. Fowler D. N. Wells, John Mofs, Bliss Danforth Jesse Bowen, Sumner Payne John Organ, Levin Wright Christopher Grubbs & George Stewart who being empannelled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issues joined between the parties after hearing the evidence and arguments of Counsel the jury do find that the defendant James Guy is indebted ~~to the said defendant is indebted~~ to the Plaintiffs in the sum of twenty one 14/100 dollars Wherefore it is considered and adjudged by the court that the said Plaintiffs recover of the said sum of twenty one 14/100 dollars and the costs of suit taxed to dollars
N 248		
N 57	William Hamilton vs O Wells B W Miller & S. G. Miller	Leave was granted to file an amended petition and the cause continued
N 19	David Wood vs David B Lockwood Etal	Continued

Friday June 11 1858

X N 20	Stephen Cranston Etals vs John Barber Treasurer &c	Continued
X N 29	Stephen Cranston Etals vs Keyes Sabins Etals	Continued
X N 32	Cole & Porter vs Malin & Richey	Leave to amend Continued
X N 44	E. G. Richey vs Amos Burnis	Leave to amend petition within 30 days demurrer withdrawn & Cause continued at Plaintiffs cost
X N 47	Fredrick Wheler vs Elihu Phelps	Demurrer sustained Leave to amend answer & continued
X N 62	C S Hamilton vs J. C. Carter Etals	Continued
X N 66	James Guy vs C P & I R R Co	Continued
✓ N 75	Williams & Welch vs Welsh & Welsh	Dismissed as per agreement ~~No Record~~ at Defendants costs It is therefore considered by the Court here that the Plaintiff recover of the Defendant his costs herein taxed to $ No record.

Friday June 11 1858

X
N84
W.W. Bridge
vs
W.H. Furgeson Admr ETC
} Continued leave to file answer

X
(X81)
Thomas Tomblinson
vs
John L Eaton &
John Capil
} Continued

C G. Bradley & Co
vs
Stout & Luskip
} On Execution

The Court this day on motion of C Sweetzer Atty for Plaintiff. and the court having examined the proceeding of the Sheriff and the sale by him made upon the execution ispued in this cause and being satisfied that said sale has in all respects been made in conformity to the provisions of the statute in such case made and provided do order the clerk to make an entry on the Journal that the court are satisfied of the legality of the sale and that he also enter an order on the Sheriff to make to the purchaser a deed for the lands and tenements so sold all which is entered accordingly

C. G. Bradley & Co
vs
John Hilderbrand
} On Execution

The court this day on the motion of C Sweetzer atty for Plffs and the court having examined the proceedings of the Sheriff and the sale by him made upon the execution to him ispued in this cause and being satisfied that said sale has in all respects been made in conformity to the provisions of the statute in such case made and provided do order the clerk to make an entry on the Journal that the court are satisfied of the legality of the sale and that he also enter an order on the sheriff to make to the purchaser a deed for the lands and tenements so sold all which is entered accordingly

Friday June 11 A. D. 1858

Stephen Cranston vs John Barbour et als } The continuance of this case is by consent opened up. It is agreed that the plaintiff is entitled to recover of the defendant the Treasurer of Union County, the sum of five hundred & twenty five dollars. And that the defendant the Springfield Mount Vernon & Pittsburg Rail Road Company is entitled to recover of said Treasurer the residue of taxes collected by him & remaining in his hands, to pay the orders in the petition set up amounting to /100 dollars And that said Rail Road Company pay the cost of this suit — thereout It is therefore considered & adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the said John Barbour Treasurer as aforesaid the said sum of five hundred & twenty five dollars so found due. And that on the presentation of County Orders of the class named in the petition to the amount paid out he pay the residue of the moneys so in his hands hands thereout. And that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the S. Mt. V. & P. Rail road his costs herein taxed at /100 dollars,

It is ordered by the Court that all causes & matters not otherwise disposed of Stand continued

And thereupon this Court adjourned without day

ipaid July 2 1858

July 2d A.D. 1858 William McCarty an alien and native of Ireland this day came before me Taber Randall Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas within & for the County of Union in the State of Ohio and declared on his Solemn oath that it bona fide his intention to become a citizen of the United States and to renounce forever all allegiance to every foreign Prince Pottentate State or Sovereignty whatsoever and particularly to Victory Queen of Great Britain

Taber Randall Clerk

August 7th A.D. 1858 Michael Crosby an alien & native of Ireland this day came before me Taber Randall Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas within & for the County of Union in the State of Ohio & declared on his Solemn oath that it is bonafide to become a citizen of the United States & to renounce forever all allegiance to every foreign Prince, Pottentate State & Sovereignty Whatsoever & particularly to Victory Queen of Great Britain

Taber Randall Clerk

ipaid Sept. 1. 1858

September 1st A.D. 1858 Patrick Diggins an alien and Native of Ireland this day came before me Taber Randall Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas within & for the County of Union in the State of Ohio and declared on his Solemn oath, that he arrived in the United States A.D. 1848 and that it is bonafide his intention to become a citizen of the United States, and to renounce forever all allegiance to every foreign Prince Pottentate, State or Sovereignty Whatsoever & particularly Victoria Queen of Great Britain

Taber Randall Clerk

August 23rd 1858

This day the court of common pleas for the county of Union & State of Ohio convened in pursuance of Law & in accordance with previous notice present William Lawrence J. W. H Robb Sheriff & Faber Randall Clerk, no Judge being present the Sheriff adjourned the court until tomorrow morning at nine o'clock.

August 24/58

Court met pursuant to adjournment present ~~William~~ George ~~Lawrence~~ Judge. W.H. Robb. Sheriff. & Faber Randall Clerk

E Sleverg

Christopher Ryan
vs
Silas Igou &
Elijah Bradlove

This day came the Plaintiff by his attorney and thereupon came the defendants by Jas. W. Robinson one of the attorneys of this court who in virtue of a warrant of attorney duly made proved & filed waived process - and filed the answer of the said defendants and admits that the defendants do owe the plaintiffs on the note in the petition set forth the sum of Seventy five 92/100 Dollars and confessed judgement therefor with cost and interest hereafter at ten per cent

Whereupon it is considered by the court that the said Christopher Ryan do recover of the said Silas Igou and Elijah Bradlove the said sum of Seventy five 92/100 Dollars so confessed together with his cost herein taxed at dollars and that this judgement bear interest at ten per cent and by virtue of the same warrant of attorney all errors in fact of error appeals & Stay of Execution herein are waived & released

Agreed

George S. Williamson
vs
George Stewart & others

Continued under former order of Journey

Tuesday August 24th 1858

√	Manchester & Silas partners &c vs Ezekiel Hammond & Elisha Wells partners as Hammond & Wells.	Petition

And now comes the said Manchester & Silas plaintiffs &c and the said Ezekiel Hammond and Elisha Wells partners as Hammond & Wells having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said plaintiffs it is considered that the said plaintiffs ought to recover the said sum of five hundred and forty nine dollars and thirty four cents so demanded in their petition together with the sum of fifty seven dollars and thirteen cents which the court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition it is therefore considered that the said plaintiffs recover against said defendants the said sum of six hundred and six dollars and forty seven cents together with their costs in and about their suit in this behalf expended taxed to dollars and cents

√	Ichabod Corwin vs E Stansbury & Wilson Baldwin Partners as Stansbury & Baldwin & Lewis Hunter Defendants	This day came the Plaintiffs & filed herein his petition against said defendants and at the same time came James D Atkinson Esq one of the attorneys of this court and by virtue of a warrant of attorney to that end made by the defendants and herein duly proved & filed waived process and entered the appearance of the said E Stansbury Wilson Baldwin & Lewis Hunter and filed their answer admitting that the said defendants do owe the plaintiffs the sum of one hundred & thirty three 87/100 Dollars in manner & form as claimed in the plaintiffs petition and confessed judgement therefor with interest at the rate of ten per cent per annum Therefore it is considered by the court that the said Ichabod Corwin recover of the said E Stansbury & W Baldwin partners as Stansbury & Baldwin and of the said Lewis Hunter the sum of One hundred and thirty three 87/100 Dollars so confessed together with

Agreed.

Tuesday August 24/1858

his costs herein taxed at 100 Dollars & it is ordered that this Judgement bear interest at Ten per cent p per annum, and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all error— Stay of bills in chancery and right of appeal herein are released & waived

John D Jones Caleb Jones
George W Jones John J Jones &
J.G Huntington partners in trade
under the firm name of Jones
Brothers & Co Plffs
against
Wm D.W. Mitchell Sarah
Jane Mitchell his wife &
James R. Smith defendants

} Petition on Note & Mortgage

This day came the Plaintiffs Jones Brothers & Co by their Attorneys and the said W D W Mitchell & Sarah Jane Mitchell his wife and James R Smith defendants

Still failing to demur or or answer to the petition this cause was submitted to the court neither party demanding a Jury and the court with the consent of the Plaintiffs find the allegations in the petition to be true and that there is due from the defendants Wm D W Mitchell & Sarah Jane his wife to the Plaintiffs on the note in the petition set forth the sum of Four hundred and one Dollars & forty four cents & that the same is a lien on the lands in the petition set forth— It is therefore considered adjudged & decreed by the court here that the said Plaintiffs recover of the said defendants W D W Mitchell & Sarah Jane Mitchell his wife the sum of four hundred and one ~~hundred~~ dollars & forty four cents the sum so found due as aforesaid and also their costs herein expended taxed to $ and it is further ordered adjudged & decreed that in case the defendants fail for ten days from the close of this term of the court to pay to the said plaintiffs said sum of $401.44 found due as aforesaid with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of this County who for that purpose is hereby appointed Special master Commissioner commanding him to cause the lands & tenements in the petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to Law and apply the proceeds arising from such sale to the satisfaction of this Judgement—

Wednesday August 24 1838

X Henry Wm Prior an Alien and native of Kerhussen a free white person. this day came into open court and made the declaration and proof required by the laws of the United States of Aliens who have resided in these States ~~for the purpose of obtaining~~ three years next preceding their arrival at the age of Twenty one years for the purpose of obtaining the benefit of the laws of the United States relating to naturalization and thereupon the said Henry Wm Prior in open court here made solemn oath that he will support the constitution of the United States and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and abjure all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign Prince Potentate State or Sovereignty and particularly all allegiance and fidelity to Fredrick William 3d of Kerhussen whose subject he was. Whereupon. it is ordered by the court that a certificate of Naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this application

✓ David B Lockwood Plff
vs
Coryden Winkles & wife Defts } On Execution

On motion of the said plaintiff by his Attorney and on producing the return of the Sheriff of this county as special master commissioner of a Sale of real Estate made by him on the 17th day of July AD 1838 to John James Wallace on an Execution and order of sale issued in this case and dated May 20th 1838 and the court on examination of said proceedings & being satisfied that said Sale has been made in all respects in conformity to Law it is ordered that the said Sale and proceeding be and the same are hereby confirmed and the said Sheriff as special master commissioner is ordered to make to the purchaser a deed for the lands and tenements so Sold

Cognovit

Tuesday August 24th 1858

X N44	Elisabeth Williams vs W.B. Ogburn Etals	Continued with leave to Amend
X N17	Lessee of Samuel Stokely vs John Williams Etals	Continued
X N42	Charles R Deming vs James M Snodgrass	Continued
Y N3	Ezra Reed vs Geo Winchester Etals	Continued
Y N4	Curtis Kempton vs Hannah Kempton	Continued
L N5	Julia Ann Samells vs John Samells	Continued
X N6	Wm Westlake vs A Hamilton	Continued
X N7	Philander Manchester vs Coryden Winkler	Continued

Tuesday August 24th 1858

X N8	Evans & Johnson vs N. S. Morris	Continued
X N9	David Wood vs David B Lockwood	Continued
X N10	James Martin vs Col Pig & La RR Co	Dismissed by Plffs without prejudice - Leave to withdraw papers no record
X N11	John Morrison vs Joseph Biddle	Continued
X N12	James C Dynes Exr vs Harrison Rice Etals	Continued
X N13	Ann Niel Administratrix of Solomon Niel deceased vs Saml A Cherry	Continued
X N14	Ohio Life Insurance & Trust Co vs A. S. Alden	Continued
X N16	Cole & Porter vs W. C. Malin & Adam Richey	Continued

Tuesday August 24 1858

X W18	A D Beltz vs A. G. Clark	Continued
X W20	Andy Gandy vs Amanda Gandy	Continued
X W21	John W. Rupell vs John Cassil	Continued
X W22	W. E. & Julia E Scofield vs Jacob Bowersmith & Fred Irwall	Continued
X W23	Daniel D Welsh vs Robert Evans	Continued
X W25	Isaac Garretson vs James Wilson Etals	Continued
X W26	Frederick Wheeler vs Elihu Phelps	Continued
X W27	Wm Hildreth vs Wm Wells	Continued

Tuesday August 24 1858

X N 28	William Hildreth vs John Page	Continued
X N 31	William Hamilton vs Orlando Wells Baniah M Miller & Jonathan G Miller	Continued
X N 34	C. S. Hamilton vs Jas O Carter Et als	Continued
X N 35	James Guy vs Col Pig & La R R Co	Continued
X N 37	Isaac M Callister Et als against Elisabeth Boncher Et als	And now comes the said plaintiffs by their Attorneys and the said defendants still failing to demur or answer to the said petition it is considered that the said Plaintiffs ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises & the court with the consent of the plaintiffs find that there is due from the said Defendants to the said Plaintiffs on the two notes marked (A) & (B) in the said petition set forth the sum of, Seventy Eight & 90/100 Dollars It is Therefore considered by the said court here that the said plaintiffs recover of the said defendants the said sum of $78.90 the sum so found due as aforesaid also his costs taxed to $ And it is further ordered & adjudged that in case said Defendants fail for ten days from the close of this term of the court to pay to said plaintiffs the

Tuesday August 24 1858

Said sum of $78,90 so as aforesaid found due & the costs of suit and order issue to the Sheriff of said county commanding him to cause the said real estate in said petition described to be appraised advertised & sold according to Law. & apply the proceeds of said sale in the manner following 1st In Satisfaction of the said Judgement rendered as aforesaid 2d In Satisfaction of the note marked (A) in said partition set forth for thirty four & 5/100 due July 2nd 1859 with interest from April 1st 1856

Stephen Cranston Plffs
vs
Heylas Sabine & others

This day came the plaintiff by his Counsel and the defendants still failing to answer according to the rule herein entered at the Spring term by the parties are adjudged in default and thereupon the plaintiff submits this cause to be heard by the court and the court being fully advised in the premises find that the facts stated in the premises are true and that there was no authority for the issue of any orders on the Treasurer of Union County for the Satisfaction of which the Tax set up in the petition was ordered to be apeped and the order for the amount of said Tax and payment of said orders was and is wholly null & void and that there is and was no authority therefor and that the said orders in the bill refered to are without consideration or authority and void and therefore it is considered and adjudged that the defendant Heylas Sabine Auditor of Union County and his succesors in Office be and they are hereby forever prohibited and enjoined from apeping any tax for the liquidation of the whole or any part of the said orders And that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the costs herein taxed at Dollars
Notice of appeal by Defts Bond $500

John A. McCreight
vs
Saml. A. Cherry

Settled as per agreement on file at costs of defendant. It is therefore considered by the Court here that the plaintiff recover of the defendant his costs herein taxed at $
No Record

Tuesday August 24th 1858

V | Daniel Brooks plff
vs
James S Alexander Defft

Petition on Note & Mortgage
And now comes the said Daniel Brooks by Robinson & Robinson his attorneys and the said James S Alexander still failing to demur or answer to the said petition it is considered that the plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises and the court by the consent of said plaintiff find that there is due from the said defendants to the said plaintiff on the mortgage in said petition set forth the sum of six hundred & eighty five dollars with interest at ten per cent It is therefore considered by the said court here that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum of $685 with interest as aforesaid the sum so found due as aforesaid & also his cost taxed to $
And it is further ordered & adjudged that in case the said defendant fail for ten days from the close of this term of the court to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of $685 & interest at ten per cent as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of said County commanding him to cause said Lands in said petition described to wit the whole of in Lot No 75 in the Town of Marysville Union County Ohio and known as the John Johnson property to be appraised advertised & sold according to law & apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of said Judgement as aforesaid rendered

N62

✓ | Andrew Pollock Plaintiffs
vs
David Plumb and
Thomas Brown Defendant

Confession of Judgement
And now comes the said Andrew Pollock by his attorneys Robinson & Robinson and the said Thomas Brown appears in his own person for himself & as attorney for said David Plumb & says that said Plumb is justly indebted to the said plaintiff in the sum of one hundred & eighteen & 48/100 dollars on said promissory note in said petition described with interest at ten per cent & that he is ready to

N55

Tuesday August 24 1818

said promissory note & the said promissory note & the said Thomas Brown. for himself & said David Plumb confesses the same & wishes judgement rendered against him therefor It is therefore considered by the court here that the said Andrew Pollock recover against the said David Plumb as principal & Thomas Brown. as surety the sum of $118,48 the debt so as aforesaid confessed & also his costs herein expended taxed to $

Andrew Kegs Plaintiff
vs
Enoch Burrows Defd

Pet on note & Mortgage

And now comes the said Andrew Kegs by Robinson & Robinson his attorneys & the said Enoch Burrows still failing to demur or answer to the said petition it is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises & the court with the consent of the said plaintiffs find that that there is due from the said defendants to the said plaintiffs on the note in said petition set forth the sum of one hundred and seventy four & 54/100 Dollars. with annual interest at eight per cent It is therefore considered by said court here that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum of $174,54 with annual interest at 8 per cent found due as aforesaid & his costs taxed to $ And it is further ordered & adjudged that in case said defendant fails for ten days from the close of this term of the court to pay to said Plaintiff the said sum of $174,54 with interest as aforesaid found due as aforesaid togathe with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of said county commanding him to Cause the said Real Estate in said petition described to wit the West half of Lot No 7 in the Town of Milford Centre in said county to be appraised advertised & sold according to law & apply the proceeds of said sale to the satisfaction of the said Judgement &c as aforesaid rendered

Thereupon this court adjourned until to morrow morning at 7 Oclock

Geo. Sibley
Judge of Com. Pleas

Wednesday August 25th 1858

Court met persuant to adjournment same officers present as on Yesterday

✓ Albert Chapman plaintiff
against
Stephenson Curry defendant } Confession of Judgement

And now comes the said Albert Chapman plaintiff by John B Coats his Attorney and files his petition against the said Stephenson Curry defendant and thereupon John L Porter one of the attorneys of this court appeared in open court in behalf of the said Stephenson Curry defendant and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed and now produced to the court and duly proved waived the issuing and service of process and confessed that the said Stephen Curry does owe to the said plaintiff the said sum of one hundred and seventy six dollars and thirty nine cents with interest one hundred and
N 66 forty eight dollars and thirty nine cents thereof from the 9th day of May AD 1856 at the rate of ten per cent and interest on twenty eight dollars thereof from the 7th day of August AD 1858 at the rate of ten per cent making a grop o Whole sum of Two hundred and thirteen dollars and sixty nine cents It is therefore considered and adjudged that the said Albert Chapman do recover of the said Stephenson Curry the said sum of Two hundred and thirteen dollars and sixty nine cents so confessed to be due and interest on the same at the rate of ten per cent and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to Dollars cents and by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all errors are released and all right of appeal waived by the said Stephenson Curry

Wednesday August 25 1838

John Battees
vs
Sarah Battees
Divorce

On Motion to the court by counsel for defendant and it appearing to the satisfaction of the court that the defendant is without means and entirely destitute it is ordered adjudged & decreed that the plaintiff do pay to the defendant as alimony pendente Lite, the sum of fifty Dollars Twenty five Dollars to be paide forthwith & twenty five to be paid in thirty days from this date and that in default by the plaintiff to paige said sums at the time specified or either of them that execution issue therefor Walker & West attorneys for Defendant hereby assert their lien upon said above Judgement for professional Services rendered in this case And thereupon came the said John Battees by Thomas Brown his Attorney and this cause came on for hearing upon the petition and testimony and was argued by counsel on consideration whereof the court do find that the said defendant at the time of the marriage of the said parties had a former husband (Jacob Wolford) living therefore adjudged & decreed that the marriage of the said parties to this suit was void and it is hereby decreed that the same be set aside and wholy annulled and the parties wholly released from the obligations of the same and it is further ordered by the court that the defendant recover of the plaintiff her costs & charges herein expended taxed to $ /

Abraham M Blackburn
vs
Lyne Starling Jr
& Wray Thomas

This day came the plaintiffs by his attorney and made satisfactory proof of publication as to Lyne Starling Jr & thereupon the case was submitted to the court by plaintiff the defendants making default & thereupon the court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegation of the petition to be true & that plaintiff ought to recover of the defendants the sum of four hundred and twenty

Wednesday August 25 / 858

two & 50/100 dollars due as claimed in the plaintiffs petition It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendants the sum of four hundred and twenty two & 50/100 Dollars found due as aforesaid & his costs herein taxed to — Dollars It is further ordered adjudged & decreed that the said Sheriff proceed as upon (~~judgement~~) Execution to advertise and sell so much of the real estate heretofore attached in this action now in his possession as will satisfy the said plaintiff in the full Amount of the aforesaid judgement & costs

James H Irwin
vs
A.S. Irwin Et als

Petition to quiet title

And now comes the said plaintiff by his attorneys & the said defendants still failing to demur or answer to the said petition this cause was submitted to the court and the court being fully advised in the premises do find the plaintiff entitled to a release to him from the defendants to all their interest and title in the land in said petition described It is therefore ordered and decreed that within ten days the defendants execute to plaintiff a deed of release as aforesaid for said premises and in default thereof this decree shall operate as such conveyance It is further ordered that plaintiff pay the costs of this suit & in default thereof that execution issue as upon Judgments at Law,

E G Richey
vs
Amos Burris

Demurre

Demurrer sustained and leave granted to Plaintiff to amend his petition in thirty days & Continued

Wednesday August 25 1858

Eliza McClary by her next friend P B Cole Plaintiff against P Snider & James Kinkade partners in trade under the firm name of P Snider & Co Thomas Peacock Constable & Wm McClary Defendants

On motion Docket
This day came the attorneys for Plaintiff as well as Mess Hamilton & Lincoln Attorneys for P Snider & Co & Thomas Peacock Constable defendants and thereupon this cause came on to be heard on motion of Plaintiffs attorneys On consideration whereof it is ordered that an injunction be allowed in this case until the further order of this court against the said P Snider & Co and Thomas Peacock defendants as as prayed for in the petition on the said plaintiff giving an undertaking to the said P Snider & Co & Thomas Peacock defts to the acceptance of the Clerk of this court in the sum of $

N 67

Isaac McCallister Adm of John McCallister dec'd plaintiff vs Isaac Headley Defendant

And now comes the said parties by their attorneys & thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the demurrer of Isaac Headley to plaintiffs petition & the court find that said demurrer is well taken It is therefore ordered that the plaintiff have leave to amend his petition in said Case within thirty days from the close of this term of the court & this cause is continued

N 58

Robert Malone vs W W Woodbourn

Appeal
Defendt opened up & leave to file petition

N 52

Wednesday August 25 1858

Patrick A Donnell plff
vs
James Leas deft

Petition on Note & mortgage

Robinson & Robinson

And now comes the said plaintiff by his attorneys & the said James Leas title failing to demur or answer to the said petition it is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises & the court with the consent of the plaintiff find that there is due from the said defendant to the said plaintiff on the note in the said petition set forth Marked (A) the sum of $153.57 with interest at ten per cent purchase money of the premises in said petition described & on the note marked (B) in said petition set forth the sum of 75.90 it is therefore considered by the said court here that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum so found due as aforesaid & also his cost taxed to $3

N53

And it is further ordered & adjudged that in case said defendant fail for ten days from the close of this term of this court to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum so as aforesaid found due with cost of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of said County: Commanding him to cause the said real estate in said petition described to be appraised Advertised & sold according to law & apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said judgement so as aforesaid rendered.

153.57
75.90
229.47

Wednesday August 2d 1838

David H Silver Plaintiff
vs
Daniel B Rupel Defendant

And now comes the said David H Silver by his attorneys & the said Defendant failing to demur or answer to the said petition it is Considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises & the Court with the consent of the said plaintiff ~~ought~~ find that there is due from the said defendant ~~to the said Defendant~~ to the said plaintiff on the Article of agreement in said petition set forth the following Sums to wit on the payment due April 1st 1837 Seven hundred & ninety Six & 96/100 Dollars on the payment due April 1st 1838 Seven hundred & Sixty eight 45/100 Dollars both on interest at ten per cent It is therefore considered by the said Court here that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said Sum of money ($796.96) & ($768.13) the Sums so found due as aforesaid & also his costs taxed to $. with ten per cent interest from this date And it is further ordered & adjudged that in case the said defendant fails for ten days from the close of this term of the court to pay to said plaintiff the said Sums of money ($796.96) & ($768.13) with interest at ten per cent so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of said county commanding him to cause said real estate in said petition described to be appraised & advertised & Sold according to Law. and to apply the proceeds of said sale in Satisfaction of the said Judgement so as aforesaid found due & in further Satisfaction of a payment of $660 due April 1st 1839 with interest from April 1st 1836 & a payment of $660 due April 1st 1860, with interest per cent from April 1st 1836 & in payment of a Judgement for the Sum of $675. rendered at the April term of the Court of Common Pleas of said County with interest at ten per cent from the time of rendering said Judgement all of which is the purchase money of said premises & a Lien upon said land

Wednesday August 20 1856

Standish Culver Plff
vs
David H Wurtsbaugh Deft

And now comes the said Standish Culver by his Attorney & the said David H Wurtsbaugh having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said petitioner of the said plaintiff it is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the sum of one hundred & seventy six $\frac{30}{100}$ dollars upon the promissory note in his said petition set forth It is therefore considered & adjudged that the said Standish Culver recover against the said defendant the said sum of $176,30 together with his costs in this behalf expended & taxed to $

It is Ordered by the Court that All motions matters & causes not otherwise disposed of Stand continued

And thereupon this Court adjourned without day

Geo Sully
Judge of Court Com. on Pleas

Hugh Wilson an alien & native of Ireland a free white person this day personally came before me Taber Randall Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas within & for the County of Union in the State of Ohio and declared on his solemn oath that he first arrived in the United States in the month of October A.D. 1838 and that it is bona fide his intention to become a citizen of the United States and to renounce forever all allegiance & fidelity to every foreign Price, Potentate State or Sovereignty whatsoever and particularly to Victoria Queen of the United Kingdom of Great Britain & Ireland September 21st A.D. 1858 Taber Randall Clerk

Ja Key Irvin an alien & native of Ireland a free white person this day personally came before me Taber Randall Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas of Union County & State of Ohio & declared on his Solemn Oath that he first arrived in the United States in the month of September A.D. 1845 & that it is bona fide his intention to become a citizen of the United States and to renounce forever all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign Prince Potentate State or Sovereignty State and particularly to Victoria Queen of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland
Sept. 21st A.D. 1858 Taber Randall Clerk

William Clegg an alien & native of England a free white person this day personally came before me Taber Randall Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas within & for the County of Union in the State of Ohio & declared on his solemn oath that he first arrived in the United States in the month of June A.D. 1850 And that it is bonafide his intention to become a citizen of the United States and to renounce forever all allegiance & fidelity to every foreign Prince, Potentate State or Sovereignty whatsoever & particularly to Victoria Queen of Great Britain this September 27th A.D. 1858
Taber Randall Clerk

Tuesday November 2d A. D. 1858

This day the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Union & State of Ohio convened in pursuance to law Present William Lawrence Judge William H. Robb Sheriff John L. Porter Pro. Atty and Taber Randall Clerk

The Jurors of the Grand Jury being called came to wit, Emanual Burns. Andrew Amrine 1st. Robert Graham. Andrew Smith. D. F. Wilkins. Alexander Neil. W. H. H. Seitz Jas Henderson. Alexander Boles. James Ketch. Adam Richey. Levi Longbrake. George W. Morrow. regular Jurors Geo W. Bayley and Eliphaz Burnham. Sales men, Joshua Judy having been chosen for the year The Court appointed George W. Bayley Foreman and the Jury being duly empannled Sworn and Charged retired to their room to deliberate

X

Nancy Jane McClain vs. David A. Silver } This day came the plaintiff and filed his petition and the defendant by his Attorney M. C. Lawrence by virtue of a power of Attorney on file came & confessed that the defendant doth owe the plaintiff as set forth in the plaintiffs petition the Sum of three hundred and forty five & 42/100 dollars and waives the issuing and service of process and authorizes the court to enter Judgment accordingly on ten per cent interest. It is thereupon considered and adjudged that the said plaintiff recover of the defendant the said Sum of three hundred and forty five & 42/100 dollars with ten per cent interest till paid and her cost herein taxed to Dollars

✓ RQ 6.

Wm Westlake vs. A. Hamilton } This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and Submitted this case to the court & the court being fully advised in the premises dissolved the injunction heretofore granted and find that the defendant doth owe the Plaintiff the Sum of five hundred and twenty six & 58/100 Dollars as claimed by the petition It is therefore considered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the said Sum of five hundred & twenty six Dollars & fifty eight cents & his costs herein ~~Expended~~ taxed to Dollars.

Tuesday November 2d A.D. 1858

And it is further ordered that if said defendant fail for ten days from the rising of this court to pay said debt and costs then that the Sheriff of said County who is hereby appointed Special Master for that purpose sell according to law the land in the defendants answer described for which said notes were given and apply the proceeds thereof to the payment of said Judgment

Naturalization

Edward Storm an Allien and native of Bierne a free white person, this day came into open court and made the declaration and proof required by the laws of the United States of Aliens who have resided in these States three years next preceding their arrival at the age of twenty one years for the purpose of obtaining the benefit of the laws of the United States relating to Naturalization and thereupon the said Edward Storm in the open court here made solmn oath that he will support the constitution of the United States and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and adjure all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign prince potentate State or Sovereignty and particularly all allegiance and fidelity to Ludwick King of Bierne whose subject he was. Whereupon it is ordered by the court that a certificate of Naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this application.

Naturalizated

Michael St John an alien and native of Ireland a free white person this day came into court and proved to the satisfaction of the court that he made in this court two years ago ~~or in the Court of Common Pleas of Lorain County~~ the requisit declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States that he has resided in the United States for five years last past that he has resided one years last past in the State of Ohio and that during all that time he has behaved as a man of good Moral character attached to the principles of the Constitution of the United States and well disposed to the good order and happiness of the Same And thereupon the said Michael St John in open court here made Solemn oath that he will support the constitution of the United States and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and adjure all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign Prince Potentate State or Sovereignty and particularly all allegiance and fidelity to whose subject he was

Naturalizated

Naturalizated

Tuesday November 2d A.D. 1852

Whereupon it is ordered by the court that a certificate of naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this application.

Naturalization

George Carr an alien and native of a free white person this day came into court and proved to the satisfaction of the court that he made in this court two years ago, ~~from the regester~~ (or in the Court of Common Pleas of Union county) the requisite declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States that he has resided in the United States for five years last past that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio and that during all that time he has behaved as a man of good Moral Character attached to the principles of the Constitution of the United States and well disposed to the good order and happiness of the same And thereupon the said George Carr in open court here made Solemn oath that he will support the constitution of the United States and he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and adjure all allegience and fidelity to every foreign prince Potentate State or Sovereignty and Particularly all allgience and fidelity to whose subject he was

Whereupon it is ordered by the Court that a certificate of Naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this application

Naturalizati

Peter Daum an alien and native of Hessen Darmstead a free white person this day came into court and proved to the satisfaction of the court that he made in this court two years ago (or in the Court of Common Pleas of Union county) the requisit declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States that he has resided in the United States for five years last past that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio and that during all that time he has behaved as a man of good moral character attached to the principles of the constitution of the United States and well disposed to the good order and happiness of the same And thereupon the said Peter Damm in open court here made Solemn oath that he will support the constitution of the United States and he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and adjure all allegience and fidelity to every foreign princes potentate State or

Tuesday November 2d A. D. 1858

sovereignty and particularly all allegience and fidelity to whose subject he was.
Whereupon it is ordered by the court that a certificate of Naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this application

Naturalization two years ago, the

Joseph Soccerin an alien and native of a free white person this day came into Court and proved to the Satisfaction of the Court that he made in this Court ~~the~~ requisition declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United State that he has resided in the United States for five years last past that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio and that during all that time he has behaved as a man of good Moral character attached to the principles of the Constitution of the United States and well disposed to the good order and happiness of the same. And thereupon the said Joseph Soccer in open court have made solemn oath that he will support the Constitution of the United States and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and adjure all allegience and fidelity to every foreign prince Potentate State or Sovereignty and particularly all allegiance and fidelity to whose subject he was. Whereupon it is ordered by the Court that a certificate of Naturalization be issued to him on payment of the Costs of this application

Bell Bakus Co. Nov. 5 1858

This day the following persons were duly sworn and received certificates to go before the Grand Jury to wit. Jesse C. Reed William Sparks Abram Conklin Asahel Clark, Albert Marsh James C. Robinson R. M. Robinson Sophia Sabin ~~Eliz~~ Joh

Wm Thompson Adm^x &c
vs
Jas. N. Turner & others
} This case settled & costs paid.

Hugh M. Tennant by his Guardian
vs
Minerva Kline & others
} Cause continued for service

Naturaliza

Tuesday November 2d A.D. 1858

This day the Jurors of the Grand Jury appeared at the bar of this Court and presented their several bills of Indictments as follows to wit, One against Daniel H. Converse for Forgery and One against Peter Vanishook for burglary and they having further business before them retired again to their room

Naturalization

William McGrath an alien and Native of Ireland a free white person this day came into court and proved to the Satisfaction of the court that he made in this court two years ago. requisition declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States that he has resided in the United States for five years last past that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio and that during all that time he has behaved as a man of good Moral character attached to the principles of the constitution of the United States and well desposed to the good order and happiness of the Same And therefore the Said William McGrath in open court here made Solemn oath that he will Support the constitution of the United States and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and adjure all allegience and fidelity to every foreign prince Potentate State or Sovereignty and particularly to Victoria queen Great Britain Whereupon it is ordered by the court that a certificate of naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this application.

x Lessee of Saml Stokely vs John Williams Etals Ctd

x Charles R. Denning vs James M. Scroggs ets. Ctd

x Ezra Reed vs George Winchester Etals Ctd

x Curtis Kempton vs Hannah Kempton Ctd

x Juliana Samuells vs John Samuells Ctd.

x Philander Manchester vs Corydon Winkler Ctd.

✓ Evans & Johnson vs W. L. Morris. } Discontd at Plaintiffs costs, It is therefore considered that the Defendant recover of the Plaintiff his cost herein expended taxed to $ —

Court
as o

Tuesday November 2d A.D. 1858.

1 David Wood vs. D. B. Lockwood Ctd

X 1 John Morrison vs. Joseph Biddle Ctd

XII Jas G. Dyas Exors. vs. Ve. Rice Et als Ctd

12 Ann Neal Admx vs. S. A. Cherry.
Motion to dismiss this overruled, Leave to Plaintiff to amend - Amendment made & Cause Continued at Plaintiffs Costs. - It is thereupon Considered by the Court that the Defendant recover of the Plaintiff the costs of this term herein expended taxed to $

13 Ohio Life Ins & Trust Co vs A. S. Alden Ctd

17 Calvin F. Keays vs Wm Scott Et als - Ctd under former order

18 Andy Gaudy vs Amanda Gaudy Et als. Ctd under former order

19 John W. Russell vs John Cassil - Continued

22 E. Y. Richey vs Amos Burris, = Settled as per agreement on file.

Isaac Gaskson vs James Wilson Et als } Answer on file withdrawn at Defendants Costs & Leave to file a new Answer in 30 days & Cause Continued at Defendants costs, under former order of Court
It is therefore Considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of the Defendants the costs of this term herein expended taxed to $

24 Frederick Wheeler vs Elihu Phelps Ctd

27 George T. Williamson vs Geo Stewart Et als. Ctd under former order of survey

C. E. Hamilton vs D. O. Carter Et als Ctd

Whereupon this Court adjourned until to morrow morning at half past 7 o Clock

Wm Lawson
Judge Cond Ct.

Wednesday November 3d - 1858

Court met pursuant to adjournment present same officers as on yesterday

Isaac McAllister &
John McAllister admrs
of John McAllister dec'd
Vs.
Isaac Headley James A Ross.
Nancy Ross. Benjamin Headley &
Mary E. Headley Defts

} This day came the parties & Submitted this cause to the court & therefore the court being fully advised in the premises do find that the said Isaac Headley did not accept of a deed for said land and did not assume to pay said debt and has no interest whatever in the land in the petition described It is further found by consent of parties that the defendants James A. Ross & Benjamin Headley do owe to the said plaintiff the Sum of four hundred and Sixty five & 24/100 dollars and they having paid fifty dollars on the first of November 1858 to the plaintiffs and it is further found that the Sum of two hundred and ninety five & 24/100 dollars is yet unpaid and not due which is secured by the Mortgage in the plaintiffs petition described. It is therefore considered and adjudged that the plaintiffs recover of the said defendants James A. Ross and Benjamin Headley the said Sum of four hundred and Sixty five & 24/100 dollars & their costs herein expended Taxed to $

It is further ordered that if said defendants fail for ten days after the rising of this court to pay said Judgment then that the Sheriff of Union County who is appointed Special Master for that purpose shall sell said real Estate according to law & out of the Proceeds thereof that he pay said Judgment & costs & said Sum of two hundred & ninety five & 24/100 dollars with the interest from this date, and by agreement of parties Execution for three months is stayed —

James W. Robinson
Vs
Thos A Lewis

} This day came the plaintiff and the defendant came not but made default & the court being fully advised in the premises do find that the defendant doth owe the plaintiff the Sum of fifty Eight & 40 dollars on notes and Mortgage as claimed in the plaintiffs petition. It is therefore considered and adjudged that the Plaintiff recover of the defendant the said Sum of fifty Eight & 40 dollars with ten per cent interest till paid & his costs Taxed to $

It is further ordered that in case the defendant fails for ten days from the rising of this court to pay said Judgment that the Sheriff of said county of Union Ohio who is appointed Special Master for that purpose proceed to sell the real estate in the petition described according to law to satisfy said

Wednesday November 3d A. D. 1858.

Judgment & costs.

L. C. Wilson & Co
vs.
Warret O. Carter admr. of Dames Stout dcd

This day came the plaintiff by their Attorney and submitted this case to the court the defendant came not but made default thereupon the court being advised in the premises do find the allegations in the petition to be true It is therefore considered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant as administrator as ~~aforesaid~~ aforesaid the Sum of four hundred & fifty six dollars and their costs in this behalf expended taxed to $.

Andrew Keyes
vs.
Enoch Burrows

This day came the parties & the Sheriff as Special Master having made sale of the real estate heretofore ordered to be sold in this case and the court being fully advised in the premises do find the sale to be in all respects regular It is therefore ordered that said sale & the Sheriff proceedings be confirmed & it is ordered that Wm. H. Robb Sheriff & Special Master execute and deliver to said purchaser a deed in fee simple for said land & it is further ordered that out of the proceeds of said sale the said Sheriff pay the debt & costs ~~and the to said~~ ~~said~~ amounting to two hundred dollars & twenty four cents and the ballance of said purchase money amounting to $ 50 54 be paid to the defendant Enoch Burrows. ($56 54/100)

Issued to J. M. Welsh March 17. 1859

This day came Dames M. Welsh of Marysville in Union county Ohio by his Attorney & presents his petition to be appointed & licensed to exercise the trade or occupation of auctioneer in said county of Union & on hearing it is ordered by the court that a license for that purpose be issued to the said Dames M. Welsh on his giving bond agreeable to the Statute in the Sum of one thousand dollars with John Barbour & D. W. Robinson as securities & paying into the Treasury of said county a duty of two dollars

Wednesday November 3rd A.D. 1858

X Daniel Gould
vs
D. G. & G. A. Sweny
& Thos J. Gates } Civil action

On Motion of defendant Thomas J. Gates leave is given him to file an ~~answer~~ amended answer filed at his own costs amended answer filed and cause continued at the costs of the defendant Gates.

X James R. Young
vs
John K. Brown } On Motion of Mess Mason & Bowman Counsel for Fredrick Goodrich & it appearing that said Goodrich claims to be the holder of one of the notes secured by said Mortgage for $ 555.33 due April 21 1858 he is made party defendant & leave given to file answer which is done. The said John K. Brown is secured the right to litigate & contest the right of the said Goodrich to recover on said note.

V James R. Young
vs
John K. Brown } This day come the parties by their Attorneys & this cause came on to be heard on Exceptions to the Plaintiffs deposition which Exception were not Sustained but overruled. Thereupon neither party requesting a Jury the cause by consent of parties was submitted to the court on its merits. And the Court having been fully advised in the premises finds that the defence set up by the defendant in his answer is not sustained that the allegations of the petition are true and that there is due to the plaintiff from the defendant on the note and Mortgage set up in the petition the Sum of Six hundred and thirty nine & 83/100 Dollars
It is therefore ordered adjudged and considered by the court that the plaintiff do recover of the defendant said sum of $639.83 & also his costs to be taxed It is further considered & ordered that if the defendant doesnot pay to plaintiff said judgment & costs within thirty days from the rising of the Court that then the Sheriff of this County who is hereby appointed Special Master do sell said Mortgaged premises to pay said judgment & costs Whereupon the defendant gave notice of his intention to demand a second trial

Wednesday November 3rd A.D. 1858

All questions arising on distribution and the remaining notes secured by said Mortgage are reserved.

James Guy vs Col Pog & Ia. R.R. Co. Con'd

Elizabeth Williams vs William & Ogborn et al Con'd under former order.

Adam Break vs Joel Armstrong Leave to file petition & filed Con at plaintiff costs.

Hugh Burns an alien and native of a free white person this day came into open court and proved to the satisfaction of the court that he made in this court requisition declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States that he has resided in the United States for five years last past that he has resided one year last past in the ~~United~~ State of Ohio and that during all that time he has behaved as a Man of good moral character attached to the principles of the Constitution of the United States and well desposed to the good order and happiness of the Same. And thereupon the Said Hugh Burns in open court here made Solemnoath that he will Support the Constitution of the United States and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and adjure all allegience and fidelity to every foreign prince Potentate State or Sovereignty And particularly all allegience and fidelity to whose Subject he was Whereupon it is ordered by the court that a certificate of Naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this application.

Naturalization

Benjamin Sayler }
vs. }
Edward A Waide } This case was settled as per agreement on file— It is thereupon considered and adjudged that the defendant do recover of the plaintiff his costs herein expended taxed to $ No record

Wednesday November 3rd A.D. 1858

W. W. Bridge

vs.

Wm H. Ferguson administrator
of E. Bridge dec'd Margaret Bridge
Sarah Jane Dilsover & John Dilsover her husband
Thomas W. Bridge Ira B. Cole Edward Cole
Margaret Reed Bazalel Reed Arabella Reed
Maria A Williams & William Williams her husband.
Joseph C. Bridge. Betsey Cetrel and Samuel Cetrel
her husband Marilla Vanosten & William Vanosten her
husband

This cause came on for further hearing upon the amended petition of W. W. Bridge filed by leave of this court; the answer of Wm Sanders & Boynton & Sales & the answer of R. W. Weize setting up his Mechanics lien, as upon petition and reply thereto testimony and argument of counsel and the court being fully advised in the premises find that there is due the said R. W. Wise of his account filed herein which is a Mechanics lien on the building described in the pleadings and the lots on which they Stand the Sum of $ 25.46 It is therefore considered by the court that the said Sum of $ 25.46 with interest from Dec 27. 1857. contained in items 14-15-16-17-18-19-23-24-25-26-27-28-29-30-37-38-41-42-44-47-49-50-51-52 of the account of R. W. Wise herewith filed a lien upon said buildings and the lots on which they Stand prior to all other with the Exception of the Mortgage of W. W. Bridge first set forth in his pleadings It is ordered that said premises be Sold upon the former order of this court by the Special Master commissioner heretofore appointed that out of the proceeds of such Sale there be first paid the costs of this Suit Second to W. W. Bridge the Sum of $ 110.25 heretofore found due upon his first Mortgage with interest from April 8th 1858 at the rate of 10 per cent per annum Second to R. W. Wise his lien above found of $ 25.46 with interest from the 27th Dec. 1857. Thirdly to W. W. Bridge the Sum of $ 105.41 heretofore adjudged to him upon his second Mortgage set forth in his petition with interest at ten per cent per annum from April 8th 1858 and that any residue remaining of the proceeds of Said Sale be paid to Wm H. Ferguson as administrator of E. Bridge deceased

5th Fo W W. Bridge the Sum of $ 110.25

This day the following persons were duly Sworn and received certificates to go before the Grand Jury to wit. Alpheus Adams, John D. Raudsbaugh Richard D. Haynes, David G. Holmes David Thos Peacock John Griffith, Harrison Smith, Samuel Linder Tyler Thompson and Nathan Surk

Wednesday November 3rd A.D. 1858.

Wm Scofield &
Julia C. Schofield
vs.
Jacob Bowersmith &
Fredrick Duvall

This day came the Parties and thereupon came a Jury to-wit: Peter Bland. George Reed Isaac McAlister, Pomeroy Severn, Thomas. Turner Jr. Lora Ford Jonah Baldwin Abner Liggat Wm Turner John McCombs Thomas Yearsley & Henry C. Ferris who being empannled & sworn the truth to Speak upon the issue joined between the parties upon their oaths do say That they find in favor of the defendant Therefore It is considered adjudged & decreed by the court here that the defendants go hence without day and recover of the plaintiffs their costs herein expended taxed to $ And thereupon came the Plaintiff and made a motion for a second trial and the court do fix the undertaking at $100.00

This day the Jurors of the Grand Jury appeared at the bar of this Court and presented their several Bills of Indictment against the following persons, as follows, to wit; Two against Isaiah Shoat for selling liquor to be drank on the premises where sold. One against Francis Lucas for selling liquor to be drank on the premises where sold; One against Levi Hill for assault and battery; And one against Simon Bennett, Julius Bennett, and Benjamin Bailey for Gaming; And they having further business before them retired again to their room to deliberate in the charge of a Sworn Constable,

A. D. Beltz
vs.
N. G. Clark

Continued over till tomorrow at defendants costs,

It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of the defendant the costs of this day herein expended taxed to $

Wednesday November 3rd A.D. 1858

John W. Russell vs John Cassil } Continued

William McCawley vs John McCawley Ex'ds } Leave granted to Defendants to answer.

Perry Grove vs James Gumps Et als } Dismissed as per agreement at Defendants costs, It is therefore considered by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of the defendants his costs herein expended taxed to $.

Priscilla Walker vs John Epps. } This day came the plaintiff by his Attorneys and the defendant came not but made default and this cause being submitted to the court the court do find the allegations of the petition to be true It is therefore considered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of one hundred & sixty three & 14/100 dollars with ten per cent interest until paid & her costs herein expended taxed to $

William Hildreth vs William Wells } This day came the parties by their Attorneys Robertson & Robinson for Plff's and Porter for Deft and thereupon came a Jury to wit, Peter Bland Geo. Reed James Y. Sebring Thomas Turner Sr Lord Lord, Jonah Baldwin, Abner Leggett William Turner John McCombs Thomas Yearsley Henry C. Ferris & William C. Malin who being empannuled and Sworn the truth to Speak upon the issue Joined between the parties upon their oaths Say that the said William Wells is not

Wednesday November 3d A.D. 1858.

guilty in the manner and form as the said Wm Hildreth hath Complained against him. Thereupon It is Considered that the said defendant go hence without day and recover of the plaintiff his costs herein taxed at $

James Hornback
vs
Robert Malone

This day came the said plaintiff by his Attorney & the said defendant Still failing to Demur or answer the said plaintiffs petition it is considered that the plaintiff aught to recover against the defendant the Sum of one hundred & Eighteen & 96 Dollars & interest at 7 per cent as prayed for in said petition Therefore it is considered and adjudged that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said Sum of $118.96 the Sum found due as aforesaid with interest at 7 per cent & also his costs taxed to $
And it is further ordered & adjudged that in case the said defendant fail for ten days from the close of this term of the court to pay to the said plaintiff the said Sum of $118.96 & interest so as aforesaid found due & costs of Suit an order issue to the Sheriff of said County commanding him to cause the land in said petition described to be appraised advertised & sold according to law & apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said Judgment as aforesaid rendered.

Thereupon this Court adjourned until tomorrow morning at half past 7 O. Clock

Wm Lawrence
Judge Court
Com Pleas

Thursday November 4th A. D. 1858

This morning the Court met pursuant to adjournment present same Officers as on yesterday —

Ryland Ostrom & Co.
vs
Johns & Roberts

Petition on promisory note

And now comes the said Ryland Ostrom & Co. plaintiffs by John B. Coale their Attorney and the said Johns & Robert having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said Ryland Ostrom & Co. It is considered and adjudged by the court that the said Ryland Ostrom & Co. ought to recover the said Sum of one hundred and Nine Dollars and seventy nine cents so demanded in their petition together with the Sum of Eleven Dollars and fifty Eight cents which the court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition bearing at the rate of ten per cent as Stipulated in said note it is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the said Ryland Ostrom & Co. plaintiffs recover of the said Johns & Roberts defendants the said Sum of one hundred and twenty one Dollars and thirty seven cents together with their costs in and about this Suit in this behalf Expended taxed to

Dollars and cents and also that this judgment bear interest at the rate of ten per cent according to the tenor and effect of said note.

Mathew Gooding
vs
David H. Silver & wife
Reuben P. Mann & wife

This day came the plaintiff and submitted this cause to the court the defendants having made default the court being fully advised in the premises do find that the defendant David H. Silver doth owe the plaintiff the Sum of fifteen hundred and seventeen & 1/100 dollars on ten per cent interest that said Sum of Money is secured by Mortgage on the land in the petition described prior in date & record to the deed of conveyance therefor to the defendant Reuben P. Mann —
It is therefore considered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of said David H. Silver the said Sum of fifteen

Thursday November 4th 1858

hundred and seventeen 55/100 dollars with ten per cent interest with his costs taxed to $.
It is further ordered that if said defendants fail for ten days from this date to pay said Sum of Money & costs & interest that the Sheriff of Union County Ohio who is appointed Special Master for that purpose sell said real estate according to law and as to the Mortgage given by said Silver to indemnify said Gooding against the Mortgage given to Wm C. Malin & the heirs of George Winchester dec'd in the petition described this cause is continued.

Henry Duffield pltff
vs.
Peter L. Engard &
Thersa Engard his wife
Joshua Judy and
Wm P. Reid defendants

Action on note & Mortage.

This day came the Parties by their attorneys and this cause came on to be heard upon the Petition of Plaintiff and the answer of Wm P. Reid, the other defendants still failing to answer or demur to the Petition, and neither party demanding a Jury this cause was submitted to the Court, and upon consideration thereof the Court finds that there is due from the defendant Peter L. Engard to the Plaintiff on the note set forth in the ~~petition~~ Petition the Sum of Six hundred & Twenty three dollars & fifty five cents and that the same is a leïn upon the premises described in the petition
It is therefore considered adjudged & decreed by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of the defendant Peter L. Engard the Sum of Six hundred & Twenty three dollars & fifty five cents found due as aforesaid and also his costs herein expended taxed to $.
And it is further considered and decred that if the defendants fail for ten days from the rising of this court to pay said Sum of $623.55/100 to the plaintiff and the costs of suit an order issue to the Sheriff of this County, who is hereby appointed Special Master for that purpose commanding him to appraise advertise and sell the land & tenements in the petition described according to law and apply the proceeds to the payment of the Judgment above rendered. Nothing herein shall prejudice the right of dft Reed to remedy on covenant of warranty &c. in deed to him in case said lands are sold

Thursday November 4th 1858

P. E. Caldwell
vs
A. E. Robinson & Clark Richey

This day came the plaintiff but the defendant came not but made default & the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that the defendant A. E. Robinson as principle & Clark Richey as guaranter do owe the plaintiff the Sum of one hundred and twelve Dollars & fifty cents which is a vendors lein on the real estate in the petition described. It is therefore ordered and considered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of Said Robinson as principal & Said Richey as guaranter the Said Sum of one hundred & twelve & 50/100 dollars & his costs Taxed to $.
It is further ordered that if Said defendants fail for ten days from the rising of this court to pay Said Judgment that the Sheriff of Union County Ohio who is appointed Special Master for that purpose Sell the real estate aforesaid to pay Said Judgment according to law.

Elizabeth Ewing
vs.
Thomas M. Ewing

This cause come on to be heard upon the Petition & was argued by counsel and thereupon the court being fully advised in the premises do find the petitioner is entitled to dower as prayed for in her petition
It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed that Said petitioner be endowed of one equal third part of the real estate in Said petition described and it is further ordered that a writ issue to the Sheriff of the County of Union commanding him by the oaths of three Judicious disinterested men of the vicinity who are not of kin to either of Said parties to cause dower as aforesaid to be set off and assigned to Said petitioner according to the Statute in Such case made & provided.

The State of Ohio
vs
Levi Hill

On Indictment

The Defendant Levi Hill being arraigned for Plea, Says he is not Guilty

Thursday November 4th 1858

State of Ohio
vs
Levi Hill
} Assault & Battery

Be it remembered, that on this 4th day of November A.D. 1858, Levi Hill & D.S. Norvill of the County of Union Ohio, personally appeared before Wm Lawrence Judge of the Court of Common Pleas of the County of Union, and in open Court severally acknowledged themselves to owe & stand indebted unto the State of Ohio, the sum of one hundred dollars. to be levied of their several goods & chattels, lands & Tenements, The condition of the above is that whereas the said Levi Hill has been assaigned for an assault & battery to which he pleads not guilty; Now if the said Levi Hill shall be and appear at the next Term of the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Union, and on the first day thereof at ten O,Clock A.M. to answer unto the State of Ohio, in said prosecution for assault & battery and not depart without leave or unless discharged by the Court, then this recognisance to be void otherwise to remain in full force,

Israel J. Richardson pltff
vs.
James S. Alexander &
Nancy C. Alexander &
James W. Robinson Defts.
} Action on Note & Mortgage

This day came the Plaintiff by his attorneys and the defendants Still failing to answer or demur to the petition of Plaintiff, and neither party demanding a Jury, this cause was Submitted to the Court, & consideration whereof the Court find that there is due from the defendant James S. Alexander the Sum of Two hundred & twenty six dollars & twenty cents, to the Plaintiff, on the first Note in the petition described and that the Same is a lein on the lands and Tenements in the petition described, It is therefore considered adjudged & decreed by the court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant James S. Alexander the Sum of $226 20 the Sum so found due as aforesaid and also his costs herein expended to fee & C. And it is further ordered by the Court that in case the defendants fail for ten days from the rising of this Term of the Court to

Thursday November 4th 1858

pay to plaintiff said Sum of $226.20 and the costs of suit, an order issue to the Sheriff of this County who for that purpose is hereby appointed Special Master commissioning him to cause said Lands & Tenements in the petition described to be appraised advertised & sold according Law and that he pay of the proceed of such sale 1st The costs of this Suit 2d The Judgment above rendered, And that he bring the residue into Court Subject to the further order of this Court Bas to notes not yet due this cause is continued

Rosannah Lawrence
vs
John Croly & Wm Croly

This day came the Plaintiff by her Atty and the said John Croly having failed to answer or demur to the petition and the Sheriff having returned not found as to Wm Croly and neither party demanding a Jury this cause was Submitted to the Court, Whereupon the court do find the allegations in the petition to be true and that there is due to the Plaintiff from the defendant on the 2 promisory notes set forth in the petition the Sum of three hundred & thirty nine dollars & thirteen cents. ($339.13)
Wherefore it is considered adjudged & decreed by the court here that the plaintiff Rosanna Lawrence, recover of John Croly the defendant the Sum of Three Hundred & Thirty nine dollars & thirteen cents found due as aforesaid and also her costs herein expended taxed to $

This day the Jurors of the Grand Jury appeared at the bar of this Court and presented their several bills of Indictment against the following persons as follows: to wit: One against Alexander R. Bowen for Resisting and Abusing an officer in the discharge of his duties; And one against Daniel Smith - Gabriel Cotrell - James Decker & Isaac Smith for Gaming = And they having further business before them, And it appearing to the Court that James Ketch one of the Grand Jurors was under the necessity of going home in consequence of sickness in his family, he was discharged, And the Sheriff by order of the Court filled the pannel from the bystanders by calling William Richey into the Jury box - who

Thursday November 4th 1858

(the panel now being full) was duly sworn and charged by the Court; and the Jurors of the Grand Jury again retired to their room to deliberate, under the charge of a sworn Constable,

State of Ohio
vs
Levi Hill } Recognizance of Witnesses.
This day David Strong & David G. Holmes appeared in Open Court and acknowledged themselves severally to owe and stand indebted unto the State of Ohio, in the sum of Fifty Dollars each, to be levied of their several goods and chattels, Lands and Tenements, if they make default in the following obligation, to wit: That they appear at the next term of this Court on the first day of the Term at 10, O'Clock A. M. to give testimony and the truth to speak in behalf of the State, in a certain prosecution against Levi Hill for Assault and Battery, and that they do not depart this court without leave, and otherwise obey the orders of this Court

Mary Converse
vs
Daniel A. Converse } Leave granted to file Amended petition, And Continued for Service

Frederick Wheeler
vs
Elihu Phelps } Leave to file a reply and cause Continued

The State of Ohio
vs
Peter Vanemshook } Burglary
The defendant being assigned for Plea says he is not guilty and it appearing to the Court the defendant is without counsel and unable to employ counsel, the court assign to him George Lincoln, Esq as his counsel

Thursday November 4th 1858

State of Ohio
vs
Daniel M. Converse } Forgery

The defendant being arraigned for Plea says that Hes Not Guilty

appearing to the Court that the Defendant is without Counsel & unable to employ Counsel the Court assign to him George Lincoln Esq as his Counsel

A. Sharp Guardian &c
vs
Reuben Coutt } On motion it is ordered by the court that the defendant have Leave to file another transcript in this cause

P. W. Alden
vs
Charles Rathbun &
Levi Rathbun Partners
in the name of C. Rathbun & Co. } This day came the plaintiff by his counsel and Charles Rathbun came not but made default (the Sheriff having returned not found as to Levi Rathbun) & thereupon the cause was submitted to the Court & the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition are true — Therefore it is considered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant Charles Rathbun the sum of money due on the note in the Petition described to wit the sum of Nine hundred & Eighty four dollars with ten per cent interest until paid & his costs herein Expended taxed to $.

William Michael
Evaline Michael his wife
vs
Sarah Lamb et als. } In Petition

And now comes the said William Michael and Evaline Michael his wife by James E. Wright and John B. Coats their attorneys and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the petition and evidence and was argued by counsel on consideration whereof the court do find that due notice of the pendency of the action has been given for more than

Thursday November 4th 1858

forty days prior to the first day of the present term of this Court and the Court do further find that the said William Michael and Evaline Michael have a legal right to and are seized in fee Simple (in the right of the said Evaline Michael) of one equal fifth part of said premises. and the Court do further find that the said Sarah Saint wife of Edward Saint, Mary Jane Heath wife of George Heath Ann Eliza Dewitt wife of Peter Dewitt and Lucinda Coon are tenants in Common in said premises with the said William Michael and Evaline Michael his wife, in the following proportions to wit, that the said Sarah Saint wife of said Edward Saint is seized of one equal fifth part thereof and the said Mary Jane Heath wife of the said George Heath of one equal fifth part thereof and the said Ann Eliza Dewitt wife of the said Peter Dewitt of one equal fifth part thereof and the said Lucinda Coon of one equal fifth part thereof and that the said Elizabeth Coon is entitled to dower therein It is therefore ordered that a writ of partition issue to the Sheriff of Union County commanding him by the oaths of Charles M. Robinson William Bigger & A J Wilkins one equal third part of said premises in said partition described be set off and assigned to the said Elizabeth Coon as her dower estate and that by the like oaths of the said Charles M. Robinson William Bigger & A. J. Wilkins partition be made of said lands subject to said dower estate in the following proportions to wit, to the said Evaline Michael wife of the said William Michael one equal fifth part to the said Sarah Saint wife of the said Edward Saint one equal fifth part, to the said Mary Jane Heath wife of George Heath one equal fifth part to Ann Eliza Dewitt wife of Peter Dewitt one equal fifth part and to Lucinda Coon one equal fifth part and it is further ordered that the Sheriff return his proceedings to the next term of this Court to which this action is continued

Doel Innkeep.
vs.
Thomas A. Sheldon & John Evans

This day came the plaintiff and made proof to the satisfaction of the Court of Notice by publication to the defendants and the defendants failing to answer or demur to the plaintiffs petition and the Court being advised in the premises do find that there is due the plaintiff from the defendant John Evans as principle on the notes in the Mortgage described the Sum

Thursday November 4th 1858

of five hundred and seventy four & 75/100 Dollars and from said Sheldon as Guarantor three hundred and forty four & 00/100 Dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant Evans as principal said Sum of five hundred and seventy four & 75/100 Dollars and of Thomas A. Sheldon as guarantor said Sum of three hundred and forty four & 00/100 Dollars. & his costs herein expended to be taxed. And it is further ordered that if the defendant fail for ten days from the close of term of Court to pay said Sum of five hundred & seventy four & 75/100 Dollars & interest & costs then that the Sheriff of said County who is appointed Special Master for that purpose sell the real estate in the petition described according to law and as to all other matters in the petition contained this cause is continued

Warret O. Carter administrator of James Stout deceased plt
vs
Joshua M. Inskeep
Jasen Chapman & his wife Susan

} And now comes the said Warret O. Carter by Hamilton & Lincoln his attorneys and the said defendants and each of them having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said Warret O. Carter, It is considered by the Court that he ought to recover the said Sum of Seven hundred and fifty five 50/100 Dollars demanded in the petition together with the Sum of fifty six 50/100 Dollars which the Court find to be due as interest thereon as prayed for in the petition It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the said defendants the Sum of Eight hundred and fifteen 50/100 Dollars together with his costs herein taxed to Dollars.

Wm H. Dimmick
vs
A. C. Robinson
James Robinson &
J M. Robinson

} And now comes the said plaintiff by Hamilton & Lincoln his attorneys and the said A. C. Robinson James Robinson & J M. Robinson having failed to demur or answer to the petition of said Wm H. Dimmick, It is considered by the Court that said plaintiff ought to recover the Sum of two hundred and fifty three dollars demanded in his petition together with

Thursday November 4th 1858

the Sum of ten 7/100 Dollars interest thereon as prayed for in the petition and it is therefore considered by the Court that the said Wm H. Dunnich recover against the said A. C. Robinson the Sum of two hundred and Sixty three 75/100 Dollars together with his costs herein expended to, Dollars.

Andrew Belt &
Daniel Belt Plaintiffs
against
N. G. Clark. Defendant

} This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came a Jury to wit: George Stewart, C. M. Robinson, John Weaver, D. S. Norvel, J. A. Hamilton, J. C. Robinson, James Talliaferro, Benjamin H. Kelsey, L. O. Baker, R. D. Reed, W. M. Bayham, and David Danforth, who being empannelled and sworn the truth to speak, upon the issue joined between the parties, upon their oaths do say that they find for the Plaintiff and assess his damages at Forty nine dollars and thirty six cents — (Therefor it is considered by the Court that the said Andrew Belt and Daniel Belt recover of the said N. G. Clark the said Sum of Forty nine dollars and thirty-six cents their damages aforesaid in form aforesaid assessed and also their costs herein expended taxed to $) And thereupon the defendant moved the Court for a New Trial as per reasons on file.

Nov. 17, 1858 fill papers issued

David Smith an alien & native of Bierne a free white person this day came into Open Court and made the declaration & proof required by the laws of the United States of aliens who have resided three years next preceding their arrival at the age of twenty one years for the purpose of obtaining the benefit of the laws of the United States relating to Naturalization & thereupon the said David Smith in open Court here made Solemn Oath that he will Support the Constitution of the U. S. & that he doth absolutely renounce all allegiance & fidelity to every foreign Prince Potentate State or Sovereignty and particularly all allegiance to Ludwick King of Bierne whose subject he was. Whereupon it is Ordered by the Court that a Certificate of Naturalization be issued to him on payment of costs of this Application

Thursday November 4th 1858

State of Ohio
vs
Isaiah Short

Retailing

The defendant Isaiah Short this day was arraigned, and the Indictment being read to him, was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea, says he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged— Whereupon it is considered by the Court that the said Isaiah Short be imprisoned in the Jail of the County of Union Ohio, for the space of ten days- and make his fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of Twenty dollars and costs of prosecution to be taxed by the Clerk.

D. O. Carter
vs
Saml Breese

This cause came on to heard, and with consent of Plaintiff the appeal is dismissed— It is therefore considered by the Court that the defendant recover of the Plaintiff the sum of $0.35 the costs before the Justice of the Peace, and the costs herein expended taxed to $,

James O. Carter
vs
B. W. Miller—
O. Wells &
J. G. Miller

Continued

Manchester & Zeiters
vs
A. Davis & L. R. Smith

Continued

Joseph Atherton
vs
Miller & Wells

Continued

Thursday November 4th 1858.

	John W. King vs Wm B. Irwin Et als	Continued Leave granted
	R.S. Spencer vs L. L. Foster Ormsbee & Barnard	Leave granted to defendant Foster to Answer — ordered that L S. Alexander be made a party deft, and cause continued,
	P. B. Cole. Philip Snider & Jas. Stillings Exrs of D. C. Dynes dec'd pltff vs James M. Welsh Emily M. Welsh his wife A. S. Johnson Defts	Foreclosure

This day came the plaintiffs by their Attys and the defendant being in default this cause was Submitted to the court on consideration whereof the court do find the allegations in the petition to be true and that there is due from the defendant J. M. Welsh the Sum of one hundred & fifty three dollars to the plaintiff on the 2 promisory notes set forth in the petition and that the same is a lein on the land in the petition described by either of Mortgages set forth. It is therefore considered adjudged & decreed that the plaintiff as Such Exprs. recover of the defendant James M. Welsh Said Sum of $153.00 found due as aforesaid & also their costs herein expended taxed to $. And in case the defendant fail for ten days from the rising of this court to pay said Sum of $153.00 & costs of Suit due order issue to the Sheriff of this County who for that purpose is hereby appointed Special Master commanding him to appraise advertised & sell the Lands in the petition described according to Law, & apply the proceeds to the payment of the costs & Judgment above rendered.

William Hamilton plaintiff
against
Orlando Wells Beriah W.
Miller & Jonathan G Miller
Defendants

Trial by Jury

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the Amended petition and answer and thereupon the Court by consent of parties ordered the following issue to be Submitted and tried by a Jury Viz:
1st Were the defendants Orlando Wells and Beriah W. Miller partners in 1857 in the purchase of the hogs for which Beriah W. Miller delivered to plaintiff the note described in the petition
2nd Whether in partnership or not did Orlando Wells authorize Beriah W. Miller to execute any note to plaintiff in 1857 binding said Wells for the price of hogs sold by plaintiff and delivered to said Beriah W. Miller 3rd If so is the note described in the petition the note which Orlando Wells authorized to be executed if not what kind of a note. & And thereupon come a Jury to wit: Peter Bland, George Reed, Isaac McAlister, James G. Severn, Thomas Turner Jr. Dora Lord, Abner Liggett, John McCombs, Thomas Yearsley, Henry C. Ferris, regular Jurors Dan M. Welsh, Joseph Felkner, who being duly impannelled and Sworn the truth to say upon the issue so joined by consent of parties as aforesaid after hearing the evidence and arguments of Counsel found and returned the following verdict Viz we the Jurors as to the issues ordered herein to wit 1 were the defendant Orlando Wells & Beriah Miller partners in 1857 in the purchase of the hogs for which Beriah W. Miller delivered to plaintiff the note described in the petition do find that the said Miller and Wells in the year 1857 were partners in the purchase of the hogs of the plaintiff for which said note was delivered. 2d As to the second issue to wit whether partners or not did Orlando Wells authorize Beriah Miller to execute any note to plaintiff in 1857 binding said Wells for the price of hogs sold by plaintiff and delivered to said Beriah W. Miller We the Jury do find that the said Orlando Wells did ——— authorize said Beriah W. Miller execute a note to plaintiff in 1857 binding Wells for the price of hogs delivered by plaintiff to said Miller. As to the said third issue to wit If so is the note described in the petition the note which Orlando Wells authorized to be executed if not what kind of a note. We the Jury do find that said Wells verbally authorized said Miller to execute the note described

Thursday November 4th A.D. 1858

in the petition. (Signed) Lord Lord Foreman
And therefore this cause came on to be further heard by the court upon the Amended Petition and answer and upon the findings and verdict of the Jury, and the proofs and was agreed by Counsel and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that the equity of the case is with the plaintiff and that it was the Mutual interest of the plaintiff and the defendants Beriah W. Miller & Orlando Wells and Jonathan G. Miller that said defendants Should Make and deliver to the plaintiff a promissory note which Should be binding upon and hold said Beriah W. Miller and said Orlando Wells and Jonathan G. Miller as surety to the payment of the price of the hogs in the petition described and it is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed that the Note in the petition described and set forth and bearing date the 2nd day of November 1857. be reformed and amended as prayed for in the petition and that the same have the same legal effect as if it had been made without being under seal and had been written bearing ten per cent interest. And the said Beriah W. Miller and Jonathan G. Miller having failed to answer or demur to the petition but being in default it is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiff aught to recover of the defendants Orlando Wells. Beriah W. Miller and Jonathan G. Miller the Sum of four hundred and eight $\frac{57}{100}$ Dollars principle and thirty seven $\frac{45}{100}$ Dollars interest thereon as prayed for in the petition and it is considered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiff do recover against the defendants Orlando Wells and Beriah W. Miller & Jonathan G. Miller defendants said Sum of four hundred and forty Six Dollars with interest thereon at ten per cent and his costs herein Taxed to Dollars.
And the court do find that the said Orlando Wells and Beriah W. Miller are principle debtors and that said Jonathan G. Miller is surety And thereupon the Counsel for defendant Orlando Wells give notice of appeal and the court fixed the amount of the appeal bond at $892. The Counsel for defendant Orlando Wells give notice of his intention to demand a second trial and the court fixed the amount of the undertaking at $892.

Thursday November 4th A. D. 1858

Isaac N. Hamilton plaintiff
vs
Alexander C. Robinson defendant } This day this cause come on to be heard and the said defendant having failed to demur or answer to the said petition but having made default, this cause was submitted to the court upon the petition and evidence and the court being fully advised in the premises do find, that the said defendant doth owe to the plaintiff the said Sums of two hundred & twenty five dollars with interest from the 7th day of April 1858, and the Sum of one hundred and fifty eight dollars with interest from the first day of April 1858 And also that said Sum so proved is a lein on the said lot No. 15 in the town Unionville in Union County Ohio Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant Alexander C. Robinson said sums with the interest as prayed for in the petition that is the Sum of three hundred and nine three dollars and that the Shriff of said County be and is hereby appointed Special Master commissioner to Sell said Lot No 15 in Unionville aforesaid to pay the same and that the plaintiff recover his costs herein taxed to Dollars.

R. L. Broome
vs
James Hornback & wife
and A. Wells. } This case is settled and cost paied by the plaintiff.

Record to be made by

Thereupon this Court adjourned until tomorrow Morning at half past 7 o'clock.

Wm Lawrence
Judge Court
Com Pleas

Friday November 5th A.D. 1858

This morning the Court met pursuant to adjournment present same officers as on yesterday

The Urbana Manufacturing Company plaintiff
vs.
Francis Joseph Waller defendant } This day this cause came on to heard by the Court and the defendant being in default and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that there is due to the plaintiff from the defendant the Sum of one hundred Dollars with one ~~per cent~~ dollars interest thereon as prayed for in the petition Wherefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiff do recover of the defendant the Sum of one hundred and one $ Dollars so found due as aforesaid and his costs herein Expended to Dollars.

Robert Malone
vs
W. W. Woodburn } On appeal from Coals J.P.

This cause was Settled as per affidavit on file, It is therefore considered & decreed by the Court that the defendant recover of the Plaintiff the costs made by Plaintiff before the Justice Expended to $ And it is further considered & decreed that the Plaintiff recover of the Defendant the costs made by defendant before the Justice Expended to $. and the costs expended on this appeal Expended to $. without record.

Patrick Eagan an Alien and native of Ireland a free white person, this day came into Court and proved to the Satisfaction of the Court, that he made in the Circuit Court of Jay County State of Indiana more that two years ago the requisit declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States that he has resided in the United States for five years last past that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio and that during all that time he has behaved as a man of good Moral character, attached to the principles of the Constitution of the United States

Friday November 5th A. D. 1858

and well desposed to the good order and happiness of the Same, And thereupon the Said Patrick Eagan in open Court here made Solemn oath, that he will Support the Constitution of the United States, and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and adjure all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign Prince Potentate, State or Sovereignty, and particularly all allegiance and fidelity to ~~Great~~ Victoria Queen of Great Briton and Ireland Whereupon it is ordered by the Court that a Certificate of Naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this Application

Patrick Fitzgarald an alien and native of Ireland a free white person this day came into open Court and declared on his Solemn oath that he first arrived in the United States in 1857, and that it is his bonafied intention to become a citizen of the United States and to renounce forever all allegiance and fidelity to every Foreign Prince Potentate State or Sovereignty whatsoever, and particularly to Victoria Queen of Great Britin and Ireland

A. J. Severs
Vs
George W. Bayley & wife

This day came the parties to this action and thereupon came a Jury to wit. Peter Bland, George Reed, Isaac McAlister, Asa G. Severns, Lara Ford, Josiah Baldwin, Abner Liggett, William Turner, John McCombs, Thomas Yeakley, Henry C. Ferris, C. A. Converse, who being duly empannelled and Sworn the truth to Speak upon the issue Joined between the parties do find for the Plaintiff on the Mortgage in the petition described, there is due the Sum of three hundred and fifty Eight Dollars and Eighty cents and for the defendant George W. Bayley as an offset the Sum of fifty nine Dollars and Sixty two cents leaving due the plaintiff from the defendant the Sum of two hundred and ninety nine Dollars and Eighteen cents. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant George W. Bayley the said Sum of two hundred and ninety nine Dollars & Eighteen cents, and it is further ordered that if said defendants fail for ten days after the

Friday November 5. A.D. 1858

close of this term of Court to pay said Sum of two hundred & ninety nine & 17/100 Dollars, the Sheriff of Said County who is appointed Special Master for that purpose sell the real estate in the petition described according to law & apply the proceeds thereof or So Much as may be necessary to the payment of Said Sum of money & pay any Surplus to the defendants and thereupon the defendants demand a second trial & the Court fix the undertaking at $100,

John Powers an alien and native of Ireland a free white person this day came into Court and proved to the satisfaction of the Court that he made in this Court two years ago, the requisition declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States that he has resided in United States for five years last past that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio and that during all that time he has behaved as a Man of good Moral Character, attached to the principles of the Constitution of the United States all well disposed to the good order and happiness of the Same. And thereupon the said John Powers in open Court here made Solemn oath, that he will Support the Constitution of the United States, and that he doth obsolutely and entirely renounce and adjure all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign Prince Potentate State, or Sovereignty and particularly all allegiance and fidelity to Victoria Queen of Great Britin and Ireland Whereupon it is ordered by the Court that a certificate of Naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this application,

This day the Jurors of the Grand Jury appeard at the bar of this Court and presented their several bills of Indictment against the following persons as follows, One against William Riddle for selling liquor to be drank on the premises where sold; One against Frederick Hurley for selling Liquor to be drank on the premises where sold; And two bills against Abram Wheeler for selling Liquor to be drank on the premises where sold, And they having further business before them, again retired to their room to deliberate, in the charge of a Sworn Constable,

Friday November 5. A.D. 1858

X State of Ohio
Vs
Simon Bennett
Jewell Bennett &
Benjamin Bailey

Gaming
Recognisance

This day John D. Rodebaugh appeared in open Court and acknowledged himself to owe and stand indebted unto the State of Ohio in the sum of Fifty dollars. to be levied of his goods and chattels, land and tenements if he make default in the following obligation, to wit: That he be and appear at the next term of this Court, on the first day thereof at ten O. Clock A. M. to give testimony and the truth to speak. on behalf of the State of Ohio in a certain prosecution against Simon Bennett Jewell Bennett & Benjamin Bailey for Gaming, and that he obeys the orders of this Court and departs not without leave,

X State of Ohio
Vs
Isaiah Short

Retailing
Recognisance

This day Tyler Thompson & Nathan Lusk appeared in open Court and acknowledged themselves severally to owe and stand indebted unto the State of Ohio in the sum of Fifty dollars each to be levied of their several goods and chattels, Lands & Tenements if they make default of the following obligation, to wit: That they be and appear at the next term of this Court, on the first day thereof at ten O Clock A. M, to give testimony and the truth to speak in a certain prosecution on behalf of the State of Ohio, against Isaiah Short, for Retailing Spirituous Liquors to be drunk on the premises where sold, and that they obey the orders of this Court and depart not without leave.

Y Garwood & Gorton Adms. &c
Vs
Jennison Hall

This Cause by agreement of parties having been remanded to the Court of Com. Pleas stands Continued.

Friday November 5th A.D. 1858.

State of Ohio
vs
Daniel Smith
Gabriel Cotrell
James Decker
Isaac Smith

Gaming
Recognisance of witness
This day Samuel Linder appeared in open Court and acknowledged himself to owe and stand indebted unto the State of Ohio, in the sum of Fifty Dollars, to be levied of his goods and chattels, Lands & Tenements, if he make default in the following obligation, to wit: That he be and appear at the next term of this Court, and on the first day thereof, at ten O. Clock A. M, to give testimony and the truth to speak in behalf of the State of Ohio in a certain prosecution against Daniel Smith, Gabriel Cotrell, James Decker, and Isaac Smith, for Gaming; and that he obey the orders of this court and does not depart without leave.

This day the following persons were sworn and received their certificates, to go before the Grand Jury to give testimony, to wit; James Turner, C. T. Marks, D. W. B. Ingman, Adam Shinwood A. L. Horde, George W. Wheeler, D. S. Paul, Timothy Hutchinson Benjamin L. Haynes & Christian Smith, and Adams Wolford.

William Hildreth
vs
John Page
} This case is dismissed at the costs of the plaintiff

It is therefore considered by the Court that the defendant go hence without day & recover of the plaintiff his costs herein expended taxed at $

Evans & Johnson
vs
W. T. Morris
} Discontinued without prejudice at Plffs costs
It is therefore considered & adjudged by the Court that the defendant go hence without day and recover of the Plaintiffs his costs herein taxed to $

Friday November 5th A.D. 1858

State of Ohio
vs
Peter Vanieshook.

Burglary

This day came the Prosecuting Attorney and the defendant Peter Vanieshook, & this case came on to be heard & thereupon came a Jury: to wit; D.S. Norvil, Elihu Bunker. O.W.R Lugman, Joseph Powers, Christopher Grubbs, Reuben Moore, D. L. Paul Enoch Burroughs. John Weidman, C.M. Robinson, L. O. Baker, & L. M. Robinson, who being empannelled & sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined, between the parties, upon their oaths do say: that the defendant Peter Vanieshook, is not guilty in manner and form as he stands charged in the Indictment, It is therefore considered by the Court that the defendant Peter Vanieshook, go hence without day.

Whereupon this Court adjourned until tomorrow morning at half past seven O. Clock,

Wm Lawrence
Judge Court Common
Pleas 3 - Judicial
District in Ohio

Saturday November 6th 1858

This morning the Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present same officers as on yesterday.

A. & D. Beltz
vs
N. G. Clark } The motion heretofore made for a new trial in this case for reasons filed November 5th 1858 is overruled by the Court.

State of Ohio
vs
Daniel H. Converse. } Forgery
Recognizance of this day. D. W. Robinson. E. G. Robinson & Mrs Sophia Sabin appeared in open Court and severally acknowledged themselves to owe and stand indebted unto the State of Ohio, in the sum of One Hundred dollars each, to be levied of their several goods & chattels lands & tenements. If default be made in the following condition to wit; That they each be and appear at the next term of this Court, on the first day of the term at ten O. Clock. A. M. to give testimony in a certain prosecution, wherein the State of Ohio is Plaintiff. and Daniel H. Converse is defendant, and on behalf of the State of Ohio. And that they otherwise obey the orders of this Court and depart not without leave.

Michael Cody an alien and native of Ireland a free white person this day came into Court and proved to the Satisfaction of the Court that he made in this Court two years ago the requisit declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States that he has resided in the United States for five years last past that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio and that during all that time he behaved as a man of good Moral character attached to the principles of the Constitution of the United States all well disposed to the good order and happiness of the Same, And thereupon the Said Michael Cody in open Court here made Solemn oath that he will Support the Constitution of the United

Saturday November 6. A. D. 1858

States and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and adjure all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign Prince Potentate State or Sovereignty and particularly all allegiance and fidelity to Victoria Queen of Great Britin and Ireland. Whereupon it is ordered by the Court that a Certificate of Naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this applicatio.

It was ordered by the Court that in all these cases in which William H. Ribt Sheriff of Union County has been appointed Special Master Commissioner that Abraham Lilly Sheriff Elect of Said County be and he is hereby appointed Special Master Commissioner of this Court in all such cases his term of Office as such Special Masters Commissioner to commence with his term of Office as Sheriff

X The State of Ohio
vs
Frederick Kurly } This day George W. Wheeler & Amanias McIntosh appeared in open Court & Severally acknowledged to owe and stand indebted unto the State of Ohio in the Sum of fifty dollars each, to be levied of their several goods & Chattels lands & tenements if default be made in the condition following to wit, that they each be and appear at the next term of this Court at 10 Oclock A. M, on the first day of said term to give evidence in a certain prosecution Wherein The State of Ohio is plaintiff and Frederick Kurley defendant and on behalf of the State of Ohio & that they otherwise obey the Orders of Court & not depart without leave

Y The State of Ohio
vs.
Amos M. Wilson } This day David L. Paul & Timothy Hutchinson appeared in open Court and Severally acknowledged to owe & Stand indebted unto the State of Ohio in the Sum of fifty dollars each, to be levied of their several goods and Chattels lands & tenements

Saturday November 6.th A.D. 1858

if default be made in the condition following to wit, that they each appear at the next term of this Court on the first day of the term at ten o'clock A.M, to testify & the truth to Speak in a certain prosecution in said Court pending Wherein the State of Ohio is plaintiff & Amos M. Wilson defendant and on behalf of the State of Ohio and that they Otherways obey the Orders of Court and not depart without leave

The State of Ohio
vs
Vineen Brown

This day Benj.a L. D. Haynes appeared in open Court & acknowledged himself to owe & stand indebted unto the State of Ohio in the Sum of fifty dollars to be levied of his goods & Chattels Lands & Tenements if default be made in the condition following to wit, that he appear at the next term of this Court on the first day of the term at 10. o'clock A.M, to testify and the truth to Speak in a certain prosecution wherein the State of Ohio is plaintiff & Vineen Brown defendant & on behalf of the State of Ohio, & that he otherwise obey the orders of Court & not depart without leave

The State of Ohio
vs
Furman Coriell

This day Enoch Burns & James L. Spears appeared in open Court and acknowledged themselves severally to owe & stand indebted unto the State of Ohio in the Sum of fifty dollars each to be levied of their several goods and chattels lands & tenements if default be made in the condition following to wit, that they be & appear be & appear at the next term of this Court on the first day of the term at 10. o'clock A.M, to testify & the truth to Speak on behalf of the plaintiff in a certain prosecution wherein The State of Ohio is plaintiff & Furman Coriell is defendant and they otherwise obey the Orders of Court & not depart without leave.

Saturday November 6th A.D. 1858.

This day the Jurors of the Grand Jury appeared at the bar of this Court and presented their several bills of Indictment against the following persons as follows, to wit: Two against Amos M. Wilson, for selling Liquor to be drank on the premises where sold; Two against Furman Coriell for selling Liquor to be drank on the premises where sold; Two against Frederick Hurley for selling Liquor to be drank on the premises where sold; and one against Vincen Borron for selling Liquor to be drank on the premises where sold,
And they also presented their report, and they having no further business before them, were discharged by the Court,

State of Ohio vs Daniel, H. Converse } Forgery.
This day upon motion to the Court by George Sinclair Atty for the Defendant, and upon making the proper showing a continuance was granted in this case until the next term of this Court, And the Court do fix the recognisance for the appearance of the defendant, at five hundred dollars; And in default of the defendants giving such recognisance, It is ordered by the Court that the Sheriff return the said Daniel, H. Converse to the Jail of Union County,

Hamilton Blue vs William Wallace } On 2d Trial Docket
Foreclosure of Mortgage

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon petition of plaintiff and the Answer of Defendant and Replication thereto; and was argued by counsel
On consideration whereof the Court do find that there is due the plaintiff upon the Mortgage & notes mentioned in plaintiffs petition the Sum of two hundred & Seventy one dollars and eighty Seven cents,
It is thereupon Ordered & adjudged that the defendant within ten days from the rising of this Court pay to

Saturday November 6th A.D. 1858

the plaintiff said sum of five hundred & seventy one 87/100 dollars and in default thereof, that an Order issue to the Sheriff of this County, who is hereby appointed Special Master Commissioner for that purpose commanding him to cause said lands & tenements in said petition described to be appraised advertised and Sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said Sale in satisfaction of the amount found plaintiffs due as aforesaid. It is further Ordered that the question of plaintiffs right to a general Judgement against defendant and all other questions that may arise in said case be continued.

John Cassil et als
as
A R. Bowen
} Confirmation of Sale.

This day on motion of R. B. Cole attorney for Plaintiffs and the Court having examined the proceedings of the Sheriff as Special Master in this case and the Sale by him made upon the Order of Sale issued in this cause. And being satisfied that said Sale has in all respects been made in conformity to the provisions of the Statute in such case made and provided do order the Clerk to make an Entry on the Journal that the Court are satisfied of the legality of Sale, & that he also enter an Order on the Sheriff as such Special Master to make to David T. Dyal the assignee of the purchaser a deed for the lands & tenements so sold, All which is entered accordingly

John Cassil et al
vs
J. H. Fulkner
P. 173

$1695 87
P. 173

Jones Brothers & Co.
vs
W. D. W. Mitchell et. als,
} Appointment of a Receiver.

This day upon motion of Cole & Laurence Attorneys for the plaintiffs and upon making the proper Showing to the Court, the Court do appoint Abram Wiley the Sheriff elect as receiver in this case to take charge of the premises described in the petition to receive rents. pay taxes &c, & it is Ordered that he give bond in the Sum of $, according to law to be approved of by the Clerk of this Court

Saturday November 6th A. D. 1858

G. A. Caspil
vs
John Amrine Jr & Leonard Heasley

This day came the parties by Counsel & submitted this case to the Court & thereupon the Court being fully advised ~~in the advised~~ in the premises do find that the defendant Leonard Heasley has no interest in or Claim to the land in the petition described and that the defendant John Amrine Jr. owes to the plaintiff the Sum of one hundred & twenty one dollars & Eighty five cents and that there are three of the notes in said mortgage not yet due

Therefore it is considered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant Amrine said Sum of one hundred & twenty one dollars & Eighty one cents & his costs taxed to $ and as to all others in said petition described this cause is continued

Andrew Wilson
vs
Austin Sharp

This day came the parties and thereupon came a Jury to wit, Peter Bland, Georg Reed, Isaac McAlister Jas. Y. Severn Thomas Turner Jr Lora Ford Jonah Baldwin Abner Leggett, William Turner John McCombs Thomas Yearsley & Henry C. Ferris who being duly empannuled and Sworn the truth to Speak and a true verdict give on the issue joined do find for the plaintiff And assess his damages at forty two dollars & twenty Cents.

Thereupon it is considered & adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the Defendant the Sum of forty two dollars & twenty cents found due as aforesaid and his costs herein expended taxed to $.

The State of Ohio
vs
Peter Vanuishook

Burglary

Ordered that George Lincoln Esq. be allowed $ 20.00 to be paid for defending said Vanuishook Subject to the approval of the County Commissioners

Saturday November 6th A. D. 1858

Chapman & Jones
vs
John P. Ragan &
R. C. Clark

This day came the parties & thereupon came a Jury to wit, D. Y. Paul, Enoch Burris, Jo. Powers, C. Y. Richey, P. C. Robinson, John Ryan, J. M. Robinson, Adam Wolford, C. A. Converse, R. P. Mann, Jas. B. Powers & I. Y. Leggett, who being duly empannelled and Sworn the truth to speak & a true verdict give on the issue joined do find for the ~~defendant~~ Plaintiffs and assess their damages at two hundred & twelve dollars and forty four cents.

It is therefore considered & adjudged that the plaintiffs recover of the defendants the said Sum of two hundred and twelve dollars & forty four cents found due as aforesaid & their costs herein expended taxed to $ By consent of parties Execution is to issue against said Ragan as principal & said Clark as Surety

Clark Richie
vs
A. C. Robinson
J. M. Robinson &
James Robinson

This day came the parties and Submitted this cause to the Court & thereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find for the plaintiff against A. C. Robinson as principal & J. M. Robinson & James Robinson as Sureties & find due the plaintiff from defendants on the note in the petition described the Sum of five hundred and thirty seven & 75/100 dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendants said Sum of five hundred & thirty seven & 75/100 dollars & his costs herein expended taxed to $ and that Execution issue against said A. C. Robinson as principal & J. M. Robinson & James Robinson as Sureties

Cole & Foster
vs
W. C. Malin &
Adam Richey

This case is Settled at defendants costs,
Judgement for costs
No record

Saturday November 6th A. D. 1858

John Capil et als
vs
Joshua Judy &
D. D. Welsh

The defendant Judy having delivered a deed as demanded in the petition, this case by consent of parties is dismissed Therefore it is considered that the defendants recover of the plaintiffs their costs at plaintiffs costs.

The State of Ohio
vs
W. H. Raney et als.

This cause came on to be heard on a motion for a reappraisement of the land heretofore levied upon in this case and it appearing to the Court that said land has been once appraised and twice offered for sale it is Ordered by the Court that the Sheriff of Franklin County do proceed to have the same reappraised according to law.

D. B. Lockwood Plff
vs
Corydon Winkler & wife Defts

On motion of Plaintiffs attys and on producing the return of the Sheriff as Special Master Commissioner in this case, of a sale of real estate made by him on the 2d day of November 1858, to D. B. Lockwood & David Ward on an order of sale issued in this case, and the Court upon examination do find the sale and other proceedings to be in all respects in conformity to Law,

It is therefore ordered that the Sheriff as such Special Master Commissioner ~~to ordered to~~ make to A. B. Thompson a deed for the premises so sold, he being the assignee of the purchasers, and that the sale and other proceedings be and the same are hereby confirmed.

Saturday November 6th A.D. 1858

E-B
P. 300

J & W.B. Brooks
as
Alexander Gandy

Confirmation

This day this cause came on to be heard on a motion to confirm a a Sale heretofore made by William H. Robb Special Master Commissioner in this case in accordance with an Order of Sale herein made and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that said Sale was in all respects conducted and made according to law and that said premises Sold to said J. & W.B. Brooks - And the said Sale is hereby ratified and confirmed - And the said Special Master Commissioner is hereby Ordered to execute a conveyance of said premises So Sold to said purchasers.

207 35
1-6

It is Ordered by the Court that all causes & matters not otherwise disposed of Stand Continued

And thereupon this Court adjourned without day

Wm Lawrence
Jud

Walter Strapp an alien & native of Ireland ~~this~~ a free white person this day came before me Taber Randall Clerk of the Court of Commen Pleas in & for Said County and declared on his Solemn oath that he first arrived in the United States in the month of November A.D. 1834 And that he it is his bonafide intention to become a citizen of the United States & to renounce forever all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign Prince, Potentate, State & Sovereignty and particularly to Victoria Queen of Great Britain & Ireland

February 28th 1859

Taber Randall Clerk

William Robinson an alien & native of Ireland a free white person this day came before me Taber Randall Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas in & for the County of Union & State aforesaid and declard on his Solemn oath that he first arrived in the United States in the month of November A. D. 1832 and that it is bona fide his intention to become a citizen of the United States & to renounce forever all allegiance & fidelity to every foreign Prince Potentate State Sovereignty & particularly to Victoria Queen of Great Britain And Ireland

March 9th 1859 Taber Randall Clerk

Andrew Bfurst an alien & native of Bavaria a free white person this day came before me Taber Randall Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas in & for said County, and declard on his Solemn oath that he first arrived in the United States in the month of June 1857 and that it is bonafide his intention to become a citizen of the United States & to renounce forever all allegiance & fidelity to every foreign Prince Potentate State or Sovereignty & particularly to Maximillian King of Bavaria

March 12th 1859 Taber Randall Clerk

George Lovell an alien and native of England a free white person this day personally came before me Taber Randall Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas in & for the County of Union in the State of Ohio & declared on his Solemn oath that he first arrived in the United States in the month of May 1855 and that it is his bonafide his intention to become a citizen of the U. S. and to renounce forever all allegiance & fidelity to every foreign Prince Potentate State or Sovereignty whatsoever and particularly to Victoria Queen of Great Britain

December 22d 1858 Taber Randall Clerk

Patrick Hines an alien and native of Ireland a free white person this day personally came before me Taber Randall Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas in & for the County of Union in the State of Ohio & declared on his Solemn oath that he first arrived in the United States in the month of February 1850 and that it is bonafide his intention to become a citizen of the United States & to renounce forever all allegiance & fidelity to every foreign Prince Potentate State or Sovereignty whatever and particularly to Victoria Queen of Great Britain & Ireland Dec. 22d 1858

Taber Randall Clerk

Joseph Cole an alien & native of England a free white person this day appeared personally before me Taber Randall Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas in & for the County of Union in the State of Ohio & declared on his Solemn oath that he first arrived in the United States in the Month of August A.D. 1851 and that it is bonafide his intentions to become a citizen of the United States & to renounce forever all allegiance & fidelity to every foreign Prince Potentate State or Sovereignty whatever and particularly to Victoria Queen of Great Britain & Ireland

February 15th A.D. 1859

Taber Randall Clerk

Wood & Reed } Union Common Pleas
vs } Attachment,
Isa C. Johnson } On this 3d day of January A.D. 1859 came before me William Lawrence Judge of Said Court the Said parties by their Attorneys On the motion of the Defendant to discharge & dissolve the Attachment issued from the Clerks Office of Union County. And thereupon the Said motion being heard, It is by me considered and adjudged that the Said Attachment be and the Same is hereby discharged & dissolved at the costs of the Plaintiffs. And the Clerk of Said Court is ordered to make this entry on the Journal of Said Court
January 3d 1859 Wm Lawrence
Judge Court Com. Pleas

The Judges of the Court of Common Pleas in & for the third Judicial District in the State of Ohio Now convened in the Court House in Bellefontaine in Logan County, in Said District, do hereby fix the times for the terms of the Court of Common Pleas of each of the Counties in Said District as hereinafter Stated and do hereby issue this our written Order to the Clerk of the Court of Common pleas of each & every County in Said District, Specifying precisely the commencement of the term of the District Court and of the Several terms of the Court of Common pleas in all the Counties of Said District as follows, that is to Say,

The Several terms of the Court of Common pleas in & for the Several Counties of Said District for the year A.D. 1859 Shall commence in the Several Counties thereof as follows to wit,

First Subdivision,

In Union County	February 15th	May 9th	September 19th
In Mason County	February 28th	May 16th	October 3d
In Logan County	March 14th	May 30th	October 17th
In Hardin County	April 4th	September 5th	November 14th

Secona Subdivision

In Mercer County	January 25th	April 5th	September 13th
In VanWert County	February 8th	April 12th	September 20th
In Allen County	February 15th	May 3d	October 24th
In Auglaize County	March 8th	May 24th	October 10th
In Shelby County	March 15th	May 10th	September 27th

Third Subdivision,

In Paulding County	February 1st		October 4th
In Defiance County	February 7th	May 10th	October 18th
In Henry County	February 15th	May 17th	November 1st
In Fulton County	February 22d	May 24th	November 15th
In Williams County	March 8th	May 31st	November 29th

In all of said Counties the said Several Terms of said Courts will commence on the first day of each of said Terms at 10 oclock A, M,

It is Ordered that this Order by the Clerk of the Court of Commen Pleas of each County in said District be entered immediately upon the Journal of the Court of Common pleas And also upon the Journal of the District Court in each of said Counties: and be by each of said Clerks published for four consecutive weeks in One or more Newspapers of general circulation in each of said Counties according to law, Laws of 1858 page 83. January 5th 1859

Wm Lawrence
A. S. Latty } Judges

The Several terms of the District Court in and for the Several Counties in said District as fixed by the Judges of the Supreme Court commence for the year A, D, 1859 as follows

In Shelby County Monday June 20th
In Auglaize County Thursday June 23d
In Mercer County Saturday June 25th
In Allen County Monday June 27th
In Van Wert County Wednesday June 29th

In Hardin County Friday July 1st
In Logan County Tuesday July 5th
In Marion County Friday July 8th
In Union County Tuesday July 12th
In Fulton County Friday July 15th
In Williams County Monday July 18th
In Defiance County Thursday July 21st
In Paulding County Saturday July 23d
In Henry County Monday July 25th

Bellefontaine Ohio

All of which is Ordered by us as Said Judges
5th January 1859

Wm Lawrence
A. S. Latty
Judges

A true Copy from the Original Order now on file in my office January 10th 1859

Tiber Randall Clerk

Tuesday Febnary 15th A. D. 1859

This day the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Union in the State of Ohio convened in pursuant of Law. Present William Lawrence Judge. Abraham Wiley Sheriff John L. Porter Prosecuting Attorney and Taber Randall Clerk

No 1 ✓	The State of Ohio vs William Sands	Left off of the Docket & laid away with the Old Indictments
No 2 ✓	The State of Ohio vs William Sandz	Left off of the Docket & laid away with old Indictmentz
No 6 ✓	The State of Ohio vs William H Cartmell	Left off of the Docket & laid away with the old Indictments
No 7 ✓	The State of Ohio vs William Riddle	Continued.
No 8 ✓	The State of Ohio vs William Riddle	Continued.
No. 10 ✓	The State of Ohio vs William Riddle	Continued.
No 12 ✓	The State of Ohio vs Frederick Kurley	Nolle.

Tuesday February 15th A.D. 1859

✓ No 13	The State of Ohio vs Frederick Kurley	This day came the Prosecuting Attorney & entered a Nolle prosequi in this case.
✓ No. 14.	The State of Ohio vs Frederick Kurley	This day came the Prosecuting Attorney & entered a Nolle prosequi in this case.
✓ No. 24.	The State of Ohio vs Alexander R. Bowen	This day came the Prosecuting Attorney & entered a Nolle prosequi in this case
✓ No 1.	Lepee of Saml Stokely vs John Williams et alz	Continued.
✓ No. 4.	Curtis Kempton vs Hannah Kempton	Discontinued.
✓ No. 5.	Julia Ann Sannells vs John Sannells	Discontinued
✓ No. 6	Philander Manchester vs Coryden Wynkler	Continued.
✓ No. 7	David Wood vs David B. Le Blwood	Discontinued without prejudice at plaintiffs costs. It is therefore considered by the Court here that the defendants recover of the plaintiff his costs herein expended taxed to $

Tuesday February 15th A.D. 1859

No 9	Jas. C. Dynes' Exrs vs Harison Rice et als	Continued,
No. 11	Ohio Life Ins. & Trust Co vs A. S. Alden	Continued,
No. 12,	Calvin T. Hays vs William Scott et, als	Continued
No. 13)	Andy Gandy vs Amanda Gandy	Continued under former Order of ~~Survey~~,
No 15	Isaac Gamelson vs Jas. Wilson et, als.	Continued under former Order of Survey
No 16)	Frederick Wheeler vs Elihu Phelps	Settled & Costs paid No record,
No 20,	Elizabeth Williams vs Wm. C. Ogbern et, als	Continued,
No 34	Allen W. Phares vs Lucy M. Stokes Admt,	Continued
No. 35,	Allen W. Phares vs Chas. Fullington	Continued

Tuesday February 15th A.D. 1859

No. 36, Thomas Jones vs Lewis H. Lee et alz } Continued,

No. 37, Hugh M. Tenant by his Guardian vs Minerva Kline et alz } Continued Leave to answer in thirty days,

No 79 David D. Welsh vs Amos Ashood } Settled and costs paid,

On request of Abraham Wiley Sheriff of Union County James S. Alexander Jun. was appointed Deputy Sheriff & Jailer & William H. Robb Deputy Sheriff of said County who thereupon appeared in open Court and was duly Sworn to perform the duties of such offices

No. 38 Elizabeth Ewing vs Thomas M. Ewing } Dower

This day came the petitioner by her counsel and produced the proceedings of the Sheriff & commissioners appointed to assign dower in this case and the court being satisfied that the said proceedings have been in all respects regular and lawful do hereby approve and confirm the same and order that said Elizabeth Ewing be endowed of the real Estate assigned to her as aforesaid to wit described as follows to wit Part of Survey No. 12215. Beginning at two hickories and a pin oak on the East bank of Derby creek and a corner of George M. Pickards land then north a line of his land S 1/4 W. 27. 8/10 poles to a Stake in the north line of a Meeting house lot thence north said line S 89. W. 8 poles to a Stake North west corner of said lot thence with the west line of said lot S 1/4 W. 7 1/2 poles to a Stake in the centre of the post road thence with said road N 86 1/2 W 38 poles to a Stake from which a buroak 32 inches in diameter bears S 70 W. 1 32/100 pole then N 1/4 E. 88. poles to a Stake in South bank in the creek thence

Tuesday February 15th A. D. 1859

down the creek with the meanders thereof to the beginning containing 25 acres & 118. poles— Also. part of Survey No. 3686. being the upper half of 150 acres conveyed by Lucy Sullivan to Joshua Ewing & James Ewing by deed on record in Book of transcribed deeds on page 22. bounded as follows Begining at an ash & buckeye on the bank of Darby creek the upper corner of said Survey 3686. & lower corner of Survey 3484. thence N 85 E. 128. poles to a Stake thence S 3½ E. 132. poles to a Stake on the creek thence up the creek with the meanders thereof to the begining the whole containing 150. acres said upper half of said 150. acres being conveyed by said Joshua Ewing to said James by deed on record in the transcribed record of deeds on page 23 & said lot being divided by a line running from the upper line of said Survey S 3½ E. to the creek over which Seventy five acre lot thensaid Thomas M. Ewing is to have the right to pass and repass in going to and from his other land as he has heretofore done to wit going in one track & not leaving fences open. It is further ordered and decreed that said Thomas M. Ewing pay to said Elizabeth Ewing within thirty days the Sum of thirty five dollars being the just rent of the one third of the real estate from the filing of this petition to this date and in default thereof that epecution issue therefor as upon Judgments at law. It is further ordered and decred that the petitioner pay one third & the defendant the two thirds of the costs of this case & in default thereof that execution issue as upon said Judgments at law. It is further ordered & decreed that a writ of seizin issue to the Sheriff of the County of Union commanding him to deliver procession of said real estate to said petition.

A. F. Severs
Vs
Geo W. Barkley. } This cause is Settled as per agreement ~~costs~~ on record on file. It is thereupon considered and adjudged that the plaintiff recovered of the defendants his cost in this behalf expended taxed to $.

No 22
Daniel Gould
Vs
D. G. Sweney ately } This cause has been settled as follows to wit. The defendants D. G. & H. Sweney are to pay fifteen dollars of the costs & the

Tuesday February 15th A. D. 1859.

defendant Thomas D. Gates is to pay the remaining costs the other Matters arraigned. It is therefore considered that the Plaintiff recover of said defendant Sweeny the sum of fifteen dollars costs & recover of the defendants Gates the remaining part of his costs herein expended taxed to $. No record And thereupon came the said I. G. & G. H. Sweeny who by the hand of C. W. B. Allison paid fifteen dollars in full of the costs so adjudged against them and it is further ordered and

No 42 Henry Neal vs O. Hammond } Injunction
On Motion of Plaintiffs counsel this cause is dismissed without prejudice & papers withdrawn No record. It is therefore considered and adjudged that the defendant recover of the plaintiff his costs herein expended taxed $

Thos D. Haing vs James E. Jackson et als } This day came the parties and submitted this cause to the court and the court finding that this action was commenced on a Suit in attachment before a Justice of the peace to whom it was suggested that the defendant own Real Estate in Said County of Union and thereupon the court being fully advised in the premises finds the allegations of the petition are true. It is thereupon considered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of twenty Seven dollars and twenty Eight cents and his cost herein taxed to $ It is further ordered and decreed that an order of sale issue to the sheriff commanding him to sell according to law the land taken in attachment in this case and apply the proceeds in satisfaction of the aforesaid judgement

No 64.

No. 26 E. Hammond vs Jacob Myers } Continued,

No 42 Amos Ely vs Ja's R. Smith et als } Leave to file petition Continued

No 58 George Dynes vs Bennet Dynes et als } Continued

Tuesday February 15th A.D. 1859

This day the Jurors of the Grand Jury being called came, to wit: Emanuel Clark, Robert Graham, Elipshaz Burnham, Edward Spain, Elijah Watts Jacob Hutchinson, Ambrose Beach, G. W. Thompson, Andrew Keyes. James N. Abraham, Josiah Westlake, Leonard Ellis. Abraham Wolgamot, & D. M. Danforth, regular Jurors. and Samuel McBratney Talesman, And the Court appointed Elipshaz Burnham Foreman, and the Jurors being regularly empanneled and Sworn were charged & the Court, and retired to their room to deliberate. under the charge of a Sworn Constable,

This day the following persons were Sworn and received their certificates to go before the Grand Jury Viz: D. J. Paul, A. A. Myers, John McKeever, John J. Thompson, William Brown, Benjamin S. Grubbs, Henry Patridge, & David Flynn & James J. Spears

Joel Instreep
vs.
John Evans et als

On Motion of the said plaintiffs attorney & on producing the return of the Special Master commissioner in this case of a sale of real Estate made by him & the court on examination of said proceedings being satisfied that said sale has been made in all respects in conformity to law it is ordered that the said sale and proceedings be & the same are hereby confirmed & the said Master commissioner is ordered to make to James M. Instreep assigne of Joel Instreep the purchaser of the real Estate in said petition described a deed in fee Simple for said real Estate It is further ordered by the court that there remain due of the Judgment yet unpaid in this case due from said John Evans principal & Thomas A Sheldon guarantor the Sum of $245.10 & costs

See page 418 of this Volume

Tuesday February 15th 1859

Wm Westlake
vs
Alex Hamilton

On Motion of the plaintiffs attorney & on producing the return of the Special Master Commissioners, in the case of a Sale of real estate made by him & the court on Examination of said proceedings being satisfied that said Sale has been made in all respects in conformity to law & It is ordered that the said Sale & proceedings be & the same are hereby confirmed & the said Master commissioner is ordered to make to the purchaser of said real Estate a deed in fee Simple - It is further found by the court that there remains due from the defendant to the plaintiff in this the Sum of $136. and $, costs,

Error

The State of Ohio
vs.
Garman Coriell

Indictment for Retailing

The defendant this day was arraigned and the indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea Says he is guilty in manner and form as he Stands charged in said indictment Whereupon it is considered by the court that said defendant Garman Coriell make his fine unto the State of Ohio in the Sum of twenty dollars and be imprisoned in the jail of Union County for the term of ten days and pay the costs of prosecution taxed at $

The State of Ohio
vs.
Abraham Whelply

Indictment for Retailing

The defendant this day was arraigned and the indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea. Says he is guilty in manner and form as he Stands charged in said indictment Whereupon It is considered by the court that said defendant Abraham Whelply make his fine unto the State of Ohio in the Sum of twenty dollars and be imprisoned in the jail of Union county for the term of ten days and pay the costs of prosecution taxed at $

John & William Gabriel
vs
Isaac C. Johnson & Joseph Johnson

On motion to the court this case is reassigned and set for hearing on Wednesday Febry 16th 1859

Tuesday February 15th 1859

1 | The State of Ohio vs. Furman Carrell | Indictment for Rebelling

This day came into open court Michael Davis Jr. and acknowledged himself to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the sum of one hundred dollars to be levied of his goods and chattles lands and tenements if default be made in the condition following to wit, that said Michael Davis Jr be and appear before the court of common pleas of Union County on the first day of the next term thereof at ten oclock A.M. to give evidence in a cause therein pending wherein the said State of Ohio is plaintiff and said Furman Carrel is defendant and not depart the court without leave then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be in full force.

2 | The State of Ohio vs. Isaiah Shout | Indictment for Retailing

This day came into court Nathan Surk and acknowledged himself to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the sum of one hundred dollars to be levied of his goods and chattles lands and tenements if default be made in the condition following to wit, that said Nathan Surk be and appear before the court of common pleas of Union County on the first day of the next term thereof at ten o clock A.M, to give evidence in a cause therein pending wherein the State of Ohio is plaintiff and said Isaiah Shout is defendant and not depart the court without leave then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be in full force

3 | The State of Ohio vs. Daniel Smith, Gabriel Cotrell, James Decker, Isaac Smith | Indictment for Gaming

This day came into open court Samuel Linder and acknowledged himself to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the sum of one hundred dollars, to be levied of his goods and chattle lands and tenements if default be made in the condition following to wit that said Samuel Linder be and appear before the court of common pleas of Union County on the first day of the next term thereof at ten o clock A.M. to give evidence in a cause therein pending wherein the State of Ohio

Tuesday February 15th 1859

is plaintiff and Said James Decker is defendant and not depart the court without leave then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be in full force

X | The State of Ohio vs Simon Bennett, Julius Bennett, Benjamin Bailey | Gaming

This day came into open court John Rawdebough and acknowledged himself to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the Sum of one hundred dollars to be levied of his goods and chattles lands and tenements if default be made in the condition following to wit that Said John Rowdebough be and appear before the court of Common Pleas of Union County on the first day of the next term thereof at ten oclock A M. to give evidence in a cause therein pending wherein the State of Ohio is plaintiff and Said Simon Bennett Julius Bennett & Benjamin Baily is defendants and not depart the court without leave then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be in full force

V | The State of Ohio vs Levi Hill | Indictment for Assault & Battery

This day came the parties by their attorneys the defendant being in person before the Court and thereupon came a Jury to wit John Newhouse Luther Sigget John Clark A. M. Hays D. McFerren Samuel Wedde William Toby Luther Wood William Johns. William Inskeep Henry Ferrence John Reaves, who being duly empannelled and Sworn the truth to Speak upon the Issue joined between the parties upon their oaths Say that the defendant is guilty in manner and form as he is stands charged in Said indictment. Thereupon it is considered by the court that Said defendant Levi Hill make his fine unto the State of Ohio in the Sum of ten dollars and pay the costs of prosecution taxed at $

+ | John & William Gabriel vs Ora C. Johnson. | On motion to the Court this Case is reassigned and set for hearing on Wednesday February 16th 1859.

Tuesday February 15th 1859

Isaac McAllister etal
vs
Elizabeth Bowsher etal

On Motion of the plaintiffs Attorney & on producing the return of the Special Master Commissioner in this case of a Sale of Real Estate made by him & the Court on examination of Said proceedings being Satisfied that Said Sale has been made in all respects in conformity to law it is ordered that the Said Sale & proceedings be & the Same are hereby confirmed & the Said Master Commissioner is ordered to make to the Purchaser of Said Real Estate a deed in fee Simple of the Same It is further found by the Court that there remains unpaid in full payment in Said case the Sum of $47.63 & 34,50 due July 2 1859 with interest from April 1st 1856 and costs amounting to $

James W. Robinson
vs
Thomas H. Lewis

On Motion of the plaintiffs & on producing the return of the Special Master Commissioner in this case of a Sale of Real Estate made by him & the Court on examination of Said proceedings being Satisfied that Said Sale has been made in all respects in conformity to law & it is ordered that the Said Sale & proceedings be & the Same are hereby confirmed & the Said Master Commissioner is ordered to make to the purchaser of Said real Estate a deed in fee Simple It is further found that there remains unpaid on the Judgment in this case the Sum of $55.80

No. 414. X
S. M. & P. R.R. Co.
vs
Joshua Judy.

continued by the agreement of counsel leave to replead in thirty days.

No. 415 X
William H. Cartmell
vs
John Graham et als

Continued

X
Wheeler & Barnes
vs
Calvin F. Hays

On motion to the Court this case is reassigned and Set for hearing on the Saturday February 19th A.D. 1859

470

Tuesday February 15th 1859.

Whereupon the Court adjourned over until tomorrow morning at 8 Oclock

Jno Lawrence
Judge Cont Com Pleas

Patrick

Wednesday February 16th 1859

This morning Court met pursuant to adjournment. Present same officers as on yesterday.

Patrick O. Donnel
vs.
James McLeas

It appearing that the Real Estate described in the petition in this cause has been twice offered for sale and not sold for want of bidders. It is on Motion of Plaintiffs counsel the appraisement is set aside and a new appraisement ordered, & A Riley the present Sheriff is appointed Special master to make sale of the premises as the former Sheriff was authorized to do

David Wood
vs.
Daniel B. Lockwood et als

This cause on Motion of defendants counsel was submitted to the Court & thereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises dismiss the same at Plffs Costs. It is thereupon considered and adjudged that the defendants recover of the plaintiff their costs herein expended taxed to $ ixs recover

Jones Brothers & Co.
vs.
W. D. W. Mitchell et als.

On Motion of Cole & Lawrence attorneys for plaintiffs the appraisement heretofore made in this cause is set aside for the reason that one of the appraisers was a party in interest and a new appraisement is ordered

M. P. Rice
vs
David Marques

This case is reassigned & set for hearing Saturday February 19th A. D. 1859

Wednesday February 16th 1859.

John & William Gabriel Plaintiff
vs
Ira C. Johnson & Joseph Johnson Defts.

No 72

This day came the plaintiff by their attorneys and the defendants being called came not but made default. Whereupon this case was submitted to the court and the Court do find the allegations in the petition to be true and that there is due to the plaintiffs from the defendants the Sum of one Thousand Four Hundred & Sixty three & 38/100 dollars ($1463.38) on the note described in the petition which bears interest at the rate of ten per cent per annum. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the plaintiffs recover of the defendants said Sum of $1463.38. found due as aforesaid and also their costs herein expended to ... and it is further considered ordered & adjudged by the court that the above Judgment bear interest at the rate of ten per cent per annum until paid.

John & William Gabriel plaintiff
vs.
Ira C Johnson defendant

No 72

This day come the plaintiff by their Attorneys and the defendants being called came not but made default whereupon this case was submitted to the court and the court do find the allegations in the petition to be true and that there is due to the plaintiffs from the defendant the Sum of one Thousand one hundred & Seventy Six & 86/100 dollars ($1176.86) on the note described in the petition which bears interest at the rate of ten per cent per annum. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the plaintiffs recover of the defendant said Sum of $1176.86. found due as aforesaid together with interest at the rate of ten per cent per annum as ~~aforesaid~~ and also their costs herein expended to ... and it is further considered ordered & adjudged by the court that the above Judgment bear interest at the rate of ten per cent per annum until paid

See P 417

A. F. Seevers
vs
G. W. Barley & wife

Settled as per agreement on file

Wednesday Febuary 16th. 1859

X	W. W. Bridge & W. H. Ferguson et al	On order of Sale

The court this day carefully examined the proceedings and Sale of W. H. Robb Sheriff and Special Master of this Court on the Order of Sale issued herein, as required by law and are Satisfied of the legality of the Sale of out lot No 10 in the town of Richwood to W. W. Woods and that the same was in all respect made in conformity to law and the term of Service of W. H. Robb Sheriff and Special Master commissioner of this court in this case who made said Sale having expired and Abraham Wiley having been elected his Successor in the Office of Sheriff and being appointed Speal Master commissioners of this court in this case he the said Abraham Wiley is hereby ordered to execute to the purchaser a deed for the lands so Sold

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the bar of the Court and presented their several bills of Indictments against the following persons to wit, against James M. Wilson three Indictments each for Retailing. One Indictment against Hiram Guy for Retailing and One Indictment against Isaac Morse for Retailing and each of said Bills of Indictment endorsed "A True Bill Elphas Burnham Foreman of the Grand Jury" and they also presented their report, And the Jury having no further busines before them were discharged by the Court.

C	Edward C. Powers Plaintiff vs. S. A. Cherry et al defendants	Civil action Motion to retax costs &c.

On Motion to the court by John B. Coats Attorney for the Defendent and it appearing to the Satisfaction of the court that the costs made by the plaintiff in this case in a deposition taken before the Probate Judge of Delaware county Ohio were adjudged to be paid by the plaintiff on the first hearing of the case It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the clerk of the court tax the costs of the deposition (on the execution docket) the said

Wednesday February 16th 1859

plaintiff and that he pay the Same to the parties Entitled thereto on application by them out of the Monies in his hands paid on this judgment by the Defendant A. A Cherry and that the Same be kept out of the Monies belonging to the Said plaintiff.

The State of Ohio
vs
James M. Wilson
} Indictment for Retailing

This day came into open court David D. Paul A Abraham Myers & David Flynn and acknowledged themselves, each, to owe and Stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the Sum of one hundred Dollars each to be levied of their goods and chattles lands and tenements if default be made in the condition following to wit. that Said David D. Paul Abraham A. Myers & David Flynn be and appear before the court of Common pleas of Union County on the first day of the next Term thereof at ten oclock A. M. to give evidence in three cases, therein pening wherein the Said State of Ohio is plaintiff and Said James M. Wilson is defendant and not depart the court without leave then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be in full force

The State of Ohio
vs
Furman Corill
} Indictment for retailing

This day came into open court James S. Spears and acknowledged himself to owe and Stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the Sum of one hundred dollars to be levied of his good and chattles land and tenements. if default be made in the condition following to wit, that Said James S. Spears be and appear before the court of Common pleas of Union County on the first day of the next term thereof at ten oclock A. M. to give evidence in two cases. therein pending wherein the State of Ohio is plaintiff and Said Furman Corill is defendant and not depart the court without leave then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be in full force

Wednesday February 16th 1859.

The State of Ohio } Indictment for Assault & Battery
vs.
Levi Heil } This day came the prosecuting attorney and upon his motion the said defendant was three times Solemnly called to answer unto an Indictment found against him for ~~Assault & Battery~~ and came not but made default and thereupon D. S. Norvill the Security of said Levi Heil being three times, Solemnly called to come into Court and bring with him the body of the said Levi Heil to answer unto said charge as by his recognizance he was bound to do, or that the same would be forfeited, and the said D. S. Norvill still failing to appear according to the condition of his said recognizance with the body of the said Levi Heill It is ordered by the court that the same be and is hereby forfeited, And upon the defendant Levi Heil's paying the cost & fine & prosecution within thirty days from the rising of this Court then this forfeiture to be set aside otherwise to remain in full force.

W. W. Bridge
vs
W. H. Ferguson et al. dft } Court of Com. Pleas Union County State of Ohio.

This Court this day carefully Examined the proceedings and Sale of W. H. Robb Sheriff and Special Master of said court on the order of Sale Issued herein as required by law and are Satisfied of the legality of the Sale of Lot No 61 in the town of Richwood in said County to W. W. Bridge, and that the same was in all respects made in conformity to law, and the term of service of W. H. Robb Sheriff and Special Master commission of this Court in this case who made said Sale having expired and Abraham Wiley having been Elected his Successor in the office of Sheriff and bearing appointed Special Masters Commissioners of this Court in this cause he the said Abraham Wiley is hereby ordered to Execute and deliver a deed for said premises so sold as aforesaid to said purchaser.

See 397

Morgan Savage
vs.
A. D. L. Bowen & wife } Petition on notes & Mortgage
On Motion to the court it is ordered by the court that this case be reassigned and set for hearing on Thursday February 17th 1859.

Wednesday February 16th 1859

C. W. Lyon & Co
vs
Christopher Grubbs. } Motion for de appraisement
It appearing that the land heretofore ordered to be Sold has been twice offered for Sale and not being Sold, It is Ordered that the appraisement heretofore made be Set aside and a new appraisement made

Whereupon the Court adjourned until tomorrow morning at 8 o'clock A.M.

W. Lawrence
Pres Cart C.P.

Thursday February 17th 1859.
This Morning Court Meet pursuant to adjournment present Same Officers as on Yesterday

James W. Robinson &c
vs
Thomas H. Lewis } On motion of the plaintiffs & on producing the return of W. H. Robb Special Master Commissioner in this case of a Sale of real estate made by him & the Court on examination of Said proceedings being Satisfied that Said Sale has been made in all respects in Conformity to law It is ordered that the Said Sale & proceedings be & the Same are hereby confirmed & Since the Official term of Said Robb has expired It is ordered that A Wiley incumbant Sheriff of Said Court be appointed Special Master Commissioner & is hereby authorized & ordered to make a deed in fee Simple to the purchaser. It is further found that there remains unpaid on the judgment in this Case the Sum of $ 53,50

Thursday February 17th 1859

Isaac McAllister et als
vs
Elizabeth Bowsher.

On Motion of the plaintiff by his attorney & on producing the return of Wm H. Robb Special Master Commissioner of a Sale of real estate made by him & the Court on Examination of Said proceedings being satisfied that Said Sale has been made in all respects in conformity to law It is ordered that the Said Sale & proceedings be & the Same are hereby confirmed & the Official term of Said Robb having Since expired & A Wiley being his Successor as Sheriff of Said County It is ordered that Said Wiley be appointed Special Master Commissioner & he is hereby authorized & ordered to make a deed in fee Simple to the ~~Court~~ purchaser for the Same It is further found by the Court that there remains unpaid on the Judgment in Said Case the Sum of $47.63 & 34.50 due July 2d 1859 with interest from April 12th 1856 & costs amounting to $

William Westlake
vs.
Alexander Hamilton

On Motion of the plaintiffs Attorney & on producing the return of Wm H. Robb Special master commissioner in this case of a Sale of real Estate made by him & the Court on Examination of Said proceedings being Satisfied that Said Sale has been made in all respects in conformity to law It is ordered that the Said Sale & proceedings be & the same are hereby confirmed & the Official term of Said Robb as Special master of Said County having Since expired & A. Wiley being his Successor It is ordered that Said Wiley be appointed Special Master Commissioner & is hereby authorized & ordered by Said Court as Said Master to make a deed in fee Simple to the purchaser It is further found by the Court that there remains of the Judgment in this case unpaid the Sum of $130, & Costs to see to $

Joel Inskeep
vs.
John Evans et als

On Motion of the Said plaintiffs attorney & on producing the return of Wm H. Robb Special Master Commissioner in this case of a Sale of real Estate made by him & the Court on Examination of Said proceedings

Thursday February 17th 1859

being Satisfied that Said Sale has been made in all respects in conformity to law It is ordered that the Said Sale & proceeding be & the Same are hereby confirmed And whereas the official term of Said Robb has since expired It is ordered that A. Wiley has Successor in Office be approved & the hereby appointed Special Master Commissioner & ordered to make a deed in fee Simple to James M. Instreep assigned of Joel Instreep the purchaser of the real Estate in Said petition described. It is further formed by the Court that there remaining of the judgment in this case yet unpaid & due from Said John Evans principal & Thos A Sheldon guarantor the Sum of $245.10 debt & costs taxed to $

The State of Ohio } Indictment for Retailing
vs.
Hiram Guy } This day came into open court Enoch Burris and acknowledged himself to owe and Stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the Sum of fifty dollars to be levied of his goods and chattles lands and tenements if default be made in the condition following to wit the Said Enoch Burris be and appear before the Court of common pleas of Union County on the first day of the next term thereof at ten o'clock A.M. to give evidence in a case therein pending wherein the State of Ohio is plaintiff and Said Hiram Guy is defendant and not depart the court without leave then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be in full force.

The State of Ohio } Indictment for Retailing
vs.
Isaac Marse. } This day came into open court Enoch Burris and acknowledged himself to owe and Stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the Sum of fifty dollars to be levied of his goods and chattles lands and tenements if default be made in the conditions following to wit: the Said Enoch Burris be and appear before the court of common pleas of Union County on the first day of the next term thereof at ten o'clock A.M. to give

Thursday February 17th 1859

Evidence in a cose therein pending wherein the said State of Ohio is plaintiff and said Isaac Morse is defendant and not depart the court without leave then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be in full force,

X The State of Ohio vs Furman Corill } Indictment for Retailing

This day came into open court Enoch Burris and acknowledged himself to owe and indebted to the State of Ohio in the Sum of Fifty dollars to be levied of his goods and chattles lands and tenements if default be made in the conditions following to wit the said Enoch Burris be and appear before the Court of Common please of Union County on the first day of the next term thereof at ten oclock oclock A. M. to give evidence in a case therein pending wherein the said State of Ohio is plaintiff and said Furman Corill is defendant and not depart the court without leave then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be in full force.

X The State of Ohio vs Abraham Whelpley } Indictment for Retailing

This day came into open court Enoch Burris and acknowledged himself to owe and indebted to the State of Ohio in the Sum of Fifty dollars to be levied of his goods and chattles lands and tenements if default be made in the condition following to wit: the said Enoch Burris be and appear before the Court of Common please of Union County on the first day of the next term thereof at ten oclock A. M. to give evidence in two causes therein pending wherein the said State of Ohio is plaintiff and said Abraham Whelpley is defendant and not depart the court without leave then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be in full force.

On Motion of John L. Porter the prosecuting Attorney and it appearing to the court that William Alexander was personally served with a Subpoena to attend this court as a witness to give testimony before the Grand Jury on behalf of the

Thursday February 17th 1859

State of Ohio and that he has made default. It is therefore ordered that an attachment issue against the said William Alexander for contempt of Court returnable on the first day of the next term of this Court at ten oclock A.M. on said day.

Wm McCowley
vs
John McCowley et al

This day came the parties to this cause and Submitted this cause to the Court on the petition and answer and argument of counsel & thereupon the Court do order & decree that the petitioner and the heirs of Thomas McCowley dec'd be quieted in their title to said real Estate against the claims of title thereto of all the remaining heirs of said John McCowley dec'd And the court further order that the writ of partition issued to the Sheriff of said County of Union commanding him that by the oaths of John Kuchison A. J. Wilkins and James Thompson the two thirds part of said real Estate be assigned and set off to the petitioner and by the like oaths of the same persons he assign to Sarah Ann McCowley widow of Thomas McCowley dec'd the full one third of the remaining one third of said real Estate as her dower therein & by the like oaths of same persons he assign to the heirs of Thomas McCowley dec'd subject to said dower the third part of said real estate in the following proportions to wit To John McCowley one tenth of the third To Meriam McCowley one tenth of the third To Silas McCowley one tenth of the third To Joseph McCowley one tenth of the third To Elizabeth McCowley one tenth of the third To Mary Ann McCowley one tenth of the third To Rue Jane McCowley one tenth of the third To Sarah McCowley one tenth of the third To Samantha McCowley one tenth of the third To Benjamin McCowley one tenth of the third It is further ordered that the Sheriff return his proceedings in the premises to the next term of this court to which time this action is continued & thereupon the defendants give notice of appeal.

John Mitchell
vs
Isa Johnson

This case reassigned and Set for hearing On Saturday February 19th A.D. 1859

Thursday February 17th 1859.

X

No 68. Morgan Savage Plaintiff
vs
Alexander R. Bowen et wife

This day came the plaintiff by his attorneys and no one appearing for the defendants and they being in default, this cause was Submitted to the Court by the plaintiff upon consideration whereof the court do find the equity of the case with the plaintiff and that there is due to the plaintiff from the defendants on the Notes and Mortgages set forth in the petition the Sum of one Thousand Five Hundred & four dollars and Eighty Six cents ($1504.86) and that one Thousand Two Hundred and ninety Seven dollars & thirty three cents of Said Sum bears interest at the rate of nine per cent per annum and the residue bears interest at the rate of Six per cent per annum, And the court do further find that the conditions contained in the Mortgage set forth in the petition have been broken and that Said Mortgages have now become absolute. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the plaintiff recover of the defendants Said Sum of $1504.86 found due as aforesaid and also his costs herein expended taxed to $ And it is also ordered adjudged and decreed that $1297.33 of the above Judgment bear interest at the rate of nine per cent per annum. and that $207.53 of Said Judgment bear interest at the rate of Six per cent per annum. It is also further ordered adjudged and decreed that if the defendants fail for ten days from this date to pay to Said plaintiff Said Sum of $1504.86 with interest to be computed on $1297.33 at the rate of nine per cent per annum and interest on $207.53 at the rate of Six per cent per annum, and also the costs herein expended, then and in that case that an order of Sale issue to the Sheriff of this County for the time being who is hereby appointed Special Masters commissioner for that purpose, Commanding him to proceed to appraise advertise and sell the lands and tenements in the petition and Mortgages described as upon Judgment at Law, and that he bring the money arising from Such Sale into court And as to Notes not yet due which are described in the petition this Cause is continued,

Adm'r

X

Nicholas H Burnham
vs
P. C. Johnston et al
Adm'r
A. K. Reed

This case reassigned and set for hearing on Saturday February 19th A. D. 1859

Thursday February 17th 1859

John Deen an alien and native of Ireland a free white person this day came into Court and proved to the satisfaction of the court that he made in this Court more than two years ago the requisite declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio and that during all that time he has behaved as a man of good moral character, attached to the principles of the Constitution of the United States and well disposed to the good order and happiness of the Same. And thereupon the said John Deen in open Court here made Solemn oath that he will support the Constitution of the United States and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and adjure all allegiance and fidelity to Every foreign Prince Potentate State or Sovereignty and particularly all allegiance and fidelity to Victoria Queen of Great Britin and Ireland Whereupon it is ordered by the Court that a certificate of naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this application.

John. Steirhoff an alien and native of Bravaria a free white person this day came into Court and proved to the satisfaction of the court that he made in this court two years ago the requisit declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio and that during all that time he has behaved as a man of good moral Character attached to the principles of the Constitution of the United States, and well disposed to the good order and happiness of the same and thereupon the said John Steirhoff in open court here made Solemn oath that he will support the Constitution of the United States and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and adjure all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign Prince Potentate State or Sovereignty and particularly all allegiance and fidelity to Victoria Queen of Great Britain and Ireland. Whereupon it is ordered by the Court that a certificate of Naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this application.

C. Fullington
vs
S. G. Johnson & J. Emmerson

This case reassigned and set for hearing on Saturday February 19th 1859.

Thursday February 17th 1859.

This day came the prosecuting attorney and William Alexander who was duly Subpoena to appear as a witness before the Grand Jury at this term of court to give testimony on behalf of the State of Ohio before the Grand Jury being Solemnly called to come forth and testify as such witness. came not but made default and thereupon on motion of the prosecuting attorney It is ordered that his default be and the same is hereby entered.

ON Motion of John L. Portes the prosecuting Attorney and it appearing to the court that William Alexander was personally served with a Subpoena to attend this court as a witness to give testimony before the Grand Jury on behalf of the State of Ohio and that he has made default It is therefore ordered that an attachment issue against the said William Alexander for contempt of court returnable on the first day of the next term of this court at ten oclock A. M. on said day.

No 21.

James O. Carter
vs.
B. W. Miller
O. Wells D. G.
Miller and Ebenezer
Davis defendants

This cause came on to be heard by the court upon the petition and answer and argument by counsel and the court being fully advised in the premises do find that at the time the bond in the petition set forth was executed it was the mutual intentions of the defendants B. W. Miller and ~~O. Miller~~ and the defendant Ebenezer Davis, that the said bond in the petition described should have the same force and effect against the said defendant B. W. Miller and O. Wells as it would have had if the names of the said B. W. Miller and O. Wells had been signed thereto by said D. G. Miller without any Scroll seals attached thereto and the court do further find that the said Johnathan G. Miller made said Scroll seals in ignorance of the fact that the legal effect of said seals would be to hold only himself liable upon the same and that said Ebenezer Davis received and accept the said bond in like ignorance of the legal effect of the said Scroll seals and believing that the said bond did bind the said B. W. Miller O. Wells and D. G. Miller and it is therefore adjudged and decreed Considered by the court that the said bond in the petition described be, and the same is hereby reformed and

Thursday February 17th 1859

amended by Striking out Said Seven Seals attached to the names of Said B. W. Miller and O. Wells and that Said bond shall have the Same legal effect against the Said B. W. Miller and O. Wells & E. S. Miller the Same had been in the first place executed by the Said E. S. Miller without the Said Seven Seals attached to the names of Said B. W. Miller and O. Wells and E. S. Miller the Said Wells by his counsel excepts to the aforesaid order & decision of the Court.

Robert McElroy
vs
David M. Miller et als

This cause come up for hearing on demurrer — Demurrer Sustained Demurrer withdrawn and Leave granted to Plaintiff to file an amended petition in thirty days It is ordered by the court that an injunction be allowed against the defendants in this case as asked in the petition, until the further order of this Court, and Cause Continued.

No 65.

Eliza McClary a married woman by her next friend P. B. Cole Plaintiff
vs.
P. Snider & James Kintrade Partners under the firm name of P. Snider & Co, Thomas Peacock & Wm McClary defendants

Allowance of Injunction

This day came the Parties by their Attorneys and this Cause was Submitted to the Court on the petition of Plaintiffs and the evidence, and was argued by Counsel whereupon the court do find that the equity of the case is with the plaintiff and that the property in this case was not Subject to the payment of the debts of William McClary & should not have been levied upon by the Constable to Satisfy the Judgment in favor of P. Snider and Co. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the injunction heretofore granted in this case be made perpetual and that the Said P. Snider and Co. be forever restrained from the sale of the personal property of Said Elizabeth McClary to satisfy their Judgment and that they be forever restrained from the Sale of the proceeds of the farm of Said Elizabeth McClary willed to her by her father Samuel Dynes (as set forth in the petition) in Satisfaction

No 28.

of said Judgment in favor of P. Snider Hsc. and. It is further ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the plaintiff in this case recover of the defendants their costs in and about this suit expended to fee to $ To all of which the defendants except

John W. Russel Plaintiff
vs. Civil Action
John Cassil Defendant

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and thereupon this cause came on to be herd on the demurrer of the said defendant to the petition of the said plaintiff, Whereupon it is considered and adjudged by the Court that the said demurrer be, and the same is hereby ~~sustained~~ overruled and that the said plaintiff have leave to amend ~~his petition~~ + make new Parties said petition in thirty days and this cause is Continued. (Thereupon the plaintiff excepts, and his exception is noted herein.

Ordered that the Clerk forward by mail to Jeff Alfs Delano Sapp & Smith a copy of this entry immediately.

This case was submitted to the Court by special agreement of attorneys for both parties.

Whereupon the Court adjourned Over till Tomorrow morning at 8 oclock A. M.

Wm Lawrence
Judge Court Com Pleas

Copy of this entry sent to the Attys as directed

Friday February 18th 1859.

This morning Court met pursuant to adjournment present Same Officers as on yesterday

No 51.

William Fulk
vs.
Vincent Brown
John McKoy

This case is settled at the costs of Plaintiff. Thereupon it is considered that the defendants recover of Plaintiff their costs taxed at $

No Record

Friday February 18th 1859

Vincent Brown
vs
William Sulk

No 52

This case is Settled at the costs of Plaintiff. Whereupon ~~the~~ It is considered and adjudged that the defendant recover of Plaintiff his costs herein taxed at $

No Record

George W. Hull
vs
George W. Coats - John B. Coats Jacob C. Sidle & Samuel Tyler

Petition on notes & Mortgages

And now comes the George W. Hull by his attorney S. W. McCoy and the said defendants John B. Coats ~~as~~ as proper person and as attorney for the others defendants George W. Coats, Samuel Tyler & Jacob C. Sidle waves the issuing and ~~love~~ Service of the process of Summons and Says that the said defendants George W. Coats as principal and John B. Coats Jacob C. Sidle Samuel Tyler as assignees of said George W. Coats are justly indebted to said George W. Hull the Sum of Four hundred and thirty dollars and fifty nine cents upon the promisory notes of said George W. Coats in the petition set forth and the said John B. Coats in his own behalf and in behalf of said George W. Coats Jacob C. Sidle - Samuel Tyler by the attorney confesses the same and wishes Judgment rendered against them therefor. It is therefor considered by the court here that the said plaintiff recover of said defts George W. Coats as principle and John B. Coats Jacob C. Sidle Samuel Tyler as assignees of said George W. Coats as aforesaid the said Sum of Four hundred and thirty dollars and fifty nine cents so as aforesaid confessed to be due said plaintiff from said defendants & interest at ten per cent per annum until paid. And it is further ordered & assigned that in case the defendants fail to pay said plaintiff said Sum of $430.59 by the 9th day of May next and costs of suit as aforesaid that an order issue to the Sheriff of said County commanding him to cause the lands and tenements in said petition & Mortgage described to wit, Situate in the Township of Claiborn in the County of Union Ohio and known as being a part of Section 6 2/3 and bounded as follows Beginning at three Beeches South East Corner and what was the Corner of George Clarks land in 1832, thence North

Natural

Friday February 18th 1859.

& west 80. purchas to a Stake thence South & East 149 purches to a Stake in what is known as the Richwood County road also in the line of said Clarks land thence North 85. East 80. purches to the place of begining containing Seventy four and one half acres of land More or less. and to Appraise advertise and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of Such Sale to the Satisfaction of Said Judgment so as aforesaid rendered.

No 21. James D. Carter vs. B. W. Miller, O. Wells & D. G. Miller

This day came the parties & thereupon came a jury to wit. John Newhouse, Luther Liggett, Samuel Waddle, Luther Wood, William Johns, William Inskeep, John Beaver, Adam Richey, W.m B. Dunfee, John C. Robinson, John Bonnell, Norton Scott, who being enpanneled and Sworn the truth to Speak and a true Verdict give on the issues joined, do find for the plaintiff and assess his damages at two hundred and fifty three 94/100 dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiff recover of the defendants the said Sum of two hundred and fifty three 94/100 dollars so found as aforesaid and interest thereon from the 15th day of February 1859. and his costs herein taxed to dollars And the Court do find that the defendants B.W. Miller and Orlando Wells are principal debtors and that said Jonathan G. Miller is Security.

Naturalization

Aaron S. Marx an alien and native of Hesse a free white person this day came into court and proved to the Satisfaction of the court that he made in this court two years ago the requisit declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio and that during all that time he has behaved as a man of good moral character attached to the principles of the constitution of the United States and well disposed to the good order and happiness of the Same and thereupon the said Aaron S. Marx in open court here made Solemn oath that he will Support the Constitution of the United States and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and adjure all allegiance and fidelity to

Friday February 18th 1859.

every foreign Prince Potentate State or Sovereignty and particularly all Allegience and fidelity to Victory Queen of Great Britain and Ireland. Whereupon it is ordered by the Court that a certificate of Naturalization be issued to him on payment of the Costs of this Application,

X / Henry S. Marriott vs Miller & Wells Continued

John Strubel an alien and native of Bavaria a free white person this day came into open Court and Naturalizon / made the declaration and proof required by the laws of the United States of Aliens who have resided in these States three years next preceding their arrival at the age of twenty one years, for the purpose of obtaining the benefit of the laws of the United States relating to Naturalization and thereupon the said John Strubel in open court here made Solemn oath, that he will support the Constitution of the United States and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and adjure all allegience and fidelity to every foreign Prince, Potentate State or, Sovereignty and Particularly all allegience and fidelity to Leudwick King of Bavaria. Whereupon It is ordered by the court that a certificates of naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this application,

X / O. Jewett Admx of }
S. S. Kirk dec'd }
vs } On Motion of Robinson & Robinson
Rowland Lee } Attorneys for plaintiff leave is
granted the plaintiff to make
further parties defendants & cause Continued

X / No 116. / E. A. Cassil }
vs } This day came the parties to this
Joshua Judy & } suit & this cause was argued on dem
James W. Robinson } urrer of the defendant Judy and
thereupon the court over ruled the demurrer & on leave is granted to the defendant Judy to answer by to morrow morning

Friday February 18th 1859

L. M. Fairbanks
vs
John McKeever et als

No. 78

This day came the parties to this suit and Submitted this case to the court and thereupon the court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition to be true It is therefore considered ordered and ~~decreed~~ adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant John McKeever the Sum of Sixty Eight & 72/100 dollars & Costs of this Suit, It is further ordered and decreed that the Sheriff of this county for the time being who is appointed Special master commissioner for that purpose sell the real Estate in Said ~~proceeding~~ described to pay Said debt & costs according to law & that the widow and heirs of George R. Hopkins dec'd convey to the purchaser thereof a deed for the same as by the contract of Said G. R. Hopkins they are bound to do & Nicholas Burkhart his Administrator is hereby authorized and required to execute a deed according by binding the heirs of G. R. Hopkins dec'd.

Peter Gray & wife
vs.
Thomas Smith Etals

No. 40

This day came the plaintiffs by their counsel & defendants came not but made default & thereupon neither party demanding a Jury the plaintiff Submitted this case to the court & thereupon the court do find that the defendants have paid on plaintiffs claim fifteen dollars since the commencement of Suit & there is yet due one dollar & fifty cents, It is therefore considered and adjudged that the plaintiffs recover of the defendant the Said Sum of one dollar & fifty cents and the costs of Suit in addition to Said fifteen dollars already paid

Sheldon Smith
vs.
Joshua Judy etals

No. 58

Leave granted to file an amended petition in thirty days and to make new parties, and continued

Friday February 18th 1859

	L. Nov. & R. R. Co. vs Joshua Judy	Continued by agreement of counsel with leave to apply in thirty days
	James Tullington vs Ira C. Johnson & Joseph Johnson	Dismissed without prejudice at plaintiffs Costs & it is therefor adjudged that the defendants recover of the plaintiff their costs herein expended to be taxed Dollars
	Coler & Sim vs Joseph Milvain et als	Continued for Service
	Ann Neil Adm & c vs Sam'l A. Cherry	Leave to amend petition in thirty days from the rising of this Court, and Continued
	A. A. Woodworth Admr &c vs John Perry	This day came the Plaintiff by his Attorney, and it being made to appear to the Court that this case had been settled by agreement of the Parties, and that the Plaintiff was to to have Judgment for the sum of Seventy five 44/100 Dollars. Therefor It is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the Plaintiff. A. A. Woodworth as administrator of the Estate of Elisha Reynolds dec'd recover of the said John Perry defendant, the sum of Seventy five 44/100 Dollars found due as aforesaid: And that the said John Perry Recover of said A. A. Woodworth as administrator as aforesaid his costs herein expended taxed to $

Friday February 18th 1859

No 83 / John Perry Plaintiff
vs
A. A. Woodworth Admr &c } This case is dismissed by the Court without prejudice, at the Costs of the Plaintiff.

&c

It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the Defendant A. A. Woodworth, Admr &c recover of the Plaintiff John Perry his costs herein expended taxed to $.

/ Titsworth vs Haines. Continued

~~Whereupon this Court adjourned until tomorrow (Saturday) morning at 8. O'clock~~

It is ordered by the Court that all the cases set for hearing on Monday the Sixth day of this Term, be reassigned & set for hearing on Saturday the fifth day of the Term, except those cases heretofore especially reassigned,

Whereupon this Court adjourned until tomorrow morning at 8. O'clock. A.M.

J. W. Laurence
Prop Court Com Plea

Saturday February 19th 1859

This morning the Court of Com Pleas of Union County met pursuant to adjournment. Present same officers as on yesterday.

No 4

No 10

Wm Neal Adm'r &c.
vs
Sam'l C. Cherry

Leave to amend petition this day; and Amended Demurer withdrawn leave to answer in ten days And Continued

No 27

Daniel H. Garwood &
William Gorton Administrator
of the estate of Benjamin Gorton deceased
vs
Jemison Hall

Civil Action

No 5

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and thereupon came a Jury to wit. John Clark, A. M. Keays, P. H. Feltner, William Soby, Henry Feltner, J. F. Miller, Levin Wright, William Foster, Washington Davis, John Moor, Semeul Myers & Noah Smith, who being duly empanneled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the parties upon their oaths do say We the Jury do find for the plaintiff and assess their damages at one hundred & Seven 68/100 dollars $107,68. (Signed) Myres Foreman ~~on the~~
On the trial before the jury a Bill of exception was taken by the plaintiffs which was signed and sealed by the Court and ordered to be made part of the record. And thereupon came the plaintiffs and moved the Court for a new trial for Reasons on file ~~which notice is Continued~~

State of Ohio
vs.
Daniel H. Converse

~~Perjury~~ Forgery

Ordered that George Lincoln Esqr be allowed $20. dollars to be paid for defending said Converse Subject to the approval of the County Commissioners.

No 46

G. A. Cassil
vs
Joshua Judy &
D. W. Robinson

This day came the parties to this cause and submitted this case to the court and thereupon the court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition are true & there is due the plaintiff from the defendant Joshua Judy the sum of one hundred and sixty one 50/100 dollars as claimed in the petition It is therefore considered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant Judy the said sum of one hundred and sixty one 50/100 dollars and his costs in this behalf expended to which & it is considered adjudged and decreed that the said James W. Robinson pay to said plaintiff the money in his hands for the purpose amounting to one hundred and sixty one 50/100 dollars, in discharge of the money in his hands with held for that purpose

No 55

P. Coe
vs
Isa C Johnson

This day came the parties & the defendant moved to dismiss the attachment in this case which is not yet decided & thereupon the plaintiff submitted this case to the Court, the defendant failing to answer or demur & made default. And the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the alligations of the petition are true and there is due the plaintiff from the defendant the sum of four hundred and forty four & 84/100 dollars on ten per cent interest - It is therefore considered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant said sum of four hundred and forty four & 84/100 dollars with interest costs herein & expended taxed to & and interest at ten per cent till paid

Wood & Reed
vs
Isa C. Johnson

This day came the parties & the defendant moved to dismiss the attachment in this case which is not yet decided & thereupon the plaintiff submitted this case to the court the defendant failing to answer or demur & made default, and the court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition are true and there is due the plaintiff from the defendant the sum of one thousand five hundred & forty two

Saturday February 19th A.D. 1859

Moses P. Rice
vs
David Marquis

~~Petition on Note & Mortgage~~

No 67

This day came the plaintiff by Hamilton & Lincoln his Attorneys And this case was heard by the Court, And the Court being fully advised in the premises, do ~~the~~ with the consent of the plaintiff find that there is due to plaintiff on the promisory note ~~& Mortgage~~ in the petition Set forth the Sum of Seven hundred & forty five $\frac{47}{100}$ dollars with interest thereon at Six per cent from the 15th day of February 1859

It is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff do recover of the defendant said Sum of $745 $\frac{47}{100}$ with interest thereon from the 15th day of February 1859 and costs of Suit taxed to dollars. ~~And it is further considered~~ ~~Ordered & adjudged by the Court that an Order~~ ~~issue to Abraham Wiley Sheriff of Union County~~ ~~who is hereby appointed Special Master Commissioner of this Court in this case commanding~~ ~~him to cause said lands & tenements in the~~ ~~petition described, to be appraised advertised~~ ~~and sold according to law and apply the~~ ~~proceeds of said Sale to the satisfaction of costs~~ ~~of said Judgement & costs so as aforesaid~~ ~~rendered~~

Samuel Wheeler &
Jesse Barnett
Administrators &c
vs
Calvin G Hays

No 80.

This cause came on to be heard by the Court and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that there is due to the plaintiffs from the defendant on the written agreement in the petition Set forth the Sum of Three hundred & thirteen $\frac{57}{100}$ dollars with interest thereon from the 15th day of February 1859 And it is therefore considered & adjudged by the Court that the plaintiffs recover of the defendant the Sum of three hundred & thirteen $\frac{57}{100}$ dollars

Saturday Febmary 19th A.D. 1859

with interest thereon from the 15th day Febmary 1859
And the costs of Suit herein taxed to dollars

No 76 — John Mitchell vs Isa C. Johnson et al

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorneys Hamilton & Lincoln & this cause came on to be heard by the Court and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that there is due to the plaintiff on the promisory note in the petition set forth the Sum of twelve hundred & ninety three 75/100 dollars And it is therefore considered & adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the said defendants the said Sum of twelve hundred & ninety three 75/100 dollars and his costs in this case expended taxed to dollars

No 70 — Charles Fullington vs Isa C. Johnsen & James Emersen

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorneys Hamilton & Lincoln & this cause was heard by the Court & the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that there is due to the plaintiff on the promisory note in the petition set forth the Sum of three hundred & forty Seven 87/100 dollars with interest thereon from the 15th day of Febmary 1859 at ten per cent, And it is therefore Considered & Adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff do recover of the defendants the said Sum of three hundred & forty Seven 87/100 dollars with interest thereon from the 15th day of Febmary 1859 at ten per cent And his costs herein expended taxed to dollars

No 9 — Adam Shower & Wife vs Benj. Tappan — Continued

Saturday February 19th A.D. 1859

No 66. Nicholas H Burnham Admr &c vs James Emerson et als / Ja Em H Johnson & S.K. Reed } Petition on Note. This cause came on to be heard by the Court this day & the defendants failing to answer or demur to the petition and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that there is due to the plaintiff as Admr of Geo R. Hopkins' estate from the said defendants on the promisory note in the petition set forth the Sum of five hundred & fifty eight 47/100 dollars with interest from the 15th day of February 1859 And it is further Considered & adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff as said Admr do recover of the said defendants the said Sum of five hundred & fifty eight 47/100 dollars with interest from the 15th day of February 1859 so found due as aforesaid and also his costs herein expended taxed to dollars

No 5

(No 56) ✓ T. L. Coe. vs Ira C. Johnson } This day came the parties & the defendant moved to dismiss the attachment in the case which is not yet decided and thereupon the plaintiff Submitted this case to the court the defendant failing to answer or demur and made default and the court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition are true and there is due to the plaintiff from the defendant the Sum of Three hundred and Eighty three 97/100 dollars on ten per cent interest It is further Considered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant said Sum of Three hundred & Eighty three 97/100 dollars with his costs herein expended taxed to $ and interest at ten per cent till paid

No 47

No 54 ✓ Wood & Reed vs Ira C. Johnson } This day came the parties & the defendant moved to dismiss the attachment in the case which is not yet decided and thereupon the plaintiff Submitted

Saturday February 19th A.D. 1859

this case to the court, the defendant failing to answer or demur and default and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition are true and there is due to the plaintiff from the defendant the sum of One Thousand Five hundred & forty two & 46/100 dollars on ten per cent interest It is further considered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant said Sum of One Thousand five hundred & forty two & 46/100 dollars (1542.46) with his costs herein expended to which amount interest at ten per cent to be paid

No 57

Charles Erb & Co vs Dra, C. Johnson } This day came the parties and the defendant moved to dismiss the attachment in this case which is not yet decided and thereupon the plaintiff submitted this case to the Court, the defendant failing to answer or demur and made default, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition are true and there is due to the Plaintiffs from the defendants the sum of Two Hundred & Ninety five & 73/100 Dollars ($295.73) on ten per cent interest = It is further considered and adjudged that the plaintiffs recover of the defendant said sum of Two Hundred & Ninety-five & 73/100 Dollars. with his costs herein taxed to $

No 47

Henry Duffield Plff
Sarah Duffield
vs
Joshua Judy Deft } This day came the parties by their attorneys & the Plaintiff filed an amended petition and this cause came on to be heard, and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition to be true, and that there is due from the defendant to the Plaintiff on the account set forth in the petition,

Saturday February 19th A.D. 1859

the sum of Two Hundred & Ninety nine 73/100 dollars. ($299.73) It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the Plaintiff Henry Daffield recover of the defendant Joshua Judy said sum of Two Hundred Ninety nine & 73/100 Dollars found due as aforesaid and also his costs herein expended taxed to $.

No 33 — R. S. Spencer vs S. Y. Foster Etals — Leave granted to Sammy Foster to file an amended answer in three days=

No 81 — Samuel R. Sanders. vs Benjamin Pierce — This cause was this day heard by the Court and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that there is due to the plaintiff on the note and Mortgage in the petition set forth the sum of $397.50 as claimed in the Petition: and it is therefor considered and adjudged by the Court that the said Plaintiff ~~recover~~ of the said defendant, on the note and mortgage in the petition set forth, said sum of $397.50 with interest thereon from the 15th day of February 1859 and costs of suit taxed to $

No 73

And it is further considered adjudged and ordered by the Court that an order issue to Abraham Wolfe Sheriff of Union County, who is hereby appointed Special Master Commissioner of this Court in this case, commanding him to cause the land and tenements in the Petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale to the satisfaction of the Judgment so as aforesaid rendered. And by consent of parties it is ordered that the order of sale

Saturday February 19th A.D. 1839

do not issue till the 27th day of April next

No 39

Benjamin Carter vs John A. Pressley } This day came the said parties & their attorneys & thereupon came a Jury, to wit: John Newhouse, Luther Leggett, John Clark, A. M. Keays, J. H. Fellner, Samuel Waddle, William Tobey, Luther Wood, William Johns, William Dashiell, Henry Fellner, and John Reavers, who being empannelled and sworn the truth to speak on the issue joined between the parties, upon their oaths do say "We the Jury find for the plaintiff and assess his damages at thirty four dollars ($34.00)

Therefore It is considered & adjudged by the Court that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said sum of $34.00 together with his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed to $.

No 73

John Barbour vs Wm Powell } This cause having been assigned by the Clerk for hearing on Monday Feb 21st 1859 - It is ordered by the Court that the same be reassigned. And. This day came the parties & their attorneys, and this cause came on to be heard upon the demurrer of the defendant to Petition of the Plaintiff, and was argued by Counsel, and the Court being fully advised in the premises, are of opinion that the petition of the Plaintiff is sufficient in Law, and the Court therefore overrule the demurrer of the defendant, And the defendant being in default, and not asking leave to answer over, and having by his demurrer admitted the allegations of the petition to be true.

Saturday Feb'y 19th A.D. 1859

It is therefor ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the Contract of purchase and sale of the property described in the petition be and the same is hereby set aside rescinded, annulled, and that the same be held of no effect in Law; & It is further considered and decreed by the Court that if the defendant fails for 40 days from this date to deliver the possession of the property to the Plaintiff then and in that case that an order or writ of restitution issue to the Sheriff of this County commanding him to restore the plaintiff to the possession of the premises described in the petition.

And by the consent of Parties. It is further ordered adjudged & decreed by the Court that the said John Barbour recover of said William Norvell the sum of Forty dollars ($40) in lieu of his right to any balance on account of rents & profits due to said Barbour: and that the Plaintiff recover of the defendant his costs herein expended taxed to $

No 1 X Adms of S. L. Miller vs Stephen McLain } Continued

No 2 Jas. T. Wells & B. Wood Adms vs Jane White } Continued

No 3 S. Wood & Son vs S. G. Strong } Left off the Docket

No 4 Reuben A. Lamb vs Alexander Cowgill } Left off the Docket

No 5 Jas. T. Galloway vs A. L. Alden } Left off the Docket

Saturday February 19th A.D. 1859

It is Ordered that John L. Porter the Prosecuting Attorney be allowed the Sum of three hundred dollars for the present year And that the Sheriff Abraham Wiley be allowed the Sum of One hundred dollars to be paid out of the County Treasury On the Order of the County Auditor

No 6	Elnathan P. Hathoway vs John W. Tibbatts	Continued
No 7	D. W. Andrews admx. vs R. P. Mann	Continued
No 8	James W. Custus vs Francis B. Harriott	Continued
No 10	Richard Cowling vs James Guy	Left off the Docket
	John H. James vs Norman Reed	Continued under former order
	D. W. Anders admx of L. Starke vs Hamilton Epps & Epps Epps	Left off the Docket
No 17	Geo. T. Williamson vs George Stewart et. als.	Continued with leave to make new parties

Saturday February 19th A. D. 1859

State of Ohio. vs Daniel H. Converse } On Wednesday the 16th day of February 1859. came as well the defendant in this case as the Prosecuting attorney. and thereupon came a Jury to wit: John Newhouse. Luther Leggett. John Clark. A M. Hays. J. H. Falkner Samuel Waddle. William Lobey. Luther Wood William Johns. William Lukehart. Henry Falkner & John Reavers. who being duly empannelled & sworn the truth to say upon the issue Joined between the parties. upon their oath do say that the defendant is not guilty in manner and form as he was charged in the indictment.

Therefore it is considered by the Court that the defendant Daniel. H. Converse go hence without day.

93 Adam Brake vs Joel Armstrong } Appeal Settled as per agreement of Defendants costs. It is therefore considered & adjudged by the Court here that the plaintiff recover of the defendant herein his costs and charges in this behalf expended taxed to $

Whereupon this Court adjourned until the first day of March A. D. 1859 at 10 oclock A. M.

Tuesday March 1st A. D. 1839

This day the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Union in the State of Ohio met pursuant to adjournment. Present Benjamin Metcalf Judge, Abraham Wiley Sheriff John L. Porter Prosecuting Attorney And Taber Randall Clerk

Jacob C. Sidle & Lucinda Vansant Exrs of the Estate of L. Vansant Plffs
vs
John Moler et, als. Defts

This day came the plaintiffs by their Attorneys Hamilton & Lincoln & this Cause came on to be heard And the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that there is due to the plaintiffs as Executors of the estate of John L. Vansant deceased on the notes now due & on the Mortgage in the petition set forth the Sum of $248.82 with Interest thereon at Six per cent from the 15th day of February 1839 Wherefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiffs as said Executors do recover of the defendants on said notes now due & on the Mortgage in the petition set forth the sum of $248.82 So found due and their costs herein expended taxed to dollars

And the Court do further Order adjudge & decree that an Order issue to Abraham Wiley Sheriff of Union County who is hereby appointed Special master Commissioner of this Court in this Case commanding him to cause said lands in the petition described to be appraised advertised & Sold according to law & apply the proceeds of said sale to the payment & Satisfaction of the Judgement so as aforesaid rendered: And further that said Abraham Wiley bring into Court So much of the moneys after Satisfaction of said Judgement as will pay the notes in the petition set forth which are not now due together with the Interest thereon

March 1st A D, 1839

R. S Spencer
vs
Samuel T. Foster & Ormsbee & Barnard

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorneys Hamilton & Lincoln and this cause came to be heard by the Court & the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that there is due to the plaintiff from the said Samuel T. Foster & Ormsbee & Barnard the Sum of One hundred & twenty One 70/100 dollars with interest thereon at Six per cent per annum from the 15th day of February 1839. Wherefore it is considered & adjudged by the Court here that the plaintiff recover of the defendants Samuel T. Foster & Ormsbee & Barnard said Sum of One hundred & twenty one 70/100 dollars with interest at Six per cent from the 15th day February 1839 & the costs of this suit taxed at dollars

I. R. Young
vs
John K. Bowen et als

Petition on note & Mortgage

On motion to the Court by Robinson & Robinson Attorneys for R. S. Roadhamel and it appearing that said Roadhamel is the Owner & holder of the third & last note secured by said Mortgage & the said R. S. Roadhamel is ordered to be & is hereby made a party defendant to this action & to the Judgement heretofore rendered & granted leave to On pleadings ~~to be served with proces~~ Served with proces & the proceedings of the Sale thereof

Elizabeth Williams
vs
William R. Ogborn & others

Continued, with leave to amend petition,

Tuesday March 1st A. D. 1859

Eliza McClary by her
next friend P. B. Cale
vs
P Snider & Kinkade partners
in trade under firm name
of P. Snider & Co Thomas Pacock
& William McClary } Notice of Appeal
by Defendants Entered march 1st 1859
Amount of bond $200.00

Wm Scofild & Julia E Scofild
his wife
vs
Jacob Bowersmith &
Frederick Duvall } Second Trial Docket

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and it appearing to the Court that this was Settled and that agreement of Settlement is on file In accordance with said agreement & by consent of the parties it is Ordered adjudged & decreed by the Court that the defendants recover of the plaintiffs the sum of $24.16 costs And It is also Ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the plaintiffs recover of the defendants the sum of $57.99 costs herein expended that being the amount taxed by the Clerk after deducting the amount agreed to be paid by the plaintiffs for which Judgement is rendered above. And it is also agreed by the parties that this cause is to be dismissed without record

P.W. Alden et als,
vs
Elijah Hill et als, } On motion of Counsel of plaintiffs this cause came up for hearing & was Submitted to the Court & the proceedings & report of the Sheriff & Special Master Commissioner heretofore appointed by the Court in this case having been approved & confirmed by said Court at the November Term thereof 1857 & it appearing that no deed has been made to the purchasers of the real estate so sold and confirmed as aforesaid as Ordered by said Court at said Term & the official term of said Master Commissioner having since expired & it appearing also that said purchasers have since

Tuesday March 1st A.D. 1859

Aspigned their interest in said purchase to John Reed 3d Thereupon it is ordered & Adjudged by the Court that Abraham Wiley Esq. Sheriff of Union County be appointed Special Master Commissioner to make a deed in fee Simple for said premises & he is hereby Ordered to make said deed to said John Reed 3d Aspignee of said purchasers.

Nicholas Hathaway Administrator of the Estate of Elnathan P. Hathaway dec'd
vs
Charles M. Robinson Margaretta L. Robinson Darius Burton & Rachel M. Burton, William Hewey F. M. Robinson & Mary J. Robinson James H. Roney, John M. Roney & Albert Chapman

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorneys Hamilton & Lincoln & the defendant Albert Chapman by his Attorney John B. Coalz And this cause came on to be heard by the Court upon the petition & the Demurer thereto by the defendant Albert Chapman & the Court after hearing the arguments of Counsel did overule the demurer & Ordered the defendant to Answer the petition instanter whereupon the said Albert Chapman answered the petition and thereupon this cause came on to be further heard by the Court upon the petition and answer of said defendant, and all the other defendants having failed to demur or answer to the petition and neither the plaintiff nor the said defendant Chapman requiring a Jury said cause was heard & tried by the Court upon the issues Joined between the plaintiff and the said defendant Chapman upon the evidence and arguments of Counsel And the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that there is due to the plaintiff as Administrator of the estate of Elnathan P. Hathaway deceased, On the two causes of action in the petition Set forth to wit; On the note & Mortgage in the first cause of action in the petition mentioned the Sum of One thousand three hundred & fifty two 77/100 dollars and on the note and Mortgage in the Second cause of action in the petition mentioned the Sum of One thousand three hundred & forty three 66/100 dollars with Interest on both of said Sums So found due at ten per cent per Annum from this date And that said two Sums so found due together amount to the Sum of two thousand Six hundred & ninety Six 44/100 dollars.

Tuesday March 1st A.D. 1859

Whereon it is considered & adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff as Administrator as aforesaid do recover of the said C. M. Robinson on said first & Second causes of action in his petition Set forth the said Sum of two thousand Six hundred & ninety Six 43/100 dollars with interest from this date at ten per cent So found due as aforesaid and his costs herein taxed to dollars

And the Court do further find that the defendants Darius Burton & Rachel M. Burton, William Hewey & Elizabeth Hewey, J. M. Robinson & Mary J. Robinson James H. Roney & John M. Roney do own a certain mortgage in the petition mentioned on the third tract of land in both the first & Second causes of action described to Secure the payment of the Sum of Seven hundred & Seventy four 80/100 dollars with interest thereon from the 18th day of April 1850 at Six per cent and the Court does further find that said Sum of Seven hundred & Seventy four 80/100 dollars with the interest thereon to this date amounts to One thousand One hundred & eighty Seven 75/100 dollars which Sum the Court finds due to the said defendants with interest at Six per cent from this date And that said Sum with interest thereon from this date is Secured by said Mortgage now Owned as aforesaid by said defendants & that the Same is prior in point of time & legal effect as a Mortgage on the third tract of land in both the plaintiffs causes of action described, to the Mortgages of the plaintiff in both his said causes of action Set forth

The Court does further find that Subsequent to the time when the said Charles M. Robinson & the said Margaretta Robinson executed & delivered to said Nathan Hathaway deceased the said two mortgages Set forth in the petition by copy, & long after the same were recorded to wit on the 9th of April 1856 the said Charles M. Robinson & Margaretta Robinson conveyed to the aforesaid James H. Roney a portion of the land in the plaintiffs two causes of action & in his two Mortgages described to wit, acres

It is therefore Ordered adjudged & decreed that an Order issue to Abraham Wiley Sheriff of Union County who is hereby appointed Special Master

Tuesday March 1st A. D. 1859

Commissioner of this Court in this case commanding him to cause the lands & tenements in the plaintiffs petition described to be appraised advertised & Sold according to law & that he apply so much of the proceeds of the Sale of the third tract in both the plaintiffs causes of action described to the Satisfaction of the said Sum of $1187 75/100 so found due as aforesaid to said Darius Burton et. als, as will Satisfy the same with the interest and that he apply the ballance of the proceeds of the Sale of said third described tract & the proceeds of all the other lands so ordered as aforesaid to be appraised advertised & Sold to the Satisfaction of the Judgement so as aforesaid rendered in favor of the plaintiff for the Sum of $2696 43/100 with the interest thereon from this date after first paying the costs herein.

It is further Ordered that the said Abraham Wiley Special Master Commissioner first Sell all the lands in the plaintiffs petition described except so much thereof as may be included in the following described tract which has been sold by said Charles M. Robinson & Margaretta L. Robinson Since the making delivering & recording of the plaintiffs two Mortgages in the petition Set forth by copy to wit, on the 9th day of April 1856 to said James H. Reney to wit So much thereof as is included in the following tract of land. Beginning at two elms & an ash westerly corner to Survey No 419 & Southwesterly corner of Survey No 5417 thence with the Original north line of Survey No 419 S 52 1/2 W 102 poles to a Stake thence N 31 1/2 W 145 poles to a Stake thence N 55 1/2 E 124 8/10 poles to a Stake in the center of the free pike from Bellefontaine to Columbus thence with said pike S 52° 50' E 38 8/10 poles to a Stake thence S 49 1/2 W 37 4/10 poles to a Stake in the Original east line of Survey No 419 thence with said line thence S 52° 50 E 103 8/10 poles to the beginning containing one hundred acres more or less the said lands covered by said plaintiffs two mortgages & included in the above described tract being a acres of the above described tract

And it is Ordered that in case said lands covered by said two mortgages & not included in the tract above described Shall not Sell for a Sum Sufficient

February Tuesday March 1st A.D. 1859

to Satisfy said claim of $1187 75/100 dollars due said Darius Burton et.als, & the sum of $26 96 43/100 so found due to the plaintiff as aforesaid then that he proceed to sell the land so sold as aforesaid to said James H. Roney included in the above described tract & apply the proceeds of the Sale to the payment of said Judgement so as aforesaid rendered in favor of the plaintiff

The Court does further find that the said Mortgage of the said Darius Burton et als aforesaid & in the petition mentioned And the two Mortgages of the plaintiff in his petition mentioned are prior in point of time & in effect as Mortgages & that they are a prior lien to the Mortgage of the said defendant Albert Chapman in the plaintiffs petition mentioned and in the answer of the said Albert Chapman Set forth marked "A"

And it is further Ordered & adjudged that the proceeds of the Sale of said lands in the petition described after paying the liens having priority as herein before found to the lien of the defendant Albert Chapman be paid to said Albert Chapman defendant if any residue there be,

Nicholas Hathaway Administrator of the estate of Nathan P. Hathaway dec'd
vs
Charles M. Robinson Margaretta Robinson James H. Roney and Albert Chapman

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorneys Hamilton & Lincoln and this cause came on to be heard upon the petition and demurrers thereto by the defendant Albert Chapman And the Court after hearing the arguments of Counsel did overrule the demurrer & Order the defendant to answer the petition instanter Whereupon the said defendant Answered the petition and this cause came on to be further heard upon the petition and Answer of the said Albert Chapman And all the other defendants having failed to Answer or demur to the petition And neither the plaintiff nor the said Albert Chapman requiring a Jury said cause was heard & tried by the Court upon the issues Joined between the plaintiff and the

Tuesday March 1st A. D. 1859.

said defendant, upon the evidence & arguments of Counsel & the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that there is due to the plaintiff as Administrator of the said Estate Nathan P. Hathaway decd on the first cause of action in the petition set forth the sum of eleven hundred and thirty nine dollars with interest thereon at nine per cent per this date. And the Court do further find that there is due to the plaintiff as such Administrator on the second cause of action in the petition set forth the Sum of ~~Sum of~~ Sixty three 64/100 dollars with interest at Six per cent from this date.

Wherefore it is considered & adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff as administrator as aforesaid do recover of the said C. M. Robinson on said first cause of action in his petition set forth said Sum of eleven hundred and thirty nine dollars with nine per cent interest thereon from this date and costs of Suit. And it is further considered & adjudged by the Court that the said plaintiff as such Administrator do recover of the defendant C. M. Robinson on the second cause of action in the petition set forth said sum of Sixty three 64/100 dollars with interest from this date at Six per cent.

The Court does further find that the amount adjudged to be due to the plaintiff on the first cause of action in the petition set forth is secured by Mortgage on the land in the petition described and that the same is a Mortgage lien on said land & that the said lien is prior in point of time & in effect to that set up & claimed by said defendant Albert Chapman

The Court does further find that the said C. M. Robinson & Margaretta Robinson at a time subsequent to the execution & delivery to plaintiff & recording of said Mortgage of the plaintiff in his petition set forth by copy to wit. on the 9th of April 1856 the said Charles M. Robinson & Margaretta L. Robinson conveyed to the defendant James H. Roney Acres of the land in the plaintiffs Mortgage described & included

The Court do further find that the Mortgage of the said plaintiff in his petition set forth by copy is prior in point of time & in effect as a Mortgage to a prior lien to the said Mortgage of the defendant Albert Chapman in said defendants answer set forth

Tuesday March 1st A.D. 1859

and in the plaintiffs petition mentioned,

Wherefore it is Ordered adjudged & decreed by the Court here that an Order issue to Abraham Wiley Sheriff of Union County who is hereby appointed Special Master Commissioner of this Court in this case commanding him to cause the lands & tenements in the petition & attached Mortgage mentioned, to be appraised advertised & Sold according to law, And apply the proceeds of said Sale to the payment of said Judgement on the plaintiffs first cause of action So as aforesaid rendered for $1139 00 with interest from this date

It is further ordered & adjudged that said Special Master Commissioner first Sell So much of the lands and tenements in the plaintiffs petition & attached Mortgage described as may not be included in the boundaries of the tract of land being described as follows to wit beginning at two elms & an ash westerly corner of Survey No 419 & Southwesterly corner of Survey No 5417 thence with the original north line of Survey No 419 S 52 1/2 W 145 poles to a stake. thence N. 31 1/2 W. 145 poles to a stake in the center of the free turnpike from Bellefontaine to Columbus thence with said pike S 52° 50' E. 38 8/10 poles to a stake thence S 49 1/2 W 37 4/10 poles to a stake in the original east line of Survey No 419 thence with said line S 52° 50' E. 103 8/10 poles to the beginning containing One hundred acres more or less. the said land covered by plaintiffs said Mortgage and included in the above described tract being acres of the above described tract

And it is Ordered & adjudged that in case said lands & tenements covered by said Mortgage of plaintiff & not included in the above described tract Sold as aforesaid to said James H. Roney by said Charles M. & Margarella L. Robinson Shall not Sell for a Sum Sufficient to pay said plaintiffs Judgement So as aforesaid rendered on his first cause of action for $1139 00 & interest from this date then that he proceed to Sell the land So Sold to James H. Roney included in the above described tract and apply the proceeds of said Sale to the Satisfaction of said judgement in favor of said plaintiff.

And it is further ordered that in case said Sum of $63 64/100 So found due as aforesaid on the plaintiff Second cause of action rendered that Execution issue therefor.

And it is further Ordered and adjudged that the

Tuesday March 1st A.D. 1859

proceeds of the Sale of said lands in the petition described after paying the liens having priority as herein before found to the lien of the defendant Albert Chapman be paid to said Albert Chapman if any residue there be.

It is Ordered by the Court that all causes and matters not otherwise disposed of Stand Continued,
And thereupon this Court Adjourned without day.

W^m Lawrence
Judge

John Houser an alien & native of Wirtemberg Germany a free white person this day personally came before me Taber Randall Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas in & for the County of Union in the State of Ohio & declared by his Solemn oath that he first arrived in the United States in the month of July A.D. 1856 And that it is bona fide his intention to become a citizen of United States And to renounce forever all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign Prince, Potentate State and Sovereignty whatsoever & particularly to Wilhelm King of Wertemberg
September 19, 1859 Taber Randall Clerk

Monday May 7th A.D. 1859

This day the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Union in the State of Ohio convened in pursuance to law present William Lawrence Presiding Judge Abraham Wiley Sheriff, John L. Poke Prosecuting Attorney and Taber Randall Clerk

This day the Jurors of the Grand Jury being called came to wit: John McCombs, Elisha Wells, John Gray William McCrary, William Graham, Arad Franklin, Henry Doling, G. W. Thompson, John Maskel, D. M. Robinson Truston Ford, Levi Sivey, Stephen T. Davis, John Wood & John M. Blue regular Jurors

And the Court appointed Stephen T. Davis, Foreman and the Jurors being regularly empanneled and sworn were charged by the Court, and retired to their room to deliberate under the charge of a sworn Constable

John Harshfield Plaintiff
vs
Harvey Smith & Catherine Smith his wife defendants

Petition on note and Mortgage

And now comes the said John Harshfield by Stanton & Allison his Attorneys, and the said Harvey Smith and Catharine Smith his wife still failing to demur or answer to the said petition, it is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises: And the Court with the Consent of the said plaintiff find that there is due from the said defendant, Harvey Smith to the said plaintiff upon the note in the said petition set forth of November 14th 1856 payable two years thereafter with ten per cent interest after due, the sum of four hundred and fifty eight dollars and seventy five cents.

It is therefore considered by the said Court here that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant Harvey Smith the said sum of four hundred and fifty eight dollars and seventy five cents. the ~~sum~~ sum so found as due as aforesaid and also his costs taxed to $

And it is ordered that this Judgement draw ten per cent interest until paid. And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendants fail ~~for~~ ten days from the close of this term of the Court to pay to the said plaintiff the said sum of four hundred and fifty eight dollars and seventy five cents so as aforesaid found due with interest and costs of suit, an order issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union

Monday May 9th A. D. 1859

commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised, advertised and sold, according to law and apply the proceeds of said sale or so much as may be necessary in satisfaction of the said judgement so as aforesaid rendered, and that he hold the ballance of the proceeds of said sale subject to the further order of this Court herein, in regard to the two notes to the plaintiff secured by said Mortgage and which are not yet due, in regard to which this cause is continued

X	State of Ohio vs Furman Correll	Continued
X	The State of Ohio vs. Furman Correll —	Continued
X	The State of Ohio vs William Riddle —	Continued by order Pros. Atty
X	The State of Ohio vs. William Riddle	Continued by order Pros. Atty
X	The State of Ohio vs. William Riddle,	Cont'd by order Pros Atty
X	The State of Ohio vs Abraham Whelpley —	Continued
X	The State of Ohio vs Furman Correll —	Cont'd
X	The State of Ohio vs Furman Correll	Cont'd
X	The State of Ohio vs. Isaac Decker —	Cont'd for Service
X	The State of Ohio vs. Isaih Shout	Cont'd
X	The State of Ohio vs James M. Wilson.	Cont'd for Service
X	The State of Ohio vs James M. Wilson	Cont'd for Service
X	The State of Ohio vs James M Wilson	Cont'd for Service

Monday May 9th A. D. 1839

x		The State of Ohio vs Isaac Morse	Contd for Service
x		The State of Ohio vs William Riddle	Contd by order Pros Atty
x	1	Lessee of Saml Stokely vs John Williams Et Als	Contd
x	2	Chas R Deming vs Jas M. Snodgrass	Contd
x	4	Curtis Kempton vs Hannah Kempton	Contd
x	5	F.B. Manchester vs Conydon Winkler	Contd
x	6	John Morrison vs Joseph Biddle	Contd
x	7	Jas C Sykes Executrix vs Harrison Rice & others	Contd
x	9	Ohio Life Ins & Trust Co vs A. S. Alden	Contd
x	10	Calvin F. Hays vs Scott et als	Contd
x	11	Andy Gandy vs Amanda Gandy	Contd
x	12	John W. Russell vs John Cassil	Contd under former order
x	13	Isaac Garretson vs James Wilson Et als	Contd under former order
x	14	George T. Williamson vs George Stewart et als	Contd under former order
x	15	C. S. Hamilton vs Jas O Carter	Contd
	16	Jas Guy vs C. P. & Pa. R.R.	Settled & costs paid.
x	17	Elizabeth Williams &c vs Wm E Ogborn Et als	Contd under former orders
x		Manchester & Situs vs A. S Davis & Jas R Smith	Contd
x		E. Hammond vs Jacob Myers	Contd
x		Allen W. Harris vs Lucy M. Stokes Admx &c	Contd
x		Allen W. Harris vs Chas Fullington	Leave to answer in 30 days Contd

Monday May 9th A. D. 1859

X | The State of Ohio vs James Decker | For Gaming
This day came into open Court Samuel Linder & acknowledged himself to owe & stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the Sum of fifty dollars to be levied of his goods & chattels lands & tenements if default be made in the following condition to wit that the said Samuel Linder be & appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union on the first day of the next Term thereof at ten O'clock A. M. to give evidence in a cause therein pending wherein the said State of Ohio is plaintiff & the said James Decker is defendant and not depart the Court without leave then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be & remain in full force,

The State of Ohio vs Isaiah Shout | Retailing.
This day came into open Court Nathan M. Turk & acknowledged himself to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the Sum of Fifty dollars to be levied of his goods and chattels, lands & tenements if default be made in the following condition, to wit- that the said Nathan M. Turk be & appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union on the first day of the next term thereof at Ten O'clock A.M. to give evidence in a cause therein pending wherein the said State of Ohio is plaintiff & the said Isaiah Shout is defendant and not depart the Court without leave, then this recognizance to be void, otherwise to be and remain in full force

former order

order

order

X | The State of Ohio vs James M. Wilson | Retailing.
This day came into Court D. T. Paul, David Flynn & Abram Myers & acknowledged themselves to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the Sum of One hundred & fifty dollars each, to be levied of their goods and chattels, lands & tenements if default be made in the following condition to wit, that the said D. T. Paul, David Flynn & Abram Myers be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union on the first day of the next term thereof at Ten O'clock A. M

or order

Cont'd

Monday May 9th A. D. 1859

to give evidence in three several causes. wherein the State of Ohio is plaintiff and James M Wilson is Defendant and not depart the Court without leave then this recognizance to be void, Otherwise to be and remain in full force

Jacob F. Hauser an Alien and Native of the Kingdom of Wurtemburg in Germany, a free white person this day came into Court and proved to the satisfaction of the Court that he made in this Court two years ago the requisite declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States, that he has resided in the United States for five years last past that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio and that during all that time, he has behaved as a man of good Moral Character attached to the principles of the Constitution of the United States and well disposed to the good order and happiness of the same. And thereupon the said Jacob F. Hauser in Open Court made solemn oath that he will support the Constitution of the United States, and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and abjure all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign Prince, Potentate, State or Sovereignty and particularly all allegiance to William King of Wurtemburg whose subject he was. Whereupon it is ordered by the Court that a certificate of Naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this application

John George Nissle, an Alien and native of Wurtemburg a free white person this day came into Open Court and made the declaration and proof required by the laws of the United States of Aliens who have resided in these States three years next preceding their arrival at the age of twenty One years, for the purpose of obtaining the benefit of the laws of the United States relating to Naturalization. And thereupon, the said John George Nissle in Open Court here made solemn oath, that he has resided One Year last past in the State of Ohio and that during all that time he has behaved as a man of good Moral character, attached to the principles of the Constitution of the United States and well disposed to the good

Monday May 9th A. D. 1859

order and happiness of the same. And thereupon the said John George Kissle in open Court here made Solemn Oath that he will support the Con= =stitution of the United States, and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and abjure all allegiance and fidelity to every Foreign Prince, Potentate, State or Sovereignity and particularly all Allegiance and fidelity to William King of Wurtemburg whose Subject he was. Wherefore it is Ordered by the Court that a Certificate of Naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this Application.

The State of Ohio
vs
Simon Bennet
Julius Bennet &
Benjamin Baley

Indictment for Gaming.

The defendants Simon Bennet & Benjamin Bailey this day were arraigned & the Indictment being read to them was asked how of the premises they would acquit themselves for plea say that they are guilty in Manner and form as they stand charged in said indictement.— Whereupon it is considered by the Court that the said Simon Bennet and Benjamin Bailey make their fine unto the State of Ohio in the Sum of One dollar each and costs of prosecution taxed at $—. And as to Julius Bennet continued for service.

Ann Neil Admx of
Solomon Neil deceased
vs
L. A. Cherry

Civil Action

And now come the parties by their Attorneys and thereupon this action came on for trial upon the petition answer and evidence and neither party requiring a Jury the same was submitted to the Court upon the issue joined between the parties, and was argued by Counsel. In Consideration whereof the Court do find that the allegations contained in the said petition and denied by the said defendant in his answer are not true in Manner and form as the said plaintiff has in her petition set forth.— It is therefore considered and adjudged that the said defendant go hence without day and

against the said plaintiff his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed at dollars and Cents

Thereupon came the plaintiff & filed his bill of exceptions which were signed by the Court & ordered to be made part of the record hereof.

Whereupon this Court adjourned until eight o'clock tomorrow Morning.

Wm Lawrence
Judge Court Com Pleas
3 Judicial District

Tuesday, May 10th 1859

This morning the Court of Common Pleas of Union County met pursuant to adjournment. Present same as on Yesterday

X Henry Neal
vs
O Hammond
} On motion of Counsel of Plaintiff leave is granted to plaintiff to file his petition in twenty days & this case is continued:

X Jacob Bowersmith Jr
vs
Jacob Bowersmith Sen
} Leave is granted the plaintiff to file his petition in this case in twenty days. & this Cause is Continued.

X Hugh M. Tenant, Guardian &c
vs
Minerva Kline Et als
} Leave is granted to the defendants to file an answer in twenty days And Cause Continued

X Sharp
vs
Grubbs
} Leave granted the plaintiff to file petition in Twenty days & Cause is Continued

Tuesday May 10th A.D. 1859

George L. Coe. Truster vs Thomas Bacbck et als — Continued

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the bar of the Court and presented their bill of indictment against John Riley for Burglary Endorsed "A true bill. S. T. Davis Foreman" and there being other business before them they retired again to deliberate.

Wm H. Cartmell
vs
John Graham et als

This day came the plaintiff by his counsel and submitted this Case to the Court and thereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises and finding the debt has been paid out of property of the principal debtor and the costs yet remaining unpaid and the Court being fully advised in the premises do consider, order and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant John M. Robinson the costs of this suit taxed to $ to be paid out of the property in his hands as indemnity

Recorded in Book S page 302

The State of Ohio
vs
John Ryley

Indictment for
The defendaud came into Court in his own proper person, and being Arraigned and said Indictment read to him for plea Says that he is not guilty; And it appearing to the Court that the defendant is without Counsel and unable to employ Counsel, the Court assign to him Ransom Clark Esq as his Counsel.

Joseph Atherton
vs
Beriah W. Miller & Orlando Wells
doing business as partners under the name of Miller & Wells

And now comes the said Joseph Atherton by his attorneys Hamilton & Lincoln and the defendant O Wells appearing by his Counsel Robinson & Robinson, the other defendant failing to demur or Answer, and by leave of the Court the answer of the said defendant Wells being withdrawn this Case was submitted to the Court on the petition of the plaintiff and it is Considered by the Court that the plaintiff Ought to recover of the said defendants the sum of $171.42 dollars Claimed by him in his petition which said sum

Tuesday May 10th A. D. 1859

with the interest, the Court do find to be due to the plaintiff from said defendants: It is therefore considered by the Court, that the said Joseph Atherton do recover against the said defendants the said Sum of $171.42 Dollars together with his costs herein taxed to dollars

John Cassil
vs
Morgan Savage & A Wiley defts

On Motion Docket

Morgan Savage
vs
A. B. Bowen & Wife

This day came the parties by their Attorneys, and upon motion of Counsel for defendant Savage in the case first above mentioned, the Injunction heretofore allowed by the Probate Court in that case was dissolved, and on Motion of the Attys for Cassil it was ordered by the Court that John Cassil be made a party defendant in the case of Savage vs Bowen & Wife and that he be required to file his answer in twenty days setting up his interest in the premises foreclosed in the last case. And the Court reserve all questions of costs and priority of lien on the lands in Controversy, And thereupon came John Cassil and entered his appearance & waived the issuing & service of process & this Cause is Continued

James R. Young
vs
John R. Brown Et Als

On motion to set aside the Sale made in this case to I. D. Smith it appearing to the Court that said Smith purchased said Real Estate at the request of & for John. A. Stewart one of the Appraisers of the land so sold It is Considered and Adjudged that said Sale be & the same is set aside.—

John McCawley
vs
John McCawley etals

This day came the parties & submitted this case to the Court upon the report of the Sheriff and Commissioners in partition And the Court being fully advised in the premises do confirm the assignment of dower made to Sarah Ann McCawley Widow of Thomas McCawley decd and order that she be endowed of the following Real Estate to wit;

Tuesday May 10th A.D. 1859

"Beginning at a Stake near an Oak root, North West Corner of the John McCawley Farm, thence Correcting the Course N 82° E 33 6/10 poles to a Stake thence S 7 3/4 E 16 66/100 poles to a Stake, thence S 82 W 33 6/10 poles to a Stake in the West line of said Farm, thence N 7 3/4 W 16 66/100 poles to the beginning containing three and one half Acres. And it appearing that said report and proceedings have been regular in all respects and that said real Estate cannot be divided without manifest injury, the same is Confirmed and Approved and neither party electing to take said real Estate At the Appraised value thereof it is considered and adjudged that the said real estate be sold according to law subject to said dower for cash in hand and that for that purpose an order of Sale issue to the Sheriff of said County commanding him to make Sale thereof accordingly and for the purpose of distribution of the proceeds of such Sale this Cause is Continued

The State of Ohio vs Julius Bennet } Indictment for Gaming

This day came into Court John Randebaugh and acknowledged himself to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the sum of fifty dollars to be levied of his goods and chattels, lands & tenements if default be made in the condition following towit; that the said John Randebaugh be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union on the first day of the next term thereof at ten o'clock A.M. to give evidence in Cause therein pending wherein the said State of Ohio is plaintiff and Julius Bennet is defendant and not depart the Court without leave then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be and remain in full force

Amos Ely vs James R. Smith Et als } Leave to amend pleadings which is done & Continued at plaintiffs Costs – Leave for Deft Thompson to file answer in two days It is therefore considered that the defendants recover of the plaintiff the costs of this term taxed to &

Tuesday May 10th A.D. 1859

John Caskie
vs
The Springfield Mt Vernon
and Pittsburg Rail Road Company Et als

Civil Action
Demurrer

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the demurrer of the defendant to the second Cause of Action set forth in the plaintiffs petition, and was argued by Counsel - Whereupon it is considered and adjudged by the Court that the said demurrer be and the same is hereby overruled

L. C. Moon
vs
Alonzo Litchell

The Court this day, on Motion & it appearing that heretofore the Sale made by the Sheriff in this case was duly confirmed & approved by said Court to be in every respect regular and in conformity to Law & it appearing that no deed has yet been made to the purchaser of said Real Estate ordered that A. Miley Sheriff of said County for the time being execute to said purchaser a deed in fee simple for the premises so sold as aforesaid:-

John Pollock Plaintiff.
Against
Joshua Grady & Amos M. Cherry defendants

New Appraisement ordered

On Motion by John Pollock and it appearing that the lands levid upon in this case have been twice offered and not sold for want of bidders it is ordered by the Court that the appraisement heretofore made in this case be set aside and a new appraisement made

Joseph A Marshall
vs
Edward G. Gregg et als.

On motion and it appearing to the Court that heretofore the Sale made by the Sheriff in this Case was duly confirmed & approved by said Court to be in every respect regular & in conformity to law & it appearing that no deed has yet been made to the purchaser of said Real Estate it is ordered by said Court that A. Miley Sheriff of said County for the time being, execute to said purchaser a deed in fee simple for the premises sold as aforesaid.

Tuesday May 10th A. D. 1859

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the bar of this Court and presented their bill of Indictment against Thomas Mapes for burglary Endorsed a true bill S. T. Davis Foreman and there being other business before them they retired to their room to deliberate

The State of Ohio vs Thomas Mapes } Indictment for Burglary. The defendant being arraigned and being asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says he is not guilty as he stands charged in said indictment

The State of Ohio vs Thomas Mapes } Indictment for Burglary

Be it remembered that on this 10th day of May A.D. 1859 Thomas Mapes Isaac D Mapes Harman Patch & Appeared before the County of Union Ohio personally Wm. Lawrence Judge of the Court of Common Pleas of the County of Union and in open Court severally acknowledged themselves to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the sum of Four hundred dollars to be levied of their several goods & chattels, lands & tenements. The Condition of the above is that Whereas the said Thomas Mapes has been arraigned for burglary to which he pleads not guilty. Now if the said Thomas Mapes, be and appear — ~~before~~ from day to day during this Term of Court, and depart ~~this~~ not this Court without leave, then this recognizance to be void, otherwise to remain in full force.

The State of Ohio vs John Riley } Indictment for Burglary.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys the said defendant being in person before the Court and thereupon came a Jury to wit, William Ballinger Benj. C. Fay Daniel Garwood Gregory Hawley, Simpson Price John McNeil William Reed, James B. Whelpley, William Phillips, John Weaver George Stuart & R. D. Reed, who being duly empannuled & Sworn the truth to upon the issue Joined between the parties

Tuesday May 10th A.D. 1859

John Cassil Plaintiff
vs
Joshua Judy Defendant

Civil Action—

And now comes the said parties by their attorneys and thereupon this Cause came on for trial upon the issues joined between the parties, and neither party requiring a jury the same was submitted to the Court and was argued by Counsel: On consideration whereof the Court do find the Allegations of the said plaintiffs petition are true in manner and form as the said plaintiff in his petition has alleged: And that there is due to the plaintiff from the defendant the sum of Seven hundred and twenty dollars upon the written Agreement of Subscription in said petition set forth being the entire amount of the said agreement & Subscription with interest to this date. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court here that the said John Cassil plaintiff recover of the said Joshua Judy defendant the said Seven hundred and twenty dollars the sum so found to be due and also his costs herein expended taxed to

Dollars

Second trial demanded by defendant under the Statute. The Court fix the bond in double the amount of the Judgement.

P. 330 Foreclosure #757 ad

James Thompson
vs
A. R. Bowen

Confirmation

The Court this day on Motion of Cole & Lawrence Attys for Plaintiff having examined the proceedings of the Sheriff and Special Master Commissioner, and the Sale made by him, on an order of Sale, heretofore issued in this cause and being satisfied that said Sale has in all respects been made in Conformity to the provisions of the Statute in such case made and provided, do order that said Sale be and same is hereby confirmed; And the Court do further order that the Sheriff as Special Master do make to the purchaser a deed for the lands & tenements so sold— And it is further ordered and

Tuesday May 10th A. D. 1859.

decreed by the Court that the plaintiff have execution against the Judgement debtor for the balance yet due, and unpaid on the Judgement heretofore rendered in this case

X | William Michael & Ebaline Michael his wife vs Sarah Lamb, Et als — Partition

On motion to the Court by Messrs Wright & Coats, Counsel for petitioners and upon producing the proceedings of the Sheriff and also the Report and proceedings of the Commissioners hereinbefore appointed, and the same being examined, It is ordered that said proceedings and Report be and the same is approved and confirmed And that the said Elizabeth Coon Stand endowed of so much of said Real Estate as is contained in said Assignment and bounded as follows: Beginning at a Stake North East Corner of a lot formerly owned by Timothy Winchester thence with his East line S 9¾° E 26 poles to a Stake. thence N 80° E 42 4/10 poles to a Stake in the East line of said Estate, thence N 9¾° W 38 poles to a Stake North East Corner of said Estate, thence S. 80° W. 42 4/10 poles to the beginning containing Ten acres. And the said Commissioners having reported that the balance of said premises will not bear partition without manifest injury to the same. Thereupon this Cause is Continued to the next Term of this Court for either of the parties hereto to elect to take said premises at the Appraised value of the same as reported by said Commissioners.

X | John W. King vs. Wm. B. Irwin et als — Continued

X | Elizabeth Bowser vs. Wm Hamilton — Cont'd by Agreement

S. M & P. R. R. vs Joshua Judy — Cont'd

X | R. McElroy vs Miller Et al — Leave to answer & Cont'd

X | A Letsworth vs. J. & H Haynes — Leave to amend & Cont'd.

Tuesday May 10th A.D. 1859

X	Coler & Sinn vs Joseph Mulvane Etals	Contd
X	Elijah Wolford vs H. Christ Etals	Contd
X	The State of Ohio vs John Riley } Indictment for Burglary	

This day came the parties by their Attorneys, the said defendant being in person before the Court, and thereupon came a jury to wit William Ballinger B. A. Fay. Daniel Garwood, Gregory Hawley, Simpson Price John McNeil, William Reed, Ja^s B Whelpley, William Phillips, John Weaver, George Stuart & R D Reed, who being duly impannelled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined between the parties, upon their oaths say the defendant is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged in said Indictment. Whereupon the said John Riley was remanded to Jail to await the sentence of the Court.

Whereupon this Court adjourned until Eight O'clock tomorrow morning. William Lawrence
Judge Court Common
Pleas 3^d Judicial
District in Ohio

Wednesday, May 11th 1859

This morning the Court of Common Pleas of Union County met pursuant to adjournment. Present Same Officers as on Yesterday

Wednesday May 11th A.D. 1859

Warret O. Carter Administrator de bonis non of the Estate of James Stout deceased vs John P. Stout

And now comes the said Warret O. Carter Administrator de bonis non of the estate of James Hamilton & Lincoln and the said John P. Stout having failed to demur or answer to the petition it is consisered by the Court, the said Warret O. Carter as Administrator de bonis non of said Estate ought to recover the said several sums in the petition set forth and claimed together with interest thereon as in the petition prayed, which said several sums with the interest the Court do find to be due to the plaintiff as such Administrator and to amount to the sum of Nine hundred & three 44/100 dollars: It is therefore Considered and adjudged by the Court that the said Warret O. Carter as Administrator as aforesaid do recover against the said John P. Stout the said sum of Nine hundred & three 44/100 dollars together with his costs herein expended taxed to dollars

Michael Davis vs Ira C. Johnson & Joseph Johnson

And now comes the plaintiff by Hamilton & Lincoln his attorneys and the defendants failing to demur or answer but being in default said Cause was heard by the Court and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that the plaintiff ought to recover of the defendants the sum in the petition claimed, together with interest thereon as claimed, which the Court do find to be due from the defendants to the plaintiff and to Amount to Seven hundred & Seventy nine 95/100 dollars — It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the said plaintiff do recover of the defendants the said sum of Seven hundred & Seventy nine 95/100 dollars with ten per cent interest thereon from this date and his Costs taxed to dollars

Wednesday May 11th A. D. 1859

I. B. W. Haynes
vs
James J. Thompson & Benjamin Fisher

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorneys Hamilton & Lincoln and the defendants failing to demur or answer this Cause was heard by the Court and the Court do find that there is due to plaintiff from the defendant the Sum of One hundred and thirteen 70/100 dollars And it is therefore considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendants the sum of One hundred and thirteen 70/100 dollars so found due as aforesaid with ten per cent interest thereon and his Costs herein taxed to dollars

Lorenzo D Hinkley Plaintiff
vs
A. S. Morford, Defendants

Civil Action

And now comes the said Lorenzo D Hinkley and the said A. S. Morford having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said Lorenzo D. Hinkley and this Cause being submitted to to the Court it is considered that the said said Lorenzo D. Hinkley ought to recover the said sum of one hundred and thirty one dollars and sixty nine cents. So demanded in his petition, together with the sum of Twenty nine dollars and forty one cents which the Court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in in said petition

It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the said Lorenzo D. Hinkley recover of the said A. S. Morford the said sum of One hundred and sixty one dollars and ten cents being the amount of debt and interest together with his Costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended taxed to — dollars

It is also considered and adjudged by the Court that this judgement bear interest from this date at the rate of ten per cent

It is ordered by the Court that all Causes set for hearing on or after Thursday the 12th of May be and the same are hereby Readjusted and set for hearing on Wednesday May 11th 1859

Wednesday May 11th A.D. 1839

Thomas Lomkinson
vs
John F. Eaton & John Cassid

This day came the parties to this Suit and submitted this case to the Court, And thereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find there is due the plaintiff from the defendant John F. Eaton on the second installment due by the agreement described in said petition, the sum of Nine hundred and One 25/100 dollars. It is therefore Considered ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of said defendant Eaton the said sum of Nine hundred and One dollars & twenty five cents, and Costs of prosecution. — And it is further ordered and decreed that if said defendant Eaton fail to pay said sum of Money within twenty days from the rising of this Court, that an order issue to the Sheriff of said County, for the time being who is appointed Special Master for that purpose Authorizing and requiring him to sell according to law the Real Estate in said petition described and out of the proceeds of said sale pay first the Costs of Suit Second the balance of the former Judgement in this case on first installment, And third that he apply the balance or a sufficient amount thereof to the Satisfaction of this judgement

The State of Ohio
vs
John Riley

Indictment for Burglary

The defendant John Riley was this day brought before the Court and having nothing further to say why Sentence should not be pronounced against him. It is therefore Considered by the Court that he be imprisoned in the Peinstentiary of this State and kept at hard labor for the term of One year (no part of the time to be kept in Solitary Confinement) and that he pay the Costs of prosecution taxed at $

The Court allow the Sheriff one Guard in conducting said Convict to the Peinstentiary And the said John Riley is ordered into the Custody of the Sheriff —

Wednesday May 11th A.D. 1839

Henry L. Marriott Plaintiff
Against
B. W. Miller & Orlando Wells
doing business under the name of Miller & Wells

And now comes the said Henry L. Marriott and the defendant B. W. Miller failing to demur or answer and the defendant Orlando Wells appearing by his Counsel, Robinson & Robinson and the answer of the said defendant Wells being by Agreement, withdrawn, said Cause came on to be heard on the petition and evidence, And ~~that~~ it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff ought to recover of the defendants the several sums in the petition set forth and claimed; Together with interest thereon as claimed, which said several sums with the interest the Court do find to be due to the plaintiff from said defendants, and to amount to the sum of $224.28 dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that said Henry L. Marriott recover of the said defendants the said sum of $224.28 dollars together with his costs taxed to dollars

Cyrus Fay & Son
vs
David Plumb

Confirmation

The Court this day on motion of Cole & Lawrence Attorneys for plaintiff having examined the proceedings of the Sheriff and Sale by him made upon the Execution issued in this Cause and being satisfied that said Sale has in all respects been made in Conformity to law, do order the Clerk to make an Entry on the Journal that the Court are satisfied of the legality of the Sale, and that he also enter an order for the Sheriff to make to H. T. Fay the purchaser a deed for the lands and tenements so sold. All which is entered accordingly

John W. King
vs
William R. Irwin Et als

By agreement of Counsel this Cause is Continued and defendant has leave to answer

R. Sharp Guard & C. D.
vs
Reuben Cook

Dismissed at Plffs costs.
Judgement for costs.

Wednesday May 11th A. D. 1859

David H. Silver
vs
Daniel E. Russel
Foreclosure
P. 384

On motion the Court having examined the proceedings of the Sheriff in the sale by him made upon the Order of Sale issued in this Cause & being satisfied that said sale has in all respects been made in conformity to the provisions of the Statute in such case made and provided do order the Clerk to make an entry on the Journal that the Court are Satisfied of the legality of the sale and that he also enter an Order on the Sheriff to make to the purchaser a deed in fee for the lands & tenements so sold

Mary Converse vs Daniel Converse Continued

David D. Welsh
vs
Harvey Skeels & Simeon Skeels

Judgement on Cognovit note

This day came the plaintiff and filed his petition and thereupon came the defendants Harvey Skeels & Simeon Skeels by their Attorney M. C. Lawrence Esq who appeared by virtue of a warrant of Attorney filed in Open Court duly proved, and waived the issuing and service of process, and enter their appearance and confess there is due the plaintiff from defendants the sum of two hundred and nineteen dollars & ninety six cents with ten percent interest as claimed in his petition

It is therefore considered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendants the said sum of Two hundred and nineteen dollars and ninety-six Cents due as aforesaid and ten per cent interest until paid & his costs herein expended taxed to =$

William T. Fulton
vs
Beriah W. Miller & Orlando Wells
doing business &c.

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorneys Hamilton & Lincoln and the defendant Orlando Wells appearing by his Attorneys, Robinson & Robinson and the defendant Beriah W. Miller failing to demur or answer to the petition and by consent of parties the

Wednesday May 11th A. D. 1859

answer of the defendant, Orlando Wells being withdrawn, this Cause was submitted to the Court on the petition and evidence. In consideration whereof the Court do find that the defendants do owe to the plaintiff on the promissory note in the petition set forth the sum of One hundred and forty four $\frac{50}{100}$ dollars. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendants said sum of $144 $\frac{50}{100}$ so found due as aforesaid and his costs herein taxed to Dollars

John B. Hyde
vs On 2d T. D.
B. W. Miller & Orlando Wells & E

On

This day came the plaintiff by his attorneys Hamilton & Lincoln, and the defendant Orlando Wells appearing by his attorneys Robinson & Robinson, and the defendant Beriah W. Miller failing to demur or answer to the petition and the answer of the defendant Orlando Wells being by consent of parties withdrawn, this case was submitted to the Court on the petition and evidence. In consideration whereof the Court do find that the plaintiff ought to recover of the defendants on the promissory note in the petition set forth, the sum of $135.00 with interest thereon as in the petition claimed amounting to $12.25. It is therefore considered and adjudged that the plaintiff do recover of the said defendant the said sums so found due — Amounting to One hundred and forty seven $\frac{25}{100}$ dollars and his costs herein taxed at dollars

William Hamilton
vs On 2d Trial D,
Orlando Wells & Beriah
W. Miller, Partners under
the name of Miller & Wells

This day came the plaintiff by Hamilton & Lincoln his attorneys and the defendant Orlando Wells appearing by his Attorneys Robinson & Robinson and the other defendant being in default and the answer by agreement withdrawn, the Court heard the Cause on the petition and evidence and do find that the defendants do owe the plaintiff on the promissory note in the petition described the sum of three hundred and forty nine $\frac{92}{100}$ dollars: It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff do recover of the

of the defendant being

Wednezday May 11th A. D. 1839

defendants the said sum of of $349 22/100 with ten per cent interest thereon from this date and his costs herein taxed to
Dollars

X John K. Brown vs James R. Young et als } Judgt at Nov Term
On motion of defendant and upon the affidavits filed it is Ordered that said defendant have leave to file his petition to vacate said Judgement and that all further proceedings upon said Judgment be Stayed until the next term of this Court, The Court Overruled the motion to open up the judgment & dismiss the answers of Solomon Roadhamel & the holders of 2nd and 3d notes in said Mortgage described. Leave is granted the defendant Roadhamel to amend his petition, and this Cause is Continued

X The State of Ohio vs Thomas Mapes } Indictment for Burglary
This day came into Open Court, Thomas Mapes, Harmon Patch and Isaac Mapes and acknowledged themselves jointly and severally to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of five hundred dollars, to be levied of their goods and Chattels, Lands & Tenements if default be made in the Condition following, to wit: That the said Thomas Mapes shall personally be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of Union County, Ohio the first day of the next regular term thereof, to answer to an indictment found against said Thomas Mapes for burglary and then and there abide the order and sentence of the Court and not depart the Court without leave, then this recognizance to be void, otherwise to be and remain in full force and vistue.

√ Tamery Hemingway & wife vs S. K. Reed, Ira C. Johnson & Joseph Johnson } This day came the plaintiffs by their Attorneys, and the defendants being in default, and neither party requiring a jury this Cause was submitted to the Court. Whereupon

Wednesday May 11th A. D. 1859

the Court do find the allegations of the petition to be true and that there is due from the defendants S. K. Reed Ira C. Johnson & Joseph Johnson to the plaintiffs Farmery Hemingway and Sophia Hemingway the sum of Eleven Hundred & Sixty two Dollars ($1162.00) and that said sum bear interest at the rate of ten percent per annum

Whereupon it is considered, Ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the said Farmery Hemingway & Sophia Hemingway recover of the defendants said sum of $1162.00 so found & also their costs herein taxed to $ and that said sum of $1162.00 bear interest at the rate of 10 percent per annum from this date until paid.

Eleanor Blue
vs
Manoah Blue et als

Petition for Dower

And now came the plaintiff by Hamilton & Lincoln & the said defendants still failing to demur or answer to the said petition, it is therefore ordered that said petition and the matters therein contained be taken as confessed against the said defendants, and thereupon it is adjudged and decreed that the said plaintiff be endowed of one equal third part of the premises in her petition described, as and for her dower therein as widow of said Michael Blue late of Union County deceased and it is further ordered that a writ issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union commanding him that by the oaths of John Cheney, James Roberts and David Carr, judicious disinterested men of the vicinity who are not of Kin to either of said parties, he cause such dower to be set off and assigned to said Eleanor Blue according to law and make return of his proceedings to the next term of this Court to which time this cause is continued

A. A. Woodworth Admr. &c
vs
James L. Alexander & Samuel F. Foster

This day came the plaintiff by his attorneys, and the defendants being in default and neither party demanding a Jury this Cause was submitted to the Court by the plaintiff upon consideration whereof the Court do find the allegations of the

Wednesday May 11th A.D. 1859

petition to be true and that there is due from the defendant James S. Alexander to the plaintiff as the Administrator with the will annexed of Elisha Reynolds dec'd. on the two promissory notes described in the petition the sum of two hundred & thirty dollars & twenty eight cents. Whereupon it is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the plaintiff as such Administrator recover of the defendant James S. Alexander said sum of Two hundred & thirty dollars & twenty eight cents and also his costs herein expended taxed to & And it is further ordered and adjudged & decreed by the Court that if the defendants fail for ten days from the rise of this Court to pay said sum of $230 28/100 that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of this County who for that purpose is hereby appointed Special Master Commissioner commanding him to appraise, advertise and sell the real estate in the petition described as follows. That he first sell the parts of Lots No 113 & 120 Yet owned by James S. Alexander described as follows towit: being 41 feet off the East side of said In lots No 113 & 120 front on Centre Street in the Town of Marysville. And that in case the money arising from such sale be insufficient to pay the judgement hereinbefore rendered and costs then that he sell the portion of said lots sold to said Foster by said Alexander described as follows. being a strip 25 feet wide off of the West side of the East half of said In Lots No 113 & 120 in the Town of Marysville.

Charles Fullington
vs
Levi Patrick Et Als

This day this cause came on to be heard and the plaintiff appeared by his counsel Hamilton & Lincoln and the defendants failing to demur or answer, but making default this case was heard by the Court and the Court do find that there is due to the plaintiff from the defendants the sum of $100.00 with interest thereon from the 18th day of February 1858 amounting to $7.33 on the promissory note in the petition described It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the said defendants said sum of ~~One~~ $100.00 and $7.33 interest amounting to $107.33 and his costs herein expended taxed to

Dollars

Wednesday May 11th A.D. 1859

Henry Shecter
vs
Margaret Shecter Et Als

In Partition

And now comes the said Henry Shecter by his attorneys Hamilton & Lincoln and thereupon this case came on to be heard upon the petition and evidence. On consideration whereof the Court do find that due notice of the pendency of this action has been given for more than forty days prior to the first day of the present term of this Court and the Court do further find that the said Henry Shector has a legal right to and is seized in fee simple of an undivided sixth part of said premises and that Elizabeth J Adams, Louisa Myers, Marinda Shecter, Joshua Shecter, and Luke Shecter are tenants in Common in said premises with the said Henry Shecter in the following proportions to wit: Each of them one Equal Sixth part thereof & that said Margaret Shecter is entitled to dower therein It is therefore ordered that a writ of partition issue to the Sheriff of Union County commanding him that by the oaths of John Woods, Edwin Buck, and L H Hastings one Equal third part of said premises in said petition described be set off and assigned to the said Margaret Shecter as her dower estate and that by the like oaths of said John Woods, Edwin Buck And L. H. Hastings, partition be made of said lands subject to said dower Estate in the following proportions to wit! To the petitioner one sixth part: to Elizabeth J Adams one sixth part; to Louisa Myers one sixth part; to Marinda Shecter one sixth part: To Joshua Shecter one sixth part and to Luke Shecter one sixth part and it is further ordered that the Sheriff return his proceedings in the premises to the next term of this Court to which time this Cause is Continued.

Samuel McCollock
vs
Nathan Henning

This case came on to be heard upon the petition of the plaintiff Samuel McCollock and the demurrer of the defendant Nathan Henning which cause was submitted to the Court. On consideration whereof it is ordered by the Court that said demurrer be sustained and that said plaintiff have leave to file amended petition instanter which amended petition is accordingly filed, and thereupon this

Wednesday May 11th A. D. 1859

Cause came on to be heard upon petition and proofs of the plaintiff Samuel McCulloch _ the defendant Nathan Henning still failing to answer or demur to said Amended petition and thereupon neither party requiring a Jury the said plaintiff waived a Jury and submitted this Cause to the Court In Consideration whereof the Court do find that there is due to said plaintiff from said defendant on the promissory note in said petition set out the sum of One hundred and eight dollars with ten per cent interest thereon from the 11th day of December A. D. 1857 — It is therefore considered by the Court that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant the sum of One hundred and twenty three dollars and thirty cents with ten per cent interest thereon and his costs herein to be taxed at by the Clerk

J. & George Butler Partners
as J. & G. Butler Plaintiff
vs
Henry R. Brinkerhoff et als defendant

Civil Action

And now comes the said plaintiffs by their attorneys Wright and Coats, and the said Henry R. Brinkerhoff Wm Brinkerhoff, John Fleck and L. Liggett defendants having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said plaintiff, and this cause being submitted to the Court it is considered that the said plaintiffs J. & G. Butler ought to recover of the said defendants the sum of One hundred and Seventy four dollars being the entire amount due to the said plaintiffs from the said defendants including the interest to this date. The said defendants having since the Commencement of this suit paid to the said plaintiffs on the said notes in the said plaintiffs petition described the sum of One hundred and fifty three dollars and twenty five cents, which being deducted from the amount claimed by the said plaintiffs at the commencement of this action, leaves the said sum of One hundred and Seventy four dollars so due as aforesaid. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the said J. & G. Butler recover of the said Henry R. Brinkerhoff, Wm Brinkerhoff, John Fleck and L. Liggett the said sum of One hundred and Seventy four dollars together with their Costs as and about their

Wednesday May 11th A. D. 1859

suit in this behalf expended taxed to
It is also considered and adjudged by the Court that this Judgement bear interest at the rate of ten per cent from this date till paid.

The State of Ohio vs Levi Hill & Job Dillon } Judictment for Gaming
This day Came into open Court Alonzo Lockwood and acknowledged himself to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the sums of fifty dollars to be levied of his goods and Chattels, Lands and tenements if default be made in the condition following towit, that the said Alonzo Lockwood be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union on the first day of the next term thereof to give evidence in a cause therein pending wherein the said State of Ohio is plaintiff and the said Levi Hill and Job Dillon are defendants and not depart the Court without leave then this recognizance to be void, otherwise to remain in full force

The State of Ohio vs Levi Hill & Amos Patridge } Judictment for Gaming
This day came into Open Court Alonzo Lockwood and acknowledged himself to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the sum of fifty dollars, to be levied of his goods and Chattels, Lands and tenements, if default be made in the condition following: towit: That the said Alonzo Lockwood be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union on the first day of the next term thereof, to give evidence in a cause therein pending wherein the said State of Ohio is plaintiff and Levi Hill and Amos Patridge are defendants and not depart the Court without leave, then this recognizance to be void, otherwise to be and remain in full force.

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the Bar of the Court and presented their bill of indictment against Levi Hill and Job Dillon for Gaming, Endorsed "a true bill" S. L. Davis, Foreman and als their other bill of indictment against Levi Hill and Amos Patridge for Gaming endorsed "A true bill," S. L. Davis, Foreman, and

Wednesday May 11th A. D. 1859

appd also their other bill of indictment against Martin Little for Burglary endorsed "A true bill. S. T. Davis Fg" and they also report that they have visited the County Jail and find it in a clean and healthy condition: And there being no further business before them the Grand Jury were discharged by the Court.

John W. Rupell vs John Capil } Continuance opened up, and cause dismissed without prejudice at Plaintiffs cost. It is therefore considered by the Court here that the defendant go hence without day and recover of the Plaintiff his costs herein taxed to $

Wood & Reed vs I. C. Johnson Continued.

P. Coe vs I. C. Johnson Continued.

P. L. Coe vs I. C. Johnson Continued.

Chas Eb & Co. vs Isa C. Johnson Continued.

Wm B Linscott vs Robert Gamble } Demurer Sustained & leave to amend Petition in twenty five days and Cause Continued.

Louisa Loop vs L. R. Reed & D. Watson Settled & cost paid

Martha Lee vs Mains Wapon Continued

John Drunk vs Barbary Drunk Continued

Admrs of S. L. Miller vs S. McLain Continued

Wells & Wood adms vs Jane White Continued

Andrews Admr of Starling vs R. P. Mann Continued.

E. P. Hathaway vs J. W. Tibbatts Continued

A. W. Eustus vs Jas B. Harriott Continued

Wednesday May 11th A. D. 1859

✓ / Adam Shoup & wife vs Benj. Tappan — Continued

✓ / John H. James vs Norman Reed — Continued

✓ / Jane Kightlinger vs Abraham Kightlinger. — Dismissed at the costs of Plaintiff Judgement for costs

✓ / R. L. Boone vs J. O. & Abel Marks — Dismissed without prejudice costs paid

X / Christian Sager vs Frank M. Sager et. als. — Continued

X-6-P.322 / David Hamilton & wife vs David Beard et als. — Dismissed without prejudice Costs paid

X / Thomas Jones vs Lewis A Lee et. als. — Submitted on Demurer of Plff to Defts. Answer & Continued under Advisement

✓ / The State of Ohio vs Martin Little — Indictment for Burglary. This day came the Prosecuting Attorney & entered his Nolle prosequi, in this case

On motion to the Court by George Lincoln Esq. It is Ordered that Robert Snodgrass have license to keep Tavern in the house he now occupies in the Town of Milford for the Term of One year from this date on his paying into the County Treasury the Sum of three dollars & costs allowed by law

X / John Pollock vs Joshua Judy & A. M. Cherry — On motion & it appearing to the Court that the lands heretofore levied upon in this case had been twice offered for Sale & not Sold It is Ordered that the Sheriff cause said lands to be Reappraised according to law

Wednesday May 11th A D, 1859

2 George Dynes
vs
Bennet Dynes & als. } Dismissed at Plaintiffs costs
It is therefore considered by the Court
here that the defendants go hence without
day and recover of the plaintiff their costs herein expended
taxed to $

It is Ordered by the Court that all matters not otherwise disposed
of Stand Continued
And thereupon this Court Adjourned without day.

Wm Lawrence
Judge

James M. Wilson plaintiff
Against
Charles Stevens Defendant
} Court of Common Pleas
Union County

It being made to appear to me that due notice has been given to the plaintiff in this case, that a motion would be made before me this day at my residence in Logan County to dismiss the Attachment in this case and said motions have been made & heard in accordance with said Notice, It is hereby adjudged & Ordered that said Attachment be dismissed.
The Affidavit of George Lincoln making proof of said ~~notice~~ Service of said Notice is hereto attached & made a part of this Order. And the Order of Attachment issued in said case & all proceedings thereon are set aside And the Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas of Union County Ohio is Ordered to record this Order in the Journal of said Court. All of which is done at my residence in Bellefontaine Logan County Ohio May 23, 1859 the petition &c in said case are hereto annexed also.

Wm Lawrence
Judge Court Com. Pleas of the
3d Judicial District in Ohio

(Copy of the Affidavit referred to in the above Order.)
James M. Wilson plaintiff
against
Charles Stevens defendant
} Court of Common Pleas
Union County.

George Lincoln being Sworn Says that he is the Attorney in this case of Charles Stevens the defendant and that on the 21st day of May 1859 he served upon Charles Anthony the Atty of the plaintiff in this case a notice to dismiss the attachment in this case in substance as follows. James M. Wilson plaintiff
against
Charles Stevens defendant
} Court of Common Pleas
Union County.
Ohio

The plaintiff James M. Wilson will take notice that the defendant Charles Stevens will apply on the 23d day of May A.D. 1859 at one o'clock thereof to the Hon. William Lawrence one of the Judges of the said Court at his residence in the County of Logan for an Order to dismiss the

Attachment on the goods chattels & credits on the Order issued by said plaintiff against the defendant in this action at which time and place the said plaintiff can be present and Object to the granting of said order if he choose.
May 21st 1839 Hamilton & Lincoln Attys for
George Lincoln

Sworn to by said George Lincoln before me & by him subscribed in my presence this 23d day of May 1839
Wm Lawrence
Judge Court Com. Pleas
3d Judicial District in Ohio

A true Copy from the Original Order now on file in this office
Asher Randall Clerk

Tuesday July 12th A. D. 1859

July 2nd

This day in pursuance of a notice heretofore given under an Order of the Judge and duly published more than three weeks & now here proved to & found by the Court came on to be held a Term of the Court of Common Pleas of Union County Ohio. Benjamin Metcalf Presiding Judge Taber Randall Clerk Abraham Wiley Sheriff And John L. Porter Prosecuting Attorney

Stephen Cranston
vs.
Kyles Sabine & others

This day come the said Stephen Cranston by his attorney and thereupon came on to be heard the motion herein made by the defendant the Springfield Mount Vernon & Pittsburg Rail road company- to set aside the judgment herein rendered at the August Term 1858 and the same being considered by the court it is adjudged that said motion be and the same now is overuled and dismissed at the costs of said defendant without prejudice to try other proceedings.

Thomas Mitchell plaintiff
vs
John B. Myers defendant

And now come the said plaintiff by Walker & West his attorneys and files his petition against the said John B. Myers and thereupon Hamilton & Lincoln attorneys of this court on behalf of the said John B. Myers and by virtue of a warrant of attorney for that purpose executed and now produced to the court and duly proved waiving the issuing and service of process and confessed that the said John B. Myers does owe the said plaintiff the sum of $118.67 the amount now due on the note sued on. It is therefore considered that the said plaintiff recover of the said John B. Myers the said sum of $118.67 as confessed to be due and also his costs in this behalf expended & to $
And by virtue of said Warrant of Attorney all errors are hereby released and all right of Appeal waived.

William Edwards & Hiram Eddings
Partners as Edwards & Eddings plaintiff
vs.
Lewis Strasser & Ezra Bennett
Defendants

Tuesday July 12th A.D. 1859

And now comes the said plaintiffs by Walker & West their attorneys and filed their petition against the said Lewis Kramer and Ezra Bennett and thereupon Hamilton & Lincoln attornies of this court appeared in open court in behalf of the said Lewis Kramer and Ezra Bennet and by virtue of a warrant of attorney for that purpose executed and now produced to the court and duly proved waived the issuing and service of process and confessed that the said Lewis Kramer & Ezra Bennett do owe the said plaintiff the sum of $302 50. It is therefore considered that the said plaintiffs recover of the said defendants the said sum of $302 50 so confessed to be due and also his costs in this behalf toped &

and by virtue of said warrant of attorney all errors is released and all right of appeal waived. The note on which this suit is brt being found to bear ten per cent interest. It is also considered that this judgment bear 10 per cent interest till paid

James C. Evans
vs.
Samuel Dolph
Nelson Dolph &
Francis Dolph

Judgment upon a warrant of attorney
This day came into Court James C. Evans by Powell & Vandeman his counsel and filed his petition against the said defendants Samuel Dolph Nelson Dolph and Francis Dolph and thereupon P. B. Cole Esqr. one of the attorneys of this Court appeared in open Court in behalf of the said defendants and by virtue of a warrant of attorney for that purpose executed by the said defendants and now here produced in open court and duly proved waived the issuing and service of process filed the answer of the defendants and thereby acknowledged that there was due from the said defendants to the plaintiff as stated in his petition which with the interest thereon now amounts to the sum of $1011.99 for which sum he consents that judgment should be entered against the said Defendants. Therefore It is considered that said James C. Evans recover of the said defendants Samuel Dolph Nelson Dolph and Francis Dolph the said sum of Ten hundred and eleven dollars and ninety nine cents so as aforesaid confessed and also his costs in this behalf expended toped to.
Dollars. And by virtue of the said warrant of attorney all errors and writs of error are released and waives to stay of Execution and all right of appeal in this behalf.

Tuesday July 12th A.D. 1859

James C. Evans
vs
James Cricket

Judgment upon a warrant of attorny
This day comes into Court James C. Evans by Powell & Van Deman his counsel and filed his petition against the said defendant James Cricket and thereupon P. Beale Esqr one of the attorneys of this Court appeared in open court in behalf of the said defendant and by virtue of a warrant of attorney for the purpose executed by the defendant and now here produced in open court and duly proved. Waived the issuing and service of process filed the answer of the defendant and thereby acknowledged that there was due from the said defendant to plaintiff as stated in his petition which with the interest thereon now amounts to the Sum of $ 214.16 for which Sum he consents that judgment should be entered against the said defendant Therefore it is considered that the said James C Evans recover of the said defendant James Cricket the said Sum of two hundred & fourteen dollars and Sixteen cents so as aforesaid confessed and also his cost in this behalf expended to be taxed to Dollars. And by virtue of the same warrant of attorny all errors and writs of errors are released and waives the Stay of execution and all right of appeal in this behalf.

State of Ohio
against
John Riley

It is ordered that there be alowed to R. C. Clark fifteen dollars for defending in this case to be paid out of the County treasury Subject to the approval of the County Commissioners.

State of Ohio
against
Martin Little

It is ordered that there be alowed to R. C. Clark five dollars for defending in this case to be paid out of the County treasury Subject to the approval of the county Commissioners.

Tuesday July 12th A.D. 1859

Wood & Reed vs I C Johnson } motion to dismiss attachment. And now comes the said parties by their Attorneys & thereupon the Motion of the said I.C. Johnson to dismiss the attachment in this case came on to be heard & was argued by Counsel It is hereby adjudged & Ordered that said attachment be dismissed.

Charles Tol & Co vs Isa C. Johnson } motion to dismiss Attachment, And now comes the said parties by their Attorneys & thereupon the motion of the said I. C. Johnson to dismiss the Attachment in this case came on to be heard & was Argued by Counsel. It is hereby adjudged & Ordered that said attachment be dismissed

P. Coe vs Isa C. Johnson } motion to dismiss Attachment, And now comes the said parties by their Attorneys & thereupon the motion of the said I. C. Johnson to dismiss the Attachment in this case came on to be heard & was Argued by Counsel, It is hereby adjudged and Ordered that said attachment be dismissed.

P. L. Coe vs I. C. Johnson } Motion to dismiss Attachment And now comes the said parties by their Attorneys & thereupon the motion of the said I. C. Johnson to dismiss the Attachment in this case came on to be heard & was Argued by Counsel. It is hereby adjudged and Ordered that said attachment be dismissed

Tuesday July 12th A. D. 1859

Nicholas Hathaway
Admrs &c,
vs
C M. Robinson Et al

This cause came on to be heard on the a Motion by plaintiffs Counsel for a Reappraisement And it appearing that the Land heretofore Ordered to be Sold has been Twice Offered for Sale & not Sold It is ordered that said Lands be reappraised

Sanford Cook Plff.
vs
Samuel Melick &
Wm Laughery Defts

Civil Action No. 74

This day came the plaintiff by his Attorneys & the defendants being in default this cause was Submitted to the Court Neither party requiring a Jury It is therefore Considered Ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendants Samuel Melick as Maker & the defendant William Laughery as Guarantor the Sum of One hundred & Seventeen dollars & Eighty Eight cents & also his costs herein expended taxed to $

Charles Widdefield & John S. Colvin
partners in trade with the firm name
of Widdefield & Colvin Plaintiffs
vs
Phelander Manchester & W. H. H. Tetus
late partners in trade with the firm
name of Manchester & Tetus Defts

Civil Action No. 73

This day came the plaintiffs by their Attorneys & the defendants thereof Solemnly called came but made default Thereupon this cause was Submitted to the Court by the plaintiff on the petition & evidence Whereupon the Court do find the allegations of the petition to be true & that there was due from the defendants to the plaintiffs on the first day of May 1859 the Sum of $170,77 & that the interest on the Same to this date is $2,05 And the Court do further find with the Consent of the plaintiffs that there was paid to plaintiffs by the Defendants on the 25th day of June 1859 the

Tuesday July 12th A.D. 1859

Sum of Sixty One 49/100 dollars & that the interest on the same to this date is Eighteen cents & that the ballance now due from the defendants to plaintiffs is One hundred & eleven dollars & fifteen cents

Therefore it is Considered Ordered adjudged & decreed by the Court that the plaintiffs recover of the defendants said Sum of $111 15/100 found due as aforesaid & also their costs herein expended taxed to $.

C. G. Hupey & Co plaintiffs
vs
I. C. Johnson principal &
James Fullington Surety Defts

Civil Action

This day came the said C. G. Hupey & Co by their Attorneys and the said I. C. Johnson and James Fullington made default Whereupon it is considered that the said C. G. Hupey & Co, ought to recover their damages by reason of the premises & neither of the parties requiring a Jury, the Court being fully advised in the premises do assess the damages of the said C. G. Hupey & Co to Six hundred Ninety four dollars & twenty Seven cents. It is therefore considered Ordered adjudged & decreed that the said C. G. Hupey & Co recover of the said I. C. Johnson & James Fullington the said Sum of Six hundred & Ninety four dollars & twenty Seven cents ($694 27) their damages aforesaid in form aforesaid assessed and also their costs herein expended taxed to $ And the Court do find that said I. C. Johnson is principal and said James Fullington is Surety.

Isaac N. Wertheimer David Marks & Morris Wertheimer partners &c, firm name of Wertheimer Marks & Co.
vs
Charles Reigelman principal & A. J. Wilkins Surety

This day came the said Wertheimer Marks & Co by their Attornies & the said Charles Reigelman & A. J. Wilkins made default, Whereupon It is considered by the Court that the said plaintiffs ought to recover of the defendants their damages by reason of the premises & neither party requiring a Jury the Court with the consent of the plaintiff do

Tuesday July 12th A.D. 1859

assess their damages at the Sum of Four hundred & eighty two dollars & Sixteen cents. It is therefore considered adjudged & decreed by the Court that the said Wertheimer Marks & Co. recover of the said Charles Reigelman & A. J. Wilkins the said Sum of Four hundred & Eighty two dollars & Sixteen cents their damages aforesaid in form aforesaid assessed and also their costs herein expended taxed to $ And the Court do find that said Charles Reigelman is principal and the said A. J. Wilkins is Surety.

Abraham M. Blackburn
vs
Tyre Sterling & Wiley Thomas

This day came the parties and Submit this case to the Court on Motion to confirm the Sale & with Motion to Correct the Judgment heretofore taken And the Court being fully advised in the premises do find said Sale in all respects regular and do confirm the Same & Order Abraham Wiley present Sheriff to execute to the purchaser deed in fee Simple for said land. And it appearing that a mistake was made in the entry of the Judgment aforesaid, taken at the August term 1858 & that the Sum for which plaintiff was then entitled to recover Judgement & for which Judgement Should then have been entered in this case was five hundred & nine dollars & costs of Suit And that due Notice of said Motion to Correct said Judgement has been given It is considered Ordered & adjudged that the Correction aforesaid be made So that out of proceeds of said Sale there be paid the plaintiff the said Sum of five hundred and nine dollars with interest from the rendition of said Judgment together with costs as heretofore ordered.

For closure P. 340 $4 22 50

Morgan Savage Plaintiff
vs
A. R. Bowen & others

This day on motion to the Court by the plaintiffs attorneys and it being Shown to the Court that the property had been twice offered for Sale under the present appraisement and not Sold for want of bidders. It is

Tuesday July 12th A.D. 1859

was considered ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the appraisement in this Case be and the Same is hereby Set aside and the Sheriff is hereby ordered to make a reappraisement of Said real Estate.

Christian Sager
vs.
Francis M Sager et als. } This cause came on to be heard by the Court upon the petition answer and evidence and the court being fully advised in the premises do find that the Said Christian Sagar did convey Said lots in the petition described to the Said Fredrick Sagar. Without consideration and in trust as alleged in the petition and that the Said Christian Sagar is entitled to a reconveyance of Said lots as prayed for and the court do order adjudge and decree that Asher B. Coats who is hereby appointed a Special master commissioner of this Court for that purpose convey Said in lots Nos. 34. Nos. 38 Nos 40 Nos 50. Nos 51 Nos 52 Nos 57 Nos 58 Nos 59 & Nos 60. in Said Town of Mononille to the Said Christian Sagar and in default of Such conveyance that this decree have the force and effect of Such conveyance and it is further ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff pay the costs herein taxed to. Dollars and in default thereof that execution issued therefor as on judgments.

Elenor Blue
vs.
M. Blue et als } Petition for Dower
On motion to the court by Hamilton & Lincoln counsel of the plaintiff and upon producing the Sheriffs returns and the assignment of Dower herein under the former order of this Court and the Same being found to be in all respects in conformity to Law it is ordered that the Said proceedings and assignment of Dower be and the Same is hereby approved and confirmed and that the Said Elenor Blue hold and possess the lands so assigned as her reasonable Dower in and to the Said premises and it is further ordered that a writ of Seizin issued to Said Sheriff commanding him to deliver to Said Elenor Blue full possession of the premises assigned to her as aforesaid and it is further ordered that the Said Elenor Blue pay one third of the costs of this Suit and the defendants the residue in equal portions

Tuesday July 12th A.D. 1859

which costs are taxed to dollars. within ten days and in default thereof that execution issue therefor as upon Judgments.

S. R. Swan
vs
S. R. Latson

This day came the plaintiff by his attorneys & filed his petition and thereupon came the defendants by his attorney Geo. Lincoln who appeared ~~Applica~~ by warrant of attorney duly proved and filed his answer and confessed that he doth owe the plaintiff the Sum of one hundred and Seventy Eight & 66 dollars as alleged in the plaintiffs petition and waves the issuing and service of process and asks the court to enter judgment in favor of plaintiff against defendant. It is therefore considered adjudged and decreed that the plaintiff recover of defendant the said Sum of One hundred and seventy Eight & 66 dollars and his costs herein expended taxed at $ and hereby all error and right of appeal is waived.

Marshal Wheeler
against
David Wood

Petition

And now comes the said Marshall Wheeler by his atty and the said David Wood having failed to demurrer or answer to the petition of the said plaintiff It is considered that the said Marshall Wheeler aught to recover of the said Sum of $170.32 so demanded in his petition together with the Sum of $21.09 which the court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition. It is therefore considered that the said Marshall Wheeler recover against the said Wood the Sum of $191.41 together with his costs in and about his Suit in this behalf expended taxed at dollars and cts.

James B. McCarty
vs
James T. McCarty et al

on motion of the said James B. McCarty by his attorney & it appearing to the court that said James T. McCarty Junius R. McCarty & William M. McCarty jr are minors under the age of fourteen years & it being made to appear to said court that due notice of the pendency of this Suit

See p. 415

Tuesday July 12th A.D. 1859

has been given to said defendants it is therefor ordered by said court that George Lincoln be & ~~the same~~ is hereby appointed Guardian for the suit for the said Dans J. McCarty Junius R. McCarty & William M. McCarty jr who appeared and filed his answer herein

X | John Casnl vs E L Reynolds Texas | motion for mandamus Continued

X | James B McCarty vs Wm M McCarty & James D McCarty. Junius R McCarty | In partition

And now comes the said James B McCarty by his attorney & the defendants by Geo Lincoln their Guardian and ~~adbitm~~ & thereupon this case came on to be heard upon the petition & evidence & answer of said defendants & on consideration whereof the court do find that due notice of the pendency of this action has been given for more than forty days prior to the first day of the present Term of this court and the court do further find that the said James B McCarty has a legal title & right to ~~his~~ seized in fee Simple of the one half part of the said premises in said plaintiffs petition described and the court do further find that the said William M. McCarty jr ~~Dans~~ Junius R McCarty & James J. McCarty are tenants in common in said premises with the said James B. McCarty & are seized in fee Simple of ~~one sixth~~ one half of said premises, each being entitled to & seized of the one fifth part thereof. It is therefore ordered that a writ of partition issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union commanding him that by the oaths of Levi Phelps David D Welch & A. F. Wilkins one full half part of said premises in said petition described he set off & assigned ~~in severalty~~ to said James B McCarty & the remaining one half part thereof to the said William M. McCarty jr. ~~Dans~~ Junius R. McCarty & James J. McCarty and it is further ordered that the Sheriff return his proceedings in the premises to the September Term of this court 1859 to which term this action is continued

See P. 415

I. N. Hamilton vs A. C. Robinson

The court this day on motion of Plaintiffs attorney having examined the proceedings of Abraham Wiley

Tuesday July 12th A.D. 1859

Sheriff & Master Commissioner & the sale by him made upon the order of Sale issued in this cause & being satisfied that said Sale has in all respects been made conformity to the provisions of the Statute in such cases made & provided do order the Clerk to make an entry on the Journal that the court are satisfied of the legality of the Sale & that he also enter an order on the Sheriff & Master Commissioner to make to Benjamin Penrose assignee of the purchaser of said lones a deed in fee simple for the lands & tenements so sold - & it is ordered by the agreement of the parties that the taxes now charged against said lands be paid by the Sheriff out of the money in his hands made on the Sale of said lands & tenements

D. M. Williams Pltff
against
A. B. Bowen Deft

Petition

And now comes the said D. M. Williams by his atty and the said A. B. Bowen having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said plaintiff it is considered that the said D. M. Williams anpltt to recover the said sum of $143.00 so demanded in his petition together with the sum of $4.34 which the court finds due as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition. It is therup consider that the said D. M. Williams recover of against the said A. B. Bowen the sum of $187.34 together with his costs in and about his suit in this behalf expended to which at dollars and cents.

P. B. Cole, P. Snider &
Thomas Stilings Exrs of
J. C. Dynes dec'd
vs
G. A. Cassil Emily McCassil &
C. Rothburn

Foreclosure of Mortgage

This day come the parties and submitted this cause to the court and thereupon the court being fully advised in the premises do find there is now due the plaintiffs from defendant G. A. Cassil on the notes & mortgage in plffs petition described the sum of four hundred and thirty six dollars and thirty cents. The court further finds that there is due from C. Rothburn to said G. A. Cassil on the notes & mortgage in his answer set forth the sum of four hundred and thirty six dollars and thirty cents; that the said sum due from said Rothburn to said Cassil was by agreement of Parties to this suit to be paid directly to the plaintiff in satisfaction of plaintiffs claim when paid. It is therefore considered and adjudged that the plaintiffs recover of the defendants the sum of four hundred and thirty six & 30/100 dollars found due as aforesaid and their costs herein expended taxed at $ --- and it is further considered adjudged and decreed that in case defendants fail for ten days from the close of this term of court to pay to said plaintiffs the said sum of money and costs of suit an order issued to the Sheriff of said county who is appointed Special master for that purpose commanding him to cause said real estate to wit. the middle three of said lot 57 in Maysville Ohio bounded as follows to wit on the north by Snyders three on the South by Jones three fronting on main street and extending to the alley west, to be appraised advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds in satisfaction of said judgment as aforesaid rendered.

P. B. Cole Sheriff Snider &
Thomas Stilings Exrs of
James C. Dynes dec'd
vs
Edward Powers &
A. S. Johns

This day came the plaintiff and the defendants though solemnly called came not but made default and this cause was submitted to the court on the petition and of the plaintiff. Whereupon the court finds that there is due from the said defendants Edward Powers and A. S. Johns on the notes described in the petition the sum of one thousand and twenty nine dollars for probable interest thereon the court further finds that said notes were for the purchase money of the land described in the petition and that and that the same is a lien on said land. It is therefore considered ordered adjudged and decreed that the defendants within ten days from the rising of this court pay to the clerk of this court for the use of the Pltff the said

Tuesday July 12th A.D. 1859

Sum of one thousand and twenty nine dollars and costs of Suit taxed at $ And in default thereof that an order issue to the Sheriff of this county who is for the time being appointed special master commissioner for that purpose directing him to sell the land in the petition described as upon judgment at law,

C. T. Coles & Co. vs W. T. Brophy } The death of W. T. Brophy was Suggested and Leave granted to make Dillon Borbon and James Brophy and the heirs of W. T. Brophy parties defendants and continued.

A. Brooke vs Joel Armstrong } This cause came on to be heard by the Court and by agreement of counsel it is agreed that judgment be entered against the defendant for costs thereupon it is considered by the court that the defendant pay the costs herein taxed at dollars. No Record,

Samuel Turner vs. William M. Bonyhan & George D. Keill. } This day came the said Samuel Turner by his attorney and the said William M. Bonyhan and George D. Keill though called came not but made default Thereupon it is considered that the said Samuel Turner ought to recover of his debt against said defendants and neither party requiring a jury and the court being fully advised in the premises do find that the said William M. Bonyhan and George D. Keill doth owe to the said Samuel Turner the sum of one hundred and forty three dollars and seventy five cents Therefore it is considered and adjudged that the said Samuel Turner recover of the said William M. Bonyhan and Geo. D. Keill said sum of one hundred & forty three dollars and seventy five cents his debt aforesaid & also his costs in this behalf expended taxed at $

Lewis Phelps vs. Dra C. Johnston } This day came the plaintiff and the defendant came not but made default and neither party demanding a jury this case was submitted to the court and thereupon it is considered ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of four hundred & Eleven & 97/100 dollars with ten per cent interest on $231.82 and six per cent on $180.15 together with his costs taxed to $

Prince W. Alden vs Dra C. Johnston } This day came the plaintiff and defendant made default and neither party demanding a jury this cause was submitted to the court and thereupon it is considered ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of five hundred and fifty four 50/100 dollars with ten per cent interest till paid together with his costs herein taxed to $.

It is Ordered by the Court that all matters not otherwise disposed of stand Continued,

Thereupon the Court Adjourned without day

Benj. McKay Judge.

Monday September 19th 1859

This day the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Union in the State of Ohio convened in pursuant of Law. Present William Lawrence Judge Abraham Wiley Sheriff John L Porter Prosecuting Attorney and Jabez Randall Clerk.

This day the Jurors of the Grand Jury being called came to wit: Andrew Irvine. Abram Beck. Robert Gamble. Robert Snodgrass. Levi Lane. Jonathan Hardman. John Hutchison. John W. Robinson. Adam Richey. Jesse Mitchell. John Bryant. James Smith. Isaac Broderick jr. Appelles Eastman & Aquilla Turner. regular Jurors and the Court appointed Aquiller Turner Foreman and the Jurors being regularly empannelled and Sworn were charged by the court and retired to their room to deliberate under the charge of a Sworn constable.

Kellon Bancroft &c pltff
vs
John Walsh. George Walsh & P. C. Hewitt defts.

And now comes the said pltff and files his petition against said defendants and thereupon M. K. Lawrence Esqr one of the Attorneys of this court appeared in open court on behalf of said defendants and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed and now produced to the court & duly proved waived the issuing and service of process and confessed that the said defendants do owe to said plaintiffs the said sum of two hundred and twenty nine & 35/100 dollars as the said plaintiffs have in their petition set forth It is therefore considered and adjudged that said plaintiffs do recover of said defendants the said sum of two hundred & twenty nine & 35/100 dollars ~~principal & thirty six & 1/2~~ cents ~~interest~~ so confessed to be due and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $ And by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all error is released & all right of Appeal waived by the said defendants.

Andrew Greenbaump an alien and native of Bavaria a free white person this day came into Open court and proved to the satisfaction and proof required by the laws of the United State

Monday September 19th A D, 1859

Full papers filed Oct. 5. 1859

Naturalizat

Andrew Greenbourn an alien and native of Bavaria a free white person this day come into Court and proved to the Satisfaction of the court that he made in this court two years ago the requisit declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio and that during all that time he behaved as a man of good moral character attached to the principle of the constitution of the United States all well disposed to the good order and happiness of the same And thereupon the Said Andrew Greenbourn in open court here made Solemn oath that he will Support the constitution of the United States and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and adjure all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign prince Potentate State or Sovereignty and particularly all allegiance and fidelity to the King of Bavaria Whereupon it is ordered by the Court that a certificate of naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this aplication

Naturalizat

Full papers Oct 5. 1859

Lawrence Shotz an alien and native of Bavaria a free white person this day come unto court and proved to the Satisfaction of the court that he made in this court two years ago the requisite declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio and that during all that time he behaved as a man of good moral character attached to the principles of the constitution of the United States all well disposed to the good order and happiness of the Same and thereupon the Said Lawrence Shotz in open court here made Solemn oath that he will Supprt the constitution of the United States and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and adjur all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign Prince Potentate State or Sovereignty and particularly all allegiance and fidelity to the King of Bavaria Whereupon it is ordered by the court that a certificat of naturalizatio be issued to him on payment of costs of this applicatio

Full papers filed Sept. 19. 1859

Naturalizat

Jacob Schepper an alien and native of Wertemburg a free white person this day come into court and proved to the Satisfactio of the court that he made in this court two years ago the requisite declaration of his intentention to become a citizen of the United States that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio and that during all that time he behaved as a man of good moral character attached to the principles of the constitution of the United States all well disposed to the gobod order

Monday September 19. A.D. 1859

and happiness of the same and thereupon the said Jacob Schepper in open court here made solemn oath that he will support the constitution of the United States and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and adjur all allegience and fidelity to every foreign Prince Potentate State or Sovereignty and particularly all allegience and fidelity to the King of Wartemburg Whereupon it is ordered by the court that a certificate of naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this applicat

Naturalizations

John F. Kimmerle an alien and native of Wartemburg a free white person this day came into court and proved to the satisfaction of the court that he made in this court two years ago the requisite declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States that he has resided in the United States for five years last past that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio and that during all that time he behaved as a man of good moral character attached the principles of the constitution of the United States all well disposed to the good order and happiness of the same and thereupon the said John F. Kemmerle in open court here made solemn oath that he will support the constitution of the United States and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and adjur all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign Prince Potentate State or Sovereignty and particularly all allegiance and fidelity to the King of Wertemburg. Whereupon it is ordered by the court that a certificate of naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this applicat

Naturalization
Sept. 19. 1859
Fee paid

David D Welsh
vs
Harvey Skeels &
Simeon Skeeles

Assumpsit

And now comes the said plff & files his petition against said defendants & thereupon John V. Coats Esqr one of the Attorneys of this court appeared in open court in behalf of said defendants & by virtue of a warrant Attorney for that purpose executed & now produced to the court & duly proved waived the issuing & service of process & confessed that the said defendants do owe the said plff the said sum of two hundred & twenty seven dollars as the said plff in his said petition has set forth with interest at ten per cent It is therefore considered & adjudged that said plff do recover of said defendants the said sum of two hundred & twenty seven dollars & his costs herein expended taxed to $. on at ten per cent. And by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all error is released & all right of appeal waived by the said defendant.

Monday September 19. A.D. 1859

Naturalization

Gotlieb Delleny an alien and native of wartemburg a free white person this day come into court and proved to the Satisfaction of the court that he made in this court two years ago the requisite declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States that he has resided in the United States for five years last past that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio and that during all that time he behaved as a man of good moral character attached to the principles of the constitution of the United States all well disposed to the good order and happiness of the same and thereupon the said Gotlieb Delleny in open court here made Solemn oath that he will Support the constitution of the United States and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and adjur all allgience and fidelity to every foreign Prince Potentate State or Sovereignty and particularly all allegiance and fidelity to the King of Wortemburg whereupon it is ordered by the court that a certificate of Naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this application

Full hapers Sept. 19 1859

Naturalization

Christian Kreitline an alien and native of Wertemburg a free white person this day come into court and proved to the Satisfaction of the court that he made in this court two years ago the requisite declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States that he has resided in the United States for five years last past that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio and that during all that time he behaved as a man of good moral character attached to the principles of the constitution of the United States all well disposed to the good order and happiness of the same And thereupon the said Christian Kreitline in open court here made Solemn oath that he will Support the constitution of the United States and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and adjur all allegience and fidelity to every foreign Prince Potentate State or Sovereignty and particularly all allegiance and fidelity to the King of Wertemburg Whereupon it is ordered by the court that a certificat of Naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this application.

Full hapers Sept. 19. 1859

Naturalization

George F Matteler an alien and native of wartemburg a free white person this day came into court and proved to the Satisfaction of the court that he made in this court two years ago the requisite declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States that he has resided in the United States for five years last past that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio and that during all that time he behaved as a man of good moral character attacheed to the principles of the constitution of the United State all well disposed to the good order and happiness of the same And thereupon the said George F Matteler in open court here made Solemn oath that he will Support the constitution of the United States and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and adjur all allgiance and fidelity to every foreign Prince Potentate State or Sovereignty and particularly all allgience and fidelity to the King of Wirtemburg. Whereupon it is ordered by the court that a certificate of Naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this applicatin.

Full hapers Sept. 19. 1859

Monday September 19. A.D. 1859

Naturalization

Full papers

Issued Sept 19/1859

John Fogarty an alien and native of Ireland a free white person this day came into court and proved to the satisfaction of the court that he made in this court two years ago the requisite declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States that he has resided in the United State for five years last past that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio and that during all that time he behaved as a man of good moral character attached to the principles of the constitution of the United States all well disposed to the good order and happiness of the same and thereupon the said John Fogarty in open court here made solemn ooath that he will support the constitution of the United States and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and adjure all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign Prince Potentate State or Sovereignty and particularly all allegiance and fidelity to Victoria Queen of Great Britain Whereupon it is ordered by the court that a certificate of naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this application

Maurice Dundon an alien and native of Ireland a free white person this day came in to court and proved to the satisfaction of the court that he made in this court two years ago the requisite declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States that he has resided in the United States for five years last past that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio and during all that time he behaved as a man of good Moral character attached to the principles of the constitution of the United States all well disposed to the good order and happiness of the same And thereupon the said Maurice Dundon in open court here made solemn oath that he will support the constitution of the United States and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and adjure all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign Prince Potentate State or Sovereignty and particularly all allegiance and fidelity to Victoria Queen of Great Britain
whereupon it is ordered by the court that a certificate of naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this application

Full papers issued Sept 19, 1859

P. Manchester
vs.
Corryden Winkler

This day came the plaintiff and dismissed this action without prejudice. Therefore it is considered that defendant recover of the Plaintiff his costs herein taxed to $

E Hammond
vs
Jacob Meyers

This day came the parties & dismissed this cause upon the terms following to wit, that each party pay his own costs. Thereupon it is considered & adjudged that each party pay his own costs & that no record of the case to be made.

Monday September 19. A. D. 1859

reappraisment

C. W. Lyon & Co.
vs.
Christopher Grubbs

This day came the plaintiff by his attorneys and moved the court that the appraisement be set aside and a new appraisement ordered and it appearing that the said lands heretofore ordered to be sold in this cause have been twice offered for sale it is ordered that the said lands be reappraised.

18

C. S. Hamilton
vs.
James A. Carter

This cause is dismissed without prejudice and by agreement on file the defendant is to pay costs. Whereupon it is considered and adjudged by the court that the defendant pay the costs herein taxed to $ dollars.

57

James Leonard.
vs.
H. S. Lott and Leonard Lott

In Court of Comm. Pleas Civil actio

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon the defendants H. S. Lott and Leonard Lott withdrew their answer to the petition of the plaintiff and the said defendants being in default and neither party requiring a Jury this cause was submitted to the Court. Whereupon it is considered by the court that the said James Leonard ought to recover of the said H. S. Lott and Leonard Lott his damages by reason of the premises and the court being fully advised in the premises do find that said defendant do owe the said plaintiff the sum of one Hundred & fifty four & 36/100 Dollars ($154.36) and that the same bears interest at the rate of ten per cent per annum
Therefore it is considered ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the said James Leonard recover of the said H. S. Lott & Leonard Lott the said sum of $154.36 his damages aforesaid in form aforesaid and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed at $ and it is further ordered & decreed that this judgment bear interest at the rate of ten per cent per annum until paid. by agreement no record.

54

Wm. D. Wilson
vs.
R. L. Broome et als

This day came the parties to this suit except J. Ann Pollock who was not served with process and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition to be true and therefore it is considered ordered and adjudged that the said R. L. Broome be enjoined from further proceedings upon the real estate in said petition described as the Grady farm until he has exhausted the town lots in said petition described and that his lein thereon be and the same is found to be prior and better than the Mortgage lien of Sheldon Smith thereon.

Monday September 19th 1859.

Wm D. Wilson
vs.
Elias Lewis et als. } This day came the parties to this suit except John Pollock who was not served with process and submitted this cause to the court and thereupon the court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition to be true and thereupon it is ~~considered~~ ordered and adjudged that the defendants Elias Lewis and other Judgement creditors be enjoined from further proceedings against the Judy farm in said petition described until they exhaust the town lots in said petition described and it is further considered and adjudged that said Sheldon Smiths Mortgage lien on said lots is Junior to the lien of said Elias Lewis and others Judgment creditors aforesaid

David H. Silver
vs
Catharine Bunton et als. } Continued

Ohio Life Insurance & Trust Co
vs
A. S. Adam et als. } Continued

Calvin T. Hays
vs.
William Scott et als } Continued under former order

Charles R. Denning
vs
James R. Snodgrass. } Continued

Jacob Bowersmith
vs
Jacob Bowersmith } Continued

David D. Welsh
vs
Wm Kildreth } Continued,

The State of Ohio
vs
Furman Coriell

Monday September 19th 1859.

X 76 Jas W. Robinson vs. M. P. Rice — continued

X 7[illegible] John H. James vs Norman Reed — continued under former order

✓ 44 James B McCarley vs. James T. McCarty et als — Petition for Partition.

on motion to the court by Robinson & Robinson counsel for petitioner and upon producing the return of the Sheriff and the report of said commissioners heretofore appointed and the same having been examined by the court here and found in all respects correct and in conformity to law it is hereby ordered that the said proceedings and report be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed and the said parties hold in severalty the parts and premises so set off and assigned to each respectively and it is further ordered that the costs of this action including a counsel fee to the said Robinson & Robinson of Two Hundred — dollars be paid by the said parties in the following proportion to wit: the said James B. McCarty the one half James T. McCarty one Sixth Jonas R. McCarty one Sixth and Wm M. McCarty jr. one Sixth and in default thereof that execution issue therefor

✓ 14 The State of Ohio vs. Levi Keill & Amos Patridge — Indictment for Joining

The defendant Levi Keill this day was arraigned and the Indictment being read to him was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says he is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged in the indictment. Whereupon it is considered by the court that he make unto the State of Ohio his fine the sum of Twenty five Dollars. ($25.00) and that he pay the costs of prosecution taxed at $

And as to Amos Patridge Continued for Service

X 1 Lessee of Saml Stokely vs Dolm Williams et als — continued

X 4 Curtis Kempton vs Hannah Kempton — continued

Monday September 19th 1859.

X | 7 | James C. Dynes Exr vs Harrison Rice et als } continued

X | 10 | Andy Gandy vs Amanda Gandy } continued

X | 11 | Elizabeth Williams vs William C. Ogburn et als } continued

X | 12 | George T Williamson vs George Stuart et als } continued leave to make new parties pltff.

X | 8 | Matthew McClure plaintiff against Ira C. Johnston & Lovina Johnson his wife Joseph Johnson defendants } Petition on note on Mortgage

And now comes the said Mathew McClure by Messrs Stanton & Allison his attorneys and the said Ira C. Johnson Lovina Johnson and Joseph Johnson Still failing to demurrer or answer to the said petition this cause was Submitted to the Court and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find all the allegations of the petition to be true and it is considered that the said plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises and the Court further find that there is due from the defendant Ira C. Johnson to the said plaintiff on the ~~following~~ note in the petition set forth due on the first day of May 1859 the Sum of ten hundred and seventy two dollars and ninety one cents It is therefor considered by the Court that the said plaintiff recover of the said Ira C. Johnson defendant the said Sum of Ten hundred and Seventy two dollars and Ninety ~~One~~ cent the Same so found due as aforesaid and also his costs toyed to & And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case said defendants Ira C. Johnston Lovina Johnson Joseph Johnson fail for ten days from the close of this term of the Court to pay to the said Mathew McClure the said Sum of $ 1072.91. so as aforesaid found due with costs of Suit and order issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union commanding him to cause the said lands and tenements in said petition described to be appraised advertised and sold according to Law to Satisfy said Sum of $ 1072.91 with interest thereon and costs and that he bring the proceeding of said Sale into court

Monday September 11. A. D. 1839.

at the next term hereof for further order and decree as to the notes secured by said mortgage which are not yet due and this cause is continued for further order.

1 | The State of Ohio vs Furman Cosiell } Retailing. Ordered to be laid away among old Indictments.

2 | The State of Ohio vs Furman Cosiell. Retailing. Ordered to be laid away among old Indictments

3 | The State of Ohio vs William Riddle } Retailing. This day came John L. Porter Pro. Atty. And entered a Nolle prosequi to this Indictment.

4 | The State of Ohio vs William Riddle } Retailing. This day came John L. Porter Pro. Atty. And entered a Nolle prosequi to the above Indictment.

5 | The State of Ohio vs William Riddle } Retailing. This day came John L. Porter, Pro. Atty. Entered a nolle prosequi to this Indictment

6 | The State of Ohio vs Abram Whelpley } Retailing. Ordered to be laid away with old Indictments

7 | The State of Ohio vs Furman Cosiell } Retailing. Ordered to be laid away with old Indictments.

8 | The State of Ohio vs Furman Cosiell } Retailing. Ordered to be laid away with old Indictments

9 | The State of Ohio vs James Decker } Gaming. This day came John L. Porter Pro. Atty. and entered a nolle prosequi to the above Indictment

Monday September 19. A.D. 1859

X 10 | The State of Ohio vs Julius Bennett } Gaming. Continued for Service

X 11 | The State of Ohio vs James M. Wilson } Retailing. Continued for Service.

X 12 | The State of Ohio vs Isaiah Shout } Retailing. Continued for Service.

X 13 | The State of Ohio vs James M. Wilson } Retailing. Continued for Service.

X 14 | The State of Ohio vs Jo. M. Wilson } Retailing. Continued for Service.

V 15 | The State of Ohio vs Isaac Morse } Retailing. This day came John L. Porter Pros. Atty, and entered a Nolle prosequi to the above Indictment

V 16 | The State of Ohio vs William Riddle } Retailing. This day came John L. Porter Pros. Atty, and entered a Nolle prosequi to the above Indictment

V 19 | The State of Ohio vs Thomas A. Mapes } Burglary. This day came John L. Porter Pros. Atty, and entered a nolle prosequi to this Indictment

X 18 | John W. King vs William B. Irwin et als } continued

X 22 | Hugh M. Smart by his Guardian vs Minerva Kline et als } continued

And thereupon the Court adjourned until Eight Oclock Tomorrow Morning.

William Lawrence
Judge Court Com. Pleas
3 Judicial Destrict

Tuesday September 20th A.D. 1859.

This morning the Court of Common Please of Union County met pursuant to adjournment Present Same officers as on yesterday

X
16

William Michael &
Evaline Michael his wife
vs
Sarah Lamb et als

Petition

on motion to the court by Wright & Coats Attorney for petitioners and it appearing to the ~~court~~ Satisfaction of the court that neither party elect to take the premises in the petition described at the valuation thereon as returned by the commissioners heretofore appointed it is therefore ordered that the said premises be sold at public auction according to Law by the Sheriff of the county of Union and State of Ohio and that he return his doings in the premises to the next term of this court to which time this cause is continued.

X
12

A. I. Ferguson
vs
David Marquis

civil action on promisory note

This day come the plaintiff and the defendant being in default for an answer And the cause being Submitted to the court find there is due from the said defendant to the plaintiffs petition the Sum of $206.75 cents with ten per cent interest thereon as Stipulated in said note It is therefore considered by the court that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant the said Sum of two hundred and Six dollars and Seventy five cents with ten per cent interest thereon untill paid as aforesaid together with the costs herein expanded Taxed at

Dollars

Tuesday September 7th A.D. 1859.

Amos D. Ealy
vs.
George Brown et als. } Leave given to defendants Smith and Ennis to file amended answer instanter Amended answer filed case passed to foot of the docket for this days assignment at the costs of the plaintiff -
(Afterwards continued at Plffs costs)

John Marrison
vs.
Joseph Bidwell } This day came the plaintiff and the garnishees by their Attorneys and it appearing that Judgment has heretofore been rendered in this case for plaintiff against said defendant and said garnishees having filed their answer to the plaintiff a reply thereto and the court being fully advised in the premises It is considered ordered and adjudged that the Sheriff of said County of Union proceed as upon Execution to advertise and sell so much of the property personal & real of the defendant attached in this case will satisfy the plaintiffs said Judgment. And it is further considered ordered and adjudged that if the proceed of said property shall be in Sufficiant to Satisfy said Judgment then that said garnishee Wm Young & David Evans Executors of the last will of Joseph Bidwell dec'd pay over to plaintiff of the share of defendant in said Estate of said deceased so soon as the same can legally be collected or as much thereof as will Satisfy said plaintiffs said Judgment. but nothing is herein intended to effect any legal right which the widow of said Joseph Bidwell dec'd may have in said estate personal & real,

Amos Ealy
vs.
Jas R. Smith et als } continued at the plaintiffs costs.
It is therefore considered and adjudged that the defendants recover of the plaintiff their costs herein expended taxed to $ for this term

Martha Lee
vs.
M. Wasson } Petition for dower.
And now comes the said Martha Lee plaintiff by Hamilton & Lincoln her counsel and the defendant still failing to appear and answer plead or demur to said petition
It is therefore ordered that the said petition and the matters therein contained be taken as confessed against the said defendant and thereupon it is adjudged and decreed that the said plaintiff be endowed of one equal third part of the premises in her said petition described as and for her dower thereof as the widow of the said Hugh Lee deceased and it is further ordered that a writ issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union commanding him that by the oaths of P. Snider John Barbour Seth McMahill three disinterested men of the vicinity who are not of Kin to either of said

Tuesday September 20th 1859

parties he cause such dower to be set off and assigned to the said Martha Lee according to law and that he make return of his proceedings in the premises ~~to the next term of this court to which time this cause is continued~~ forthwith

Standish Cobver
vs.
David Wirtsbaugh

This day come the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendant not but come made default and thereupon neither party demanding a Jury the case was submitted to the court & thereupon it is considered ordered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the amount due him from defendant to wit the Sum of one hundred and Seventy seven dollars & seventy cents and his costs herein expended taxed to $.

James W. Robinson
vs.
Samuel Elliott &
Edward Smith.

This day come the parties and submitted this cause to the court and it appearing that the defendants have not paid plaintiff for the land as by his agreement he was bound to do and that the land will not be sufficient to pay the plaintiffs claim thereon & that defendant Samuel Elliott is willing to give up his said contract and plaintiff ought in equity to have the ~~land~~ said contract rescinded. Therefore it is considered ordered and adjudged that the said contract for the purchase by defendants from plaintiff of the land in said petition described be rescinded cancelled and of no force in law & that plaintiff recover of the defendant Samuel Elliot his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $

Samuel H. Judy
vs.
James M. Wilson et als.

This day come the plaintiff and the defendant Levi Neill, but James M Wilson & wife made default and come not and neither party demanding a Jury this case was submitted to the court and the court being fully advised in the premises do consider order and adjudge that the plaintiff recover of the defendant James M Wilson the Sum of one hundred and Six dollars & five cents debt and his costs herein expended taxed to $

And it is further considered ordered and decreed that if said defendants shall fail for ten days from the close of this ~~court~~ of court to pay the said Sum of money due the plaintiff as aforesaid then that the Sheriff of the county of Union who is hereby appointed Special master for that purpose shall proceed according to law to sell said Real Estate to satisfy said Judgment and bring in to court the procads of such sale and the case is continued for the distribution of the proceeds of said Sale

Tuesday September 20th 1859

Andrew Kemminger
vs
Ira C. Johnson &
Joseph Johnson.

This day come the plaintiff and defendant come not but made default & thereupon neither party demanding a Jury this case was Submitted to the Court and thereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition to be true and the court do find there is due plaintiff from said Ira C Johnson the Sum of one hundred and thirty three 87/100 dollars as claimed in said petition which Sum of money as a lein on the wood in said petition described
Thereupon It is considered ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover
$133.87 of the defendant Ira C Johnson the said Sum of one hundred and thirty three 87/100 dollars found due as aforesaid and his costs herein expended taxed to $
And It is further considered ordered and adjudged that if the defendants fail for ten days from the close of this term of court to pay said Judgment that the Sheriff proceed as upon execution to Sell said wood or so much thereof as shall Satisfy said plaintiff said Judgment. And It is further considered ordered and adjudged that said defendant be forever enjoined from interfering in any manner with said wood until the said Judgment is Satisfied

Clark Richie
vs
A. C. Robinson et als

on motion of the plffs Attorneys the court this day set aside the appraisement heretofore made & order the Sheriff to cause a reappraisement to be made of the real estate heretofore levied upon by him by virtue of an execution issued in this case to him directed the said court being Satisfied that said premises had been duly offered for sale the second time=

Error
John George Tensil
vs
Francis Melching et als

This day came the parties and by consent the cause is dismissed without prejudice & papers withdrawn no Record, Therefore It is considered and adjudged that the defendants recover of the plaintiff their costs in this behalf expended taxed to $,

Aglet Sharp
vs
Christopher Crabb

This day came the parties hereto and settled this case as follows. the defendant is to pay ten dollars and half the costs of this action. Therefore it is considered ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of ten dollars and the half of the costs made in this case, And that the defendant recover of the plaintiff one half of the costs herein made
No record

Tuesday September 20th 1859

X
89

B. W. Miller
vs
O. Wells

This day come the parties by their attorneys and by agreement of parties It is ordered that this case be refered to M. C. Lowrence who is hereby appointed by the court a referee in this case to State an account of all the partnership transaction and dealings between the plaintiff and defendant and to state fully the account of the plaintiff and also of the defendant and if either party require it, ~~tackk~~ and report to the court the proofs upon which any or all of the items of account and matters of difference between the Said plaintiff and defendant growing out of their partnership business in the buying and selling of hogs as in the petition set forth or it is further ordered that the plaintiff in forty days & the defendant in fifty days set out their account by petition and answer.

✓

C. T Bradley. John A Burnham
Henry Lomb Sherman Ford & Peter Keiser
doing business under the firm name of
Bradley, Burnham Lomb & Co. pltff
against
Samuel Corwin Sarah Corwin &
U. T. Morris

Judgment $190.36
Petition Foreclosure
This day came the said plaintiffs by their attorney C. H. McElroy and the defendants having failed to answer or demur to said petition made default whereupon the plaintiffs waiving their right to a trial by Jury Submit this cause to the court and the court being fully advised in the premises do find that there is due from the said Defendants Samuel Corwin to the said Plaintiff the Sum of one hundred & ninety dollars & thirty Six cents. It is therefore considered by the court that the Plaintiffs recover of the said defendants Samuel Corwin the said Sum of one hundred & ninety dollars and thirty Six cents so as aforesaid found due and also their costs in this behalf expended taxed to $ and that the said And it is further ordered and adjudged by the court that in default of payment of the above Judgment in ten days an order issue to the Sheriff of this County as Special master commissioner for the time being commanding him to cause the lands & tenements in the petition described to be appraised advertised and Sold according to law and apply the proceeds to the Satisfaction of this Judgment and costs and the residue if any he bring into court to ~~Abide~~ await the further order of the court,

✓
$900 13/100

Osler & Linn
vs
Joseph Malvain et als.

Court of Common Pleas Union County Ohio.
This day this cause come on to be heard by the court and the court being fully advised in the premises do find that the said Joseph Malvain does owe the plaintiffs on the notes and mortgage in the petition set forth the Sum of Nine Hundred 13/100 dollars and that the Same is a lien on

Tuesday September 2d 1859

the land in the petition described. Wherefore it is considered and adjudged that the plaintiffs do recover of the said defendant said Sum of Nine hundred & 00 dollars and his costs herein toped to Dollars and it is further ordered adjudged and decreed that Abraham Wiley who is hereby appointed Sepicial master commissioner in this case proceed to appraise advertise and sell said land according to law to pay said Judgment. The defendant Joseph Mulvain demands a Second trial, which Second trial is allowed by the Court.

$900.13/100

Isaac McAllister & John McAllister admr of John McAllister Decesd vs Elizabeth Bowsher admx of Jacob Bowsher Dec'd et als } The court this day having examined the proceedings of the Sheriff as Special Master commissioner & the sale by him made to James W. Robinson upon the order of sale issued in this cause & being satisfied that said sale has in all respects been made in conformity to the provisions of the Statute in such case made & provided do order the clerk to make an entry on the Journal that the court are satisfied of the legality of the sale & that he also enter an order on the Sheriff as said Speicial master commissioner to make to James W. Robinson the purchaser a deed for the Real estate so sold & there is found & unpaid of the Judgment & in this case & now due the plaintiff from the Defts the Sum of $90.81.

Isaac McAllister et als admr of John McAllister vs Ben Bradley et als } This day came the plaintiffs into court by their Attorneys & submitted to said court their motion to take Judgment on the promsory note of the defendant due July 2d 1859. for the Sum of $309.55. & the court having examined the proceedings in the premises & being satisfied therewith that the plaintiffs aught to recover form the defendants the said $309.55 by virtue of an order & decree of continuance heretofore rendred in this cause at the Nov. Term of said court 1858 & the proceedings therein had Therefore it is considered & adjudged that the plaintiffs recover of the defendants the said Sum of three hundred & nine & 55 dollars & their costs herein expended toped to $

Tuesday September 20th 1859.

1) F. H. Lowson & Co. a partnership firm Plaintiff vs. John B. Myers. Defendant. } And now comes the said F. H. Lowson & Co. by their attorneys, Walker & West and filed their petition against the said John B. Myers and thereupon John B Coats one of the attorneys of this court appeared in open court in behalf of the said John B Myers and by virtue of a warrant of attorney for that purpose executed and now produced to the Court and duly proved waived the issuing and service of process and confessed that the said John B Myers does owe to the said plaintiffs the said sum of $193.52 as the plaintiff hath set forth in his petition. It is therefore considered that the plaintiffs recover of the said John B. Myers the said sum of $193.52 so confessed to be due and also his costs herein taxed to $

And by virtue of the said warrant of Attorney all error is released and the right of appeal waived,

757 Levi Lyons vs. E. Y. Richey Smith & Strubel } This day came the plaintiff by his attorney but the defendants came not but made default and thereupon this cause was submitted to the court and the court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition to be true and there is due the plaintiff from the said defendant E Y. Richey as claimed in his petition the sum of fifteen hundred and fifty dollars and seventy four cents which is a vendors lien on the real estate in said petition described. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant E. Y Richy said sum of fifteen hundred and fifty dollars and seventy four cents and his costs herein expended taxed to $ And it is further considered ordered and adjudged that if the defendants fail for ten days from the close of this term of court to pay said judgement that the Sheriff of said county of Union who is appointed Special Master for that purpose proceed according to law to appraise advertise and sell according to law the real estate in said petition described to satisfy said judgement,

X John Harshfield vs. Harvey Smith & wife } Order of Sale

On Motion of John Harshfield plaintiff by Messrs Stanton & Allison his Attorneys and it appearing to the Satisfaction of the court that the land heretofore ordered to be sold in this case has been twice duly offered for sale since the same was appraised and not sold for want of bidders the appraisement is set aside and a new appraisement is ordered at the costs of the ~~Defendant~~ Harvey Smith,

Tuesday September 20th A.D. 1859

Elizabeth Bouser Plff
vs.
William Hamilton deft. } Appeal

This day came the Plff by their attorney and was heard the court the plff filed her demurer to the defendants answer and the cause came on to be herd upon the issue made by the plff Demurrer to the defendents answer and was argued by council in consideration whereof the court Sustained the demurrer of the said Plff to the answer of the defendant. In consideration whereof it is ordered by the court that the plff have her Judgement against the defendant for the ballance due on the note after deducting the payment entered in the petition and neither party requiring a Jury. Submit the matter to the court to assess the amount due. Whereupon the court find that there is due the Plff from the defendant balance of principal and interest to the first day of this term the sum of $56 00 It is therefore considered that the plff recover of the said defendant the said Sum of $56 00 So as aforesaid found due with costs taxed to $ It is further ordered that the Plff recover from the said defendant 10 per cent interest in said Sum until paid,

Peter Bloomershine an alien and native of Hesse Darmstadt Germany a free white person this day came into court and proved to the Satisfaction of the court that he made in this court two years ago the requisite declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States that he has resided in the United States for five years last past and that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio and during all that time he behaved as a man of good moral character attached to the principles of the constitution of the United States all well disposed to the good order and happiness of the Same And thereupon the said Peter Bloomershine in open court here made Solemn oath that he will Support the constitution of the United States and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and abjure all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign Prince Potentate State or Sovereignty and particularly all allegiance and fidelity to King Luther 4th of Hesse Darmstadt Germany Wherefore it is ordered by the court that a certificate of Naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this Application

Isaac Garretson Plff
vs.
Josephas Gordon et als deft. } Real action for possession of Lands

Judgement.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and the said Wm A Hubbard & John Brannan Defendants being in default this cause came on to be herd upon the petition of the Plaintiff and the answer of Josephas Gordon exhibits &c and neither party requiring a Jury this cause was Submitted to the Court upon consideration whereof the Court do find the allegations of the petition to be

Tuesday September 20th A.D. 1859

true and that the Plaintiff is seized in fee Simple of the premises so aforesaid demanded in manner & form as the said Plaintiff hath in his petition set forth and that the defendants have unlawfully kept the plaintiff out of possession thereof It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendants his term yet to come in the said lands & tenements aforesaid with the appurtenances and also his costs herein expanded taxed to & The defendants thereupon demanded a second trial under the Statute which was allowed by the Court and also gave notice of proceedings under the occupying claimant Law, the Court fixed the bonds for second trial at one hundred Dollars.

1/92 Kelton Bancroft & Co
vs
P. Manchester et als

This day came the parties to this action and Submitted the case to the court and thereupon the court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition to be true & there is due plaintiff on said note the same of two hundred & six Dollars & Seventy Six cents It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that plaintiff recover of defendants the said Sum of two hundred and Six dollars & Seventy Six cents & their costs herein expanded taxed to &
It is further ordered that execution be levied on the goods & chattles &c of defendants in the following order 1st of P. Manchester, maker 2d of O Wells first endorser & 3d of E. Hammond second endorser.

1/95 George G Rule &
James G Ford.
vs.
Madison Woodson et als

This day came the parties to this suit and Submitted this case to the court and thereupon the court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegation of said petition to be true and there is due from said John Shider and George Shiders to said Madison Woodson and other defendants the Sum of ninety seven dollars and seventy seven cents for their Share of the land in said petition described and It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of said defendants Madison Woodson and other heirs of Hughes Woodson Deceased from John Shider & George Shider the Sum of Sixty four dollars and ninety three cents and the costs herein expanded and It is further ordered that said Shiders pay to the Clerk of this court the amount due from them as aforesaid to wit & $18 25 and out of the same he pay said Judgment & costs & the remainder to said Madison Woodson & other heirs of Hughes Woodson dec'd And John B Coats Master commissioner of this court is hereby authorized and required to execute for Madison Woodson Hughes Woodson Virginia & Thomas Jewell William Wheatly George Clark & & Elija K. Clark a deed in fee Simple to said Shiders for their Shares in said land which deed shall operate as a full

Tuesday September 20th 1859

and lawful conveyance of their interest thereon the same or if they had made the same themselves

1 / 71 William S Sullivant vs Moses P. Rice } This day came the plaintiff by his attorney but the defendants came not made default herein & thereupon this cause was submitted to the court & the court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the plaintiffs petition are true & that there is due the said plaintiff William S Sullivant from the defendant Moses P. Rice as claimed in his petition the sum of five hundred & seven & 40/100 dollars $ 507.40 on interest at ten per cent. Therefore it is considered ordered and adjudged that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendants Moses P. Rice the said sum of five hundred & seven & 40/100 dollars & int. thereon at ten per cent & his costs herein expended taxed to $

1 / Sheldon Smith vs Joshua Dudley et als } This day came the parties by their Attorneys & dismissed this case without prejudice at the plaintiffs costs Thereupon it is considered & adjudged that this case be dismissed without prejudice at plffs cost & no record made. It is therefore considered by the Court that the defendant recover of the Plaintiff his costs herein expended taxed to $

82 / George Mitchell vs A. Dockum & James Dockum. } This day came the plaintiff but defendants came not but made default and neither party demanding a Jury this cause was submitted to the court and thereupon the court do find the allegations of the petition to be true and the court find there is due on said note the sum of two hundred and twenty Eight & 52/100 dollars which is on ten per cent interest Therefore it is considered ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendants said sum of two hundred and twenty Eight & 52/100 dollars with ten per cent interest due thereon as aforesaid and his costs herein expended taxed to $

1 / Nelson Draper vs John Coder } Petition on note and Mortgage.
And now comes the said Nelson Draper by John B. Coats his attorney and the said John B. Coder Still failing to demurrer or answer to the said petition and

Tuesday September 20th 1859.

neither party requiring a Jury this cause is Submitted to the court and the court being fully advised in the premises. Therefore it is considered that the plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises and the court with the consent of the said plaintiff find that there is due from the said defendant to the said plaintiff on the note in the said petition set forth the Sum of two hundred and ninety three dollars and fifty seven cents being the principle and interest to this date It is Therefore considered and adjudged by the said court that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendant the said Sum of Twelve hundred and ninety three dollars and fifty seven cents the same so found due as aforesaid and also his costs taxed to $ And it is further ordered and adjudged that in case the said defendant fails for ten days from the close of this term of this court to pay the said plaintiff the said Sum of Two hundred and ninety three dollars and fifty seven cents so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order issue to Abraham Wiley Sheriff of said County of Union who is hereby appointed Special master for that purpose commanding him to cause said lands and tenements in the petition described to wit All of in lot number forty Eight (48) in the Town of Marysville Union County and State of Ohio except fifty feet off the east end of said lot heretofore deeded by James E Harriott to Jackson C Doughty by deed bearing date April 6th 1847 to be appraised advertised and sold according to law and apply the proceeds of said Sale to the Satisfaction of said judgement so as aforesaid rendered. It is also further considered and adjudged that this judgment bear interest at the rate of ten per cent,

Hammond & Wills }
vs } This day came the plaintiff and the garnishee Harrison
81 X I. I. Gates } Shrives having answered that he has a colt the property of the defendant and it appearing that the personal property which has been taken in attachment is of a perishable nature It is considered ordered and decreed that a writ issue commanding the Sheriff to sell according to law the said personal property and bring into court at its next Term the proceeds thereof & this cause is continued.

X
28 Robert McElroy }
vs }
David Miller }
Josiah Miller & } Continued
Thomas Jones. }

X
29 Hiram Titsworth }
as } continued
Johnathan Haines Jr & Harvey Haines }

Tuesday September 20th 1859

X / 39	Elijah Wofford vs Henry Crist & others	continued	
X / entered on another page	Jacob Bowersmith vs Jacob Bowersmith	Continued	
X / 25	The S. M. V. & P. R. R. Co. vs Joshua Judy	continued	
X / 116	W. W. Woods vs Thomas C Riley & John Long	continued with leave to file answer	
X / 47	John Hanrahan vs John S. Fulton	continued	
X / 219	Morgan Savage vs A. R. Bowen & wife & John Cassie	continued	
X / 51	W. W Covatt vs W. S. Thompson & Alson Brunson	continued	
X / 66	Amos Davis vs John Skinner et als	continued	
✓ / 100	John Barbour vs Nelson Cone	Judgement. This day come the said John Barbour by his attorneys and the said Nelson Cone made default Thereupon it is considered that the said John Barbour ought to recover his damages by reason of the premises and neither party requiring a Jury the court being fully advised in the premises do assess the damages of the said John Barbour at one	

Tuesday September 20th A.D. 1859

Hundred & Ten & 03/100 Dollars ($110.03. Thereupon It is considered ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the said John Barbour recover of the said Nelson Cone said Sum of one Hundred & Ten & 03/100 dollars his damages aforesaid in form aforesaid assessed and also his costs herein expanded taxed to $

Jacob C. Lee
vs
79 Henry Amine 3d

Judgement

This day come the said Jacob C Lee by his attorneys and the said Henry Amine 3d came not but made default whereupon this cause was submitted to the court by the Plaintiff neither party requiring a Jury and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that the said Henry Amine 3d do owe to the plaintiff Jacob C Lee the Sum of one Hundred & Thirty Six & 25/100 dollars on the note in the Plaintiffs petition set forth and that the same bears interest at the rate of ten per cent per annum Thereupon It is ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the Plaintiff Jacob C Lee recover of the defendant Henry Amine 3d said Sum of one Hundred & thirty Six & 25/100 dollars ($136,25) with interest at the rate of ten per cent per annum from this date until paid the amount found due as aforesaid and also his costs herein expended taxed to $

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the Bar of the court and presented their Bill of Indictment against Hezekiah Smith & Henry Decker for burglary Indorsed a true bill Aquila Turner Foreman Also their other bill of Indictment against Richard Reed & Hezekiah Smith for burglary Indorsed a true bill Aquila Turner Foreman and also one bill of Indictment against Samuel Covey for Petit Larceny indorsed a true bill Aquila Turner Foreman Also one other bill of indictment against Andrew J. Hoard Petit Larceny indorsed a true Bill Aquila Turner. Foreman.

Thereupon This Court adjourned untill tomorrow Morning at Eight oclock

William Lawrence
Judge Court Common Pleas
3rd Judicial District

Wednesday September 21st 1859.

This morning the Court of Common pleas of Union County met pursuant to adjournment present Same Officers as on yesterday.

7 93 L. Myers vs B. W. Miller & O Wells et als — Demurer Sustained leave granted to amend petition and make Lewis A. Hedge and Isaac Lake defendants & cause continued.

7 59 Samuel Wheeler vs Edaw Hardin — This cause came on to be heard and the defendant having failed to demurer or answer to the petition the court do find the alligations thereof to be true and that the defendant does owe the plantiff on the note and Mortgage in the petition mentioned the Sum of 415-40/100 dollars and that the Same is a lien on the land in the petition described Whereupon It is considered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiff do recover of the defendant said Sum of $ 415-40/100 with interest and costs of Suit and it is further ordered adjudged and decreed that Abraham Wiley who is hereby appointed Special master commissioner of this court in this cause proceed to appraise advertise and sell said land according to law to pay said Sum and bring the residue of the proceeds of said Sale into court to await its further order of this Court

62 Henry K Sheldon William Hoyt and Edwin Van Goosback. Partners doing business under the firm name & Style of Sheldon Hoyt & Co. vs. John Chapman Harvey Baldwin & William N. Turner late, partnership doing business under the name and Style of Chapman Baldwin & Co. — This day came the plantiffs by their attorneys and the defendants being in default and neither party demanding a Jury this cause was Submitted to the court. Whereupon it is considered by the court that the plaintiffs ought to recover of the defendants their damages by reason of the premises and the court being fully advised in the premises do assess the damages of the plaintiffs to the Sum of one Hundred & fifty eight & 52/100. dollars. It is therefore ordered adjudged & decreed by the court that the Plaintiffs recover of the defendants the Sum of one Hundred & fifty eight & 52/100. dollars found due as aforesaid and also their costs herein expended taxed to $

88 Geo A Carter vs Henry Baldwin et als — This day came the plff by ~~his~~ attorney & the defendants came not but made default herein having failed to answer or demur to plaintiffs

Wednesday September 21st 1859

petition thereupon this cause was submitted to the court & the court being advised in the premises do consider that the plaintiff aught to recover of the defendants the Sum of one hundred & fifty three & 07/100 dollars as claimed in his said petition Therefore it is considered and adjudged by the court that the said plaintiff Dan'l Carter recover of the said Henry Baldwin & John Chapman as principals & 2nd of James Mulvan as guarantor the said Sum of one hundred & fifty three & 07/100 dollars & 153.07 & his costs herein expended taxed to $

Thomas Jones Plaintiff
vs
Lewis H. Lee et als Deft.

This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon this cause come on to be heard upon the petition and and answer and the demurrer of the Plaintiff to the defendants answer together with the exhibits and testimony on consideration whereof the court do find the equities of the case with the Plaintiff. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed that there is due to the Plaintiff from the defendant Lewis H. Lee upon the transcript in said petition mentioned on this 21st day of September A.D. 1859 the Sum of Three hundred thirty two 85/100 dollars It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed that said Lewis H. Lee pay the said plaintiff said Sum of Three hundred and thirty two 85/100 dollars with interest to be computed thereon at the rate of 10 per cent per annum from this day and in default thereof the Sheriff of this County who is hereby appointed Special master for that purpose proceed to sell the premises in said Petition mentioned and that he report his proceedings to the next Term of this court. It is further ordered that said cause as to all other matters stand continued until the next Term of this court, and thereupon the defendants came and give notice of Appeal.

Joseph F. Place
vs.
Samuel Tanner.

This day this cause came on to be heard by the Court and the plaintiff appeared by his counsel and the defendant failing to demur or answer to the petition but being in default and the court being fully advised in the premises do find that the allegations of petition are true, and that the defendant Samuel Tanner did contract in writing on the 12th day of March A.D. 1859 to convey to the Plaintiff by a deed of general warranty lot No 10 in Survey No 7008 as designated in a plat of said Survey made by Levi Phelps as the same was subdivided by him, on condition that the plaintiff should, with the consent of the central Ohio Rail Road company, conveyed to said defendant a certain tract of land situated on the Falls of Licking, in Muskingum County, Ohio, as described in the petition, by the first day of April 1859, and should also pay, by the first of April 1859 to the defendant the Sum of one hundred and twenty five dollars, and.

Wednesday September 21st 1859.

the court do find that said plaintiff was ready to perform his part of said contract, and tendered to the defendant a deed of general warranty, and said Sum of one hundred and twenty five dollars, on the first day of April 1859, and that the said central contract Ohio Railroad company consented to the change and had declared that the same was agreeable to them, and the court do find that the said plaintiff was on, and has been eversince, the first of April 1859 ready and willing to deliver said deed to the defendant, and to pay to him said Sum of one hundred and twenty five dollars, and that the same are now in court, ready to be delivered to the defendant, and the court do further find that by the mutual mistake of the Plaintiff and defendant, said agreement between the plaintiff and defendant was so written as to call only for a conveyance of the land exchanged, when it was the mutual understanding and contract between the plaintiff and defendant that said tracts of land were to be conveyed by the parties respectively by deed of general warranty with covenants against incumbrances, ~~and of warranty~~. Whereupon it is considered, adjudged, and decreed, that the defendant accept, and receive the said deed for said land in Muskingum County now on file in court, and that he accept and receive said Sum of one hundred and twenty five dollars, now in court, and that he make, and deliver to the plaintiff, a deed of general warranty with covenants ~~of warranty~~ against incumbrances, for said Lot № 10 in Survey № 7008. as described in a plat of said Survey made by Levi Phelps as the same was subdivided by him, and that in case the defendant neglect or refuse for 40 days, so to convey the same, that John B Coats, Master commissioner of this court, make such conveyance. And it is further considered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover his costs herein taxed to ~~said~~ dollars, and that the clerk retain in his hands the said deed, ~~of~~ conveyance and said sum of money and deliver them to the defendant on demand

108

M. Loewenstine &
D. M. Lowenstine partners in
trade with the firm name of
M. Lowenstine & Son.
Vs.
D. D. Welsh.

Judgement.

This day come the Plaintiff by their Attorneys and the defendant D. D. Welsh being in default of court and neither party requiring a Jury this cause was submitted to the Court: Whereupon it is considered by the court that the Plaintiff ought to recover their damages by reason of the premises and the court being fully advised in the premises do assess the damages of the said M. Lowenstine & Son. plaintiffs to the Sum of Two Hundred and ~~Forty~~ Six & 35/100 dollars ($ 206.35) Whereupon it is considered ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the said M. Lowenstine & Son Plaintiffs recover of the said D. D. Welsh the

Wednesday September 21st A.D. 1859

Said Sum of $ 246.35 their damages aforesaid in form aforesaid assessed and also their costs herein expanded toted to $

M. Lowenstine &
J. H. Lowenstine partners
in trade with the firm name
of M. Lowenstine & Son.
Vs.
D. D. Welsh & John Barbour.

Judgment.

This day came the plaintiffs by their attorneys and the defendants D. D. Welsh & John Barbour being in default and neither party requiring a Jury this cause was submitted to the court Whereupon it is considered by the court that the plaintiffs aught to recover their damages by reason of the premises and the court being fully advised in the premises do assess the damages of the said M. Loewenstine & Son plaintiff to the Sum of Four Hundred & forty three & 64/100 dollars ($ 443.64) —
Therefore It is considered ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the said M. Loewenstine & Son plaintiffs recover of the said D. D. Welsh and John Barbour the said Sum of $ 443.64 their damages aforesaid in form aforesaid assessed and also their costs herein expanded toped to $

This day the county commissioners made their report to the court of their official transactions during the proceeding year giving a Statement of the financial affairs of Union County. Thereupon it is ordered and decreed by the court that said report be published in the Marysville Tribune and Union press for two consecutive weeks and that said report be investigated and examined by John Barbour & George Lincoln (who are hereby appointed by the court for said purpose.) and by the prosecuting attorney who in case of any violation of law in the official transactions of said commissioners is hereby directed and required to prosecute the same according to the nature of the case.

D. E. & D. A. Bigalow.
vs
Francis J Kaler.

This case came upon, motion to dismiss for want of jurisdiction Thereupon the court being fully advised in the premises do dismiss said petition. It is therefore considered and adjudged that plaintiff pay the costs of suit Leave to withdraw papers

State of Ohio vs Levi Hill & Jol Dillon
This day came the prosecuting Attorney and it appearing to the Court that Alonzo Lockwood entered into a recognizance in the Sum of fifty dollars on the 11th day of May 1859 before the court of common pleas of the County of Union Ohio conditioning that he should be and appear

Wednesday September 21st A.D. 1859.

before the said court of common Pleas of said County on the first of this Term to give evidence in the case of the State of Ohio against Levi Hill and Job Dillon and that he should not depart the Court without leave and upon the motion of the said prosecuting Attorney the said Alonzo Lockwood was three times solemnly called to come into court and give evidence in said case of the State of Ohio against Levi Hill and Job Dillon as by his recognizance he was bound to do and came not but made default. Whereupon the court declare the said recognizance forfeited,

Henry Shecter
vs
Margaret Shecter et als

} On Petition

} on motion to the court Hamilton & Lincoln counsel for said petitioner and upon producing the return of the Sheriff and the report of the

X/ 86

commissioners heretofore appointed and the same having been examined by the court and found in all respects correct and in conformity to law It is hereby ordered and decreed that the said proceedings and report be and the same is hereby approved and confirmed as to the portion of said real estate set off as the dower of Margaret Shector and that she hold the portion thereof set off to her as her dower in said real estate and it appearing from the said report of said commissioners and the return of the Sheriff that the residue of said real estate was not susceptible of division without manifest injury thereto and that the ~~same~~ same was valued by said commissioners this cause is continued until the next term of this court until which time either of the parties owning an interest in the said may elect to take said real estate at the valuation thereof

J. Butler and George Butler
partners doing business under the
firm name of J. & G.
Butler Plaintiffs
against
Henry R. Brinkerhoff
William Brinkerhoff
John Fleck and
J. Liggett defendants

} Petition on notes

60 ✓

~~J. & G. Butler~~ And now comes the said plaintiffs J. & G. Butler by John B. Coats their attorney and the said defendants Henry R. Brinkerhoff William H. Brinkerhoff John Fleck and J. Liggett having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said plaintiffs and neither party requiring a Jury this cause is submitted to the court it is thereupon considered that the said J. & G. Butler ought to recover the said sum of one hundred and forty nine dollars and five cents so demanded in his petition together with the sum of Six dollars and Eighty three cents which the court finds due

Wednesday September 21st A.D. 1859

as the interest thereon as prayed for in said petition it is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the said J. & S. Butler recover of the said Henry R Brinkerhoff William H. Brinkerhoff John Fleck and L. Liggett the said Sum of one hundred and fifty five dollars and Eighty Eight cents, together with their costs in and about their suit in this behalf expended taxed to

Dollars cents, And that

said Judgment bear interest at the rate of ten per cent.

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the Bar of this Court and presented their Bill of Indictment against Richard Reed & Henry Decker for burglary endorsed a true bill Aquila Turner Foreman. also their other Bill of Indictment against John Beighler & John E. Epps for Retailing and also another Bill of Indictment against Arthur F McKitrick, endorsed true bill Thompson Bishop, William Wooley. Isaac Wooley Isaac Roney. William Wagner, and William Beaver. for Riot Endorsed a true bill Aquila Turner Foreman., And the Jury having no further business before them were discharged by the Court

W. W. Woods Plaintiff
vs
A. L. Mann &
Orin Taylor defendants

In Com Please.

And now comes the said Plaintiff and files his Petition against said defendant and thereupon A. B. Robinson one of the attorneys of this Court appeared in open court in behalf of said defendants and by virtue of a warrant of Attorney for that purpose executed and now produced to the court & duly proved waived the issuing and Service of process and confessed that the said defendants do owe to said Plaintiff the Sum of Two Hundred & forty dollars with interest from March 15th 1859 making the Sum of Two Hundred & forty Seven & 36/100 dollars at this time. It is therefore considered adjudged & decreed that the said Plaintiffs do recover of said defendants the said Sum of Two Hundred & forty Seven & 36/100 dollars so confessed to be due and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to &
And by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all error is released & all right of appeal waived by the said defendants.

Chapman & Baldwin
vs
Abel Pickering

This day the plaintiffs made their motion for a rule upon Abel Hedges and M. A. Austin to appear and show cause forthwith why an attachment should not issue against them as Garnishees in this case and said motion was allowed and the rule entered.

Wednesday September 21st A. D. 1859

The State of Ohio vs John Beighler & John E. Epps. } Retailing

Recognisance of Witness

This day Michael Wood appeared in open court and acknowledged himself to owe and stand indebted unto the State of Ohio in the Sum of Fifty dollars to be levied of his goods and chattles Lands & tenements if he make default in the following obligations to wit that he be and appear at the next term of this Court and on the first day thereof at ten oclock A. M. to give testimony and the truth to Speak in behalf of the State of Ohio in a certain prosecution against John Beighler & John E. Epps for Retailing and that he obey the orders of this court and not depart without leave.

Elnathan Hathaway vs The Springfield Mount Vernon Pittsburg Rail Road Co } Continued

John Conner vs H. A. Chapman & A. H. Chapman } Continued

Farmey Hemmeway & Sophia Hemmeway vs Joseph Johnson & Everett Messenger } Continued

A. G. Wood & Dyer Reed under the firm name of Wood & Reed. vs A. C. Johnson Joseph Johnson, D. A. Williams & George Bennet, } Continued

James M. Wilson vs Charles Stevens } Continued

Wednesday September 21st A.D. 1859

Joseph B Dowden
vs
William H. Dunnick

This day come the parties by their Attorneys & thereupon come a Jury to wit Emanuel Burns, Newton Case, John Donley, Clark Low, Jas B. Richey, Martin Schieder, Jesse Gill, Jas R Smith, Joseph Powers, Robert Turner, John Gibson & Josiah Annum, who being duly impanneled & Sworn the truth to Speak upon the issue joined between the parties upon their oaths do find for the plaintiff and assess his damages at the Sum of four hundred & Eleven & 40/100 dollars. Thereupon come the said defendant by his attorneys & made his motion to the Court for a new trial for reasons on file,

Whereupon this Court adjourned until tomorrow morning at 8. O clock.

William Lawrence
Judge Court
Common Pleas

Thursday September 22d 1859

This Morning the Court of Common Please of Union County met pursuant to adjournment Present Same officers as on yesterday.

(31)

Henry Neil plaintiff
vs
O. Hammond Defendant

This day come the parties by their Attorneys & Submitted this Cause on demurer of the defendant - demurer Sustained & leave granted to amend the petition within thirty days & this Cause continued.

Thursday September 22nd 1859

John B. Carter } Petition for divorce
vs
Charlotte R. Carter } And now come the said John B Carter by his attorneys Hamilton & Lincoln and thereupon this cause came on to be heard by the court upon the petition and evidence on consideration whereof the court do find that due notice of the filing and pendency of the petition was given to the said defendant according to law and the court do further find that the said defendant has been willfully absent from the said plaintiff more than three years prior to the filing of the petition, it is therefore adjudged and decreed that the marriage relation heretofore Existing between the said parties be and the same is hereby set aside and wholy annuled, and the parties wholly released from the obligations of the same and it is considered and adjuaged that the plaintiff pay the costs of suit in ten days or that execution issue therefor

John R. Clark plff }
vs
Asbury Dockum deft } This day come the parties by attornies & submitted this case upon the demurrer of the defts to Pltffs petition. Whereupon said demurer was overuled & leave granted to file answer - Answer filed & cause continued with leave to the plaintiff to amend his petition - Written terms - days & cause continued

Martha Lee }
vs
Mains Wasson. } Petition for dower.
On motion of the plaintiff by Hamilton & Lincoln Counsel for plaintiff and on producing the return of the Sheriff, and the assignment of dower therein under the former order of this Court, and the same being found by the court to be in all respects in conformity to law It is ordered that the said proceedings and assignment of dower be and the same is hereby approved and confirmed and it appearing from said return that the estate in which the plaintiff is dowable is entire and not susceptible of division by meets and bounds. it is ordered, adjudged and decreed that the said plaintiff receive hold and recover the sum of thirty three dollars yearly and payable yearly as of a third part of the rents issues and profits of the said Real estate and that if the same be not paid by the 8th of April 1860 and yearly thereafter that execution issue therefor and it is further ordered that the plaintiff pay one third of the costs herein taxed to
dollars and that the defendant pay the residue thereof taxed to
dollars and in default thereof execution issue therefor

Thursday September 22d A.D. 1859

D. S. Woodbury & Co. vs A. C. Robinson — On motion of the said plaintiff by Hamilton & Lincoln their attorneys and on producing the return of the Sheriff of this County of a sale of real estate made by him on the 1st day of September 1859. to D. S. Woodbury & Co. on an execution issued in this case and dated the 14th day of July 1859. and the Court on examination of said proceedings being satisfied that said sale has been made in all respects in conformity to law it is ordered adjudged and decreed that the said sale and proceedings be and the same are hereby confirmed and the said Sheriff is ordered to make to the purchaser a deed for the lands and tenements so sold.

No 73 — William Ralston vs J. H. Said et. als. — This day came the parties by their attorneys and submit this cause to the Court upon the issue Joined and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find that J. H. Said & James Biggs are jointly indebted & doth owe the said William S. Ralston the sum of One hundred & twenty Six & 96/100 dollars and that he ought to recover of the said J. H. Said & James Biggs the said sum of one hundred & twenty Six & 96/100 dollars. Therefore it is considered & adjudged and decred that the said Wm S. Ralston recover of the said J. H. Said & James Biggs the sum of One hundred & twenty Six & 96/100 dollars and his cost herein expended taxed to $ And as to Alexander Bethard ~~this action is dismissed~~ the Court do find for said defendant Bethard & it is considered ordered & adjudged that said defendant be dismissed from this action & that he recover of the plaintiff Wm S. Ralston his costs herein expended taxed to $.

X — Andrew J. Freeman vs Charles C. Freeman, Mary Ann Freeman, George Y. Freeman, Edwar R. Freeman et als. — It being suggested to the Court by Hamilton & Lincoln that the defendant Charles C. Freeman is a Lunatic for whom no guardian appointed by legal authority is acting in this case it is ordered that M. C. Lawrence is hereby appointed a trustee for the said Charles C. Freeman to appear as such trustee in this suit for him, and in his name and on his behalf to defend this suit.

And it being further suggested to the Court that the defendants Mary Ann Freeman George Y. Freeman

Thursday September 22d A. D. 1859

And Edward R. Freeman an Minor for whom no Guardian legally appointed is acting it is Ordered that M. C. Lawsence be and he is hereby appointed Guardian ad. litem for the said defendants to appear for them and in their name to defend this Suit.

The State of Ohio vs Richard Read & Henry Decker } Indictment for burglary, and Larceny. This day came the said State of Ohio by John L. Porter Esqr Prosecuting Attorney and enters a nolle prosequi upon said Indictment and thereupon this proceeding is dismissed and the said defendants discharged therefrom.

No 90

Thomas Evans vs I. C. Lee } This day came Thomas Evans by his Attorney & the said I. C. Lee failing to answer or demur to the plaintiffs petition, it is considered & adjudged that the plaintiff ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises. And the Court find that there is due from the defendant to the plaintiff on the note in the plaintiffs petition set forth the Sum of One hundred twenty two dollars and ten cents, with 10 per cent Interest thereon. It is therefore considered & adjudged by the Court that said plaintiff recover of said Defendant said sum of One hundred and twenty two dollars & ten cents so as aforesaid also his costs taxed at dollars. It is further Ordered & adjudged that in case said defendant fail for three days from the rising of this Court to pay the plaintiff the said Sum of One hundred & twenty two dollars & ten cents so as aforesaid found due and costs of Suit an Order issue to the Sheriff of this County who is hereby appointed Special Master Commissioner for such purpose commanding him to cause the land & tenements in the petition described to be sold according to law & apply the proceeds of said sale to the satisfaction of the Judgement so as aforesaid rendered. And cause continued.

Thursday September 22nd A.D. 1859.

✓ 102 — Columbus Woolen Manufactory &c. vs Patrick Conley — This cause come on to be heard by the Court and the defendant failing to demurer or answer but being in default and the court being fully advised in relation thereto do find that there is due from the defendant to the plaintiff as claimed in the petition the Sum of $99.51 and interest thereon amounting to $7.31. Whereupon it is considered and adjudged that the plaintiff do recover of the defendant the Sum of $106.82 with ten per cent interest thereon untill paid and costs of Suit taxed to

Dollars

✓ 79 — John George Fensel vs Melching & wife — This day came the plaintiffs & thereupon the court at his request dismissed this case without prejudice with leave to withdraw papers= It is therefore considered and adjudged that defenants recover of plaintiff John George Fensel their costs herein expended taxed to $

✓ No 111 — D. D. Richardson vs D. S. Alexander & als — This day came the parties and by their agreement the Sale made in this case to plaintiff by the Sheriff is set aside and made of no effect the amount of the judgment token being paid in full & case is continued as to either notes on motion of plaintiff

S&S P 404 Foreclosure 226. 2d

✓ No 103 — Kelton Bancroft & co. vs A. Dockam and James Dockum — This day this cause came on to be heard by the court and the defendants failing to demur or answer but being in default the court do find the allegations of the petition to be true and that the defendants do owe to the plaintiff the Sum of $480 5/100 with ten per cent interest as claimed amounting to $10 00 Wherfore it is considered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiffs do recover of the defendants the Sum of $490 5/100 with ten per cent interest thereon untill paid and costs of Suit taxed to

dollars

✓ No 101 — Caleb Clark Administrator &c. vs D. C. Johnson & Joseph Johnson — This cause was this day heard by the Court and the plaintiff having failed to answer or demur to the petition the court do find that the allegations thereof are true and that the said defendants.

Thursday September 22d A. D. 1859

do owe to the plaintiff as administrator of the estate of Angus Clark deceased the Sum of $1214 40/100 with interest at ten per cent as claimed amounting to $135. 20/100. Whereupon it is considered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiff do recover as administrator of said Angus Clark deceased, of said defendants the Sum of $1349 31. with interest thereon at ten per cent till paid and costs of Suit taxed to dollars

No 83 — N. G. Johnson vs. Hugh Johnson et als — In Partition

And now comes the said Nathaniel G. Johnson plaintiff by his attorneys Hamilton & Sincox and thereupon this cause came on to be heard upon the petition and evidence on consideration whereof the Court do find that due notice of the pendency of this action has been given for more than Six weeks next prior to the first day of the present term of this court, and the court do further find that the said Nathan G. Johnson has a legal title to, and is seized in fee Simple of an equal Sixth part of the premises in the petition described, and that the said William Davis as purchaser from Reese Miller, who is the owner of the legal title is tenant in common with him, and owns the equitable title to four Sixth thereof, and that Hugh Johnson is tenant in common with him, and owns the one Sixth part thereof: It is therefore ordered by the court that a writ of partition issue to the Sheriff of Union County commanding him that by the oaths of Archibald Brooks William Tobey, & A. F. Wilkins partition be made of said premises in the following proportions to wit. to the said Nathan G Johnson one equal Sixth part thereof, to the defendant Hugh Johnson one equal Sixth part, and to said William Davis the four equal Sixth parts thereof, and it is further ordered that the Sheriff return his proceedings in the premises to the next term of said court to which time this cause is continued.

No 104 — P. L. Coe vs Boggs & Hood — Leave to file answer by Wednesday morning, [illegible], answer filed and cause continued, at defendants. costs

Judgment per costs

The State of Ohio vs Isaac Wooley et als. — The Motion to quash the first count in the Indictment found against said defendants & now on file came on to be heard upon Motion of Counsel. On consideration whereof said motion is Overruled & thereupon the defendants Except to said ruling of the Court,

Thursday September 22d A.D. 1859

7

The State of Ohio
vs
Richard Reed & Hezekiah Smith

This day came into open court Colbert Amrine and acknowledged himself to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the sum of one hundred dollars to be levied of his goods and chattles lands and tenements if default be made in the condition following, to wit that the said Colbert Amrine be and appear before the court of Common Pleas of said county of Union on the first day of the next term thereof to give evidence in a cause therein pending wherein the said State of Ohio is plaintiff and ~~the said Colbert Amrine~~ Richard Reed & Hezekiah Smith is defendants and not depart the court without leave, then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be and remain in full force,

No 8

David M. Robinson
vs
John M. Hall et als

This day came the plaintiff by his attorneys & the defendants came not but made default herein & thereupon this case was submitted to the court & the court being fully advised in the premises do find that there is justly due the said plaintiff & that he ought to recover from the said defendant John M. Hall the sum of eight hundred & ninety four & 00 dollars as claimed in his petition. Therefore it is considered ordered adjudged & decreed that the said David M. Robinson recover of the said John M. Hall the said sum of eight hundred & ninety four & 00 dollars & his costs herein expended taxed to & And it is further ordered adjudged & decreed that in case the said defendant fails for ten days from the close of this term of the court to pay to the said plaintiffs the said sum of eight hundred & ninety four & 00 dollars so as aforesaid found due with costs of suit an order issue to A. Wiley Sheriff of said county & special master commissioner of this court commanding him to cause the said lands & tenements in said petition described to be appraised advertised & sold according to law & apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said judgment so as aforesaid rendered & also in further satisfaction of the notes set up in said petition of said plaintiff not yet due And as to the said notes not yet due & the matters herein not yet adjudicated this cause is continued

V

No 111

D. D. 166

Israel J. Richardson Rluff
vs
James S. Alexander and others Defts

This day on motion to the court by the attorneys for the Plaintiff and it appearing to the court that a Judgment had been heretofore rendered in this case on a note which fell due August 29th 1858 and an order of Sale granted on the Mortgage; and it further appearing to the court that the property on which the Mortgage

Thursday September 22d 1859

has been foreclosed remains unsold, and that another note has fallen due since the rendition of the Judgment and decree aforesaid. And the Court with the consent of the plaintiff finds that there is due from the defendant James S. Alexander to the Plaintiff Israel J. Richardson on the note for $ 200. set forth in the Plaintiffs petition and in the mortgage, which fell due August 29th 1859, the Sum of Two Hundred & Thirty Six & 80/100 dollars. ($ 236.80) It is therefore considered ordered adjudged decreed by the court that the Plaintiff Israel J. Richardson recover of the defendant James S. Alexander said Sum of Two Hundred & Thirty Six & 80/100 dollars found due as aforesaid and also his costs; and that if the said J. S. Alexander fails for ten days from the rise of this court to pay to the Plaintiff said Sum of $ 236. 80. & costs, that an order of Sale issue as heretofore granted at the November Term of this Court A. D. 1859; and as to the notes not yet due, and described in the Petition, this cause is continued.

Geo S. Coe Trustee &c
vs
Thomas Peacock et als. } This day came the parties by their attorneys & waived trial by jury & Submitted this cause to the court (whereupon the court being fully advised in the premises took the same under advisement & thereupon this cause continued, and continued under advisement.

Reed Jennings & Co.
vs
Harvey Skeels } This day came the Plaintiffs by their Attorney, and the defendant Harvey Skeels, failing to plead, answer or demur to the plaintiffs Petition; and neither party requiring a Jury, this cause was submitted to the Court. It is therefore considered, ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover of the defendant Harvey Skeels, the sum of One Hundred & Twenty Dollars and fifty cents ($120.50) and also their costs herein expended taxed to $.

~~Friday~~ September 22nd A. D. 1859

1/ 61

Adam Shower & Martha R. his wife, Elizabeth Claiborne & Thomas H. Barnes. vs Jacob Beam

This day came the Plaintiffs by their Attorney, and the defendant Jacob Beam being in default, for Plea, answer or demurrer, to the plaintiffs Petition, and neither party requiring a Jury this cause was submitted to the Court. It is therefore, upon full consideration, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover of the defendant Jacob Beam the sum of Four Hundred and four Dollars, with interest from this date at the rate of ten per cent per annum, and also their costs herein expended taxed to $.

$404.00/100 at 10. per cent

1/ 105

P. B. Cole & Philip Snider and Thomas Stillings, Exrs &c of J. C. Dynes, Deceased vs William Webb & Elizabeth Webb, his wife

This day came the Plaintiffs by their attorney, the said William Webb and Elizabeth Webb, his wife still failing to plead answer or demur to the petition of the Plaintiffs, ~~petition~~: and neither party requiring a Jury this cause was submitted to the Court. Upon consideration whereof the Court do find the equity of the case with the Plaintiffs: and that there is due to the plaintiffs as such Executors, from the defendant William Webb, on the note in the petition and Mortgage described, the sum of Three Hundred and fifteen Dollars ($315) with interest at the rate of ten per cent per annum; and that the same is a lien on the lands and tenements in the petition and Mortgage set forth. It is Therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the Plaintiffs as such executors recover of the defendant, William Webb said sum of Three Hundred & fifteen Dollars, with interest from this date at the rate of ten per cent per annum, and also their costs herein expended taxed to $.

$315.00/100 at 10. per cent.

Thursday September 22d A. D. 1859.

And it is further considered, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that in case the defendants shall fail for ten days from the rise of this Court to pay said sum of Three Hundred & fifteen Dollars, & interest and cost of suit, then and in that case, that an order of sale issue to the Sheriff of Union County, for the time being, who is hereby appointed Special Master Commissioner for that purpose, commanding him to proceed to appraise, advertise, and sell the lands in the Petition and Mortgage described, according to law.

63

William T. McCrary Exr &c and others, heirs of Jonathan McCrary, Plaintiffs
vs
Rebecca Shumway & James Valentine, Defendants

Real action for the possession of Land.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys, and thereupon came a Jury: to wit; Emanuel Burns, Newton Case, John Donley, Charles Sow, James B Richey, John Weaver, Martin Schider, Jesse Gill, James R. Smith, Samuel McBratney, Appelles Eastman and John Mitchell, who being duly empannelled and sworn, the truth to speak, upon the issue Joined between the parties, upon their oaths do say, that they do find for the Plaintiffs and assess their damages to ten cents.

It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the Plaintiffs recover of the defendants their term yet to come in the said lands and tenements described in the petition of the Plaintiffs, together with the appurtenances, and also their costs herein expended taxed to $ The defendants thereupon gave notice of proceedings under the occupying claimant Law, which was allowed by the Court. and cause continued for the proceedings under the occupying claimant.

Thursday September 22d A.D. 1859.

X | Daniel H. Converse / Mary Converse / Dan'l H. Converse. } By consent of counsel the Deposition heretofore taken in this cause on notice to John B Coats as Attorney for defendant is withdrawn from the files.

X 35 | William B. Linscott / vs / Robert Gamble } Demurer Sustained and leave to amend petition in forty days And Continued at plaintiffs cost

It is therefore ~~considered and~~ adjudged by the Court that the Defendant recover of the Plaintiff his costs of this ~~Continuance~~ Term

X | The State of Ohio / vs / Samuel Covey } Indictment for Petit Larceny. This day come the parties by their attorneys the said defendant Samuel Covey being in person before the court & thereupon came a jury to wit. James R. Smith. Newton Case. John Donley. James Riddle. Sysander Holycross. Robert Lee. Wm H. Dunnick. Othniel Jewett. James K Abraham. Elihu Bunker. Thomas Henderson. & Nicholas Blakville. who being duly empannelled & Sworn the truth to Speak upon the issue joined between the parties upon their oaths Say that the said defendant is guilty in manner and form as he stands charged in said Indictment.

✓ 17 | Mary Converse / vs / Daniel H. Converse } Petition for divorce. This day came the said Mary Converse by Hamilton & Lincoln her attorneys and this cause was heard by the court upon the petition answer and testimony. On consideration whereof the court do find that the said Daniel H Converse defendant was guilty of adultry as is charged in the petition and it is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the court that the marriage relation heretofore existing between the said parties be and the same is hereby ~~set aside~~ and annulled and the parties released from the obligations of the same and it is further ordered that the said complainant be and she is hereby restored to her maiden name of Mary Sabine that she be restored to all her lands tenements and hereditaments not personaly disposed of. and that She have the custody. nurture. Education and care of her child the said Frances A. And the said Daniel H. Converse is hereby forever injoined from interfering with or disturbing the said petitioner in the custody. nurture education or care of said child untill the further order of this court and it is further ordered that the defendant do pay the plaintiff as her reasonable allowance the Sum of

Thursday
~~Friday~~ September 22d. A.D. 1859

500. dollars and that the petitioner pay the costs herein taxed to
Dollars.

The State of Ohio } Indictment for Burglary & Larceny.
vs } The defendants this day were arraigned and the indictment
Ezekiah Smith & } being read to them were asked how of the premises they would
Henry Decker } acquit themselves for plea, Says they are not guilty in manner
} and form as they Stand charged in said indictment. —
Thereupon on motion of the defendants. and the court being ~~Satisfied~~ by affidavits
that an adjournment is necessary this ~~case~~ Stands adjourned to the next term
of this court. It is further ordered that the defendants enter into a recognizance
for their appearan at the next term of court in the Sum of Five hundred dollars
Each, thereupon the defendants failing to enter in such recognizance were remanded
to the ~~custody~~ of the Jailor,

~~Wherupon this Court adjourned untill tomorrow morning at~~
~~Eaclock.~~

The State of Ohio } Indictment for Burglary and Larceny
vs. } The defendants this day were arraigned and the
Ezekiah Smith & } indictment being read to them were asked
Richard Reed } how of the premises they would acquit themselves
} for plea, Says they are not guilty in manner
and form as they Stand Charged in said indictment, Thereupon
on motion of the defendants, and the court being Satisfied by
affidavit that an adjournment is necessary, this case Stands ad-
journed to the next term of this Court, It is further ordered
that the defendant enter into a recognizance for their appearance
at the next term of court in the Sum of five hundred dollars
each, thereupon the defendants failing to enter in such recognizance
they were remanded to the custody of Jailor. The said Richard
Reed declined the appointment of Counsel asked
a continuance, and has leave to withdraw his plea
of not guilty to said Indictment at the next of
this Court if he shall then desire

~~Actuary~~ Thursday September 23d 1859

Whereupon this Court adjourned until tomorrow morning at Eight oclock. Wm Lawrence Judge Court Com. Pleas

Friday September 23d A.D. 1859

This morning the Court of Common Pleas for Union County Ohio met pursuant to adjournment Present Same officers as on yesterday

The State of Ohio vs Arther J McKitrick Thompson Bishop William Wooley Isaac Wooley Jesse Roney William Wagner & William Beaver } Indictment for Riot and assault & Battery.

This day came the parties by their Attorneys the said defendants being in person before the court and thereupon came a Jury to wit, Emanuel Burns, Newton Case, John Doney, Clark Low, Jas B. Richey Jas P. Smith, Orson Smith, Z. C. Pooler, Uriah Jewett, Nicholas Delvill, J. H. Ryan & James Brown. who being empannelled and Sworn the truth to Speak upon the issue joined between the parties upon their oaths say that the said Isaac Wooley, William Wooley, and Jesse Roney, are guilty in manner and form as they stand Charged in the of said Indictment and not guilty as Charged in the first count second count. and that the said Arther J. McKitrick, Thompson Bishop, & William Beaver are not guilty as they stand charged in said indictment. And the to William Wagner the prosecuting attorney entered a nolle prosequi.

No 84 Lewis W. Green vs Abigal Green } Petition for Divorce.

This day this cause came on to be heard by the court and the defendant being in default the case was heard on the petition and evidence in consideration whereof the court do find that the allegations of the petition are true and that the defendant was guilty of adultery as therein charged and it is therefore considered adjudged and decreed by the court that the marriage relation heretofore existing between the plaintiff and the said defendant be and the same is hereby set aside and wholly annulled, and the said parties released from the obligations of the same and it is further considered and adjudged that the plaintiff pay the costs herein to-wit to Stollers,

Friday
Saturday September 22nd A. D. 1859

Stadler & Brothers Plaintiff
against
Rawson Welsh defendant } Confession of Judgment.

And now comes the said Stadler and Brother plaintiffs by John R. Coats their attorneys and files their petition against the said Rawson Welsh defendant and thereupon the said Rawson Welsh appears in his own proper person and waives the issuing and Services of process and confesses that he does owe ~~him~~ to the said Stadler and plaintiffs the Sum of Two Hundred and thirty dollars and three cents as the principal on the note in the said petition set forth together with Eight dollars and five cents interest thereon and one Dollar the cost of protest making in all the Sum Two Hundred and thirty nine Dollars and Eight Cents, It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the said Stadler & Brother recover of the said Rawson Welsh the said Sum of Two Hundred and thirty nine Dollars and Eight cents the debt so as aforesaid confessed and also his costs herein Expended taxed to Dollars cents,

19 | Allen W. Phares vs Lucy M. Stokes Admx of John Stokes dec'd } This day came the defendant by her Attorney & made a motion for a Continuance — Continuance granted at costs of defendant Judgement for costs of the Term, leave to plaintiff to amend petition in Sixty days.

Allen W. Phares vs Charles Fullington } This day the defendant by his Attorney and made a motion for a continuance — continuance granted at costs of defendant. Judgement for costs of the term leave granted to plaintiff to amend petition in Sixty days

32 | W. W. Woods vs R. C. Clark } This day came the defendant and made a motion for a continuance — continuance granted at defendants costs. Judgement for costs of the term.

September 22d A.D. 1839

Jacob Bowersmith Sen. Dalz
vs
Wm & Julia C. Scofield } Settled at plaintiffs costs
Judgement for costs -

Joseph B. Dowdna
vs.
William H. Dunnick } This day came the parties by their attorneys & Submitted the motion of the defendant for a new trial to the court & the court being fully advised in the premises do overule the same- Therefore it is considered ordered adjudged & decreed that the plaintiff Joseph B. Dowdna recover of the said defendant William H Dunnick the said Sum of four hundred & eleven & 4/100 dollars & his costs herein expended & toted to $. It is further considered ordered and adjudged that the injunction be made perpetual as herein before allowed. And thereupon defendant came & demanded second trial which is allowed under the Statute.

Ezra Reed et als
vs
Geo. W. Winchester et als. } This day came the parties and Submitted this ~~cause~~ to the court and thereupon the court being fully advised in the premises do consider order and adjudge that the plaintiffs recover of the defendants the amount due them, to wit the Sum of _____ dollars and their costs herein expended toped to $
It is further considered ordered and adjudged that if the defendants fail for twenty days from the rising of court to pay said judgment then that the amount of said judgement be paid by D. H. Silver out of the money left in his hands for that purpose as mentioned in plaintiffs petition & in default of said payment by the said heirs of Geo. Winchester dec'd and by said Silver then that an order of Sale issue to the Sheriff who is appointed Special master for that purpose commanding him to advertise appraise & sell according to law the real Estate in said petition described or ~~done~~ amount Sufficient to Satisfy said judgment,

Saturday ~~Friday~~ September 2d A. D. 1859

Petit Larceny

The State of Ohio vs Samuel Covey } The defendant, Samuel Covey, was this day again brought before the Court, and having nothing further to say why sentence should not be pronounced against him; It is therefore considered and decreed by the Court that he, the said Samuel Covey, be imprisoned in the Jail of the County of Union, State of Ohio, for the term of five days: And that he make his fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of Seventy five dollars; and that he pay the costs of this prosecution taxed to $.

State of Ohio vs Isaac Wooley, William Wooley, Jesse Roney, and others } The defendants Isaac Wooley, William Wooley and Jesse Roney, were this day again brought into Court, and having nothing further to say why sentence should not be pronounced against them, It is therefore considered, ordered and decreed by the Court, that the said defendants, William Wooley, Isaac Wooley and Jesse Roney, make their fine unto the State of Ohio in the in the sum of Seventy Dollars, each; and that they pay the costs of this prosecution, taxed to $.

No 38

John Drunk vs Barbary Drunk } Divorce. This day this cause came on to be heard, and on Motion of counsel for the Plaintiff this cause is dismissed without prejudice. It is therupon considered, ordered, and decreed, that the defendant recover of the plaintiff her costs herein expended taxed to $.

Friday
Saturday September 2d A. D. 1837

No 40	James. R. Young vs John. H. Brown. Etals	Leave granted to file answer & cause continued.
No 53	Joseph Johnson vs Martin Myers	This day this cause came on to be heard upon motion of the defendant to dismiss the appeal in this case. Upon consideration thereof by the Court, It is therefore ordered adjudged & decreed that this cause is dismissed at the cost of the appellant Johnson. It is therefore ordered adjudged & decreed that the defendant recover of the plaintiff his costs herein expended taxed to $.
No 58	G. T. Cole & Co. vs W. T. Brophy	This day by agreement of parties this cause was dismissed without Prejudice, by consent of the parties It is therefore ordered adjudged & decreed by the Court with the consent of parties, that the defendants, Administrators recover of the Plaintiffs their costs herein expended taxed to $
No 64	John Wheeler vs Stanley Billows.	This day this cause came on to be heard, and it appearing to the Court that this cause had been settled by the parties, by agreement at the costs of the defendant. It is therefore ordered adjudged and decreed that the Plaintiff recover of the defendant his costs herein expended taxed to $.
No 74	Lewis. D. Smith & Sheldon Smith vs Sarah Ann McCauley	Continued for service.

Friday September 23rd A. D. 1859

No 91 | James McMaster vs Henry Baldwin & John Chapman } This cause is settled & agreed by parties at the Plaintiffs cost. It is therefore ordered and decreed & the Court that the defendants recover of the Plaintiff their costs herein expended taxed to $.

Cy, 1, 1 | Administrators of S. S. Miller vs Stephen McClain. Cont.

Cy, 2 1 | Jas. T. Wells & B. Woods. Admrs vs Jane Child. Continued

Cy, 3 1 | S. W. Andrews Admr. of S. Starling, vs. R. P. Mann Continued

Cy, 4 1 | Ebenezer P. Hathaway vs. J. W. Tibbalts & Continued

Cy, 5 1 | James W. Custus vs. Frances B. Hamilton Continued

Cy, 6 1 | Adam Shower & wife vs. Benj Tappan. Continued

Cy, 7 1 | John N. James, vs Norman Reed. Continued under former order

No 97 | Matthew McClure vs Jas. G. Johnson } This day this cause came to be heard, the defendant Jas. G. Johnson being in default and written pars requiring a Jury this cause was submitted to the Court by the Plaintiff & It is thereupon upon mature consideration, ordered, adjudged and decreed by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of the defendant the sum of one Hundred and seven dollars and Thirty three cts. ($107.33) and also his costs herein expended taxed to $.

$107.33

Thereupon this Court adjourned until tomorrow morning at half past seven o'clock.

William Lawrence
Judge Court Com Pleas

Saturday. September 24th A. D. 1859

Fles morning Court met pursuant to adjournment. Same officers present as on yesterday

John Cassil Plaintiff
against
The Springfield Mount Vernon and Pittsburgh Rail Road Company and Georg T. Cox defendants

And now comes the parties by their attorneys and thereupon this cause come on to be heard neither party requiring a Jury the Same being Submitted to the Court upon the said issues contained in the petition of the plaintiff and the answer of the defendants The Springfield Mount Vernon and Pittsburgh Rail Road company to the first and third cause of action contained in said petition the said defendant being in default as to the second cause of action therein set forth and was argued by counsel and the court being fully advised in the premises do find by consent of the plaintiff and The Springfield Mount Vernon and Pittsburgh Rail Road company defendants that there is due to the said plaintiff the Sum of five thousand five hundred & twenty eight 94/100 being the entire gross Sum of principal and interest to this date. It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court that the said plaintiff recover against the defendants The Springfield Mount Vernon and Pittsburgh Rail Road company the said Sum of $5528 94/100 dollars so as aforesaid found due and his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $ And this cause is continued as to relief Sought in Plaintiff petition & amended petition for the application of the receipts of said company to the payment of said Judgment with leave to plaintiff to amend petition in 20 days.

Saturday. September 24th 1859

Amon. S. Davis
vs
John Winner, Robert
McElroy & David Davis. Defts

In Error on transcript from Justice of the Peace.

This day came the parties by their attorneys, and thereupon this cause came on to be heard, as well upon the Transcript of the Judgments and other proceedings between the said parties, before Alonzo Harvey formerly a Justice of the Peace of York Township, Miami Co. Ohio, and the original files and papers; as also upon the matters by the said Amon. S. Davis herein assigned for error, and the same being Seen, and by the Court here now fully understood, and mature deliberation being thereupon had, it appears to the Court here, that there is no error either in the record and proceedings aforesaid, or in giving the Judgment aforesaid; Therefore it is considered that the Judgment aforesaid in form aforesaid given, be in all things affirmed, and stand in full force and effect; and it is further considered, ordered adjudged and decreed that the said defendants do recover against the said, Amon S. Davis Plaintiff herein, their costs in this behalf expended taxed to $. 4.91

And it is ordered and decreed that ~~aforesaid~~ the Clerk of this Court do certify this decision to the Justice of the Peace, and that the Judgment on his docket may be enforced the same as if the proceedings in error had not been taken

Copied & certified to J. P. Sept 27/59

John C Young
vs
John K. Brown et als

This day came the defendant Brown & filed his answer to the pleadings of his codefendants thereupon this cause is continued & leave granted said codefendants to reply within thirty days

Wm McCawley
vs
John McCawley et als

Continued

Saturday September 24th A.D. 1859

William Abraham
vs
James K. Abraham

This day this cause come on to be heard and thereupon came a Jury to wit. Emanuel Burns, Newton Case, John Donley, Chark Low, Jas. B Richey, Martin Schider, Jesse Bill, Jas B. Smith, John F. Sabins, Philip Snider, Z. C. Pooler & S. L. Sellers who being duly empannled and Sworn the truth to say upon the issues joined upon their oaths do say that they find for the defendant and that he has not broken his agreement as the plaintiff has alleged whereupon it is considered by the court that the defendant do recover his costs herein to wit to — Dollars whereupon the plaintiff ~~voluntarily was accepted by the Court~~ give notice of a demand a second trial, and the court fixed the bond at one hundred dollars.

Mary Hensel
vs
Israel Wallam et als

This cause is continued, and it is ordered by the court that nothing herein shall effect the plaintiffs right to an action of Forcible Entry and detainer for the Homestead mentioned in the petition.

Daniel Hooling an alien and native of Ireland a free white person this day came into court and proved to the satisfaction of the court that he made in this court two years ago the requisite declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States that he has resided in the United States for five years last past, that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio and that during all that time he has behaved as a man of good Moral Character attached to the principles of the constitution of the United States and well disposed to the good order and happiness of the Same, And thereupon the said Daniel Hooling in open court here made Solemn oath, that he will Support the Constitution of the United States and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and adjure all allegiance and fidelity to every foreign Prince Potentate State or Sovereignty and particularly all allegiance and fidelity to Queen Victoria whose Subject he was. Whereupon it is ordered by the court that a certificate of Naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this application.

Saturday September 24. A.D 1859

Joseph Johnson
vs
Martin Pyers

And now come the said Martin Pyers by his attorneys and the said Joseph Johnson having failed to file his petition and thereupon the Court do order considered and adjudged that plaintiff become nonsuit and the Court being fully advised in the premises and finding the defendant obtained judgment before the justice of the peace against plaintiff for the sum of forty nine dollars & seventy five cents and one dollars costs on which there is the sum of one dollar & 50 interest It is therefore considered and adjudged that defendant recover of the plaintiff the sum of fifty dollars & seventy five cents with interest from February 1st 1859 all amounting to the sum of fifty two dollars and forty Eight cents and costs herein expended taxed &c

P. B. Cole & others Exrs of
James. C. Dykes, dcd
vs
G. A. Cassil & wife &
Charles Kalteum

On Confirmation

Sue. Court this day on Motion of P. B. Cole Esq for Plaintiffs, having examined the proceedings of the Sheriff, as Special Master Commissioner, and the sale herein made upon an order of sale issued in this case, and being satisfied that said sale has been in all respects made in compliance to the provisions of the Statute in such case made and provided, do order the Clerk to make an entry in the Journal, that the Court are satisfied of the legality of the sale, and that he also enter an order on the Sheriff as such Special Master Commissioner to make to the purchasers a deed for the lands and tenements so sold, all which is entered accordingly.

Saturday September 24. A.D. 1859

Oct 10, 1859
Full Papers

Charles Erp an alien and native of Baden a free white person this day come into Court and proved to the Satisfaction of the Court that he made in this Court two years ago the requisite declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States that he has resided in the United States for five years last past that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio and that during all that time he has behaved as a man of good moral character attached to the principles of the Constitution of the United States and well disposed to the good order and happiness of the Same And thereupon the Said Charles Erp in open court here made Solemn oath that he will Support the Constitution of the United States and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and adjure all allgience and fidelity to every foreign Prince Potentate State or Sovereignty, and particularly all allgiance and fidelity to Fredrick William King of Baden whose Subject he was Whereupon it is ordered by the Court that a certificate of Naturalization be issued to him on payment of the cost of this application,

It is ordered by the Court that all causes, matters, and things. not otherwise disposed of Stand continued.

Whereupon this Court adjourned Sine die.

Wm Lawrence
Prs/ Court Com Pleas

Times of holding Courts in the third Judicial District of the State of Ohio.

We the undersigned Judges of the Court of Common Pleas within & for the third Judicial District of the State of Ohio do hereby fix the times for holding the terms of the Court of Common Pleas and of the District Court in each and every County in said District as follows to wit,

The several terms of the District Court in and for the Several Counties of said District for the year 1860. Shall commence in the several counties thereof as follows, to wit.

In Shelby County August 28th
In Auglaize County August 30th
In Mercer County September 1st
In Allen County September 3d
In Hardin County September 6th
In Logan County September 10th
In Marion County September 13th
In Union County September 17th
In VanWert County September 19th
In Paulding County September 21st
In Defiance County September 22d
In Henry County September 25th
In Fulton County September 27th
In Williams County September 29th

And the several terms of the Court of Common Pleas in and for the Several Counties of said District in and for the year 1860 Shall commence in the several counties thereof as follows to wit,

First Sub-division.

In Union County January 30th April 16th October 1st
In Hardin County February 13th April 23d October 15th
In Marion County February 27th April 30th October 22d
In Logan County March 12th May 14th November 12th

Second Sub-division.

Mercer County January 16th April 2d October 2d
VanWert County January 30th April 9th October 10th
Shelby County February 13th April 16th October 15th
Auglaize County February 27th April 30th October 29th
Allen County March 12th May 14th November 12th

Third Sub-division.

Paulding County	January 31st	October 2d
Defiance County	February 6th May 1st	October 16th
Henry County	February 14th May 8th	October 30th
Fulton County	February 28th May 15th	November 13th
Williams County	March 13th May 22d	November 27th

In all of said Counties the several terms of said County will commence on the first day of said terms at ten o'clock A.M.

William Lawrence
A. L. Latty
Ben. Metcalf
} Judges of the Court of Common Pleas aforesaid

Dated October 5th 1859
To the Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas of Union County Ohio

A true copy from the original Order now on file in this office November 1st 1859

Taber Randall Clerk

Chapman & Baldwin
vs
Abel Pickering
} Motion to Dissolve Attachment

December 6th 1859 The foregoing motion to discharge the Attachment in the foregoing & above described case came on to be heard upon said motion & affidavits and it appearing to me that the matters stated in the affidavit on which the Order of Attachment issued are not true, said Attachment and all proceedings thereon are set aside & discharged

Done at my office in Bellefontaine Logan Co. Ohio Dec. 6. 1859

Wm Lawrence
Judge of the Court of Common Pleas of Union County Ohio

A true copy from the original Order now on file in this office

Taber Randall Clerk

Monday January 30th 1860.

This day the Court of Common Pleas for the County of Union in the State of Ohio convened in pursuant of law Present Hon William Lawrence Judge Abraham Wiley Sheriff John B. Coats Prosecuting Attorney and Isabel Randall clerk.

This day the Jurors of the Grand Jury being called came to wit. Harvey Mather. ~~William Scott~~. David Starling John Gray. Philander Manchester. Saml McCollough. William Wilson. Chas E. Wilkins. Milton L. Thompson. Fredrick R. West. John Moss. Saml McCampbell. Thomas Miller. Regular Jurors & Jas M. Welsh & Elijah K. Smith Burnham Talesmen Jurors, and the Court appointed Philander Manchester Foreman and the Jurors being regularly impannelled and Sworn were charged by the Court and returned to their Room to deliberate under a Sworn constable

Daniel Gould Plaintiff
vs.
John L. Sweney
George H. Sweney
E. H. Webb &
Robert Crockett defendants.

Civil action on warrant of Attorney

This day came into Court Daniel Gould by Myers Stanton & Allison his attorneys and filed his petition against the said John L Sweney George H. Sweney. E. H. Webb. and Robert Crockett and thereupon John L. Porter one of the attorneys of this Court appeared in open Court in behalf of the said John L. Sweney. George H. Sweney. E. H. Webb and Robert Crockett and by virtue of a warrant of attorney for that purpose executed by the said John L Sweney. George H. Sweney. E. H. Webb. and Robert Crockett and now produced in open Court and duly proved waived the issuing and Service of process and acknowledged that the said John L Sweney

Monday January 30th 1860.

George H. Sweeny. E. H. Webb and Robert Crockett do owe and stand indebted to the plaintiff Daniel Gould as he hath in his petition alleged against them and confessed that said defendants are so indebted to the plaintiff in the sum of Four Hundred and seventy four dollars and seventy five cents Thereupon it is considered that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendants the said Sum of Four Hundred and seventy four dollars and seventy five cents the amount so confessed to be due as aforesaid and also his costs in this behalf expanded taxed to Dollars. And by virtue of the same warrant of Attorney all error is released and all right and benefit of appeal waived by said defendants. And it is further ordered that this judgment draw ten per cent interest until paid.

Amos J Ely
vs
George Brown
Adam C Winter
James R Smith &
William Ennis.

Civil action

This cause came on to be heard upon the issues raised in this case with the defendants James R. Smith and William Ennis and by consent of Parties was submitted to the Court and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find for said defendants James R. Smith and William Evans. Therefore it is considered that said defendants James R. Smith and William Ennis go hence and recover of the plaintiff their costs herein expanded taxed at Dollars.

On motion It is ordered that R W. Weis be licensed to Keep Tavern at his house in the Town of Richwood for the term of one year on his paying into the County Treasury the Sum of Two Dollars.

Monday January 21st A. D. 1861.

Thomas Biggs an alien and native of England a free white person this day came into court and proved to the satisfaction of the court that he made in this Court more than two years the requisite declaration of his intention to become a citizen of the United States that he has resided in the United State for five years last past, that he has resided one year last past in the State of Ohio and that during all that time he has behaved as a man of good moral character attached to the principles of the constitution of the United States and well disposed to the good order and happiness of the same. And thereupon the said Thomas Biggs. in open court here made solemn oath that he will support the constitution of the United States and that he doth absolutely and entirely renounce and adjur all allegience and fidelity to every foreign Prince Potentate. State or Sovereignty, and Particularly all allegience and fidelity to Victoria Queen of Great Britin whose Subject he was. Whereupon it is ordered by the court that a certificate of Naturalization be issued to him on payment of the costs of this application.

Anna Sanner
vs
Austin Sanner et. al } Pet for Dower.

This day came the petitioner by John L Porter her attorney and the said defendants still failing to plead answer or demur to said petition. It is ordered adjudged and decreed that said petitioner be endowed of one full equal third part of the premises in said petition described and It is further ordered that a writ issue to the Sheriff of the county of Union commanding him that by the oaths of three judicious disinterested men of the vicinity who are not of kin to either of said parties he cause dower as aforesaid to be set off and assigned to said petitioner according to the statute in such case made and provided

60

Daniel Duvall
vs
Lebrun Hinton Et Als } In Partition

This day came the Petetioner by his Attorney and made proof of Publication in the Counties of Delaware and Union. State of Ohio—

74

Monday January 30th A.D. 1860.

X / 8

Daniel Duvall
Vs.
Sebern Hinton
} In Partition

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of the Plaintiff. on consideration whereof It is ordered that by the oaths of Samuel Hannawalt. Silas Bell. and John Hagg and. Partition be made of said lands in the said petition described in the following proportions to wit: To the said Daniel Duvall one equal third part (1/3) To Sebern Hinton one equal Sixth part (1/6) To the unknown heirs of Ezekiel Hinton one equal Sixth part (1/6). To the following persons. heirs of Levi Hinton Deceased the following proportions to wit, To William Hinton one equal Thirty Sixth part (1/36) To Levi Hinton one equal thirty Sixth part (1/36) To Thomas Hinton one equal thirty Sixth part (1/36) To Deborah Saylor wife of Homer Saylor one equal thirty Sixth part (1/36) To Charlott Foos wife of Joseph Foos one equal thirty Sixth part (1/36.) To the unknown heirs of Catharine Hinton one equal thirty Sixth part (1/35.) To the following persons. heirs of Mary Reed deceased the following proportions to wit. To William Reed one equal thirty Sixth part (1/36) To Thomas Reed one equal Thirty Sixth part (1/36). To Rebecca Hardy wife of Nathaniel Hardy one equal Thirty Sixth part (1/36) To Sarah Hinton wife of William Hinton one equal thirty Sixth part (1/36.) To Deborah Arison wife of John Arison one equal Thirty Sixth part (1/36) and to Mary Reed husband unknown. one equal Thirty Sixth part (1/36). And it is further ordered that a writ of Partition issue to the Sheriff of Union County commanding him to cause said partition to be made accordingly and that return his doings herein to the present Term of this Court.

74 / X

Wm D. McCreary Executor &c
Vs.
William Reeder. et. als.
} In this case It appearing to the Court that Francis M. Owen. Sarah Owen, William M. Owen. and Isabella Owen Louisa Caroline Batties William D. Batties. Mary Elizabeth Batties. Margaret V. Batties. and John L Batties. are minors and have no guardian legally appointed defending this Suit. the court do appoint John L Potter an attorney of this Court. guardian ad litem ~~to this cause~~ for the said infant defendants,

Monday January 30th A.D. 1865

The State of Ohio vs. Hezekiah Smith & Richard Reed. } Burglary & Larceny

It appearing to the court that Richard Reed one of said defendants is without counsel and unable to employ counsel the Court assign to him John L Porter as his counsel.

The State of Ohio vs. Julius Bennet } Larceny

This day came the defendants and having arraigned was asked how of the premises he would acquit himself for plea says that he guilty in manner and form as he stands charged in said indictment. It is therefore considered by the court that the said Julias Bennett make his fine unto the State of Ohio in the sum of one dollar and pay the casts of prosecution taxed at $

NO 1

Abia Zeller vs John Johnson & John Sterling. } This day came the said Abia Zeller by his attorney and the said John Johnson (the Sheriff having returned not found as to the said John Sterling) having failed to demur or answer to the petition of the said Abia Zeller it is considered that the said Abia Zeller ought to recover of the said John Johnson said Sum of Two Hundred and ninety four dollars and Sixty four cents which the court finds plaintiffs due as asked for in his petition. It is therefore considered and adjudged that the said Abia Zeller recover of the said John Johnson said Sum of Two Hundred and Ninety four dollars & Sixty four cents and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed at dollars.

$294.64

Robert McElroy vs David Miller et al. } Continued by agreement

Monday January 30th A. D. 1860.

10 | The State of Ohio, vs John Beighler & John E. Epps. } This day come the prosecuting attorney and entered a nolle prosequi on the indictment in this case.

D. D. Welsh vs Wm Hildreth et als } This day came the parties by their attorneys and thereupon came a Jury to wit. James Thompson, George Brannon, Gregory Hawley, John Knox, Elias Johns R. T. Smith, Jas Y Severn, William Bigger, Wm E. Burrows, Joseph Dapton, Nicholas Bidwell, Isaac Anderson, sen, who being impannelled and Sworn the truth to Speak upon the issues joined between the parties upon their oaths do say that we find the defendants William Hildreth Jr and Benoni Hildreth guilty in manner and form as the said D. D. Welsh hath in his petition alleged and apess his damages at $41.50 dollars and that the said William Hildreth Sen. is not guilty Wherefore It is considered and adjudged by the court that the said D. D. Welsh recover of the said William Hildreth jr and Benoni Hildreth said Sum of forty one dollars and Sixty cents. and it is further considered and adjudged that the said William Hildreth sen. go hence without day.

Y | Lepee of Saml Stokely vs John Williams et als } Continued

2 | Charles R. Deming vs James M. Snodgrass } Continued

5 | James C. Dynes et al. vs Harrison Rice et als } Continued

Monday January 31st A.D. 1865

x	6	Ohio Life Ins. & Trust Co. vs A. S. Alden	Continued
x	7	Calvin L. Kays vs William Scott et als.	Continued
x	8	Andy Gandy vs Amanda Gandy et als.	Continued under former order
x	10	Elizabeth Williams vs William E. Ogborn et als.	Continued under former order
✓	No 3	Curtiz Kempton vs Hannah Kempton	Dismissed without prejudice at Plaintiffs costs. It is therefore Considered Adjudged & decreed by the Court that the Defendant recover of the Plaintiff her costs herein expended Taxed to ##
x	No 2	The State of Ohio vs James M. Wilson	Continued for service.
x	3	The State of Ohio vs James M. Wilson	Continued for service
x	4	The State of Ohio vs Isaiah Shout	Continued for service.

Monday January 30th 1860.

75	The State of Ohio vs James M. Wilson	Continued for service.
76	The State of Ohio vs Levi Hill & Jobe Dillon	Continued for Service
77	The State of Ohio vs Amos Partridge	Continued for Service.
31	Lewis S. Smith et al. vs Sarah Ann McCawley	This case is continued for Service.
22	Henry Neal vs C. Hammond	Continued.
27	James R. Young vs John K. Brown et als.	on motion of Deft Attorney J. A. Corwin. this case is continued at the cost of the defendant John K. Brown.
24	John Capil vs The S. M. & P. R. R. Co.	The amended petition in this case was withdrawn by leave of court without prejudice & the case continued by agreement.
18	The S. M & P. R. R Co vs Joshua Judy.	Continued with leave to amend.

Tuesday January 31st 1860

Thereupon this Court adjourned until to-
morrow morning at 8 oclock A. M.

W. Lawrence
Presiding Com. Pleas

Tuesday January 31st A. D. 1860.
This Morning the Court of Common Pleas
of Union County met pursuant to Ad-
journed. Present Same Officers as on yesterday

Lewis Topliff apignee
of A. & W. C. DeLong.
vs
S. R. Sanders.

Civil action.

This day came the plaintiff
and the defendant Still
failing to answers or demures to the petition of the
plaintiff he is adjudged to be in default and on motion
of the Court by plaintiff this cause was Submitted to the
court and neither party requiring a Jury the Court finds
that there is due to the plaintiff on the notes mentioned
in his Same petition the Sum of Two Hundred and
Forty Eight dollars and Eighty Nine cents including in-
terest up to the present day of this Court. It is therefore
considered by the court that the plaintiff recover of
the plaintiff the Said Sum of $248.89 the Sum so found
due as aforesaid and his Costs taxed at $
and that the defendant also pay his own costs.

Hugh M. Genant
vs
Minerva Kline et al.

Continued

Tuesday January 31st A.D. 1860

✓ 36	John R. Clark vs A. Doekum et.als,	Settled as per agreement on file. It is therefor Ordered & adjudged that the Defendants recover of the plaintiff his costs herein taxed at $
✓ 23	J. Bowersmith jr vs. J. Bowersmith sr.	Settled as per agreement on file Continued
✓ 37	Lewis S. Smith et als. vs Sarah Ann McCowley	Continued.
✓ 41	A. G. Wood & Dyer Reed. vs L. C. Johnson et.als,	Continued ~~under former order,~~
✓ 22	Beriah W. Miller vs Orlando Wells	Continued under former order.
✓ 17	T. L. Cox vs. Bagg & Wood et.als,	This day came the parties to this Suit and Submitted the Same to the Court & thereupon the Court do find the allegations of the petition are true and thereupon it is considered ordered and adjudged that the provisional injunction heretofore granted in this case be and the same is made perpetual and the plaintiff recover of the defendants his costs in this behalf expended to feed to $ except that plaintiff by agreement is to pay the witnesses of his own witnesses of this Term-
✓ 4	Wm. McCawley vs. John McCawley et.als,	Continued under former order.

Tuesday January 21st 1860

12 John W. King } Union Com Pleas
vs.
Wm B. Swinnetols, } This day this cause coming on to be herd whereupon it is considered by the court that the Judg Sitting therein is directly interestin in the Subject matter of Said Suit and is incompetent in consequence of said intrest to hear said cause whereupon by the notices of attys for Plaintiff and by the consent of the counsel for the defendants it is ordered that this cause be transfered to the adjoining County of Champaign and the Same be tried in that County

19 John Chapman & } Attachment
Henry Baldwin partners &c } This day this cause coming
vs. on to be heard and by
Abel Pickering } leave of the court and consent of Parties the answer of the defendant is withdrawn, and by consent of Parties the case was heard upon the petition and evidence in consideration whereof the court do find that the defendant does owe the plaintiff on the promissory note in the petition described the Sum of Four Hundred and Seventy Six 92/100 dollars with ten per cent interest Wherefore it is considered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiffs recover of the defendant Said Sum of Four Hundred and Seventy Six 92/100 dollars with ten per cent interest and costs of Suit taxed to. dollars

X 20 Wood & Reed } Continued
vs.
Clark Showalter et,als,

21 R. D. Reed adminstrator of } This day came the plaintiff and made proof of legal notice to the defendants and the court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the
Amos A Williams
vs.
Thos Stittsworth et,als,

Tuesday January 31st A.D. 1860.

petition to be true and the plaintiff ought to be authorized to complete contracts as asked for in the plaintiffs petition It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff be and he is directed and authorized to execute a deed in fee Simple for the land in said petition described to the defendant Sullings & It is further ordered that the plaintiffs as said administrator pay the costs of this proceeding.

67 James Fullington }
vs }
Jepe Lumbard & others. } And now come the said James Fullington by his counsel and thereupon this case came on to be heard by the court upon the petition and evidence on consideration whereof the court do find that due notice of the pendency of this action has been given for more than forty days prior to the first day of this term and the court do find that said James Fullington has a legal right to and is seized in fee Simple of the undivided three fifths of said premises and the Court do find that David Patrick and Ira Patrick are tenants in common with said James Fullington and each of them is seized in fee Simple of one undivided fifth part of said premises and that said Laura Lumbard is entitled to dower therein. It is therefore ordered that a writ of partition issue to the Sheriff of said County of Union commanding him that by the oaths of ~~A. F. Wilkins~~ D. D. Bowman ~~D. D. Welsh~~ & R. D. Reed Judicious and disinterested freeholders of the vicinity. one equal third part of said premises in the petition described be set off and assigned to said Laura Lumbard as her dower estate and that by the like oaths of the said A. F. Wilkins. D. D. Welsh & R. D. Reed partition be made of said lands subject to said dower estate the following proportions to wit to the said James Fullington three fifths thereof, to David Patrick and Ira Patrick each one fifth and it is further ordered that the Sheriff return his proceedings in the premises to the next term of this court to which time this cause is continued.

57 Ben Evans et al, }
vs } Continued.
Sanny Parrot }

Tuesday January 31st A. D. 1860.

Daniel Keyes } Continued.
vs }
Isaac Guiher }

Samantha Wells } Petition for divorce
vs } This day came the petitioner and made
George W Wells } proof of service of notice on the defendant
} of the filing & pendency of this petition
and thereupon the court being fully advised in the premises
by the testimony do find the allegations of the petition are
true and it is therefore considered ordered and adjudged
that the said petitioner be divorced from her said husband
and the bonds of matrimony heard the same are hereby dissolved
It is further ordered that said petitioner have the custody of
her three children named in her said petition without molesta
tion of the defendant It is further considered and adjudged
that the petitioner be ~~called~~ restored to her maiden name Samantha
Davis and that she recover of the defendant her costs in
this behalf expended &c.

Andrew J. Freeman }
vs. } And now come the said
Charles E. Freeman et al, } Andrew J Freeman by Hamit
} and Lincoln his attorneys and
this cause came on to be heard by the court upon the
petition and evidence on consideration whereof the
court do find that due notice of the pendency of this
action was given for more than forty days prior to the
first day of this term of the court and the court do
further find that the said Andrew J. Freeman has
a legal title to and is seized in fee simple of the one third
part of said premises in the petition described and
that the said Charles E. Freeman Mary Ann Freeman
George W Freeman & Edward R. Freeman are tenants
in common in said premises with said Andrew J
Freeman in the following proportions to wit Charles E
Freeman one third part Mary Ann Freeman one Ninth
part George W Freeman one ninth part Edward R.
Freeman one ninth It is therefore ordered that a writ
of partition issue to the Sheriff of Union County Commanding

Tuesday January 31st A.D. 1860

him that by the oaths of A. J. Wilkins Jacob C. Sills & John T. Senor partition of said premises be made in the following proportions to wit. To Andrew J Freeman one equal third part to Charles C. Freeman one equal third part to Mary Anne Freeman one equal ninth To George W. Freeman one equal ninth part To Edward R. Freeman one equal ninth part. And it is further ordered that if the aforesaid freeholders shall be of opinion the estates in said land cannot be divided according to the demand of the petition without manifest injury to the value thereof they make and Return to this Court a just valuation of said estates And it is further ordered that Sheriff make return of his proceedings herein to the next term of the court to which term this cause stands continued.

x 9 | George T. Williamson vs. George Stuart & others } Civil action. It appearing that since the commencement of this suit the plaintiff George T. Williamson has deceased the following persons his devisees are made parties plaintiff in his place to wit Jane M. Williamson & George Williamson, Maria Williamson & Alice Williamson minors by Jane M. Williamson their next friend: Maria Williamson, Thomas Kirker and Marian Kirker his wife John F. Creagh and Emily Creagh his wife & Thomas D. Hemington and Francis E. Hemington his wife. And this case is continued under the former order of Survey

x | 21 | Hiram Gitsworth vs. Johnathan Haines } Civil action. Leave to amend petition and to reply and cause continued.

x | 25 | Henry Schecter vs. Margaret Schecter et als, } In Petition. It appearing to the court that neither of the tenants in common of the premises of which partition is prayed in this case has elected to take said premises at the valuation of the

Tuesday January 31st 1860.

commsioners heretofore appointed It is ordered that said premises be sold at public Sale by the Sheriff of said County of Union according to Law and that he return his doings in the premises to next term of this Court at which time this cause is continued.

William Shisler
vs.
Mortimer Winegardner

This day this cause came on to be heard by the Court and the defendant failing to demur or answer to the petition the Court do find that the allegations of the petitions are true and that there is due to the plaintiff from the defendant the several Sums claimed in the petition to wit, on the first of said notes Two Hundred and thirty four 2/100 dollars on the second note mentioned in the petition the Sum of three Hundred and ninety three 3/00 dollars on the third note mentioned in the petition the Sum of Three Hundred and Seventy nine 3/00 all of which Sums draw interest at ten per cent from this date and the Court do find that the defendant is indebted to the plaintiff in the further Sum of Three Hundred & thirty three 3/00 with interest at Six per cent from the 20th day of November 1857 up to Nov 20th 1860. and ten per cent thereafter on the fourth and last note mentioned in the petition and the Court do find that all of said several Sums due and to become due were of a part of the purchase money agreed to be paid by the defendant for the land described in the petition and that the Same are liens on said land Wherefore It is considered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the plaintiff do recover of the said defendant said several Sums so found due as aforesaid with interest thereon at ten per cent and costs of Suit taxed to dollars and it is ordered adjudged and decreed that Abraham Wiley who is hereby appointed Special master commissioner of this Court in said Case proceed to sell said land & pay the Same and that the residue of the proceeds of the sale of said land be paid into Court and there retain to pay said Sum to become due November 20th 1860.

Tuesday January 31st 1860.

92 David Marquis }
vs. } Settled & cost paid.
John P. Daily }

58 S. G. Frensel. }
vs }
Francis C. Melching & others } This day came the plaintiff but defendants came not but made default and thereupon the plaintiff Submitted this case to the court and neither party demanding a Jury and the court being fully advised in the premises do find there is due the plaintiff from the defendants Francis C. Melching as claimed in his petition. the Sum of Three Hundred and four & 50 dollars which is a vendors lien on the land in plaintiffs petition described It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendants Francis C. Melching the Sum aforesaid found due together with his costs herein expended taxed to $
And it is further considered ordered and adjudged that if the defendants fail for ten days to pay said Judgment that the Sheriff of this county who is appointed Special Master for that purpose sell according to Law. the real estate in said petition described to pay said judgment or so much thereof as shall be necessary for that purpose. Conveying the fee simple in said real estate being the entire interest of both defendants

86 Joseph Hown &
A. N. Hamilton partners }
vs. }
Michael Waggle. &
Robert Waggle } This day came the plaintiff (& defendants made default) and thereupon neither party demanding a Jury and the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition to be true & there is due plaintiffs from defendants the Sum of one Hundred and Eight & 50 dollars on ten per cent interest. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that the plaintiffs recover of the defendant the Sum of one Hundred and Eight dollars and ninety one cents due as aforesaid and his costs herein expended taxed to $. and thereupon the defendants come and paid to plaintiff Joseph Hown the Sum of Seventy dollars on the above judgment,

Tuesday January 21st A.D. 1862.

69

Mapury Doyle &c. vs. A.S. Davis Amon Davis & John B. Hyde. } This day this cause came on to be heard and the ~~plaintiff~~ defendants failing to answer or demur to the petition. the court by the consent of the plaintiffs do find that the allegations of the petition are true and that the defendants do owe to the plaintiff the sum of one Hundred and Twenty four 00/100 dollars with interest thereon at ten per cent on the notes in the petition described wherefore it is considered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiffs do recover of the defendants ~~plaid~~ Sum of one Hundred & twenty four 00/100 with ten per cent interest thereon and costs of Suit herein taxed to dollars.

Wm Abrahams vs. Jamesett Aberhams } Settled as per agreement on file

John Capil vs. Lewis H. Lee & Grace Lee. } This day come the plaintiff but the defendants came not but made default and thereupon this cause was Submitted to the and the court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition to be true and there is due plaintiff from the defendants L. H. Lee, the Sum of Two Hundred and thirty two dollars on ten per cent interest and that on the first day of March 1862 there will become due the plaintiff from defendant L. H. Lee the Sum of Five Hundred and Sixty dollars with ten per cent interest from December 1st 1859. which is a lien on the land in said petition described as is also the Sum aforesaid now due. It is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the said L. H. Lee the said Sum of Two Hundred and thirty two dollars & his costs herein expended taxed to & and that plaintiffs lien for said note not yet due be enforced & it is further considered ordered and adjudged that if defendants fail to pay said Sum of Money due as aforesaid for

ten days from the rising of this court that the Sheriff of this County who is appointed Special Master for that purpose proceed to sell according to Law the said real Estate to pay said Judgment or so much thereof as may be necessary for that purpose. It is further considered ordered and adjudged that the defendant Grace Lee has no right title interest in or to any part of said real estate present or in expectancy.

John Hood vs. P. Lobrick M. Miller } Union County Com Pleas Capias

This day came the said John Hood by John Bartram his attorney and filed his Petition against the said P. Lobrick and M. Miller defendants thereupon G. W. B. Allison one of the attorneys of this court appeared in open court in behalf of the said P. Lobrick and M. Miller and by virtue of a warrant of attorney for that purpose executed and now produced to the court and duly proved waived the issuing and service of process herein and confessed that the said ~~Plaintiff~~ P. Lobrick and M. Miller do owe the said plaintiff the Sum of $267.69 debt and that the said plaintiff hath sustained damages in the Sum of twenty nine dollars and fifty two cents by the detention thereof amounting in all to the Sum of Two Hundred ninety seven dollars and twenty one cents. It is therefore considered that the said plaintiff recover of the said defendants the Sum of Two Hundred ninety seven dollars and twenty one cents ($297.21 to be confessed to be due as of aforesaid together with his costs herein taxed to $
And the court finds that the note upon wich this Suit is brought bears interest at the rate of ten per cent per annum.

X 139

Nathan L. Johnson vs. Hugh Johnson et als } In Partition

On Motion to the court by Hamett & Spencer counsel for said petitioner and upon producing the return of the Sheriff and the report of said commissioners heretofore appointed and the same

Tuesday January 31st 1860.

having been examined by the court here and found in all respects in conformity to Law: it is hereby ordered that the said proceedings and report be, and the same is hereby approved & and confirmed. and thereupon neither of said parties electing to take the said premises at said valuation it is ordered that the said premises be sold at public sale by the Sheriff of said County of Union according to Law and that he return his doings in the premises to the next term of this court And it is further ordered that the said premises be sold for cash down, and cause Continued.

93 David Marquis
vs
A. & T. Showalter

This day came the plaintiff but defendants come not and thereupon neither party demanding a Jury this case was Submitted to the Court and it is thereupon considered ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendants the Sum of one Hundred and twenty Six dollars and forty cents due on the notes in plaintiff petition described together with cost of Suit Taxed to &

94 French & Keith
vs
S. A. Maddux.

This day came the plaintiff but defendant came not but made default & thereupon the Court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition to be true & there is due plaintiffs from defendant on said note the Sum of one Hundred and Eight dollars & forty three cents on ten per cent interest It is therefore Considered ordered and adjudged that the plaintiffs recover of the defendant said Sum of one Hundred and Eight dollars and forty three cents & their costs in this behalf expended Taxed to &

Tuesday January 31st A.D. 1860

1	54	The State of Ohio on complaint of Sarah Jane Ellis, against Peter Mannon,	In Bastardy This day was produced in open court a certain recognizance entered into on the Twenty Third day of September A.D. 1859 before A. S. Brooks a justice of the peace for the Township of York in the County of Union by Peter Mannon and Asa Mannon in the Penal Sum of Five Hundred Dollars conditioned for the appearance of the said Peter Mannon at the present term of this Court and continue from day to day and then and there answer unto a complaint of Bastardy made against him by Sarah Jane Ellis and abide the order of the Court thereon and not depart the Court without leave And thereupon the said Peter Mannon having been three times Solemnly called to come into Court and answer said charge came not but made default; And the said Asa Mannon being in like manner called to come into Court and with him bring the body of the said Peter Mannon as by the tenants of his recognizance he was bound to do came not but made default whereupon the Court declared said recognizance to be and the same is hereby forfeited.
1 X	101	John Harshfield Vs. Harvey Smith & wife.	Civil action Since death of the plaintiff John Harshfield is Suggested. Leave to make his personal representatives a party plaintiff and Continued.
X	3	Charles L. Wilson Vs. William Hildreth et als,	This day this cause came on to be heard and neither party requiring a Jury said cause was Submitted to the court upon the petition and evidence on consideration whereof the Court do find that the plaintiff is entitled to the immediate possession of the premises described in the petition and that the said defendants do unlawfully keep the plaintiff out of the possession of the same. It is

Tuesday January 31st A.D. 1860.

therefore considered by the court that the plaintiff do recover against the said William Hildreth and Benoni Hildreth the premises in his petition described and also his costs herein toped to dollars
Wherefore the defendant demanded the benefit of the occupying claimant law which was granted, & came contended under the occupying claimant law

D. D. Welsh
vs
Isaac Adams & wife. } This day came the plaintiff but the defendants made default and the plaintiff submitted this case to the court and thereupon the court being fully advised in the premises do find the allegations of the petition are true and it is therefore considered ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of defendant the sum of Two Hundred and forty three dollars & fifty three cents on ten per cent interest with Costs of Suit toped to $.
$243.53
And it is further considered ordered and adjudged that if defendant fail for ten days from the rising of the court to pay said Judgment then the Sheriff of this County who is appointed Special Master for that purpose sell according to law the real estate in said petition described to satisfy said judgment or so much thereof as may be necessary for that purpose.

D. D. Welsh
vs
John Caffi Wallis, } This day come plaintiff and Submitted this case to the court & defendant made default & thereupon the court being fully advised in the premises do consider, order, and adjudge that the plaintiff recover of the defendant John C. Miller as principle and John D. Miller surety guarantor the Sum of Two Hundred and ninety one dollars and fifty five cents & his costs herein expended toped to $

See P. 542

Tuesday January 31st 1860

79 Wm H. Dunnick vs. Joseph B Dowdna } This case is dismissed & settled as per agreement on file It is therefore considered and adjudged that the defendant recover of plaintiff his costs herein expended taxed to $

2 second ✓ Joseph B. Dowdna. vs. Wm H. Dunnick } This case is settled as per agreement on file. It is therefore considered and adjudged that each party pay his own costs in this case expended. It is further considered ordered and adjudged that the provisional injunction hereafter ~~to~~ granted in this case be made perpetual — It is further considered ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the Sum of Three Hundred and fifty dollars payable with interest from this date as follows to wit: fifty dollars May 1st 1860. fifty dollars Nov. 1st 1860 fifty dollars May 1st 1861. one Hundred dollars Nov 1st 1861. and one Hundred May 1st 1862. and it is further considered ordered and adjudged that the defendant execute to said Dowdna a valid Mortgage on the 80 acres of land situate in Putnam County Ohio. which said Dowdna has heretofore conveyed by deed to said Dunnick dated about the 16th of January 1859. within ten days as by said agreement required. to further Secure the payment of said several Sums of Money It is further considered ordered and adjudged that on the failure of said Dunnick to comply with either of these orders that execution issue for the Sum then due plaintiff as aforesaid as upon executions at Law.

See p. 442 ✓ P.S.

Mathew McClure, vs. Isaac Johnson & others. } This cause came on to be further heard and the Sheriff and Special master having made return of his proceedings and sale under the former order of Court in this case. on motion of Messrs Hanbon & Allison attorneys for the plaintiff and the Court having this day examined the proceedings of said

Tuesday. January 3rd 1860.

Sheriff and the Sale by him made upon the order of Sale issued in this cause and being satisfied that said Sale has in all respects been made in conformity to the provisions of the Statute in such case made and provided the Sale is approved and confirmed by the Court. And the Court order the said Sheriff and master to make to the purchaser Mathew McClure the plaintiff herein or his agents a deed for the lands and tenements so sold. And it is further ordered and adjudged that the proceeds of the Sale amounting to $365.92, be applied as follows 1st To the payment of the costs herein expended including the costs of sale, taxed at $22.95. 2nd To the satisfaction and discharge of the judgment rendered herein at the last term of the court on the second note described in said Mortgage to plaintiff which became due May 1st 1859 including interest, now amounting to $1096.33. And it further appearing to the Satisfaction of the Court that the third other notes described in the petition and the said Mortgage securing the same, calling for $1000, each with interest from the date of July 1st 1858. payable on the 1st days of May 1860. 1861 & 1862. respectively are all wholly unpaid and a lien upon said premises so sold to the plaintiff, the Court find that in equity the same shall be secured and discharged by the proceeds of the Sale by said Sheriff so far as the ballance of said proceeds of sale are sufficient for the purpose. It is therefore further ordered and adjudged 3rd That the Sum of $1094.33. of the proceeds of said sale be applied upon and to the Satisfaction and discharge of the note payable to said McClure on the 1st day of May 1860. 4th That the further Sum of $1094.33. be applied upon and in the Satisfaction and discharge of the note payable to said McClure on the 1st day of May 1861. 5th That the ballance of the proceeds of said Sale to wit, $347.98 be applied as a credit upon the note for $1000, payable with interest on the 1st day of May 1862. And it is further ordered and adjudged that the plaintiff Mathew McClure file herein with the Clerk of this Court the Two $1000. notes payable May 1st 1860. and May 1st 1861 which is done, and the same are hereby cancelled. And the plaintiff is further ordered to enter upon

Wednesday February 1st A.D. 1860.

the $1000. note payable May 1st 1852 the sum of $347.98 as of January 30th 1860. which is done accordingly. The clerk is ordered to make a final record herein.

Thereupon this Court adjourned until tomorrow Morning at 8 o'clock

Wm Lawrence
Judge Court Com. Pleas

Wednesday February 1st A.D. 1860
This Morning this Court of Common Pleas of Union County met Pursuant to adjournment Present Same officers as on Yesterday

Samuel Myers
vs
Beriah W. Miller &
Orlando Wills

} This day this cause came on to be heard upon the amended petition and evidence and the defendants Beriah W. Miller & Orlando Wills failing to answer or demur to said amended petition this cause was submitted to the Court and the Court do find that the allegations of the amended petition are true, and that the defendants do owe the plaintiff on the first note in the petition mentioned the Sum of $36.50. with interest from the 14th day of December 1857 on the second note in the petition mentioned the Sum of $21.90 with interest thereon from the 14th day of December 1857 and on the third note in the petition described the Sum of $54.90 with interest thereon from the 14th day of December 1857 Whereupon It is considered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff recover.

V 48

Wednesday February 1st 1860

of said defendants ~~and~~ several Sums so found due to wit forty one 5/100 dollars on the first note on the second note twenty four 6/100 on the third note sixty two dollars and costs of Suit.

Samuel Doyle
vs
D. Medford

This day this cause came on to be heard and the defendant failing to demur or answer to the petition but being in default the court by consent of plaintiff heared and considered the same and the court being fully advised in the premises do find that there is due to the plaintiff from the defendant on the promisory note in the petition set forth the Sum of Four Hundred and twenty seven 7/100 dollars Wherefore it is considered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiff do recover of the defendant the said Sum of Four Hundred and Twenty Seven 7/100 dollars and costs of Suit.

88

Eberly & Shedd
vs
T. A. Maddux & John McAlister

This day this cause was heard by the court and the court being fully advised in the premises do find that there is due to the plaintiffs on the promisory note in the petition described the Sum of one Hundred and Sixty four 35/100 dollars. Wherefore it is considered by the court that the plaintiffs do recover of the defendants said Sum of one Hundred and Sixty four 35/100 dollars and costs of Suit and the court do find that the said John McAlister is surety for the said T. A. Maddux

$164.35

11

D. Brush
vs
E. M. Kipell et al

This day this cause came on to be heard and the defendents failing to answer or demur to the petition but being in default. by consent ~~counsel~~ of the plaintiffs counsel the same was submitted to the court and the court being fully advised in the premises

Wednesday February 1st 1860.

do find that there is due to the plaintiff from said E. M. Kipell defendant on the promisory note in the petition set forth the Sum claimed by the plaintiff with interest thereon as claimed by the plaintiff to wit. Hundred dollars with interest at ten per cent from the first day of Feb 1859 wherefore it is considered and adjudged by the court that the plaintiff do recover of the said E. M. Kipell the Sum of Five Hundred and fifty dollars with interest thereon at ten per cent from this date and costs of Suit. The court do further find that the defendant is indebted to the plaintiff as claimed by him in the further Sum of Five Hundred dollars with ten per cent interest thereon at ten per cent from the first day of Feb 1859 and that said E. M. Kipell did execute the mortgage in the petition described to secure said Sums due and to become due and that the same are a lien on the said land in the petition described wherefore it is ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that Abraham Wiley who is hereby appointed Special Master Commissioner of this court in this case proceed to appraise advertise and sell said land according to Law and apply the proceeds to pay said Judgment &c as aforesaid rendered and that the residue of the purchase money be brought into court there to be retained until said last mentioned Note becomes due.

Johnathan L. Miller
vs
Harrison L. Stanwaters adm'r &c

This day this cause came on to be heard and the defendant failing to answer or demur to the petition the same was by consent of the plaintiff heard by court. and the court being fully advised in the premises do find that the allegations of the petition are true. and that the defendant is indebted as administrator of the estate of George W. Stanwaters to the plaintiff in the Sum of one Hundred and fifty Six dollars Wherefore it is considered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff do recover of the defendant as administrator of the estate of said George W. Stanwaters the Sum of one Hundred and fifty Six dollars with costs of Suit.

Wednesday February 1st A. D. 1860.

Edward Weller
vs
Wilson Reed & principal
R. D. Reed Surety

This day came the Plaintiff by his Attorneys and the defendants came not but made default and neither party requiring a Jury this cause was submitted to the court by the Plaintiff upon consideration whereof the court do find the allegations of the petition to be true and that there was due from the defendants, Wilson Reed as principal and R. D. Reed as his Surety to the plaintiff Edward Weller on the note set forth in the petition the Sum of Three Hundred & seventy five & 7/100 dollars and that Said Sum bears interest at the rate of Eight per cent per annum. It is therefore considered ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the Plaintiff recover of the defendants Wilson Reed as principal and R. D. Reed as his Surety the Sum of Three Hundred Seventy five & 7/100 dollars found due as aforesaid and also his costs herein expended taxed to $

And it is further ordered adjudged & decreed by the court that the above judgment bears interest at the rate of Eight per cent per annum until paid.

Elias Lewis et. als,
vs
Springfield Mount Vernon & Pittsburgh Rail Road Company & Joshua Judy.

On motion and it appearing that the real estate sold in this case is insufficient to pay the entire amount of the plaintiffs Said claim and that a Lunatic is in possession of the premises It is ordered and decreed that Abraham Wiley Sheriff of this County take Charge of Said premises and collect and receive all rents and pay the Same over as the court Shall hereafter direct & the motion to confirm the Sale is Continued

Thomas W. Kezartee
vs
Robert Welsh & J. M. Welsh.

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and the defendants being in default, neither party

Wednesday February 1st 1860

demanding a Jury this cause was Submitted to the court by the plaintiff and the court being fully advised in the premises do apes the damages of the plaintiff to the Sum of one Hundred Twelve & 11/100 dollars and do find that Said Sum bears interest at the rate of ten per cent per annum. It is therefore considered ordered adjudged by the court that the plaintiff recover of the defendants Robert Welsh & J. M. Welsh the Sum of one Hundred Twelve & 11/100 dollars so found due and that the Same bear interest at the rate of ten per cent per annum and that he also recover his costs herein expended taxed to $.

112 11
Int 10

94

S. A. Jewett }
vs }
A. Morey }

This day come the said S. A. Jewett by his attorneys and the said A Morey though Solemnly called came not but make default and neither party requiring a Jury this cause was Submitted to the court upon Consideration; Whereof the Court do find the allegations of the Petition to be true and that there is due from the defendant A. Morey to the plaintiff S. A. Jewett on the note in the petition described the Sum of one Hundred & Three & 70/100 dollars ($103.70). Therefore It is Considered ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that the said S. A. Jewett recover of the said A Morey the said Sum of one Hundred & Three & 70/100 Dollars his debt and also the Sum of $ his costs in and about this Suit expended

1 93

Adam Sager }
vs }
John J. Snavely & }
Mary M. Snavely his wife }

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of Plaintiff the defendants John J. Snavely and Mary M. Snavely his wife being in default for plea answer or demurrer and neither party requiring a Jury this cause was Submitted to the Court upon consideration whereof the Court do find that there was an error and Mistake in the Mortgage in the petition described in this, that in the Introductory & Granting Clause of the said Mortgage the names of Adam Sager & wife are placed where the name of the defendants Should be and the names of John J. Snavely & Mary M. Snavely his wife

are in the granting clause said Mortgage as Mortgagees when they were in fact Mortgagors. It is therefore adjudged and decreed that the said Mortgage be corrected, and that the names of these defendants be taken and held to be in the place where they should be in said Mortgage as Mortgagors and that the names of the plaintiffs be taken and held to be in the place where it should be in said Mortgage as Mortgagees. And it is further considered adjudged & decreed by the court that the plaintiff Adam Sager recover of the defendant John G. Snavely on the note in the petition described the Sum of Two Hundred Twenty two & 50/100 Dollars and also his costs herein expended topced to $ And it is further ordered adjudged and decreed by the court that if the defendants fail for ten days from the close of this term of Court to pay to said Adam Sager said Sum of $222.50 with interest and costs of Suit then and on that case that an order of Sale issue to the Sheriff of this County for the time being who is hereby appointed Special master commissioner for that purpose commanding him to proceed to appraise advertise and sell said Lands in the petition & Mortgage described as upon Executions at Law.

William B. Simcox } Demurrer Sustained and
vs } leave to plaintiff to amend his
Robert Gamble } petition within Thirty day and
continued at plaintiffs costs. It is therefore considered and adjudged that the defendant recover of the plaintiff the costs of this continuation topced at $.

Henry Neil }
vs } It is ordered that the plaintiff within
O. Hammond. } 30 days amend his petition and make it more certain and definite in its allegations. and continued

Wednesday February 1st A.D. 1860.

John Stübel &c
Catharine Smith. vs E. Y. Richey &c Levi Lyon } And now come the said plaintiffs by their attorneys as well as the defendant Levi Lyon by his attorneys & the said defendant E.Y. Richey still failing to demurr or answer to said plaintiffs petition or the answer of said Lyon & neither party demanding a Jury this cause was submitted to the court upon said plaintiffs petition & the answer of said Lyon. Whereupon the said Court being fully advised in the premises do find the several allegations of the said petition as well as the allegations of the answer of said Lyon are true as the said plaintiffs & defendant Lyon have set up in their petition and answer respectively and the court do further find that the plaintiffs are justly entitled to a warranty deed from the said defendant E. Y. Richey for the premises in said petition described to wit, Situate in said County of Union & in Survey No 4069. bounded as follows & wit. Beginning at a Stake witness a Sugartree & Elm 100 poles S.E. from a Hickory N.W. corner to a hundred acres of land sold by David Copeland by his Attorney Ebenezer Mathers thence N. 79. E. 160 poles to a forked Sugartree thence S 11. E. 100 poles to a buckeye & 2 ashes - thence S 79. W 160. poles to a bur oak & ash thence N. 11. W 100. poles to the beginning excepting 8¼ acres sold by Levi Lyon to G. P. Sundermann & that they aught to recover said deed from said Richey. It is further found by said court that there remains yet unpaid of the purchase money for said premises & now due from said Richey to said Lyon the Sum of Six Hundred & forty Six 25/100 dollars & from the plaintiffs the Sum of $7.02. & with interest from December 22d 1859. & that said Richey is justly entitled to a warranty deed for said premises & aught to recover a deed for the same from said Lyon upon the payment by him to said Lyon of the said Sum of $ 646.25. which Sum of money is a vendors lien on said land. It is therefore considered ordered adjudged & ~~decreed~~ that in case said Richey shall fail for ten days from the close of this term of this Court to execute a general warranty deed for said premises to said plaintiffs that this decree shall stand in the place of said conveyance & that said Richey be divested of every right title interest or claim to & in said premises the Court having found that said Richey has received from the said plaintiffs the entire purchase money for

Wednesday February 1st A.D. 1860

Said premises & it is ~~decreed~~ adjudged by the Court that all the interest legal & equitable of the Said Richy in Said premises be then forever released & conveyed with Covenants of general warranty from Said Richy unto the Said John Struble & Catharine Smith their heirs & assigns forever. It is further ordered & ~~decreed~~ and adjudged that if Said Richy shall fail to make the deed aforesaid within ten days from the rising of this Court as aforesaid that Said Lyon execute to Said plaintiffs John Struble & Cathoirne Smith their heirs & assigns forever a general warranty deed for Said premises upon the payment to him by Said Richy & the plaintiffs of the ballance of the purchase money so as aforesaid found due instead of to the defendants E.Y. Richy.
It is further ordered & adjudged that the plaintiffs recover of the defendants E.Y. Richy their costs herein expended to-wit &

Kelton Baner & Co
vs.
John A. Maddux

This day came the Said plaintiffs Kelton Baner & Co. by their Attorneys & the Said defendants John A Maddux having failed to demur or answer to the petition of Said plaintiffs It is considered that the Said plaintiffs ought to recover of the defendant the Sum of Five Hundred & twenty two & 4/100 dollars the amount found due by the Court from the defendant to the plaintiff on the note in Said petition set forth. — It is therefore considered ordered & adjudged by Said Court that the Said plaintiff recover against the Said defendant John A Maddux the Sum of Five Hundred & twenty two & 4/100 dollars the Sum so found due & also his costs herein taxed to &

And it is further ordered & adjudged that in case the Said John A Maddux defendant fails for ten days from the close of this term of the court to pay to the Said plaintiff the Said Sum of Five Hundred & twenty two 4/100 with the interest thereon so as aforesaid found due with costs of Suit an order issue to A. Wiley Sheriff of Said County who is hereby appointed Special Master Commissioner for that purpose Commanding him to cause the Said Real estate described in Said petition to wit: Lots Nos 11. 12. 13. 14. 23. 24. & 7 & part

84

$522.04

Wednesday February 1st 1860.

of Lot No 6. in the town of Pharisburg & of Union County Ohio to be appraised advertised & sold according to Law & apply the proceeds of said sale in satisfaction of the said judgment so as aforesaid rendered

D. M. Robinson
vs
John M. Hall

This day came the plaintiff by his attorney & submitted his motion to the court to take judgment upon a note included in a Mortgage foreclosed at the September Term 1859. of said Court at which time said note was due and the Court being fully advised to the premises & that said defendant John M. Hall failing to demur or answer to the petition of the plaintiff it is considered that the plaintiff ought to recover of the said defendant the amount due on said note - And the Court do find that there is due the plaintiff from the said defendant Hall on said note the Sum of Twelve Hundred & thirteen & 43/100 dollars with interest thereon at eight per cent. It is therefore considered ordered & adjudged that the said plaintiff D. M. Robinson recover against the said defendant John M. Hall the said Sum of Twelve Hundred & thirteen & 43/100 dollars with interest thereon at eight per cent & his costs herein expended taxed at $

D. W. Worley for &c
vs
James Ward

On Execution returned Aug 3rd 1847 in case of D. W. Worley vs James Ward Execution Book No 1 Page 270.

Wiley & Brown
vs
James Ward

S. Hunk for &c
vs
James Ward

This day came Mathew Mason & moved the Court to confirm the Sale & order the present Sheriff of this County to execute a deed ~~to Hunk~~ to him in pursuance of a Sale made to him on the executions above mentioned & the Court having carefully examined the proceedings & Sale of Philip Snider late Sheriff of this County upon the executions issued herein as required by Law are satisfied of the legality of said

Wednesday February 1st A.D. 1860.

Sale & that the same were in all respects made in conformity to the law & the time of service of said Phley Snider who made said Sale having expired & said Sheriff having failed to make a deed to the purchaser & no deed having since been made by any Subsequent Sheriff & sufficient proof having been made to this Court that said Sale was fairly & legally made the Court do order the present Sheriff A Wiley to make a deed to said Mathew Mason the purchase of thirty four feet off of the ~~last~~ East end of In Lot number forty seven (47) in the town of Marysville in this County

Wm D. McCreary Exr &c
vs.
Wm Reeder Et als,

This day came the petitioner by his attorneys and this cause came on to be heard upon the petition and the answer of the Guardian ad litem the other defendants being in default and it appearing to the Court that due notice of the filing of the Petition & the substance & prayer thereof had been given by Publication. This cause was Submitted by the petitioner to the Court. Upon consideration whereof It is ordered adjudged and decreed by the Court that the petitioner upon a proper order issued for that purpose by the Clerk proceed to appraise advertise and sell the lands and tenements in the petition described at the Premises in Jerome Township, Union Co. Ohio upon the following terms to wit said lands by the oaths of A. J. Hobert. Henry Beach and Samuel Sherwood and that he one third in hand and the balance in one and two years with interest to be secured by a Mortgage on said premises and for a report of such Sale this cause is Continued.

George B. Powell & others
vs.
J. McElroy.

And now comes the said plaintiffs by their attorneys & the said defendant J McElroy still failing to demur or answer to the said petition it is considered that the said plaintiffs ought to recover the amount due him by reason of the premises. & the Court with the consent of said plaintiffs find that there is due from the said defendants J McElroy to the said plaintiffs on the note in said petition described the Sum of one

Wednesday February 1st A.D.

1867

Hundred & Eighty one & 70/100 dollars It is therefore considered ordered & adjudged by the said court that said plaintiff recover of the said defendants the said Sum of one Hundred & Eighty one & 70/100 dollars the Sum so found due as aforesaid & also his costs herein taxed to $

1 / 71

Harrison Rice
vs.
Wm Kightlinger &
Abraham Marey

This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of the plaintiff the defendants being in default for Plea answer or demurrer and neither party requiring a Jury this cause was Submitted to the Court by the plaintiff. Upon consideration whereof the Court do find that there was due from the defendant William Kightlinger to the plaintiff on the promissory note first named in the petition and Mortgage at the Commencement of this term the Sum of Sixty three & 85/100 Dollars after deducting the Sum of $20. paid by defendant Marey to the Clerk Since the Commencement of this Suit and that the Same is a lien on the premises in the petition & Mortgage described It is therefore considered ordered & adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant Wm Kightlinger the Sum of Sixty three & 85/100 Dollars & also his costs herein expended taxed to $ And it is further ordered adjudged & ~~decreed~~ that if the defendants fail for ten days from the close of this term of Court to pay said Sum of $63.85 & costs of Suit then & in that case that an order issue to the Sheriff of this County for the time being commanding him to proceed to appraise advertise & sell the lands & tenements in the petition & Mortgage described as upon Executions at Law, and that he bring the proceeds arising from Such Sale into Court and as to the note not yet due this cause is Continued.

William Michael & Wife
vs.
Sarah Lamb et. al.

Petition
on motion to the Court by Scott and Wright counsel for the petitioners and upon producing the proceedings of the Sheriff and the

Wednesday February 1st 1860

See P. 54 7/

Samuel Judy
vs
Levi Hillet, ads, } On Motion of the said plaintiff by his attorneis it is ordered that proceeding the return of A. Wiley Sheriff of said County of a Sale of real estate by him made in this case who was appointed by said Court Special master commissioner for that purpose to George Hill on an order of Sale issued in this case and the Court on examination of said proceedings being satisfied that said sale has been made in all respects in conformity to law it is ordered that the said Sale & proceedings therein be & the same are hereby confirmed & the said A. Wiley Sheriff as aforesaid is ordered to make to the purchaser George Hill a deed for the lands & tenements so sold & the said A. Wiley is hereby appointed Special Master Commissioner for the purpose of making said deed and the notes secured by said Mortgage to be paid in the order they become due, after paying an attorney fee to D. D. Porter out of the first note

97 S. C. Adair Pltff
vs
Christian Stiner Defd } This day come the plaintiff and submitted this cause to the Court upon the petition & exhibits the defendants still failing to answer or demur but made default upon Consideration whereof the Court finds that there is due from the defendant Christian Stiner to the plaintiff on the promisory note described in the petition the Sum of Sixty two 00/100 Dollars after deducting the credits of $ 75, and of $ 41. 40. as set forth in the petition and that the same is a lien upon the premises & Mortgage It is ordered & adjudged ~~decreed~~ by the Court that the Pltff. recover of the defendant Christian Stiner the said Sum of Sixty two 00/100 Dollars and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $ And it is further ordered & adjudged & ~~decreed~~ that if the defendant Christian Stiner fail for ten days from the close of this term of Court to pay said sum of Sixty two 00/100 Dollars Costs of suit then and in that case an order issue to the Sheriff of this County for the time being Commanding him to proceed to appraise advertise and sell the lands and tenements in the petition and Mortgage described as upon Execution at law

Wednesday February 1st 1860

and that he bring the proceeds into court to which time this cause is Continued.

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the Bar of this Court and presented their four several bills of indictment against John R Bower for retailing Each indorsed a true bill Philander Manchester Foreman. Also their other bill of indictment against William H. Cartmell for retailing, indorsed a true bill. Philander Manchester Foreman Also their other bill of indictment against William Roberts for retailing. indorsed a true bill. Philander Manchester Foreman. and there being other business before them they retired again to deliberate in charge of a Sworn Constable.

Lucy Ann Lucas
vs
John Baxter et. als. } This cause came on to be heard on the ~~answer~~ demurrer of plaintiff to the answer of defendant and in consideration whereof the Court overruled the demurrer. but granted leave to the defendant to file an amended answer instantly, which answer was filed.

Samuel Wheeler
vs.
Edward Hardin } The Plaintiff this day filed his motion to set aside the appraisement and for an order of re-appraisement and on consideration the Court do find that the land heretofore ordered to be sold in this case has been twice offered for sale and not sold, it is ordered that the former appraisement the said appraisement is set aside and a new appraisement ordered.

William Michael & wife
vs
Sarah Lamb et. als, } Petition on motion to the court by Coats and Wright counsel for the petitioners and upon producing the proceedings of the Sheriff and the

Wednesday February 1st A.D. 1860

Sale of the premises by him made in pursuance of a former order of this court and the same having examined and found by the court in all respects in due form of law. It is ordered that said proceedings and sale of said premises be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed and that the Sheriff execute and deliver to the purchaser Joseph W. Michall a deed in fee Simple for said lands and tenements by him sold as aforesaid Subject to the dower of the said Elizabeth Coon heretofore assigned in this case. And It is further ordered that the costs and expenses of Suit including attorneys fee of Twenty dollars be paid out of the said money in the hands of the Sheriff in the following proportions to wit to Ebeline Michael wife of said William Michael one equal fifth (⅕) part amounting to $ Sarah Lamb wife of Edward Lamb one equal fifth (⅕) part amounting to $ May Jane Heath wife of George Heath one equal fifth (⅕) part amounting to $ Ann Eliza Devitt wife of Peter Devit one equal fifth (⅕) part amounting $ and to Lucinda Coon one equal fifth (⅕) part amounting to $ and that the said Sheriff distribute the residue of the first payment of said Money between the said parties in the following proportions to wit To said Ebaline Michael dollars To said Sarah Lamb dollars To said May Jane Heath dollars To said Ann Eliza Devitt dollars and To said Lucinda Coon dollars and that the amount of the purchase money unpaid to wit the Sum of Dollars be secured to said parties by Mortgage on the said premises so sold as aforesaid to be paid one half in one year and the balance in two years with interest from date,

Warret O. Carter, admr of James Stout
vs.
John P. Stout,

} The plaintiffs this day filed his motion to set aside the appraisement of land heretofore filed

upon in this case and on consideration the Court do find that said land has been twice offered

Wednesday February 1st A. D. 1866

for Sale on said former appraisement and not sold, and the said appraisement is hereby set aside and a new appraisement of said land ordered

Jer~~one~~ Buckingham
vs
Alexander C. ~~Shirley~~ Shires

This day came the plaintiff and filed his motion for an order~~ed~~ that Abraham Wiley Sheriff of the County of Union be ordered to convey to the purchaser the lands heretofore sold in this case by William H. Robb former Sheriff and Special master and on consideration the court do order that ~~Abraham~~ Wiley Sheriff of Union County who is hereby appointed Special master commissioner of this Court in this cause make and deliver to the purchaser of said lands deed for the same.

Edmathan Hathaway
administrator &c
vs
C. M. Robinson et. als,

This day the plaintiff filed his petition to set aside the appraisement in this case and for a new appraisement, and on consideration the Court do find that said lands have been twice offered for sale under said appraisement and not sold for want of bidders, said former appraisement is set aside and a new appraisement ordered

C. W. Lyons & Co.
vs
Christofer Grubbs.

The plaintiff this day filed his motion to set aside the appraisement heretofore made and for a new appraisement of the lands heretofore ordered to be sold in this case, and on consideration the court do find that said land has been twice offered for sale under said appraisement and not sold for want of bidders and the said appraisement is set aside and a new appraisement ordered

Wednesday February 1st A.D. 1860

The State of Ohio } Indictment for Retailing
vs } This day came into open court Solomon
Emanuel Brown } Brown second and Amos Brown and
} acknowledged themselves to owe and
stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the Sum of one
Hundred Dollars each to be levied of their goods and
chattles lands and tenaments if default be made
in the condition to wit: that the said Solomon Brown
second and Amos Brown be and appear before
the court of Common Pleas of said County of Union
on the first day of the next term thereof to give evidence
ce in a cause therein pending wherein the State of
Ohio is plaintiff and Emanuel Brown is defendant
and not depart the court without leave then this
recognizance to be void otherwise to be and remain
in full force.

102

The State of Ohio } Bastardy
vs } This day came the defendant
Samuel Osborn } Samuel Osborn & made his
} appearance in court & the
State came not but failed to present the charge
against said defendant. Therefore it is considered
ordered & adjudged by the court that said defendant
be discharged of said charge & go hence without day
for want of prosecution.

The State of Ohio } Indictment for Retailing.
vs } This day came into Open Court
William H. Cartmell } Solomon Brown 2d. and acknow-
} ledge himself to owe and
} stand indebted to the State of Ohio
in the sum of one Hundred Dollars to be levied of the
goods and chattles lands and tenements if default
be made in the condition to wit: that the said Solomon
Brown 2d. be and appear before the Court of Common
Pleas of said County of Union on the first day of the
next term thereof to give evidence in a cause therein
pending wherein the State of Ohio is plaintiff and
William H. Cartmell is defendant and not depart the

court without leave then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be and remain in full force,

The State of Ohio
vs
William Robert } Indictment for Retailing

This day came into open court Nelson Thompson and acknowledge himself to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the sum of one Hundred dollars to be levied of their goods and chattles lands and tenements of defendant be made in the conditions to wit: that the said Nelson Thompson be and appear before the Court of common please of said County of Union on the first day of the next term thereof to give evidence in a cause therein pending wherein the State of Ohio is plaintiff and William Roberts is defendant and not depart the Court without leave then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be and remain in full force.

Thereupon this court adjourned till Tomorrow morning at 8 oclock

William Lawrence
Pres Court Com Pleas
3d Judicial District

Thursday February 2d A.D, 1860
This morning this court of Common Pleas of Union County met Pursuant to adjournment Present same officers as on Yesterday

15 Thomas Jones
vs
Lewis H Lee et.als, } ~~Continued~~, Appealed mistake

Thursday February 2d A.D. 1860.

Levi Lyon
vs
Edward G. Richey

This day came the plaintiff by his attorneys & submitted & the Court his motion to set aside the appraisement made in this case of lands levied upon by virtue of an execution in this case issued & for a new appraisement of the same & also to have said levy amended. Thereupon the court being fully advised in the premises & being satisfied that said levy & appraisement includes lands not owned by the said defendant to wit: Lot No. 59 & part of Lot No. 81 in Marysville Union County Ohio & that said appraisement was made upon the entire lands levied upon in one undivided appraisement therefore it is considered ordered & adjudged by the Court that the Sheriff of this County so amend his levy as to include only the lands belonging to the defendant & that the same the lands held under his amended levy to be appraisement & sold according to Law & the said appraisement in this case made is hereby set aside & the said Sheriff is ordered to amend his proceedings as above described.

The Grand Jury having reported to the court that the jail of this County is unsafe and liable to take fire by reason of defects in the papage a Stove pipe through the Several floors of the said jail building. Whereupon the Court do order that the Sheriff of this County proceed forthwith to make such necessary repairs as will render said jail building safe.

This day the Grand Jury appeared at the bar of this Court and presented their two bills of indictment against John S. Bauer for unlawfully selling intoxicating liquors to be drank upon the premises where sold. Each Severally indorsed a true bill, Philander Manchester Foreman. Also their two other bills of indictment against Emaniel Brown for unlawfully selling intoxicating liquors to be drank on the premises where sold. Each Severally indorsed a true Bill. Philander Manchester, Foreman and also their other ~~bills~~ bills

of indictment against William H. Cartmell for unlawfully selling intoxicating liquors to be drank upon the premises where sold. endorsed a true Bill Philander Manchester Foreman. And there being no other business before them the Grand Jury were discharged.

X | James Fullington vs Jepse Lumbard et. als. | on motion to the court by Hamilton & Lincoln attorneys for petitioner and upon producing the proceedings and return of the Sheriff and the report and proceedings of the commissioners hereinbefore appointed and the same being examined and found in all respects true It is ordered that said proceedings and report be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed and then the said Laura Lumbard hold as her dower in said premises the portion assigned to her by said commissioners and therefore neither of the parties electing to take said estate at the valuation thereof as returned by said commissioners on motion of the petitioner it is ordered that said estate except the portion assigned to said Laura Lumbard as her dower be sold by Abraham Wiley Sheriff of this County of Union who is hereby appointed Special master commissioner of this Court in this case at public auction according to the Statute in such case made and provided and this cause continued

X 85 | Clippinger & Co. vs Jane Rose. | Continued & leave granted to the defendant to file her answer within Twenty days.

2 30 | Morgan Savage. Plaintiff. vs A. K. Bowen & wife John Capil. et. als. defts. | on Confirmation.

The court this day on motion of the Plaintiff attorneys having examined the proceedings of the Sheriff as Special master Commissioner in this case and the Sale by him made upon the order of Sale issued in this cause on the 12th day of December 1859. and being satisfied

Thursday February T. 1860.

that said Sale has in all respects been made in conformity to the provisions of the Statute in such case made and provided, do order the Clerk to make an entry on the Journal that the Court are satisfied of the legality of the Sale and that he also enter an order on the Sheriff to make to the purchaser Morgan Savage a deed in fee simple for the lands and tenements so sold: all which is done accordingly. and it is further ordered by the Court that the proceeds arising from such Sale be applied as follows. 1st to the payment of the costs in this case 2d To the payment of a vendors lien in favor of the defendant Capil the Sum of Seven Hundred forty five & 50/100 Dollars. 3d To the payment of the judgment in favor of Morgan Savage rendered by this Court Feb. 18th 1859 the Sum of $1625, 62. also the amt. due on note Marked "G" attached to the petition the sum of $471.25 also the amt due on note Marked 6, attached to the petition the Sum of $647.27. also the amts of note Marked D, & E to become due Dec 1st 1860. & 1861. 1294.54 = $4038.69.
4th To the payment of the costs made on the judgment in the case of James Thompson vs A. R. Bowen rendered June 8th 1858. in this court. 5th To the payment of the ballance due on said Judgment from the defendant A. R. Bowen to James Thompson. and it is further ordered and adjudged by the Court, that the defendants who may be in possession of said premises or any person who has come in under them or either of them preciding this Suit deliver up the possession thereof to said purchaser on demand and production of the said Masters deed. and it is further ordered that the residue of the Money in the hand of the Sheriff be applied on the adjudgment of J. M. Williams vs A. R. Bowden rendered July 15th 1859.

W. W. Woods Cashier
vs
R. C. Clark

This day on motion plaintiff are required to file a reply in fifteen days and by agreement of Parties It is ordered that this action be refered to John Barbour. as a referee to report. the law & fact to next term and this cause is continued at defendants Costs. It is therefore ordered & adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff recover of the defendant the Costs of this term to wit $

Thursday February 2nd A.D. 1860.

✓	The State of Ohio Vs A. B. Rosebroom	Recognizance from W. M. Baughman J. P. for Assault and Battery. The Grand Jury having failed to find an indictment against the defendant in this case he is therefore discharged from his recognizance.
X	Daniel Duvall. Vs Seburn Hinton et. als.	order for sale on Petition on motion to the court by the attorneys for the Petitioner and upon producing the proceedings of the Sheriff and the report and proceedings of the Commissioners herein before appointed and the same being examined - It is ordered that said proceedings and report be and the same are hereby approved and confirmed and thereupon neither of the parties electing to take said estate at the valuation thereof as returned by the Commissioners: on motion of the Petitioner - It is ordered that said lands & tenements be sold at public auction by the Sheriff of said County of Union according to the Statute in such case made and provided - and for the report of sale in this case this cause is Continued.
✓ 50	Springfield Mt Vernon & Pittsburgh R. R. Co, Vs. D. H. Silver & L. W. Smith	This day came the parties by their attorneys and neither party requiring a Jury this cause was submitted to the Court upon the petition of Plaintiffs and answer of defendant D. H. Silver upon consideration whereof the Court do find that the right of property in and the right of possession to the goods and chattles in the petition mentioned was at the commencement of this Suit in the said Springfield Mt Vernon & Pittsburgh R. R. Co. and the Court assess the damages of the said Rail Road Co. by Reason of the premises To It is therefore ordered & adjudged by the Court that the plaintiffs recover of the defendant D. H. Silver their costs herein expended Taxed to p.

Thursday February 2d A.D. 1860.

√ 109 Nelson McAlister
vs
Lucinda Vansant
} Relion in error.
And now comes the said parties by their Attorneys and thereupon this action came on to be heard upon the petition of the plaintiff and the evidence and was argued by counsel on consideration whereof it is ordered and adjudged by this court that the judgment of the said Charles W. Rosette Justice of the peace. be and the same is hereby affirmed with costs. And it is further ordered that execution be awarded from this Court to carry into effect the Judgment of the said Justice as if the same had been rendered in this Court.

√ Robson L. Broome
vs
A.B. Bowen
Alexander Scott &
Philip Snider
} On Cognovit
This day came Robson L. Broome by John L. Porter his attorney and filed his petition against the said A.B. Bowen Alexander Scott & Philip Snider and thereupon M. C. Lawrence one of the attorneys of this court appeared in open court in behalf of said A.B. Bowen Alexander Scott and Philip Snider and by virtue of a warrant of attorney for that purpose executed and now produced to the court and duly proved waived the issuing and service of process and confessed that the said defendants doth owe to said Plaintiff the sum of two Hundred and five dollars and thirty three cents as claimed in plaintiffs petition. It is therefore considered and adjudged that said plaintiff Robson L. Broome recover of the defendant A.B. Bowen. Alexander Scott and Philip Snider said sum of two Hundred and five dollars & thirty three cents so confessed to be his due and also his costs in this behalf expended taxed to $ And by virtue of the same warrant of attorney all error is released and all right of appeal waived by said defendants this judgment to draw ten per cent interest

Thursday February 9th 1860.

1 | The State of Ohio vs Hezekiah Smith and Henry Decker. } Indictment for Burglary and Petit Larceny.
This day came the parties by their attorneys the said defendants being before the Court and thereupon came a Jury to wit, James Thompson, George Brannon, Gregory Howley, John Knox, Elias Johns, R. D. Smith, Elijah Miller, John Bonnett, James Dockum, D. H. Henderson, George Howley & Dixon Mitchell, who being duly empannelled and Sworn the truth to Speak upon the issue joined between the parties upon their oaths say that the defendants are guilty in manner and form as they Stand charged in the Second account of the said indictment, and not guilty as they Stand charged in the first count of said indictment whereupon the said defendants were remanded to the Jail of the county to await the sentence of the Court,

X | The State of Ohio. vs John P. Power } Indictment for Plaitin
This day came into open court Gideon Draper and acknowledge himself to owe and Stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the Sum of one Hundred dollars to be levied of his goods and Chattles lands and tenements. if default be made on the condition to wit, that the said Gidem Draper, be and appear before the Court of Common Please of said County of Mine on the first day of the nept term thereof to give evidence in a cause therein pending wherein the State of Ohio is plaintiff and John P. Power is defendant and not depart the Court without leave then this recongance to be void otherwise to be and remain in full force,

X | The State of Ohio vs John P. Power. } Indictment for Rcaitery.
This day came into open Court Calvin Keasley and acknowledge himself to owe and Stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the Sum of one Hundred dollars to be levied of his goods and Chattles

Thursday February 2d A.D. 1860.

lands and tenements if default be made in the condition to wit: that the said Calvin Measly be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union on the first day of the next term thereof to give evidence in a cause therein pending wherein the State of Ohio is plaintiff and John P. Bauer is defendant, and not depart the court without leave then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be and remain in full force.

The State of Ohio
vs.
Alexander R. Bowen

Recognizance from William Ke, Robb a Justice of the Peace and Peace warrant.

This day the said Alexander R Bowen defendant came, and also came the prosecuting witness in this case Addison Clark, and thereupon by consent of parties this cause is dismissed by the consent and agreement of the said defendant and the said prosecuting witness at the costs of the said Alexander R. Bowen defendant ~~At the costs of said defendant~~. It is thereupon considered and adjudged by the court that the said defendant be discharged from his said recognizance. It is also considered and adjudged that the said defendant pay the costs of this prosecution taxed to Dollars Cents,

1 Administrators of S. L. Miller vs Stephen McLain
To be laid away with old cases.

2 Sol. T. Wells & B. Woods admrs. vs Jane White
To be laid away with old cases.

3 J. W. Anders admrs of Lyne Starling vs R. P. Mann
To be laid away with old cases.

4 Elnathan Heathaway vs John W. Sibbatts
To be laid away with old cases

5 James W. Custus vs Francis P. Harrison
To be laid away with old cases

Thursday February 2d A.D. 1860.

Adam Shower & wife vs Benj Tappan. Continued

John H. Dames vs Norman Reed.
Continued under former order.

The State of Ohio vs John P. Bauer. } Indictment for Retailing
This day came into open court Perry Heasley and acknowledge himself to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the Sum of one Hundred dollars to be levied of his goods and chattles lands and tenements if default be made in the condition to wit. that the said Perry Heasley be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said County of Union on the first of the next term thereof to give evidence in a cause therein pending wherein the State of Ohio is plaintiff and John P. Bauer is defendant and not depart the court without leave then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be and remain in full force,

46 Elnathan P. Hathaway vs Springfield Mt. Vernon and Pittsburgh Rail Road Co. } This cause come on to be heard and the defendant failing to demur or answer to the petition on consideration the Court order that the defendant be and it is required to answer and show cause within forty days why an injunction should not be allowed as prayed for in the petition of the plaintiff and this cause continued,

Thereupon this Court adjourned un till to morrow morning at 8 o'clock

William Lawrence
Judge Court of Com Pleas

Friday February 3d A.D, 1860

Friday February 3d 1860
This morning the Court of Common Please of Union County met Pursuant to adjournment
Present same officers as on yesterday.

29 John Kanahan vs John L. Fullon } Appeal
on motion to the court, defendants attorney and it appearing to the satisfaction of the court that no petition has been filed in this case by the plaintiff and that two regular terms of the court of Common Please have been held within and for the county of Union ~~last~~ since the docketing of the appeal by the appellant. The said plaintiff is thereupon considered and adjudged to be for two several times in default. on consideration whereof this cause is dismissed at the costs of the plaintiff without prejudice It is therefore considered and adjudged by the court that the defendant go hence without day and recover of the plaintiff his costs taxed to dollars cents.

32 W. W. Covalt vs Walter Thompson et als, } This day this cause came on to be heard upon the issues joined between the parties and thereupon came a Jury to wit: Jas L. Severin, Wm Digger, Wm E Burrows, Nicholas Bilbeal, Isaac Anderson, Harrison Shaw, E. F. Mann, John G. W. House, Wesley Amrine, John Bramon Harrison Price & A. H. Ryan, who being empannelled and Sworn the truth to Speak upon the issue joined between the parties upon their oaths do Say that they find for the defendant and assess his damages at one Hundred and fifty six 33/100 Dollars. Wherefore It is considered and adjudged by the Court that the said defendants recover of the plaintiff said Sum of one Hundred and fifty six 33/100 Dollars and costs of Suit taxed to dollars

$156 33

Friday February 3rd A.D. 1860

87 — Peter Mayo vs. Wm Burns et,als. — This day it appearing to the Court that Joseph Burns & Mary Mayo defendants were minors under the age of fourteen years, and that they have no guardian legally appointed to defend this case for them The Court do appoint C. S. Hamilton Guardian ad Litem for said Joseph Burns & Mary Mayo.

19 — G. A. Coe Trust. &c. vs Thomas Racock et,als — Continued.

Clark Richie vs A. C. Robinson — This day came the said plaintiff by his attorney & Submitted to the Court his motion to set aside the Sale made in this case by A. Wiley of ~~the~~ & thereupon the court being fully advised in the premises do find that said land was Struck off at said Sale to said Clark Richie for the defendant James Robinson at his request & said Robinson was to pay the purchase money which he has wholly neglected to do Therefore It is considered ordered & adjudged the court that said Sale be & is hereby set aside.

State of Ohio vs Richard Reed. — And it appearing to the Court that defendant is without counsel and unable to employ counsel, the Court apign to him M. C. Laurence as his counsel.

The State of Ohio. vs Hezikiah Smith and Henry Decker. — The defendants Hezekiah Smith and Henry Decker, were this day again brought before the Court and having nothing further to say why sentence Should not pronounce against them It is therefore considered and adjudged by the Court

that the said Hezekiah Smith be imprisoned in the cell of the jail of the County of Union and State of Ohio for the term of Fifteen days and fed on bread and water only and that he make his fine unto the State of Ohio in the Sum of Fifty Dollars. And that the said Henry Decker be imprisoned in the cell of the jail of said Union County and State of Ohio for the term of Twenty days and fed on bread and water only and that he make his fine unto the State of Ohio in the Sum of Fifty Dollars and it is further considered and adjudged by the Court that the said defendants Hezekiah Smith and Henry Decker pay the costs of this prosecution taxed to Dollars cents.

Thereupon this Court adjourned until tomorrow morning at 10 o'clock

William Lawrence
Judge of the Court of Common Pleas
3d Judicial District in Ohio

Saturday February 4th A. D. 1860
This morning the Court of Common Pleas of Union County met Pursuant to adjournment Present Same officers as on yesterday

Saturday February 4th 1860

33 William T. McCreary Executor of Johnathan McCreary et als vs Rebecca Shumway & James Valentine } Application to apeep damages &c under the occupying claimant Law

On motion to the Court by P. B. Cole Counsel for Plaintiff and upon producing the report of Samuel Woodburn, John McCampbell & John Sizzet who were agreed upon by the parties to apeep the damages &c. the same as a Jury under the Statute for the relief of occupying claimants. The said report by consent of parties so as to conform to the terms of the Submission whereby it was agreed that a ballance of two Hundred and three 70/100 Dollars is due to the said James Valentine upon said apeisment for his improvements &c upon said premises And said William T. McCreary et als. as plaintiff appeared by their said Counsel in open court declaring their election to pay the said Sum of two Hundred and three 70/100 Dollars to the said James Valentine according to the provisions of said Statute. It is therefore ordered that day be given to the said Plaintiff to pay said money until the expiration of Four months from the rising of this Court And as to the said Rebecca Shumway the said riffees report that she is not entitled to any pay for improvements nor is the plaintiff entitled to any damages or rents against her and it is further ordered that the costs apeped against the defendants in the original action for the recovery of the land be paid out of the money above ordered to be paid to said Valentine.

Lucy Ann Lucas vs S. K. Reed & John Connor } This day this cause came on to be heard and neither party requiring a Jury this cause was Submitted to the Court upon the pleadings and evidence upon consideration whereof the Court do find that there is due from the defendants S. K. Reed as principal and John Connor as Surety to the plaintiff Lucy Ann Lucas on the note in the petition described the Sum of Five Hundred forty seven & 85/100 Dollars which Sum bears interest at ten per cent per annum from the first day of this term It is therefore ordered and adjudged by the Court that the plaintiff Lucy Ann Lucas recover of the defendants S. K. Reed principal and John Connor as his Surety the Sum of Five Hundred forty Seven 85/100 Dollars. (P.S. 75. 85) and also her cost herein

Saturday February 4th 1860

expandence to pay to ℔ and the defendant
John Conner demanded a second trial under the
Statute, which was allowed by the Court and the
Court clofsed the undertaking at the Sum of ℔ 650.00
Six Hundred and fifty Dollars

The State of Ohio } Indictment for unlawful selling
vs } Entoxicating Liquors &c.
John P. Bauer } The defendant John P. Bauer this
day was arraigned and the indictment
being read to him was asked how of the premises he
would acquit himself for plea says he is not guilty in
manner and form as he stands charged, and the
defendant not being ready for trial asked that this
cause be continued, Whereupon it is ordered that this
cause stand continued to the next term of this Court and
the defendant John P. Bauer is recognized to enter into
a recognizance in the Sum of one Hundred Dollars for
his appearance at the next term of said Court

Mary Hensel
vs
Israel Woolam et als, } This day this cause came on
to be heard and by consent of
parties counsel this cause is
dismissed without prejudice as to the issues joined
between the parties at plaintiffs costs and as to the assign
ment of dower to the plaintiff is entitled to have her life
estate in the real property in the petition described set off
according to the will to wit. the house lot and Stable with
all their fixtures appertaining to said lot and one third
of the real estate of both the farms described in the will
except so much thereof as has been sold by said Mary
Hensel and it is ordered that a writ issue to the Sheriff of
said County of Huron commanding him that by the oaths
of A. T. Wilkins Samuel Woodburn and William
Bigger three judicious disinterested freeholders of
the vicinity who are not of Kin to either party he cause
said life estate to be set off and assigned to the plaintiff
according to Law and that he return his proceedings
in the premises to the next term of this Court to which

Saturday February 4th 1860

Same this cause is continued

The State of Ohio vs John P. Bauer } Indictment for unlawfully selling intoxicating Liquors &c. This day came into open court A. F. Wilkins and D. D. Welch and acknowledged themselves jointly and severally to owe and stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the penal sum of one Hundred Dollars to be levied of their goods and chattles lands and tenements if default be made in following condition to wit: that the said John P. Bauer be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of said county of Union on the first day of the next term thereof to answer unto the State of Ohio for unlawfully selling intoxicating liquors to one Perry Heasly to be drank upon the premises where sold and then and there abide the order of the court and not depart the court without leave then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be and remain in full force.

Amos P. Daly vs I. R. Smith et als. } This cause is dismissed by the Court without prejudice at plaintiff's costs & adjudged by the court that the defendants recover of the plaintiff their costs herein expended taxed to $ No Record

Allen W. Phairs vs Lucy M. Stokes &c } This cause came up for hearing on demurrer of Plaintiff to answer of Defendant upon consideration whereof the demurrer is overruled & cause continued

John Connor vs H. A. & H. C. Chapman } This day this cause came on to be heard and the defendant being in default. Said cause was by consent of Plaintiff submitted to the court and upon consideration the court do find that there is due to the plaintiff on the note in the petition described the

Saturday February 4th A.D. 1860

Sum of $282.65. with interest thereon at eight per cent
Wherefore it is considered and adjudged that the plaintiff
recover of the defendant said Sum of $282.65 with interest
at eight per cent and cost of suit to said
Dollars.

/ Allen W. Thairs
vs
14 Chas Fullington } Leave granted to defendant to file an amended answer and answer filed Feb. 3d 1860. This day came the parties by their attorneys and there upon came a Jury to wit: George Brannon, John Knox, Elias Johns, R. L. Smith, Jas Y. Severn, Wm Bigger, Wm E. Burrows, Nicholas Belveal, Isaac Anderson, John Brannon, Joseph Mulvain & Joseph Powers, who being duly empannelled and sworn the truth to speak upon the issues joined between the parties upon their oaths do say we find for Plaintiff and ape[ss] his damages at $2110.40. Therefore It is considered ordered and adjudged by the court that the said Allen W. Thairs recover of the defendant Chas Fullington the said sum of Two Thousand one Hundred & Ten & 40/100 Dollars his damages aforesaid in form aforesaid apessed and also his costs in this behalf expanded to be taxed &c And thereupon the defendant demanded a second trial under the Statute which is allowed by the Court and the Court do fix the undertaking in the Sum of $2400.00

W. W. Woods
vs
28 Thomas O Riley & John Long } This day this cause came on to be heard upon the petition of Plaintiff the answer of defendant Long and evidence and it appearing to the Court that due notice of the commencement of these proceedings in attachment and of the object and prayer of the petition in this case has been given by Publications and said Thomas O. Riley still being in default neither party requiring a Jury this cause was submitted to the Court upon consideration whereof It is ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Plaintiff W. W. Woods recover of the defendant Thomas O. Riley the Sum of Fifty one 27/100 Dollars ($51.27/100) and also his costs herein expanded to be taxed to $ And this

Judgt $51.27

Saturday February 4th 1860.

further ordered and adjudged by the Court that the Sheriff of this County for the time being Who is hereby appointed Special Master Commissioner for that purpose proceed to appraise advertise & sell the lands in the petition described (Except the frame building built by John Long on the N. E. corner of said Lot No 17 in Marysville) as upon execution at Law and for the report of Sale this cause is Continued.

The State of Ohio vs Richard Reed & Hezekiah Smith. } Indictment for Burglary and Larceny. This cause stands continued to the next term of this Court and the defendants required to enter into a recognizance in the Sum of Five Hundred Dollars each for their appearance at the next term of said Court.

William S Furbay vs D. A. Bell & John Brannon } Settled and costs paid no record

W. W. Woods vs S. K. Reed Jas Fullington Chas Fullington Geo. B. Burnham } And now comes the said W. W. Woods by Hamilton & Lincoln attorneys and files his petition against the S. K. Reed Jas Fullington, Chas Fullington & Geo. B. Burnhams and therefore came A. B. Robinson one of the attorneys of this Court and by virtue of a power of attorney for that purpose executed and now produced to the Court and duly proved filed the answer of the defendants and appeared in open Court on behalf of the said S. K. Reed, Jas Fullington, Chas Fullington & Geo B. Burnham, and waived the issuing and service of process and confessed that the said S. K. Reed, Jas Fullington Chas Fullington & Geo. B. Burnham do owe the said plaintiff the Sum of $360.15/100 with interest thereon amounting to $23.45/100. It is therefore considered and adjudged that the said W. W. Woods recover of the said S. K. Reed Jas Fullington Chas Fullington & Geo B. Burnham said Sum of Three Hundred and Eighty three 6/100 Dollars so confessed to be due and his costs in this behalf expended taxed to Dollars. And by virtue of the same warrant of attorney

Saturday February 4th A. D. 1865

all error is released and all right of appeal waived by said
S. K. Reed. Las Fullington. Chas Fullington & Geo B. Burst

Peter Mayo
vs
William Burns et als,

This day came the Plaintiff by his attorneys and the said William Burns being in default

This cause came on to be heard upon the petition of plaintiff and the answer of E. S. Hamilton Guardian ad litem for Joseph Burns and Mary Mayo and evidence and was submitted to the Court. Thereupon it is ordered and decreed by the Court that said William Burns Joseph Burns and Mary Mayo within ten days from the rising of this Court execute and deliver to the said Plaintiff Peter Mayo a good and sufficient deed with covenants of warranty conveying the premises in the said petition described to the said Peter Mayo in fee simple. and in default of the execution and delivery of such deed by said defendants. It is ordered that this Judgment and decree shall have the effect and operation of such and it is further ordered that in case of such default the Master Commissioner make and execute such conveyance. and it is further ordered & adjudged that the plaintiff pay the costs of this proceeding to be taxed by the Clerk.

P. 370
Foreclosure
$40/44

Jones. Bros & Co.
vs
Wm D. W. Mitchell & others.
and
Clark & Groesbeck
vs
Wm D. W. Mitchell

Confirmation

The court this day on motion of Cole & Lawrence and having examined the proceedings of the Sheriff as Special Master Commissioner in this case and a Sale made by him upon an order of Sale issued in the case of Jones, Bros. & Co. vs W. D. W. Mitchell. Feby 25th 1865 and being Satisfied that Said Sale has in all respects been made in conformity to the provisions of the Statute in such case made and provided. do order the Clerk to make entry on the Journal, that the Court are

Saturday February 4th 1860

Satisfied of the legality of the Sale and that he also enter an order on the Sheriff to make to the purchaser a deed for the lands & tenements so Sold all Which is done accordingly. And it is further ordered & adjudged that the Clerk retain in his hands the sum of $100 c until next term for a decision whether Clark & Gracebach or Jones Bros & Co. Shall have it and that the residue be paid to Jones Bros & Co. and as to unsettled matters these cases. ~~how cause~~ is Continued

It is ordered that John B. Coats Prosecuting attorney be allowed the sum of Three Hundred Dollars for the present year and that the Sheriff Abraham Wiley be allowed the sum of one Hundred dollars to be paid out of the County Treasury on the order of the County Auditor, On third at the end of each Regular Term of this Court

The State of Ohio
vs
Richard Reed & Hezekiah Smith.

This day came into open Court Colbert Amrine and acknowledged himself to owe and Stand indebted to the State of Ohio in the Sum of one Hundred Dollars to be levied of his goods & chattles lands and tenements if default be made on the condition to wit, that the said Colbert Amrine be and appear before the Court of Common Pleas of the said County of Union on the first day of the next term thereof to give evidence in a cause therein pending wherein the State of Ohio is plaintiff and Richard Reed and Hezekiah Smith is defendants and not depart the Court without leave then this recognizance to be void otherwise to be and remain in full force.

All motions matters & causes not otherwise disposed of are continued
And thereupon the Court adjourned
without day

J. M. Laurence
Just. Court Com. Pleas